Constructing Pairing-Friendly Elliptic Curves

Peter Manohar, Xingyou Song

November 28, 2015

Abstract

The goal of this report is to....

Contents

1 Introduction 1
2 Motivations and Applications 6
3 Generating Curves 7

1 Introduction

In this section, we shall define key concepts needed for our report. We will prove some of the more important results, and cite a source otherwise.

1.1 Elliptic Curves

For our project, we shall define an elliptic curve to be a curve of the form:

$$E: \ y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B \tag{1}$$

where A and B are elements of some field \mathbb{F} , with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2,3$. The curve E is nonsingular if $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$ are not simultaneously 0 for all points on E. It follows that E is nonsingular $\iff x^3 + Ax + B$ has distinct roots. Through Vieta's formulas, E has distinct roots $\iff ((r_1 - r_2)(r_1 - r_3)(r_2 - r_3))^2 = -(4A^3 + 27B^2)$ is nonzero. Therefore, we shall also require that the discriminant of E,

$$\Delta = -16(4A^3 + 27B^2) \tag{2}$$


is nonzero. The j-invariant of E is defined by:

$$j(E) = 1728 \frac{4A^3}{4A^3 + 27B^2} \tag{3}$$

1.2 Group Law

The points on an elliptic curve form an additive abelian group. We shall define the group law geometrically.

Let $P = (x_p, y_p), Q = (x_q, y_q)$. A line through P and Q intersects E at a third point, $R = (x_r, y_r)$. We define $P + Q := (x_r, -y_r)$. Pictorally, this looks like



The group law can also be defined in terms of algebraic formulas, which can be found in [1].

1.3 Notation

- \mathbb{F} is a field
- $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}
- \mathbb{F}_p is a field with p elements, where p is prime
- $E(\mathbb{F}) = \{ \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \mid E(x, y) = 0 \}$
- ϕ is an isogeny (or endomorphism)
- ϕ_p is the Frobenius endomorphism
- [n] is the multiplication by n map

1.4 Isogenies

An isogeny of two elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 defined over a field \mathbb{F} is a nonconstant morphism $\phi: E_1 \to E_2$, where ϕ is a group homomorphism from $E_1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}) \to E_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$.

 E_1 and E_2 are isomorphic if $\exists \phi_1 : E_1 \to E_2$ and $\phi_2 : E_2 \to E_1$, isogenies, such that $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1 = \text{Identity}$.

1.4.1 Separable and Inseparable Isogenies

Any isogeny ϕ can be expressed as $\phi(x,y) = (\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y)$, where $u,v,s,t \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, and $\gcd(u,v) = \gcd(s,t) = 1$. [3] An isogeny is separable if $(\frac{u}{v})' = 0$, and is inseparable otherwise. The degree of an isogeny is defined as $\deg(\phi) := \max(\deg(u), \deg(v))$. [3] For any separable isogeny ϕ , $\deg(\phi) = |\ker \phi|$.

1.4.2 Dual isogenies

Theorem 1 Let $\phi: E_1 \to E_2$ be an isogeny. Then \exists a unique $\hat{\phi}: E_2 \to E_1$ such that $\hat{\phi} \circ \phi = [n]$, where $n = \deg(\phi)$.

The proof of this can be found in either [1] or [3]. Furthermore, for any two isogenies ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , $\widehat{\phi_1 + \phi_2} = \widehat{\phi}_1 + \widehat{\phi}_2$.

1.5 Endomorphisms

An endomorphism is an isogeny from E to itself. The endomorphisms of E form a ring, where addition is addition of functions and multiplication is function composition.

1.5.1 Examples

The map $[n]: E \to E$, where $[n]P = P + P + \cdots + P$ (n times) is an endomorphism.

If E is defined over \mathbb{F}_p , the Frobenius map $\phi_p: E \to E$ defined by $\phi_p(x,y) := (x^p, y^p)$ is an (inseparable) endomorphism.

1.5.2 Trace of an Endomorphism

Theorem 2 For any endomorphism ϕ , $\phi + \hat{\phi} = 1 + \deg(\phi) - \deg(1 - \phi)$, where we can regard the RHS as an endomorphism by the map $n \mapsto [n]$.

Proof: As endomorphisms,

$$[\deg(1-\phi)] = \widehat{(1-\phi)}(1-\phi) = (\hat{1}-\hat{\phi})(1-\phi) = (1-\hat{\phi})(1-\phi)$$

$$= 1 - \hat{\phi} - \phi + \hat{\phi} \circ \phi = 1 - \hat{\phi} - \phi + [\deg(\phi)]$$

$$\implies \phi + \hat{\phi} = 1 + [\deg(\phi)] - [\deg(1-\phi)]$$

By the above theorem, we can now define $\operatorname{trace}(\phi) := \phi + \hat{\phi}$.

Theorem 3 $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t$, where $t = \operatorname{trace}(\phi_p)$

Proof: The fixed field of ϕ_p is \mathbb{F}_p , and $1 - \phi_p$ is separable (see [3]). Therefore, $\ker(1 - \phi_p) = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. It is clear that $\deg(\phi_p) = p$ by definition $(u(x) = x^p)$ and v(x) = 1. We have that

$$\ker(1 - \phi_p) = \deg(1 - \phi_p) = 1 + \deg(\phi_p) - \operatorname{trace}(\phi_p) = p + 1 - t$$

$$\implies \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t$$

Theorem 4 If K is a field of characteristic 0 or does not divide m, then $E[m] \simeq Z_m \oplus Z_m$.

The proof of this is mainly using the fundamental theorem of abelian groups, and analyzing the decomposition of the torsion group (TODO?)

1.6 j-invariant

Theorem 5 Two elliptic curves $E_1(\mathbb{F})$ and $E_2(\mathbb{F})$ are isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{F}} \iff j(E_1) = j(E_2)$. Furthermore, $\forall j_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}$, \exists an elliptic curve $E(\mathbb{F})$ such that $j(E) = j_0$.

The proof requires some lengthy algebraic manipulation, which can be found in [1]. As a consequence of the proof, for any $j \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}$, we can define an canonical elliptic curve E associated with this j-invariant. We see that

$$E: y^{2} = x^{3} + \frac{3j}{1728 - j}x + \frac{2j}{1728 - j} \text{ if } j \neq 0,1728$$

$$E: y^{2} = x^{3} + 1 \text{ if } j = 0$$

$$E: y^{2} = x^{3} + x \text{ if } j = 1728$$

1.6.1 Example

 $E_1(\mathbb{F})$ and $E_2(\mathbb{F})$ can be isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$, but not over \mathbb{F} . As an example, consider the curves $E_1: y^2 = x^3 - 25x$, $E_2: y^2 = x^3 - 4x$ have j = 1728. $\#E_1(\mathbb{Q}) = \infty$, but $\#E_2(\mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. The transformation $(x,y) \to (\mu^2 x, \mu^3 y)$, $\mu = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{2}$ establishes an isomorphism over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$, but no such isomorphism exists over \mathbb{Q} .

From this example, we can see that we do not necessarily need the full closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$; we only needed $d \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $d = \mu^2$, which in this case was $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$.

1.7 Twists

Two curves $E_1(\mathbb{F})$ and $E_2(\mathbb{F})$ are *twists* if they are isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ but not over \mathbb{F} .

1.7.1 Quadratic Twists

In particular, we are interested in quadratic twists. If $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ is an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{F} , and $d \in \mathbb{F}$ is a nonsquare, then we define the quadratic twist of E as $\tilde{E}: y^2 = x^3 + d^2Ax + d^3B$.

Theorem 6 If $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t$, then $\#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 + t$

Proof: Let $(\frac{\cdot}{p})$ be the legendre symbol mod p. For any $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we see that $1 + (\frac{x^3 + Ax + B}{p}) = \#$ of points on E with x-coordinate x. Therefore,

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(1 + \left(\frac{x^3 + Ax + B}{p} \right) \right) = p + 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\frac{x^3 + Ax + B}{p} \right)$$

Since \mathbb{F}_p is a field, $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $\exists x' \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that dx' = x. Therefore, for $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, we have that

$$\begin{split} \#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) &= 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} (1 + \left(\frac{(dx)^3 + A(dx) + B}{p}\right)) = p + 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\frac{d^3(x^3 + Ax + B)}{p}\right) \\ &= p + 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) \left(\frac{d^2}{p}\right) \left(\frac{x^3 + Ax + B}{p}\right) = p + 1 - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\frac{x^3 + Ax + B}{p}\right) \end{split}$$

1.8 The Complex Lattice

Theorem 7 Let ω_1, ω_2 be linearly independent points in C. Then define the lattice

$$L = Z\omega_1 + Z\omega_2$$

Then there exists an elliptic curve that is isomorphic to C/L.

Define $G_k(L) = \sum_{\omega \in L} \omega^{-k}$. Then define the Weierstrass $\wp(z)$ function as follows:

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L} \left(\frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right) \tag{4}$$

Then this function can easily be shown, by applications of complex analysis, to be convergent and meromorphic, as well as periodic. Then the derivative $\wp(z)$ is

$$\wp'(z) = -2\sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^2} \tag{5}$$

Now we have a isomorphism from the additive group on C/L to the the group of elliptic points on E(C), by the map

$$z \to (\wp(z), \wp'(z)), \quad 0 \to O$$

with E being defined as

$$E: y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3 (6)$$

where $g_2 = 60_4, g_3 = 140G_6$ Note that the periodicity will give:

$$(\wp(z_1), \wp'(z_1)) \oplus (\wp(z_2), \wp'(z_2)) = (\wp(z_1 + z_2), \wp'(z_1 + z_2))$$
(7)

which gives rise to the corresponding group law on elliptic curves.

Now we relate the j-invariant on curves to the j-function of a complex lattice. First, let rescale our lattice L to $Z\tau + Z$ where $\tau = \frac{w_1}{w_2}$. First, define $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. Then the j-invariant related to the lattice parameter is

$$j(\tau) = 1728 \frac{g_2^3}{g_2^3 - 27g_3^2} \tag{8}$$

The proof of all of this we won't go into detail in this paper, but this gives rise to the relationship between the complex lattice and isogenies, mainly integer endomorphisms [m] give rise to $z \to mz$, and for multiplication by a complex number c, we have $z \to cz$, which will induce a endormorphism on the corresponding elliptic curve.

For curves defined on a field K, there is a homomorphism $K \to C$ if we linearly map the finite basis elements of K, $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$ respectively to any algebraically independent set of elements in C, $\tau_1, ..., \tau_n$, so we can regard E(K) as a curve in C.

1.8.1 Using Quadratic Lattices

Consider the case when our lattice $L = O_D$ for some discriminant D, where the basis elements will be $[1, \sqrt{D}/2]$ or $[1, \sqrt{D}]$ depending on D is 1, 3(mod 4) (?????? casework)

Then define the Hilbert Class polynomial $H_D \in Z[X]$ that is the minimal polynomial that contains the j(L) as a root. There are many ways to calculate this, but we won't get to that in this paper. Thus, we can define an elliptic curve based on a square free discriminant D.

1.9 Pairings

Then the Weil-Pairing e_r is defined as a bilinear map,

$$e_r: E[r] \times E[r] \to \mu_r$$
 (9)

where μ_r is the set of primitive roots of unity in \bar{K} , i.e. $\mu_r = \{x | x^r = 1\}$. In other words, instead of working with the r-torsion group of E(K), we may work with the much simpler objects in the extension field of K.

Then we may define the embedding degree to be the degree of the extension field $K(\mu_r)$, or in other words, $[K(\mu_r):K]$. It is shown that then, if k is the embedding degree with respect to r, then k is the smallest integer such that r divides $q^k - 1$.

2 Motivations and Applications

2.1 Discrete Log Problem

In the discrete log problem, we are given any group G, with a base generator element P, with a ciphertext Q, where the problem involves finding k such that $P^k = Q$ in G.

For elliptic curves, this becomes using a base point P with high degree, in a finite field F_p . However, note that by the theorem of finite abelian groups, the elliptic curve group is isomorphic to ———

2.1.1 Pohlig-Hellman Attack

For an element P, assume it has order N in the group G. Then the prime factorization of N, is important to the adversary; i.e. if

$$N = \prod_{i} q_i^{e_i}$$

then if we need to find k such that $P^k = Q$, then all we need to do is find k in its base q_1, q_2, \ldots expansions and then construct k using the Chinese Remainder Method. We can do so on each q_i by successively iteration. Thus the difficulty of attacking this problem relies on the largest prime dividing N. TODO(too long)

This implies that we will need to find elliptic curves that have large- prime order torsion groups

3 Generating Curves

3.1 Complex Multiplication Method

Given a prime p and number N, this method constructs a curve E over \mathbb{F}_p with N points.

The method involves, given (p, N), take t = p + 1 - N, with $4p = t^2 + s^2|D|$, where D is square free. Then compute the Hilbert class polynomial $H_D(X)$, and find j such that $H_D(j) = 0 \mod p$. Using (9), we can then construct the explicit formula of the curve.

References

[1]. silverman [2]. washington [3]. mit