RNAprotein binding sites and motifs prediction

LUO Lu

Han Chenyu

11155107885 1155107885@link.cuhk.edu.hk 1155124364 1155124364@link.cuhk.edu.hk

December 2, 2020

Abstract

RNA Binding proteins(RBPs) are a class of proteins in eukaryotes and play important roles in many biological process, including gene splicing. Unfortunately, reseaches about RBPs, especially the binding preferences, are far from sufficient, so the prediction performance of traditional bioinfomatics algorithms is not satisfactory due to the deficiency of prior information. Thanks to the development of high-throughput technology, vast experiment results are generated in recent few years, providing the precondition of using data-driven approaching like machine learning and CNNs. In this report, we design a model which consists of two CNNs, train it for each RBPs in the dataset, test it performance and compare it with other similar solutions finally. We also discuss difference between our model and other solutions in both the structure and performance, and try to give some potential improvements.

I. Introduction

RA-binding proteins (RBPs) play key roles in many biological processes. However, detecting the RBP binding sites by experiments is very time-intensive. Although high-throughput technologies, e.g. CLIP-seq [5] have boosted the process of detecting RBP binding sites, and have gained a large amount of experiment results, they are still time-consuming and high-costly. Thus computational methods to predict the RBP binding sites with the help of deep learning is essential.

Computational methods will save a lot of time and will reduce costs. Currently, many computational methods have been proposed to predict RBP binding sites [6], including methods based on support vector machines (SVMs). With the development of deep learning, methods based on convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are also proposed.

However, most current methods with deep learning consider only global representations of entire RNA sequences, while specific RBPs recognize local structure context derived from local RNA sequences from the biological point of view. Therefore, a model which considers both global and local sequences is needed.

II. BACKGROUND

i. RBPs

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) play key roles in many biological processes. As introduced by [7], RBPs take more than 5-10% of the eukaryotic proteome. RBPs have great impact on important biological process like gene regulation [8] and mRNA localization [9]. Mutations of RBPs may lead to various diseases. Thus, to predict the RBP binding sites may provide a better understanding of some important biological processes.

ii. CNN

CNN is a kind of supervised learning that use multiple convolutional layer to extract hidden feature from input data. CNN is widely used in image recognition and classification algorithm. A typical CNN consists of convolutional, pooling and full connected layers. Convolutional layers are used to extract features, pooling layers are used to decrease the dimensions of data and full connected layers can choose which features are more relative to which class.

III. Methods

The key of our method is that a specific RBP can only recognize one class of RNA sequences which have similar subsequence in somewhere of the rna, called motif. Therefore, our goal is to recognize the similar subsequence, motif, from the given RNA sequence.

One method is based on the experiment results. As long as we get some motifs from experiments, we can use traditional bioinfomatics tools like k-mers to calculate the similarity of input sequence and known motifs. However, the high-throughput technologies are time-intensive and expensive [Hafner, Markus, et al, 2009], and results are not satisfactory, so the number of accurately known motif is small compared to the real situation. And other drawback is that this method may not be able to make a accurate predicion if the corresponding motif havn't been found.

The second method is to use data-driven approaching like machine learning. Our project is based on this method. In our method, we don't need the knowledge of motifs, and the network will try to find the features we need. CNN, as a powerful feature extracting tool, has potential to find similar structure from giving data, and make a more accurate prediction compared to the first method.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

There are lots of reseaches showing that deep learning can perform much better than the previous method [Pan, Xiaoyong, et al, 2018]. There are several network has promising performance, including CNNs and LSTMs. In our project, we decide to use two kinds of CNNs, global CNN and local CNN, to make the prediction, and here are the implementation details:

- Global CNNs: The purpose of this CNN is to extract useful feature from RNA sequence. In this CNN, we will feed the whole RNA sequence to the network, so that the network will find features no matter where the subsequence locate. Since the CNN can only receive fixed length input, we need to read and preprocess the data before training and test models(Details about the data preprocess can be found in the third points).
 - For the network, we set 2 convolutional layers, 2 pooling layers and 2 dense layers. The kernel size of the first layer is [10, 4], because as Deepbind suggested, the best kernel length is about 1.5 times of the average motifs' length which 7 [Alipanahi, Babak, et al, 2015]. The kernel size of the second layer is [10, 1] due to the same reason.
- Local CNNs: The purpose of the CNN
 is to find the relationship of consecutive
 features. In this network, we will feed
 the multi-channel tensor to the network,
 so that the relationship between different
 channel can make difference. Since the
 raw data contain only one channel, we
 also need to do the preprocessing.
 - For this network, we set 3 convolutional layers, 3 pooling layer and 2 dense layers. This networks is more complicated because the dimensions of input data are greater, and the complexity of data is higher.
- Data preprocessing: We downloaded

the RBP-24 dataset from the website of GraphProt http://www.bioinf.unifreiburg.de/Software/GraphProt. The origin data type in this dataset is nucleotide sequence. In order to feed the data to CNNs, we need to transform the string into one-hot tensor. After transforming, every sequence can be seen as a graph with width of 4.

For global CNNs, we will find the max sequence length among data of each RBPs, and then padding the rest to that length by adding [[0.25],[0.25],[0.25],[0.25]] to the tail of each one-hot matrix.

For local CNNs, we will divide the sequence into fixed length subsequence with fixed shifting length, and then append the later subsequence to the channel of previous subsequence, until the channels are full or there is no subsequence left. In our project, the window size has been set to 101, shifting size is 20 and the channel size is 7.

Other details: Due to the limitation of experiments, the number of positive data and negative data is unbalanced. In order to ensure the balance between positive input and negative input, we use over-sampling strategy, which means the sample in the small size class can be used much more times. This method can significantly increase the training efficient [Ando, Shin and Huang, 2017].

V. Results

Results are gained after training and testing the model. In this section, we will first introduce the parameter of our model, then we compare our methods with other currently proposed methods. Additionally, we evaluate the performance of combining the local CNN and global CNN, by comparing their performance independently.

i. Parameter

Due to the limitation of time, we have only tried a small amount of parameter options, including hyperparmeters of CNNs (dropout probability = 0.5, learning rate = 0.0001, other parameters have been listed in secion IV.), thus the parameters may not be the optimal, but we still gained satisfactory results.

ii. Performance

As shown in Table 1, our method performs better than Pse-SVM in general, and is close to other state-of-art methods. The main defect of our method appears in the first few datasets, including ALKBH5, C17ORF85, C22ORF28, etc. We've analyze these datasets, and found that these datasets are smaller than the others, with fewer sequences for training. This may lead to overfitting, and thus reduce the testing accuracy. In datasets with sufficient training sequences, e.g. QKI, ELAVL1C and TAF15, our method performs similarly to the state-of-art methods. Consider that the parameters we have used may not be the optimal, our method have huge potential.

iii. Local and global CNNs

Our method combines the local CNN and global CNN together. It's naturally to ask whether this combination is effective. As shown in Table 2, in most cases, the combination of two networks results in better performance of both independent network.

VI. Discussion

Subsection One

A statement requiring citation [?]. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper,

Table 1: performance of different methods across different datasets

method RBP	Our method	iDeepE	CNN- LSTM-E	ResNet-E	Pse- SVM	Graph Prot	Deepnet- rbp
ALKBH5	0.609	0.758	0.653	0.656	0.648	0.680	0.714
C17ORF85	0.762	0.830	0.822	0.756	0.734	0.800	0.820ă
C22ORF28	0.73	0.837	0.801	0.829	0.764	0.751	0.792ă
CAPRIN1	0.824	0.893	0.871	0.891	0.728	0.855	0.834ă
Ago2	0.833	0.884	0.851	0.854	0.746	0.765	0.809ă
ELAVL1H	0.932	0.979	0.975	0.975	0.816	0.955	0.966ă
SFRS1	0.891	0.946	0.929	0.945	0.746	0.898	0.931ă
HNRNPC	0.943	0.976	0.973	0.975	0.824	0.952	0.962ă
TDP43	0.901	0.945	0.928	0.937	0.840	0.874	0.876ă
TIA1	0.853	0.937	0.911	0.929	0.784	0.861	0.891ă
TIAL1	0.842	0.934	0.901	0.930	0.724	0.833	0.870ă
Ago1-4	0.84	0.915	0.873	0.911	0.728	0.895	0.881ă
ELAVL1B	0.938	0.971	0.963	0.970	0.837	0.935	0.961ă
ELAVL1A	0.912	0.964	0.962	0.961	0.830	0.959	0.966ă
EWSR1	0.901	0.969	0.965	0.967	0.753	0.935	0.966ă
FUS	0.922	0.985	0.980	0.977	0.762	0.968	0.980ă
ELAVL1C	0.952	0.988	0.986	0.988	0.853	0.991	0.994ă
IGF2BP1-3	0.89	0.947	0.940	0.952	0.753	0.889	0.879ă
MOV10	0.857	0.916	0.899	0.911	0.783	0.863	0.854ă
PUM2	0.927	0.967	0.963	0.965	0.840	0.954	0.971ă
QKI	0.968	0.970	0.966	0.969	0.809	0.957	0.983ă
TAF15	0.947	0.976	0.974	0.971	0.769	0.970	0.983ă
PTB	0.902	0.944	0.929	0.943	0.867	0.937	0.983ă
ZC3H7B	0.817	0.907	0.879	0.906	0.743	0.820	0.796ă
Mean	0.871	0.931	0.912	0.919	0.778	0.887	0.902ă

Table 2: Average performance of local and global CNN, and combination

local CNN	global CNN	combination
0.852	0.847	0.871

felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

ii. Subsection Two

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

REFERENCES

- [Hafner, Markus, et al, 2009] Hafner, Markus, et al. "Transcriptome-wide identification of RNA-binding protein and microRNA target sites by PAR-CLIP." *Cell* 141.1 (2010): 129-141.
- [Pan, Xiaoyong, et al, 2018] Pan, Xiaoyong, et al. "Prediction of RNA-protein sequence and structure binding preferences using deep convolutional and recurrent neural networks." *BMC genomics* 19.1 (2018): 511.
- [Alipanahi, Babak, et al, 2015] Alipanahi, Babak, et al. "Predicting the sequence specificities of DNA-and RNA-binding proteins by deep learning." *Nature biotechnology* 33.8 (2015): 831-838.
- [Ando, Shin and Huang, 2017] Ando, Shin, and Chun Yuan Huang. "Deep oversampling framework for classifying imbalanced data." *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*. Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [5] Anders G. et al. "doRiNA: a database of RNA interactions in post-transcriptional regulation." *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 40, D180D186, 2012.
- [6] Corrado G. et al. "RNAcommender: genome-wide recommendation of RNAprotein interactions." *Bioinformatics*, 32, 36273634, 2016.
- [7] Castello A. et al. "Insights into RNA biology from an atlas of mammalian mRNA-binding proteins." *Cell*, 149, 13931406, 2012.
- [8] Gerstberger S. et al. "A census of human RNA-binding proteins." *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 15, 829845, 2014.
- [9] Dictenberg J.B. et al. "A direct role for FMRP in activity-dependent dendritic

mRNA transport links filopodial-spine morphogenesis to fragile X syndrome." *Dev. Cell*, 14, 926939, 2008.