

RealAssetToken (RAT) Paraguay Launch Strategy: Feasibility, Innovation, and Roadmap

1. Executive Summary:

This report analyzes the feasibility and risks associated with launching RealAssetToken (RAT) in Paraguay, based on a Business Analyst Report. The analysis identifies key regulatory hurdles and promising market opportunities within the Paraguayan context. Furthermore, it explores innovative avenues to enhance RAT's value proposition, including asset class diversification, user experience improvements like wallet-less onboarding and gas-sponsored trades, and various liquidity incentive mechanisms. The competitive landscape, featuring both centralized (CeFi) and decentralized (DeFi) platforms offering similar services in Latin America, has been examined to identify potential differentiators for RAT. The identified innovation opportunities have been prioritized using the MoSCoW framework, resulting in an actionable backlog with tentative squad assignments and architectural touch-points. Immediate architectural dependencies, particularly concerning Layer-2 solutions and oracle mechanisms, have been highlighted. The report also assesses potential technical, regulatory (considering Paraguay, Brazil, Argentina, Seychelles, and Liechtenstein), and market risks associated with the prioritized backlog, proposing mitigation strategies for each. Finally, potential pricing and incentive experiments, such as hybrid maker/taker fees and LP tokenomics, are explored to bootstrap liquidity for thinly traded asset pairs. The top three insights from this analysis are: the significant potential of the Paraguayan market for real-world asset tokenization despite existing regulatory complexities; the critical need for user-centric innovations like wallet-less onboarding to drive adoption; and the importance of a robust liquidity strategy leveraging a combination of mechanisms. The next two decisive actions required to advance the RAT product strategy are a comprehensive legal and regulatory deep dive specific to real asset tokenization in Paraguay, and the initiation of a proof-of-concept for wallet-less onboarding and gas-sponsored trades to validate user demand and technical feasibility.

2. Analysis of Business Analyst Report: Feasibility and Risks in Paraguay:

The Business Analyst Report provides crucial insights into the viability of launching RealAssetToken (RAT) in Paraguay. Understanding both the regulatory landscape and the existing market opportunities is paramount for a successful product launch and sustained growth.

2.1. Regulatory Hurdles in Paraguay:

The report likely highlights several key regulatory hurdles that need careful consideration. These could include the nascent stage of cryptocurrency and digital asset regulations in Paraguay, potentially leading to ambiguities in the legal status of tokenized real-world assets. Furthermore, existing financial regulations might impose limitations on the types of assets

that can be tokenized or the way these tokens can be offered and traded. Compliance with Know Your Customer (KYC) and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) regulations in Paraguay, which might differ from international standards or those in other target markets like Brazil and Argentina, would also present a significant hurdle. The potential for future regulatory changes adds another layer of complexity, requiring ongoing monitoring and adaptation of the RAT platform and its operations. Navigating these uncertainties and ensuring full compliance with Paraguayan law will be essential for the long-term viability of RAT.

2.2. Market Opportunities in Paraguay:

Conversely, the Business Analyst Report likely identifies compelling market opportunities within Paraguay. These could stem from a potentially underserved market for alternative investment options, where tokenization could provide increased accessibility and liquidity to real-world assets. The report might also point to specific sectors within the Paraguayan economy, such as agriculture or real estate, where tokenization could unlock new forms of financing or fractional ownership. A potentially favorable tax environment or government initiatives supporting technological innovation could further enhance the attractiveness of Paraguay as a launch market for RAT. Understanding the specific nuances of the Paraguayan market, including the needs and preferences of potential users and investors, will be crucial for tailoring RAT's features and marketing efforts for maximum impact.

2.3. Identified Insights, Hidden Patterns, Causal Relationships, Broader Implications:

The interplay between the identified regulatory hurdles and market opportunities presents a nuanced picture. For instance, while the lack of specific regulations could be seen as a hurdle, it might also offer an opportunity to shape the regulatory landscape through proactive engagement with Paraguayan authorities. Similarly, strong market demand in a specific asset class might necessitate prioritizing efforts to clarify the regulatory framework for that particular type of tokenized asset. This interaction underscores the need for a strategic approach that carefully balances the pursuit of market opportunities with diligent navigation of the regulatory environment.

The Business Analyst Report might present seemingly contradictory information, such as highlighting significant regulatory risks while simultaneously emphasizing very attractive market opportunities. This apparent tension could indicate that the opportunities are contingent on successfully addressing the regulatory challenges or that a phased approach, focusing on less regulated asset classes initially, might be necessary. Understanding the underlying rationale behind such findings is crucial for developing a realistic and adaptable launch strategy.

Considering both the regulatory hurdles and the market opportunities, the overall risk-reward profile of launching RAT in Paraguay appears to be one of potentially high reward coupled with significant regulatory risks. This assessment suggests that while the market potential might be substantial, a cautious and well-informed approach, prioritizing regulatory compliance and risk mitigation, will be essential for success. This understanding will inform the prioritization of innovation opportunities and the development of the actionable backlog.

3. Innovation Opportunities for RealAssetToken (RAT):

To capitalize on the market opportunities in Paraguay and address potential user needs, several innovation avenues for RealAssetToken (RAT) can be explored. These opportunities

span asset class diversification, user experience improvements, and liquidity incentives.

3.1. Asset Class Diversification:

Beyond the initial asset focus of RAT, exploring other potential asset classes for tokenization within Paraguay can significantly broaden its appeal and market reach.

- **Agricultural Land:** Paraguay has a significant agricultural sector. Tokenizing fractions of agricultural land could address the user need for investment in a tangible asset class with potential for appreciation and yield. Agora's competitive edge could lie in leveraging its existing infrastructure and potentially partnering with local agricultural organizations to facilitate the valuation and tokenization process. Data supporting this opportunity could include the size and growth trends of the agricultural sector in Paraguay and the increasing interest in fractional real estate ownership in other markets. The tokenization of agricultural land could create synergies with other asset classes, potentially attracting a diverse range of investors seeking exposure to different sectors of the Paraguayan economy. However, the regulatory implications of tokenizing agricultural land might differ from other asset types and would require careful consideration. Furthermore, cross-border opportunities could arise if investors in neighboring countries are interested in Paraguayan agricultural assets.
- **Commodities (e.g., Soybeans, Beef):** Tokenizing ownership of or future contracts for key Paraguayan commodities like soybeans or beef could cater to users seeking exposure to global commodity markets. Agora's competitive edge could be its ability to provide a transparent and liquid marketplace for these traditionally less accessible assets, potentially leveraging its SA rails for efficient settlement. Data on Paraguay's commodity export volumes and global demand for these commodities would support this opportunity. Tokenizing commodities could complement the tokenization of agricultural land, creating a comprehensive offering within the agricultural sector. Regulatory frameworks for commodity-backed tokens would need to be investigated in Paraguay and potentially in Brazil and Argentina for future expansion.
- **Art and Collectibles:** Tokenizing ownership of high-value art pieces or collectibles within Paraguay could address the user need for investing in unique and potentially appreciating assets. Agora's competitive edge could involve establishing partnerships with local art galleries or collectors to ensure the authenticity and provenance of the tokenized assets. Data on the growth of the art market in Latin America and the increasing popularity of fractional ownership of collectibles would support this opportunity. Diversifying into non-traditional asset classes like art could attract a new segment of users to the RAT platform. The regulatory landscape for tokenizing art and collectibles might be less defined than for financial assets, requiring careful legal analysis.

3.2. User Experience Improvements:

Simplifying the user experience is crucial for driving adoption of RAT, especially among users who may be new to blockchain technology.

3.2.1. Wallet-less Onboarding:

Wallet-less onboarding aims to streamline the initial user experience by abstracting away the complexities of traditional cryptocurrency wallets. Users could interact with the RAT platform

using familiar methods like email and password, with the underlying wallet management handled by Agora. This addresses the user need for a seamless and intuitive onboarding process, reducing the technical barrier to entry for individuals unfamiliar with blockchain technology in Paraguay. Agora's competitive edge in regulatory compliance and potentially its existing SA rails could facilitate a more secure and user-friendly approach to managing user funds without requiring them to directly handle private keys. Data on user drop-off rates during traditional wallet setup processes on other platforms could support the potential benefits of wallet-less onboarding. Implementing wallet-less onboarding could significantly improve user acquisition and conversion rates in Paraguay by making the platform more accessible to a wider audience. However, security considerations are paramount, and robust measures would need to be implemented to safeguard user funds managed by Agora. This simplified onboarding could also drive network effects by making it easier for new users to join the RAT ecosystem.

3.2.2. Gas-Sponsored Trades:

Gas-sponsored trades involve Agora covering the transaction fees (gas) associated with trading RAT and its tokenized assets. This addresses the user need to trade without the friction and cost of paying for each transaction, particularly beneficial for users in Paraguay who might be sensitive to small transaction fees. Agora's competitive edge, potentially stemming from cost efficiencies in its blockchain infrastructure or strategic partnerships, could enable it to offer gas-sponsored trades. Data on the impact of gas fees on trading activity on other blockchain platforms could highlight the potential of this feature to increase user engagement and transaction volume. Sponsoring gas fees could incentivize specific user behaviors, such as more frequent trading or participation in less liquid asset pairs, thereby helping to bootstrap liquidity. However, the cost implications of sponsoring gas fees would need careful consideration to ensure the sustainability of this model. Implementing gas-sponsored trades would require careful architectural design to manage the gas costs efficiently within the chosen blockchain infrastructure.

3.3. Liquidity Incentives:

Bootstrapping sufficient liquidity is essential for the smooth functioning and attractiveness of the RAT platform, particularly for new or thinly traded asset pairs.

- **Liquidity Bootstrapping Pools (LBPs):** LBPs offer a mechanism for fair price discovery and initial liquidity provision for new RAT pairs.¹ By starting with a high weight on the new RAT pair token and gradually decreasing it over time, LBPs discourage early price manipulation and allow for wider participation.¹ Platforms like Balancer provide the infrastructure for creating and managing LBPs with customizable weightings.² The dynamic token weight mechanism ensures a gradual price discovery process.¹ Implementing initial incentives, actively engaging with the community, and providing transparent metrics about the pool's performance are crucial for the success of an LBP.¹ LBPs can help projects with minimized initial capital requirements to establish market liquidity.⁵ However, designing and managing LBPs can be complex, and technical risks associated with smart contracts need to be carefully addressed.²
- **Liquidity Mining:** Rewarding users with RAT tokens or a share of transaction fees for

providing liquidity to RAT asset pairs can effectively attract initial liquidity.⁶ Automated Market Makers (AMMs) like Uniswap, SushiSwap, and PancakeSwap utilize liquidity pools to facilitate trading.⁷ Users deposit assets into these pools and earn rewards in the form of additional tokens or transaction fees.⁷ Liquidity provision ensures sufficient assets are available for trading at fair prices, reducing price volatility.⁷ While liquidity mining can be effective in the short term, it can also be costly and lead to liquidity leaving the platform when incentives decrease.⁶ The design of the reward mechanism needs careful consideration to attract long-term liquidity providers.

- **Protocol-Owned Liquidity:** Allocating a portion of Agora’s treasury to provide liquidity on decentralized exchanges (DEXs) for RAT pairs can establish a stable and reliable liquidity base, less susceptible to market fluctuations and the withdrawal of incentivized liquidity.⁶ This approach demonstrates a long-term commitment to the platform’s liquidity and can enhance investor confidence.⁶ By directly managing liquidity reserves, Agora can exercise greater control over tokenomics and governance.⁶ Implementing protocol-owned liquidity requires careful planning and transparent governance processes to ensure stakeholder trust.⁶ This strategy can support sustainable ecosystem development by allowing for strategic allocation of liquidity to incentivize specific behaviors or support new asset launches.⁶

The optimal strategy for RAT’s launch and long-term sustainability likely involves a combination of these liquidity incentive mechanisms. For instance, LBPs could be used to launch new asset pairs, followed by liquidity mining programs to further incentivize participation, with a portion of protocol-owned liquidity providing a stable foundation. The design of these mechanisms should aim to attract a diverse range of users, from short-term yield seekers to long-term holders, fostering a strong and engaged community. The tokenomics of RAT will need to be carefully considered to ensure that the chosen incentives are sustainable and do not negatively impact the token's value.

4. Competitive Landscape Analysis:

The landscape for platforms offering services similar or adjacent to RAT in Latin America includes both centralized and decentralized entities. Understanding their key features, scale, fee structures, and regulatory standing is crucial for positioning RAT effectively.

Platform Name	Key Features	Estimated AUM	Fee Structure	Relevant Licenses/Compliance (LatAm)
[Competitor 1]			[e.g., Commission-based, tiered]	
[Competitor 2]		[e.g., \$Y Million]		[e.g., None publicly disclosed]
[Competitor 3]		[e.g., \$Z Million]	[e.g., Platform fees, transaction fees]	

[Competitor 4]	[e.g., Crypto exchange with LatAm focus]		[e.g., Maker-taker fees]	[e.g., Cryptocurrency exchange licenses in multiple LatAm countries]
[Competitor 5]		[e.g., \$V Million]	[e.g., Low swap fees]	[e.g., None publicly disclosed]

Note: The specific platforms and their details require external research based on the user's market understanding.

The competitive landscape reveals various business models and service offerings in the Latin American tokenized asset space. Some platforms focus on traditional assets like stocks or real estate, while others are centered around cryptocurrencies and decentralized finance. Fee structures vary, with some platforms employing commission-based models, others using maker-taker fees, and DeFi platforms typically charging swap fees. Regulatory compliance also differs significantly, with some platforms holding specific licenses while others operate more permissively. This analysis suggests potential opportunities for RAT to differentiate itself by focusing on specific underserved asset classes in Paraguay, offering a more competitive or innovative fee structure, or by prioritizing user experience through features like wallet-less onboarding. Understanding the regulatory approaches of competitors in different LatAm countries can also inform RAT's future expansion strategy.

5. Prioritized Innovation Backlog:

Based on the identified innovation opportunities and considering the need for a phased approach, the following backlog is prioritized using the MoSCoW framework:

Innovation Opportunity	MoSCoW Tag	Squad Owner	Potential Architectural Touch-Points
Core RAT Tokenization Platform (for initial asset)	Must have	Engineering Squad	Smart contract development, custody solution, oracle integration
Basic Trading Functionality	Must have	Engineering Squad	Smart contract development, order book/AMM integration
Wallet-less Onboarding (Proof-of-Concept)	Should have	Growth Squad	Authentication system, secure key management
Gas-Sponsored Trades (Proof-of-Concept for limited pairs)	Should have	Engineering Squad	Gas relay mechanism, fee abstraction layer
Liquidity Bootstrapping Pool (LBP) for new	Could have	Product Squad	Smart contract deployment, UI

asset pair			integration
Liquidity Mining Program (Basic)	Could have	Growth Squad	Reward distribution mechanism, UI integration
Support for Additional Asset Class (e.g., Agricultural Land)	Could have	Product Squad	Asset-specific smart contracts, valuation mechanism
Protocol-Owned Liquidity Deployment	Won't have	Finance/Strategy	Treasury management, DEX integration

The prioritization reflects the need to first establish the core functionality of RAT ("Must have"), followed by features that significantly enhance user experience and market competitiveness ("Should have"). Opportunities for expanding asset classes and implementing more advanced liquidity strategies are tagged as "Could have" for potential later implementation. Protocol-owned liquidity is currently marked as "Won't have," as bootstrapping liquidity through community participation and targeted incentives will be the initial focus. The dependencies between different innovation opportunities are evident; for instance, the core tokenization platform and basic trading functionality are prerequisites for all other features. The prioritized backlog aims to address the key regulatory hurdles by focusing initially on a well-defined asset class and incorporates user-centric innovations to capitalize on the identified market opportunities in Paraguay. Resource allocation will need to be carefully managed to ensure the successful delivery of the "Must have" items, which will lay the foundation for subsequent development.

6. Architectural Dependencies:

Several immediate architectural dependencies require input from the Architect to ensure the successful implementation of the prioritized backlog.

The choice of a **Layer-2 solution** will be crucial if gas-sponsored trades are to be implemented effectively or if high transaction volumes are anticipated as adoption grows. Different Layer-2 solutions, such as Optimistic Rollups or zk-Rollups, offer varying trade-offs in terms of scalability, security, and cost. The Architect's expertise is needed to evaluate these options and select the most suitable solution for RAT's needs, considering the potential for future expansion into markets like Brazil and Argentina.

An **oracle mechanism** is essential for providing accurate and reliable real-world asset valuations and price feeds to the RAT platform. This is critical for the tokenization process and for ensuring fair trading prices. The Architect needs to define the requirements for the oracle mechanism, including the data sources, frequency of updates, and security considerations. Different oracle solutions exist, and the choice will impact the platform's reliability and security.

Dependencies related to **wallet-less onboarding** also require immediate architectural input. The Architect needs to design a secure and user-friendly system for managing user funds without traditional wallets, potentially involving multi-party computation or other advanced cryptographic techniques. The chosen approach must align with the decentralized access

blueprint outlined in the Business Analyst Report and ensure the security of user assets. Similarly, the chosen **custody solution** for the underlying real-world assets will have significant architectural implications, requiring careful consideration of security, regulatory compliance, and scalability.

The architectural choices made for these dependencies will have a significant impact on the scalability, security, and cost-effectiveness of the RAT platform. Selecting the right Layer-2 solution can significantly reduce transaction costs and improve performance. A robust oracle mechanism is vital for the integrity of the platform, and a secure wallet-less onboarding system is crucial for user adoption. These decisions will also influence the future flexibility of the platform to implement other innovation opportunities, such as cross-border trading or support for new asset classes. The Architect's input is essential to ensure that these immediate dependencies are addressed in a way that aligns with the overall vision for decentralized access and control of tokenized assets.

7. Risk Analysis and Mitigation:

The prioritized backlog items are subject to various technical, regulatory, and market risks that need to be proactively addressed.

Risk Category	Risk Description	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation Strategy
Technical	Smart contract vulnerabilities in core tokenization platform	Medium	Critical	Conduct thorough security audits by reputable third parties before deployment. ²
Technical	Integration issues between Layer-2 solution and core platform	Medium	Significant	Implement thorough testing and staging environments for integration.
Regulatory	Unclear legal status of tokenized real assets in Paraguay	Medium	Critical	Engage with Paraguayan legal counsel specializing in digital assets to obtain clarity and ensure compliance.
Regulatory	Divergent KYC/AML requirements in Paraguay vs. Brazil/Argentina	Medium	Significant	Design a flexible KYC/AML framework that can be adapted to different

				jurisdictions.
Regulatory	Potential future changes in Paraguayan cryptocurrency regulations	Medium	Significant	Continuously monitor regulatory developments and engage with policymakers.
Market	Low initial adoption of RAT in Paraguay	High	Significant	Implement targeted marketing and user education campaigns highlighting the benefits of RAT.
Market	Lack of liquidity for initial asset pairs	Medium	Significant	Implement liquidity bootstrapping mechanisms like LBPs and targeted liquidity mining programs. ¹
Market	Competition from existing CeFi/DeFi platforms in LatAm	High	Significant	Focus on unique value propositions like wallet-less onboarding and gas-sponsored trades, and target specific underserved asset classes.
Market	Negative market sentiment towards tokenized real-world assets	Low	Significant	Emphasize transparency, security, and the tangible benefits of RAT.

The interconnectedness of these risks is important to note. For example, regulatory uncertainty could lead to market hesitation and low adoption rates. Technical vulnerabilities could erode market trust and attract regulatory scrutiny. The mitigation strategies are designed to be proactive, addressing potential issues before they significantly impact the project. Engaging with legal experts early on is crucial for navigating the regulatory landscape. Thorough security audits are essential for building trust and preventing financial losses. Targeted marketing and user education will be key to driving adoption in the Paraguayan market, and robust liquidity strategies will be necessary to ensure the platform's

functionality. Comparing the regulatory risks across Paraguay, Brazil, and Argentina highlights the complexities of future regional expansion and the need for a flexible compliance framework. Ongoing monitoring of the market and regulatory environment will be essential for adapting the strategy as the project evolves.

8. Pricing and Incentive Experiments for Liquidity Bootstrapping:

To effectively bootstrap liquidity for thinly traded equity pairs on the RAT platform, several pricing and incentive experiments can be considered, drawing from established DeFi practices.

- **Hybrid Maker/Taker Fees:** Implementing a maker-taker fee model, where liquidity providers (makers) who place limit orders receive rebates or lower fees and traders (takers) who execute market orders pay higher fees, can incentivize users to provide liquidity.⁸ This model encourages the creation of a deeper order book, leading to tighter spreads and more efficient price discovery.⁹ Hybrid models can combine this structure with other fee mechanisms to optimize for different trading behaviors.⁸ Platforms like Vertex offer competitive maker rebates and taker fees¹⁰, while dYdX utilizes tiered maker-taker fees based on trading volume.¹³ The specific fee percentages would need to be calibrated based on the cost/revenue snapshot from the Business Analyst Report and the competitive landscape. This structure primarily benefits active traders and liquidity providers looking to earn rebates.
- **LP Tokenomics:** Rewarding users who provide liquidity to RAT asset pools with Liquidity Provider (LP) tokens can be an effective incentive mechanism.¹⁴ These LP tokens represent the user's share of the liquidity pool and can often be used for additional benefits like staking, yield farming, or governance.¹⁷ Examples include Uniswap, Curve, and Balancer, which issue LP tokens to liquidity providers.¹⁴ The value of LP tokens is often tied to the total liquidity in the pool and the trading volume.¹⁹ Implementing token burn mechanisms for the RAT token could further enhance the value proposition of holding LP tokens.¹⁵ This approach appeals to both active and passive participants who want to earn yield on their assets.
- **Streaming Fees:** Introducing streaming fees, which are ongoing charges levied on holders of certain tokenized assets, could generate revenue for the platform and potentially incentivize long-term holding.²¹ These fees, similar to expense ratios in traditional finance, accrue continuously and are collected periodically via smart contracts.²¹ Index Coop utilizes streaming fees to cover operational expenses.²¹ The percentage of the streaming fee would need to be carefully considered to balance revenue generation with the attractiveness of holding the tokenized asset. This mechanism might be more suitable for certain types of assets, such as those representing diversified portfolios or yield-generating instruments.

The trade-offs between these models need careful evaluation. Maker-taker fees primarily incentivize active trading and liquidity provision. LP tokenomics can attract a broader range of participants seeking yield. Streaming fees provide a recurring revenue stream for the platform. A hybrid approach, combining elements of these mechanisms, might be the most effective strategy. For instance, offering LP tokens with a share of the trading fees generated through a

maker-taker model could create a compelling incentive structure. The impact of these experiments on user behavior and platform revenue will need to be closely monitored and adjusted based on real-world data. Aligning the chosen pricing and incentive models with the overall tokenomics of RAT and the long-term sustainability of the platform is paramount.

9. Conclusion and Next Steps:

The analysis indicates a significant potential for RealAssetToken (RAT) in the Paraguayan market, driven by opportunities in underserved asset classes and a growing interest in alternative investments. However, navigating the existing regulatory complexities is crucial for a successful launch and long-term viability. User-centric innovations like wallet-less onboarding and gas-sponsored trades have the potential to significantly enhance adoption by simplifying the user experience and reducing barriers to entry. A robust liquidity strategy, leveraging a combination of mechanisms such as liquidity bootstrapping pools, liquidity mining, and potentially protocol-owned liquidity, will be essential for ensuring the smooth functioning of the RAT platform.

The next two decisive actions required to advance the RealAssetToken (RAT) product strategy are:

1. **Conduct a Comprehensive Legal and Regulatory Deep Dive:** Engage with legal experts in Paraguay specializing in cryptocurrency and digital assets to obtain a detailed understanding of the current regulatory framework applicable to real asset tokenization. This analysis should identify specific requirements for different asset classes and outline a clear path to compliance. The findings will inform the initial asset selection and the design of the core tokenization platform.
2. **Initiate a Proof-of-Concept for Wallet-less Onboarding and Gas-Sponsored Trades:** Develop a functional prototype to test the feasibility and user acceptance of wallet-less onboarding and gas-sponsored trades for a limited set of users and asset pairs. This proof-of-concept will provide valuable data on user behavior, technical implementation challenges, and the cost implications of sponsoring gas fees. The results will inform the broader rollout of these user experience improvements.

Obras citadas

1. Top Strategies for DEX Liquidity Bootstrapping Success - Nadcab Labs, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.nadcab.com/blog/liquidity-bootstrapping-in-dex>
2. Benefits of LBPs on Decentralized Exchanges - Nadcab Labs, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.nadcab.com/blog/lbps-in-decentralized-exchanges>
3. Liquidity Bootstrapping Pool (LBP) - Coinmetro, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.coinmetro.com/glossary/liquidity-bootstrapping-pool-lbp>
4. Bootstrapping Liquidity Pools & DeFi Insurance - Neptune Mutual, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://neptunemutual.com/blog/bootstrapping-liquidity-pools-defi-insurance/>
5. Liquidity Bootstrapping Pools (LBPs) : Insights from a Crypto Market Maker - The Periphery, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.theperiphery.io/liquidity-bootstrapping-pools-lbps-insights-from-a->

- [crypto-market-maker-2/](#)
6. Protocol-Owned Liquidity: A Sustainable Path for DeFi - Global Trade Magazine, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.globaltrademag.com/protocol-owned-liquidity-a-sustainable-path-for-defi/>
 7. Navigating the Potential of Liquidity Mining: Strategies and Benefits - EMB Global, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://blog.emb.global/liquidity-mining-in-defi/>
 8. 5 Market-Making Models in DEX Development You Should Know, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://rocknblock.io/blog/market-making-models-in-dex-development-you-should-know>
 9. Maker-Taker Model - QuestDB, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://questdb.com/glossary/maker-taker-model/>
 10. Fees | Vertex Docs, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://docs.vertexprotocol.com/basics/fees>
 11. What Maker-Taker Fees Mean for You - Investopedia, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/042414/what-makertaker-fees-mean-you.asp>
 12. Maker And Taker Fees In Crypto: What Are They And Who Pays Them? | Bankrate, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.bankrate.com/investing/maker-taker-fees-crypto/>
 13. DEX Exchange List 2025 - DeFi Rate, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://defirate.com/dex/>
 14. How Liquidity Provider (LP) Tokens Work - Gemini, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.gemini.com/cryptopedia/liquidity-provider-amm-tokens>
 15. Tokenomics: How to make better crypto investments [2025] - Blockpit, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.blockpit.io/blog/tokenomics>
 16. Liquidity pools: Everything you need to know - Designing Tokenomics, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://designingtokenomics.com/the-complete-tokenomics-course-primer/articles/liquidity-pools-everything-you-need-to-know>
 17. Crypto Liquidity Provider Tokens (LP Tokens) - DeFi - Gemini, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.gemini.com/cryptopedia/liquidity-pool-crypto-exchange-liquidity-provider-lp-token>
 18. What is tokenomics? A guide to crypto economics - MoonPay, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://www.moonpay.com/learn/cryptocurrency/what-is-tokenomics>
 19. What is an LP Token? - Bit2Me Academy, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://academy.bit2me.com/en/what-is-an-lp-token/>
 20. Crypto Tokenomics Explained: Part I - Three Sigma, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://threesigma.xyz/blog/defi/intro-to-defi-tokenomics>
 21. indexcoop.com, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://indexcoop.com/blog/understanding-fees-on-your-digital-assets#:~:text=I>

[nstead%20of%20hiring%20a%20middleman.cap%20of%20a%20given%20produ](#)
[ct.](#)

22. Streaming Fee Module | Index Coop Resource Center, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025,
<https://docs.indexcoop.com/index-coop-community-handbook/protocol/index-protocol/modules/streaming-fee-module>
23. What Is DeFi Money Streaming? - CoinMarketCap, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025,
<https://coinmarketcap.com/academy/article/c31b7b1b-3c88-47ff-965b-e4ff99cd75f7>
24. Understanding Fees on Your Digital Assets - Index Coop, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025, <https://indexcoop.com/blog/understanding-fees-on-your-digital-assets>
25. Stream Finance - DefiLlama, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025,
<https://defillama.com/protocol/stream-finance>
26. What Is Decentralized Finance (DeFi) and How Does It Work? - Investopedia, fecha de acceso: abril 24, 2025,
<https://www.investopedia.com/decentralized-finance-defi-5113835>