

# HW1

1.

2.2

(A B (C)): yes

((A) (B)): no

A B ) ( C D ): no

(A ( B ( C ) ) : no

(A (B ( C ))): yes

((A (B) ) (C))

2.4

((Bow Arrows) (Flowers Chocolates))

2.6

() = nil

(( )) = (nil)

(( ( )) ) = ((nil))

(( ) ( )) = (nil nil)

(( ) (( )) ) = (nil (nil))

2.13

Step	Result
Start	((((FUN))(IN THE)(SUN))
Car	Fun
C..DR	((IN THE)(SUN))
C.adr	(IN THE)
Caadr	In

C...DR	((IN THE)(SUN))
C..adr	(IN THE)
C.dadr	(THE)
Cadadr	THE

C...dr	((IN THE)(SUN))
C.ddr	(SUN)
Caddr	SUN

2.15

(E F)  
(C)  
(B)  
CADAR  
Nil  
CAAR  
(F)  
CAADDR

2.16

It would give Fred

2.

6. C

7. It makes the language harder to learn

8. Users can have overly complex overloads that make little sense which harms readability.

9. Arrays hamper C's orthogonality as they are exceptions to most of the languages rules.
10. Algol
11. Functions
12. If the program performs to its specifications under all conditions
13. Bug fixing outside of compilation is difficult. Type checking the parameters in compilation prevents.
14. Having one memory cell get called two different things.
15. Intercepting, correcting and subsequently working around run time errors.
16. A language that is easier to understand is easier to write simply.
20. Incompleteness of type checking and inadequacy of control statements.
21. Data abstraction, inheritance, and dynamic method binding.
22. Smalltalk
23. Reliability and cost of execution
24. Operating system, language implementations, and the machine language interface
25. A compiler is faster.
29. Runtime errors are easier to catch. No need for translation as well.