

Analysis of data on canadian women Labour Force participation

G. Marchetti

2024-08-21

Data on Women's Labour-Force Participation

The original data are in R package `carData`. The first 3 variables are transformed to binary data.

```
wlfdata <- read.table("wlfdata.txt", header = TRUE, colClasses = "character")
wlfdata <- as.data.frame(lapply(wlfdata, factor))
```

Below is the code for the transformation

```
library(carData)
data(Womenlf)

wlfdata <- Womenlf
wlfdata$hincome <- factor(0 + (Womenlf$hincome > 14))
wlfdata$partic <- factor(0 + (Womenlf$partic == "fulltime"))
wlfdata$children <- factor(0 + (Womenlf[,3]=="present"))
wlfdata$region <- Womenlf[,4]
colnames(wlfdata) <- c("L", "H", "C", "R")
head(wlfdata)
```

Contingency table

```
ftable(R ~ H + C + L, data = wlfdata)
```

| | | | R | Atlantic | BC | Ontario | Prairie | Quebec |
|---|---|---|---|----------|----|---------|---------|--------|
| H | C | L | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 5 |
| | | 1 | | 1 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 10 |
| | 1 | 0 | | 11 | 6 | 25 | 15 | 18 |
| | | 1 | | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| | | 1 | | 1 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 3 |
| | 1 | 0 | | 11 | 8 | 44 | 7 | 19 |
| | | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |

Test conditional independency

You need package **bnlearn** for this.

```
ci.test( "H", "C", "R", data = wlfdata, test = "mi")
```

Mutual Information (disc.)

data: H ~ C | R

mi = 3.7358, df = 5, p-value = 0.588

alternative hypothesis: true value is greater than 0

```
m_full0 <- glm(L ~ C * H * R, family = binomial, data = wlfdata)
round(summary(m_full0)$coef, 3)
```

| | Estimate | Std. Error | z value | Pr(> z) |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 0.000 | 1.414 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| C1 | -1.705 | 1.610 | -1.059 | 0.290 |
| H1 | 0.000 | 2.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| RBC | 0.000 | 1.581 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| ROntario | 0.606 | 1.503 | 0.403 | 0.687 |
| RPrairie | 17.566 | 2284.102 | 0.008 | 0.994 |
| RQuebec | 0.693 | 1.517 | 0.457 | 0.648 |
| C1:H1 | 0.000 | 2.276 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| C1:RBC | -15.861 | 1615.105 | -0.010 | 0.992 |
| C1:ROntario | -0.734 | 1.772 | -0.414 | 0.679 |
| C1:RPrairie | -17.183 | 2284.102 | -0.008 | 0.994 |
| C1:RQuebec | -0.492 | 1.788 | -0.275 | 0.783 |
| H1:RBC | -0.693 | 2.291 | -0.303 | 0.762 |

| | | | | |
|----------------|---------|----------|--------|-------|
| H1:ROntario | -0.095 | 2.127 | -0.045 | 0.964 |
| H1:RPrairie | -17.566 | 2284.103 | -0.008 | 0.994 |
| H1:RQuebec | -1.204 | 2.198 | -0.548 | 0.584 |
| C1:H1:RBC | 16.180 | 1615.106 | 0.010 | 0.992 |
| C1:H1:ROntario | -1.163 | 2.553 | -0.456 | 0.649 |
| C1:H1:RPrairie | 1.322 | 2730.025 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| C1:H1:RQuebec | -0.236 | 2.715 | -0.087 | 0.931 |

```
m_red0 <- glm(L ~ C * H, family = binomial, data = wlfdata)
anova(m_red0, m_full0, test = "Chisq")
```

Analysis of Deviance Table

```
Model 1: L ~ C * H
Model 2: L ~ C * H * R
      Resid. Df Resid. Dev Df Deviance Pr(>Chi)
1          259      227.93
2          243      216.66 16    11.269   0.7926
```

Fit equation 1

```
m_full1 <- glm(L ~ C + H + R, family = binomial, data = wlfdata)
round(summary(m_full1)$coef, 3)
```

| | Estimate | Std. Error | z value | Pr(> z) |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 1.041 | 0.607 | 1.715 | 0.086 |
| C1 | -2.609 | 0.361 | -7.234 | 0.000 |
| H1 | -0.768 | 0.348 | -2.210 | 0.027 |
| RBC | -0.944 | 0.745 | -1.266 | 0.206 |
| ROntario | -0.254 | 0.590 | -0.430 | 0.667 |
| RPrairie | 0.168 | 0.695 | 0.241 | 0.809 |
| RQuebec | -0.342 | 0.627 | -0.545 | 0.586 |

```
m_red1 <- glm(L ~ C + H, family = binomial, data = wlfdata)
anova(m_red1, m_full1, test = "Chisq")
```

Analysis of Deviance Table

```
Model 1: L ~ C + H
```

Model 2: $L \sim C + H + R$

| | Resid. Df | Resid. Dev | Df | Deviance | Pr(>Chi) |
|---|-----------|------------|----|----------|----------|
| 1 | 260 | 228.31 | | | |
| 2 | 256 | 225.50 | 4 | 2.8117 | 0.5898 |

```
`LRtest` <- function(m_red, m_full) {  
  l0 <- logLik(m_red)[1]  
  lsat <- logLik(m_full)[1]  
  w = 2 * (lsat - l0)  
  df = m_red$df.residual - m_full$df.residual  
  p = 1 - pchisq(w, df)  
  c(w = w, df = df, p = p)  
}
```

```
LRtest(m_red1, m_full1)
```

| | w | df | p |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2.8117211 | 4.0000000 | 0.5898111 |

Fit equation 2

```
m_full2 <- glm(C~ H+R, family = binomial, data = wlfdata)  
round(summary(m_full2)$coef, 3)
```

| | Estimate | Std. Error | z value | Pr(> z) |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 1.671 | 0.551 | 3.030 | 0.002 |
| H1 | 0.437 | 0.282 | 1.546 | 0.122 |
| RBC | -1.827 | 0.656 | -2.785 | 0.005 |
| ROntario | -1.092 | 0.579 | -1.886 | 0.059 |
| RPrairie | -0.136 | 0.730 | -0.186 | 0.852 |
| RQuebec | -1.250 | 0.598 | -2.089 | 0.037 |

```
m_red2 <- glm(C~ R, family = binomial, data = wlfdata)  
LRtest(m_red2, m_full2)
```

| | w | df | p |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2.4134751 | 1.0000000 | 0.1202951 |

Fit equation 3

```
m_full3 <- glm(H ~ R, family = binomial, data = wldata)
round(summary(m_full3)$coef, 3)
```

| | Estimate | Std. Error | z value | Pr(> z) |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 0.000 | 0.365 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| RBC | 0.069 | 0.521 | 0.132 | 0.895 |
| ROntario | 0.298 | 0.414 | 0.721 | 0.471 |
| RPrairie | -0.894 | 0.538 | -1.660 | 0.097 |
| RQuebec | -0.279 | 0.443 | -0.629 | 0.529 |

```
m_red3 <- glm(H ~ 1, family = binomial, data = wldata)
LRtest(m_red3, m_full3)
```

| | w | df | p |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| | 9.19254035 | 4.00000000 | 0.05646299 |