## Log-linear models and conditional independence

G. Marchetti

2024-05-13

## Log-linear model and factorization

A joint probability vector for the model of conditional independence:  $X_2$  independent of  $X_3$  given  $X_1$ .

```
u1 <- 0.2

u2 <- -0.2

u3 <- 1.2

u12 <- 0.8

u13 <- -0.5

u23 <- 0

u123 <- 0

lam <- c(0, u1, u2, u12, u3, u13, 0, 0)

L <- matrix(c(1,1,0,1), 2, 2)

M <- L %x% L %x% L

p <- exp(M %*% lam)

p <- p/sum(p)

p
```

```
[,1]
[1,] 0.05480844
[2,] 0.06694318
[3,] 0.04487335
[4,] 0.12197842
[5,] 0.18197043
[6,] 0.13480701
[7,] 0.14898478
[8,] 0.24563438
```

## The contingency table

[1] 1

```
X \leftarrow \text{expand.grid}(X1 = c(0,1), X2 = c(0,1), X3 = c(0,1), \text{ stringsAsFactors} = TRUE)
data3 <- data.frame(X, p)</pre>
data3
  X1 X2 X3
                     p
1 0 0 0 0.05480844
  1 0 0 0.06694318
3 0 1 0 0.04487335
4 1 1 0 0.12197842
5 0 0 1 0.18197043
6 1 0 1 0.13480701
7 0 1 1 0.14898478
8 1 1 1 0.24563438
ftable(X1 + X2 ~ X3, xtabs(p ~. , data3))
   Х1
               0
                                      1
   Х2
ХЗ
      0.05480844 0.04487335 0.06694318 0.12197842
      0.18197043 0.14898478 0.13480701 0.24563438
The conditional odds-ratio are both 1
(0.05480844 * 0.14898478) / (0.18197043 * 0.04487335)
[1] 1
(0.06694318 * 0.24563438)/(0.13480701 * 0.12197842)
```

## An example

Some old data concerning breas cancer reported by Morrison n (1973). The three factors are

- $X_1$  diagnostic center
- $X_2$  nuclear grade
- $X_3$  survival after three years

```
Freq <- c(35, 42, 59, 77, 47, 26, 112, 76)
data_bc <- data.frame(expand.grid(X1 = c("Boston", "Glamorgan"), X2 = c("malignant", "benign
data_bc</pre>
```

```
X1
                   X2
                             X3 Freq
     Boston malignant
                           died
                                  35
2 Glamorgan malignant
                           died
                                  42
     Boston
               benign
                           died
                                  59
               benign
4 Glamorgan
                           died
                                  77
     Boston malignant survived
                                  47
6 Glamorgan malignant survived
                                  26
     Boston
               benign survived
                               112
7
8 Glamorgan
               benign survived
                                  76
```

```
ftable(X1 + X2 ~ X3, xtabs(Freq ~., data_bc))
```

Fit a saturated model

```
m_sat <-glm(Freq ~ X1 * X2 * X3, family = poisson, data = data_bc)
m_sat</pre>
```

```
Call: glm(formula = Freq ~ X1 * X2 * X3, family = poisson, data = data_bc)
Coefficients:
```

 (Intercept)
 X1Glamorgan

 3.55535
 0.18232

 X2benign
 X3survived

0.52219 A3survived 0.29480

X1Glamorgan:X2benign X1Glamorgan:X3survived

0.08395 -0.77437 X2benign:X3survived X1Glamorgan:X2benign:X3survived

0.34616 0.12034

Degrees of Freedom: 7 Total (i.e. Null); 0 Residual

Null Deviance: 89.97

Residual Deviance: -1.288e-14 AIC: 62.6

Fit a log-linear model

```
m_ci <- glm(Freq ~ X1 * X2 + X1 * X3, family = poisson, data = data_bc)
m_ci</pre>
```

Call: glm(formula = Freq ~ X1 \* X2 + X1 \* X3, family = poisson, data = data\_bc)

Coefficients:

 (Intercept)
 X1Glamorgan
 X2benign

 3.41662
 0.18384
 0.73494

 X3survived
 X1Glamorgan: X2benign
 X1Glamorgan: X3survived

 0.52561
 0.07599
 -0.67976

Degrees of Freedom: 7 Total (i.e. Null); 2 Residual

Null Deviance: 89.97

Residual Deviance: 4.072 AIC: 62.67

The likelihood ratio test is  $G_2^2 = 4.072$  that is not significant.

```
anova(m_ci, m_sat, test = "Chisq")
```

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model 1: Freq  $\sim$  X1 \* X2 + X1 \* X3

Model 2: Freq ~ X1 \* X2 \* X3

Resid. Df Resid. Dev Df Deviance Pr(>Chi)

1 2 4.0724

2 0 0.0000 2 4.0724 0.1305