## **ASSIGNMENT-1**

1) Consider  $H = \{1, a, b, c\}$ . Consider an arbitrary  $x, y \in H$ . Is xy = 1? If so, it would be commutative. If suppose  $xy \neq 1$ . Is xy = x or y? Neither, since that would result in either x or y being 1. Therefore, xy = z where z is the element different from x, y. Same way, the argument can be extended to yx = z. Hence, either xy = 1, or xy = yx = z, which makes  $\{1, a, b, c\}$  an abelian group.

Let us now classify the groups of order 4. We can immediately fill up the initial parts:

Since this is a finite group of order 4, there should be at least one element with order 2. We WLOG select that element to be b so that  $b^2 = 1$ . Is ab = a or b? Nope, since that would make either one identity. So ab = c. Is ba = a or b? In much the same way, we conclude ba = ab = c. b(ba) = bc = a and  $(ab)b = ab^2 = cb$ . Hence bc = cb = a. So far we got: (This is applicable for any group of size 4, since we did not use the property that this group has no element with order 4.)

(The Klein Route) Suppose our group has no element with order 4. Is  $a^2 = b$ ? Can't be, because then, since  $b^2 = 1$ , we'd have  $a^4 = 1$  which is against hypothesis. Hence  $a^2 = 1$ , or  $a^2 = c$ . Likewise, we can conclude that  $c^2 = 1$  or  $c^2 = a$  (Ask the same questions, is  $c^2 = b$ ? No). Suppose  $a^2 = 1$  and  $c^2 = a$ . That would make  $c^4 = 1$ , which is against hypothesis. Hence, if  $a^2 = 1$  then  $c^2 = 1$  as well. Likewise, if  $c^2 = 1$ , then  $a^2 = 1$  as well. Suppose neither, i.e,  $c^2 = a$  and  $a^2 = c$ . Then  $c^4 = a^2 = c$  and  $a^4 = c^2 = a$ . We have  $a^3 = 1$  and  $c^3 = 1$ .  $(ba)a^2 = b$  which means  $ca^2 = b \implies c^2 = b$ . But  $c^2 = a$ . Absurd. Hence, this scenario is impossible. Hence, for the Klein route,  $a^2 = c^2 = 1$ .

Question for ac and ca, then arises. Is ac = 1? That would mean  $a^2c = 1c = a$ , absurd. Hence, ac = b. Similarly, is ca = 1? we would then have c = a again. Therefore, ac = ca = b. This completes the Klein Route:

(**The**  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  **Route**) Suppose that G has an element of order 4. Since the size of the cyclic subgroup of this element is 4 as well, this group is cyclic. WLOG, assume that  $G = \langle a \rangle$ . Then every element is 1, a = a,  $a^2 = b$ ,  $a^3 = c$ . We have (for a general 4 membered group)

Since the group is cyclic, we can immediately write  $a^2 = b$ . Since  $a^3 = c$ ,  $a^6 = a^2 = c^2 = b$ . We can write that in as well. All that is left is ac and ca. Let us rule out the obvious:  $ac \neq a$ ,  $ca \neq a$ ,  $ac \neq c$ ,  $ca \neq q$ . Is ac = b? That would mean  $a^4 = b$ , which makes b = 1. Same way,  $ca \neq b$ . Hence, ac and ca have only one option left, 1. We can fill that in to get the  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  isomorph:

Note that Klein is the unique 4 membered group with no element of order 4.  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  isomorph is the unique group with one element with order 4.

2) Suppose that  $\forall x \in G$ ,  $x^2 = 1$ . Suppose there exists a, b so that  $ab \neq ba$ . This means  $a^2b \neq aba \implies b \neq aba$ . This then means that  $b^2 \neq (ba)(ba) = (ba)^2$ . But this boils down to  $1 \neq 1$ . Absurd. Hence,  $\forall a, b \in G$ , ab = ba.

3) Suppose  $a \in G$ . Consider the case when order of a is finite= n.  $a^n = 1$ .  $a \cdot a \cdot ... a = 1$ . Note that  $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{-1} = a_n^{-1} a_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots a_1^{-1}$  which means that the inverse of  $a^n$  is  $a^{-n} = (a^{-1})^n = 1$ .  $(a^{-1})^n = 1$  would mean that the actual order of  $a^{-1}$  is a divisor of  $a^{-1}$ . Say order of  $a^{-1}$  is  $a^{-1}$ . We have  $a^{-1}$ 0. But the argument can be reversed with  $a^{-1}$ 1 as some  $a^{-1}$ 1 as some  $a^{-1}$ 2 to get  $a^{-1}$ 3. Hence  $a^{-1}$ 4 as some  $a^{-1}$ 5.

<sup>4)</sup> Consider  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$ . Let us define multiplication as  $\overline{a}\times\overline{b}=\overline{(a\times b)}$ . Consider the element  $\overline{5}$ .  $3\times 1=3mod(6)$ .  $3\times 2=6mod(6)=0mod(6)$ ,  $3\times 3=3mod(6)$ .  $3\times 4=12=0mod(6)$ .  $3\times 5=15=3mod(6)$ . Hence, we note that 3 has no inverse. Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is not a group.

Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ . We know the classification of the elements in the multiplicative  $(\mathbb{Z}_n)^*$ , which is

$$(\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}})^* := \{ z \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : \overline{cz} = \overline{1} \}$$

Which is actually equivalent to saying

$$(\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}})^* := \{ z \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : \gcd(z, n) = 1 \}$$

And we note that since 7 is a prime, every element smaller than 7 is coprimes with 7 which means that every element of  $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  is in the multiplicative group.

5)  $U_n :=$  the multiplicative  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  which is  $\{z \in \mathbb{Z}_n : \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}_n : cz = 1\}$ , which can be rewritten as  $\{z \in \mathbb{Z}_n : gcd(z,n) = 1\}$ . Define  $Aut(\mathbb{Z}_n,+)$  of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  as the set of all group isomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to itself, seen as a group under addition +.

Look at  $aut(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ , the set of all  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that it is a bijection (invertible) and is a homomorphism, i.e,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we have  $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ . Let  $\phi(a) = a'$ . Define  $\phi^{-1}(a') = a$ . This is aswell a bijection. Consider  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  so that  $\phi(a) = x, \phi(b) = y$ . We know such unique a and b exist, since it is a bijection. Have a look at  $\phi^{-1}(x)$  and  $\phi^{-1}(y)$ . We see that  $\phi^{-1}(x) = a$  and  $\phi^{-1}(y) = b$ . What is  $\phi^{-1}(x+y)$ ?  $\phi^{-1}(\phi(a+b)) = a+b$ . Hence,  $\phi^{-1}$  is aswell a group isomorphism. Now consider  $\phi \circ \phi^{-1}$  and  $\phi^{-1} \circ \phi$  which is I the identity map. The identity map is an isomorphism. Therefore, for every element  $\phi$  in  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ , there exists an inverse  $\phi^{-1}$ . Consider  $\phi$  and  $\phi$  two group isomorphisms in aut.  $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$  and  $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ . Consider  $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ . Hence, composition operation is also a group isomorphism (since bijectivity is preserved whenever we compose two bijections). Therefore,  $Aut(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$  is a group. This is true for any group as well.

Consider the map  $\gamma: Aut(\mathbb{Z}_n, +) \to U_n$  given by  $\gamma(\psi \in Aut(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)) = \psi(\overline{1})$  We have  $\gamma(\psi) = \psi(\overline{1})$ .  $\gamma(\varphi) = \varphi(\overline{1})$ . What is  $\gamma(\psi \circ \varphi)$ ? it is  $\psi \circ \varphi(\overline{1}) = \psi(\varphi(\overline{1}))$ . What is  $\gamma(\psi) \cdot \gamma(\varphi)$ ? It is  $\psi(1) \cdot \varphi(1)$ . Is  $\psi(\varphi(1)) = \psi(1) \cdot \varphi(1)$ ?

We need to stop to understand that if  $\phi$  is an automorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  to itself, it must map 1 to an element  $\bar{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  so that gcd(j,n) = 1. To understand this, we note that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a cyclic group generated by 1. But we know that  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \langle 1^x \rangle$  if and only if gcd(x,n) = 1. So j can generate  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  if and only if (j,n) = 1. Moreover, if we are to preserve structure in the homomorphism  $\phi$ , we need to map generators to generators. To see this, suppose  $1 \mapsto k$  where  $gcd(k,n) \neq 1$ . Note that when we define a homomorphism on the generator, it is basically defined for every other element for  $\phi(x) = \phi(1+1+1\cdots 1) = x\phi(1)$ . If  $gcd(k,n) \neq 1$ , then  $\langle j \rangle$  will be a proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , meaning it will miss out on a few elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Suppose  $\phi(1) = j$ , this means  $\phi(x) = j^x = x(j)$  (in the context of an additive group). But All the multiples of j do not cover the entire group. Hence,  $\phi$ , an automorphism, maps 1 to j so that gcd(j,n) = 1 or equivalently,  $\phi$  maps 1 to an element in the multiplicative  $(\mathbb{Z}_n)^*$  (since every element in the multiplicative group has its gcd with n to be 1). Notice that if

 $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , then  $ab \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ .

Now we can answer the question, is  $\psi(\varphi(1)) = \psi(1)\varphi(1)$ ?  $\psi(1) = k_1$  so that  $(k_1, n) = 1$  and  $\varphi(1) = j_1$  so that  $(j_1, n) = 1$ .  $\psi(j_1) = j_1\psi(1)$  from the generator definition, hence we see that  $\psi(\varphi(1)) = j_1 \times k_1 = \psi(1) \times \phi(1)$ . Hence, this is a group homomorphism.

Now to show that  $\gamma$  is bijective, we note that, for a  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_n$  to be an isomorphism, one needs to send a generator to a generator. i.e, different isomorphisms can be generated by sending 1 to each element j so that (j,n)=1. Moreover, if we send 1 to a *non* generator, i.e an element with non unity gcd with n, then that ceases to be a group isomorphism since group order wouldn't be preserved. Hence, there are totient(n) elements in  $Aut(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ , which is the same as the size of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Hence,  $\gamma: aut(\mathbb{Z}_n, +) \to U_n$  given by  $\gamma(\psi) = \psi(1)$  is a group isomorphism.

6) Let  $B_n := \{r \in \mathbb{Z}_n : gcd(r,n) = 1\}$  If  $r, s \in B_n$  then gcd(r,n) = gcd(s,n) = 1. Consider  $rs := \overline{rs} \in B_n$ .  $xr \equiv 1 mod(n)$  and  $ys \equiv 1 mod(n)$ . This means  $xyrs \equiv 1 mod(n)$  which means xyrs = 1 mod(n) which means xyrs + zn = 1. This means that gcd(rs,n) = 1.

**Claim:** Suppose gcd(x, n) = 1, and x < n with 1 < n, then for any z so that  $z \in \overline{x}$ , we have gcd(z, n) = 1.

*Proof.* Consider z = rn + x. We then have n = px + q and we keep going to find the gcd as the final remainder in the process of euclid's division algorithm. Therefore, the gcd of z and n as well, is 1.

lolol **Claim:** Suppose  $gcd(x,n) = j \neq 1 (\geq 2)$  and  $1 \leq x < n$  and 1 < n. Then, the claim is that there exists 1 < b < n so that  $xb \equiv 0 mod(n)$ . This would then imply that there would exist no z < n so that  $xz \equiv 1 mod(n)$ .

Proof. We know that gcd(x,n) = j which means pj = x and qj = n with p < x and 1 < q < n. pqj = np = xq. Therefore,  $xq \equiv 0 mod(n)$  with 1 < q < n. Suppose there exists some l so that  $lx \equiv 1 mod(n)$ . This means that  $lxq \equiv l(xq) \equiv 0 mod(n) \equiv q mod(n)$  which would imply  $q \equiv 0 mod(n)$  which is absurd since 1 < q < n. Hence, no z < n exists so that