

# Affine 0-Schur algebras and affine double flag varieties

Tom Crawley

A thesis submitted for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy.

University of Bath  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
December 2020

## Copyright

Attention is drawn to the fact that copyright of this thesis rests with the author and copyright of any previously published materials included may rest with third parties. A copy of this thesis has been supplied on condition that anyone who consults it understands that they must not copy it or use material from it except as permitted by law or with the consent of the author or other copyright owners, as applicable.

This thesis may be made available for consultation within the University Library and may be photocopied or lent to other libraries for the purposes of consultation with effect from .....(date)

Signed on behalf of the Faculty of Science .....



### **Declaration of Authorship**

I, Tom Crawley, declare that I am the sole author of this thesis and the work described within was carried out by myself personally, under the supervision of Dr Xiuping Su.

The material presented here for examination for the award of a higher degree by research has not been incorporated into any previous submission for another degree.

Signed: .....



*To Midnight and Marmite*



# Acknowledgements

To my A-level Maths teacher Mr Stevens, thank you for inspiring me to go further in Mathematics. May you rest in peace.

Professor Fran Burstall, your lectures and talks have always been engaging and I credit you for my interest in pure Mathematics. Thank you for believing in me and encouraging me to apply for a PhD. Absolute legend.

Rob, I am grateful for our many mathematical discussions walking around the lake and for showing me how to use Tikz. Big respect to you Rob.

Thank you Jack for introducing me to Linux and for crucial tech support.

To my friends Hayley, Adwaye and Roland, thank you for your support, advice, encouragement and fun times. Special thanks to Emma for your care and support and pushing me to get organised. To my flatmate Sophie for keeping me motivated.

Thank you to my tutees for giving me an audience and for the most part seeming interested.

For moral support and advice, I am grateful to Karsten Matthies, Gunnar Traustason, Peter Mörters and Alastair Craw.

Thank you to my supervisor Dr Xiuping Su for introducing me to the project and for many helpful discussions. To my second supervisor Professor Alastair King, thank you for our discussions on algebraic geometry which helped get me through a difficult part of the project.

Thank you to my parents Jane and David, my sister Jessica and the family cats Midnight, Marmite, Misty, Loki and Dora for their unconditional love and support and for believing in me when I did not. My long time friend Omar, thank you for being there in times of stress.

There are countless people not directly mentioned here who have influenced me and enabled me to reach this point. I am sincerely grateful to everyone in the university ecosystem that makes things work, with special thanks to the administrative staff in the department, to the hospitality team for hundreds of coffees and the occasional meal, to the library staff, to the estates team that maintain a pleasant environment, to the university medical centre and to fellow students in the department.

Finally, I would like to thank the EPSRC for funding this project.





# Abstract

Affine  $q$ -Schur algebras admit a geometric construction as a convolution algebra on the space of functions with constructible support on double affine flag varieties, due to Ginzburg and Vasserot [17], Varagnolo and Vasserot [36] and Lusztig [28]. Using the geometry of affine flag varieties we define a generic product of orbits in the double flag varieties which leads to the construction of a new associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ , called the *generic affine algebra*. We then study the link between  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  and the affine 0-Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  and we conjecture that these two algebras are isomorphic when  $r < n$ . This conjecture will give a monomial basis in  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  and a presentation by a quiver with relations. This work generalises the work of Jensen and Su [25] in the type  $\mathbb{A}$  case, which gives a geometric realisation of 0-Schur algebras.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>8</b>
1.1	The geometric realisation of 0-Schur algebras . . . . .	8
1.2	The cyclic flags approach to affine q-Schur algebras . . . . .	11
1.3	Main results . . . . .	13
<b>2</b>	<b>Geometric approach to affine q-Schur algebras</b>	<b>18</b>
2.1	Realisation of affine q-Schur algebras . . . . .	18
2.1.1	Cyclic flags . . . . .	19
2.1.2	A product of orbits . . . . .	25
2.1.3	Triple products . . . . .	26
2.1.4	Convolution algebras . . . . .	26
2.1.5	Affine q-Schur algebras . . . . .	27
2.2	Affine 0-Schur algebras . . . . .	27
<b>3</b>	<b>Presenting affine q-Schur algebras</b>	<b>28</b>
3.1	The distinguished basis . . . . .	28
3.1.1	Elementary basis elements . . . . .	28
3.1.2	Transpose involution . . . . .	29
3.1.3	Fundamental multiplication rules . . . . .	30
3.1.4	The hook order . . . . .	31
3.1.5	Shifting . . . . .	35
3.2	Quivers and relations . . . . .	37
3.2.1	Relations in affine q-Schur algebras . . . . .	38
3.2.2	A quiver algebra . . . . .	41
3.2.3	Mapping to the q-Schur algebra . . . . .	42
3.2.4	Change of rings . . . . .	46
3.3	Relations for the $n=2$ case . . . . .	49
<b>4</b>	<b>A generic affine algebra</b>	<b>51</b>
4.1	Grassmannians and related varieties . . . . .	52
4.2	Geometry of affine flag varieties . . . . .	54
4.2.1	Action through an algebraic group . . . . .	56
4.2.2	Incidence in affine flag varieties . . . . .	58
4.3	Geometry of orbits . . . . .	58
4.4	Geometry of orbit products . . . . .	59
4.5	Degenerations of orbits and the combinatorial partial order . . . . .	62
4.6	Associativity of the generic product . . . . .	62

4.7	The generic affine algebra and a catagorical perspective . . . . .	67
<b>5</b>	<b>Towards a realisation of affine zero Schur algebras</b>	<b>69</b>
5.1	Preliminary results on the generic affine algebra . . . . .	69
5.2	Multiplicative bases in affine zero Schur algebras: example . . . . .	73
5.3	Aperiodicity in the generic affine algebra . . . . .	75
5.4	Quiver presentation of the generic affine algebra. . . . .	78
5.5	The isomorphism conjecture . . . . .	88
5.6	The period 2 case . . . . .	91
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>92</b>
6.1	The case of large $r$ . . . . .	92
6.2	Further results on affine zero Schur algebras . . . . .	92

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

The work of this thesis follows the geometric realisation of 0-Schur and 0-Hecke algebras given by Jensen and Su in [25] and is based on Lusztig's geometric approach to affine  $q$ -Schur algebras in [28].

### 1.1 The geometric realisation of 0-Schur algebras

Fix integers  $n, r \geq 1$  and let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a finite or algebraically closed field. Let  $V$  be an  $r$  dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{k}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of partial  $n$ -step flags

$$f: 0 = f_0 \subseteq f_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq f_n = V$$

in  $V$ . The general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}$  through the natural action on  $V$  and the  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F}$  are in bijection with the compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts. Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n = r$ , the corresponding  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \lambda_i = \dim(f_i) - \dim(f_{i-1}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

The diagonal action of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  on  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  has orbits indexed by the set  $\Theta(n, r)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices with natural number entries which sum to  $r$ . In particular, the orbit  $[f, f']$  of a pair of flags  $(f, f')$  is characterised by the matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$  with entries

$$a_{i,j} = \dim(f_{i-1} + f_i \cap f'_j) - \dim(f_{i-1} + f_i \cap f'_{j-1})$$

for each  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_A \subseteq \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  denote the orbit corresponding to  $A \in \Theta(n, r)$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_A = [L, L']$  for any  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ .

The  $q$ -Schur algebra  $S_q(n, r)$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra with a basis

$$\{e_A : A \in \Theta(n, r)\}$$

and multiplication given by

$$e_A e_B = \sum_{C \in \Theta(n, r)} g_{A,B,C} e_C$$

for each  $A, B \in \Theta(n, r)$ , where the structure constants  $g_{A,B,C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  satisfy

$$g_{A,B,C}(\#\mathbf{k}) = \# \{f' \in \mathcal{F} : (f, f') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (f', f'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}$$

for any finite field  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $(f, f'') \in \mathcal{O}_C$ .

The affine 0-Schur algebra  $S_0(n, r)$  is the associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra obtained by specialising  $S_q(n, r)$  at  $q = 0$ . These algebras have been studied by Donkin, Deng, Yang, Jensen, Su and others. Su [35] defined a generic multiplication in the positive and negative subalgebras of  $q$ -Schur algebras in terms of generic extensions of quiver representations. Presentations of  $S_0(n, r)$  have been given independently by Deng and Yang [7] and by Jensen and Su [25] and in the latter work  $S_0(n, r)$  is presented by a quiver with relations and gives a new realisation of 0-Schur algebras in terms of the generic multiplication of orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ , which gives a multiplicative basis in  $S_0(n, r)$ . Using this realisation Jensen, Su and Yang [27] studied the structure of 0-Schur algebras, including classifying the indecomposable projective modules and giving bases for the spaces of homomorphisms between them. Jensen, Su and Yang [26] studied degenerate 0-Schur algebras using an extended version of the geometric realisation of 0-Schur algebras and gave a construction of nil-Temperley-Lieb algebras using double flag varieties.

The work of this thesis can be seen as a generalisation of the geometric realisation of 0-Schur algebras [25] to the affine case using Lusztig's double affine flag variety realisation of affine  $q$ -Schur algebras, so we now recall some of the main results from the finite case.

Assume  $\mathbf{k}$  is an algebraically closed field so that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a projective algebraic variety equipped with the algebraic group action of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ . Define morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\pi: \mathcal{F}^3 &\rightarrow \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \\ \delta: \mathcal{F}^3 &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^4\end{aligned}$$

by  $\pi(f, f', f'') = (f, f'')$  and  $\delta(f, f', f'') = (f, f', f', f'')$  for each  $(f, f', f'') \in \mathcal{F}^3$ . Then  $\pi(\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A \times \mathcal{O}_B))$  is the union of the orbits  $\mathcal{O}_C$  such that the structure polynomial  $g_{A,B,C}$  is nonzero. The  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  are locally closed and given orbits  $\mathcal{O}_A$  and  $\mathcal{O}_B$  such that  $e_A e_B$  is nonzero, the space  $\pi(\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A \times \mathcal{O}_B))$  is irreducible, so there is a unique open orbit denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_{A*B}$ , as in Corollary 6.2 [25]. The generic algebra  $G(n, r)$  is then defined to be the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module on  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} / \mathrm{GL}(V)$  equipped with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear product defined by

$$e_A * e_B = \begin{cases} 0 & : \text{ if } e_A e_B = 0 \\ e_{A*B} & : \text{ if } e_A e_B \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{A*B}$  is the unique open orbit in  $\pi(\delta^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A \times \mathcal{O}_B))$  and Proposition 6.3 [25] proves that  $G(n, r)$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra.

Let  $\Lambda_0$  be the set of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts. Let  $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  denote the  $i$ -th coordinate vector and write  $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$  for the  $i$ -th simple root. Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma(n, r)$  be the quiver with set of vertices  $\Lambda_0$  and with arrows

$$\begin{aligned}e_{i,\lambda}: \lambda &\rightarrow \lambda + \alpha_i \\ f_{i,\lambda}: \lambda &\rightarrow \lambda - \alpha_i\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ . The vertices give a set of pairwise orthogonal

idempotents  $k_\lambda$  in the path  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Sigma$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{i,\lambda} \\ f_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} f_{i,\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

and let  $I = I(n, r)$  be the ideal of relations in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Sigma$  generated by

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^2 e_{i+1} - (1+q)e_i e_{i+1} e_i + q e_{i+1} e_i^2, \\ e_i e_{i+1}^2 - (1+q)e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1} + q e_{i+1}^2 e_i, \\ f_{i+1} f_i^2 - (1+q)f_i f_{i+1} f_i + q f_i^2 f_{i+1}, \\ f_{i+1}^2 f_i - (1+q)f_{i+1} f_i f_{i+1} + q f_i f_{i+1}^2, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_j - e_j e_i, \\ f_i f_j - f_j f_i, \end{aligned}$$

when  $|j - i| > 1$ ;

$$e_i f_j - f_j e_i - \delta_{i,j} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \frac{q^{\lambda_i} - q^{\lambda_{i+1}}}{q - 1} k_\lambda$$

for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

There is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebras

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}[q]\Sigma/I \rightarrow S_q(n, r)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k_\lambda + I) &= 1_\lambda, \\ \phi(e_{i,\lambda} + I) &= E_{i,\lambda}, \\ \phi(f_{i,\lambda} + I) &= F_{i,\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{i,\lambda}$  is the basis element corresponding to the matrix with  $(i, i+1)$ -entry equal to 1, diagonal entries  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i+1} - 1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and all other entries equal to zero and  $F_{i,\lambda}$  is the basis element corresponding to the matrix with  $(i+1, i)$ -entry equal to 1, with diagonal entries  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i - 1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and all other entries equal to zero. Let  $I_0 = I_0(n, r)$  be the ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}\Sigma$  given by evaluating the relations in  $I$  at  $q = 0$ .

**Theorem.** [25]/[Theorem 7.2] *There is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras*

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}\Sigma/I_0 \rightarrow G(n, r)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k_\lambda + I_0) &= 1_\lambda \\ \phi(e_{i,\lambda} + I_0) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi(f_{i,\lambda} + I_0) &= F_{i,\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

**Theorem.** [25][Theorem 7.3] *There is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras*

$$\psi: G(n, r) \rightarrow S_0(n, r)$$

*given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(1_\lambda) &= 1_\lambda \\ \psi(E_{i,\lambda}) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \psi(F_{i,\lambda}) &= F_{i,\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

*for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .*

Thus  $S_0(n, r)$  is presented by the bound quiver algebra  $\mathbb{Z}\Sigma/I_0$  and the image of the standard basis under  $\psi$  is a multiplicative basis in  $S_0(n, r)$ . This result also leads to a presentation of  $q$ -Schur algebras over the ring  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(q)$  which is the localisation of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  at  $1 + q\mathbb{Z}[q]$ .

**Theorem.** [25][Theorem 5.6] *The  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{Q} \otimes \phi: \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \mathbb{Z}[q]\Sigma/I \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} S_q(n, r)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

## 1.2 The cyclic flags approach to affine $q$ -Schur algebras

Affine  $q$ -Schur algebras arise from an affine analogue of quantum Schur-Weyl duality and were defined by Green [19] as the endomorphism algebra of a certain module over the affine Hecke algebra  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_q(r)$ . The approach used in this thesis is based on a construction of quantum affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  using double affine flag varieties due to Lusztig [28] and Ginzburg and Vasserot [17], which is an extension of a similar construction of quantum  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  given by Beilinson, Lusztig and MacPherson in [1]. Varagnolo and Vasserot proved [36][Proposition 7.4] that the convolution algebras on affine double flag varieties described by Ginzburg and Vasserot are naturally isomorphic to the affine  $q$ -Schur algebras defined by Green [19].

For more on the two realisations of affine  $q$ -Schur algebras, refer to the book [4] by Deng, Du and Fu, and work by Doty and Green [12] or by Du and Fu [15]. We now describe the double affine flag variety construction of affine  $q$ -Schur algebras.

Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a finite or algebraically closed field and let  $n, r \geq 1$  be integers. Fix a free  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$ -module  $V$  of rank  $r$  and let  $G$  be its automorphism group. A lattice in  $V$  is a  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -submodule of  $V$  which is a free module of rank  $r$  over  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$ . The set of  $n$ -periodic flags in  $V$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$  and consists of chains of lattices  $L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $V$  such that  $L_i \subseteq L_{i+1}$  and  $\varepsilon L_i = L_{i-n}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The group  $G$  acts naturally on  $\mathcal{F}$  and the orbits are indexed by the set  $\Lambda_0$  of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts. Given a composition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of  $r$  into  $n$  parts, the corresponding  $G$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \{L \in \mathcal{F} : \dim(L_i/L_{i-1}) = \lambda_i \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The diagonal action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  has orbits indexed by the set  $\Lambda_1$  of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  matrices with non-negative integer entries  $a_{i,j}$  such that  $a_{i,j} = a_{i-n,j-n}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$



and the sum of the entries in any  $n$  consecutive rows or columns is  $r$ . The row vector of  $A$  is the composition  $\text{ro}(A)$  given by adding up the entries in each row and the column vector  $\text{co}(A)$  is the composition given by adding up the entries in each column. The orbit corresponding to  $A \in \Lambda_1$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_A$  and is the set of pairs of flags  $(L, L')$  such that

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right) = a_{i,j}$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . There are polynomials  $g_{A,B,C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  for  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  such that

$$g_{A,B,C}(\#\mathbf{k}) = \#\{L' \in \mathcal{F} : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}$$

for any finite field  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{O}_C$ .

The affine  $q$ -Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra which is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module with a  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -basis

$$\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1\}$$

and multiplication given by

$$e_A e_B = \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1} g_{A,B,C} e_C$$

for  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$ . The basis element  $e_A$  can be identified with the indicator function of the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_A$  in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ , then the product in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  is given by convolution.

There is a set of orthogonal idempotents  $\{1_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_0\}$  in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  with

$$1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} 1_\lambda,$$

where  $1_\lambda$  is the basis element corresponding to the diagonal matrix with column vector equal to  $\lambda$ . There are distinguished elements  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $E_i$  is the sum of those basis elements  $e_A$  such that  $a_{j,j+1} = 1$  when  $j = i$  modulo  $n$  with all other off-diagonal entries are zero, and  $F_i$  is the sum of those  $e_A$  such that  $a_{j+1,j} = 1$  when  $j = i$  modulo  $n$  and all other off-diagonal entries are zero.

For an integer  $m \geq 1$ , the  $q$ -integer  $[[m]]$  is the polynomial

$$\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - q} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$$

and  $[[0]] = 0$ . For  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  be the elementary periodic matrix with entries equal to 1 in positions  $(i + cn, j + cn)$  for  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and all other entries equal to zero. There are the following multiplication rules for  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  which allow computations to be done in a clear combinatorial way and are later used to derive a set of relations. Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_i e_A &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{i+1,p} > 0} q^{\sum_{j > p} a_{i,j}} [[a_{i,p} + 1]] e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{i,p} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,p}} \\ F_i e_A &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{i,p} > 0} q^{\sum_{j < p} a_{i+1,j}} [[a_{i+1,p} + 1]] e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,p} - \mathcal{E}_{i,p}} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

### 1.3 Main results

Specialising the affine  $q$ -Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  at  $q = 0$  gives an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra

$$\hat{S}_0(n, r) = \mathbb{Z}[q]/(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \hat{S}_q(n, r)$$

called the affine 0-Schur algebra. The ultimate goal of the project is to study the structure of the affine 0-Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  and to define a new associative algebra called the generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ , with a modified version of the product in  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  such that the standard basis elements  $e_A$  form a multiplicative basis and to finally investigate the link between the generic affine algebra and the affine 0-Schur algebra. Interestingly, this product may be understood geometrically in terms of degenerations of orbits, by a purely combinatorial approach and from a representation theoretic viewpoint by considering the Hall algebra for a cyclic quiver and the product given by generic extensions of representations.

The affine case is more technical both in terms of geometry and algebra. On the geometric side, the difficulty is that the affine flag variety  $\mathcal{F}$  is infinite dimensional, so we cover  $\mathcal{F}$  with a family of finite dimensional projective algebraic varieties which contain all the spaces of interest. On the algebraic side, the difficulty is due to the cyclic phenomenon. Unlike the finite case, the elements  $E_i$ ,  $F_i$  and  $1_\lambda$  typically generate a proper subalgebra of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ , which is spanned by the aperiodic basis elements. The problem of transforming paths to a standard form is significantly more difficult due to the existence of cycles in the quiver.

**Lemma.** 3.1.14 *There is an invertible element  $R$  in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  such that acting on a basis element  $e_A$  on the left corresponds to shifting all entries up by one row and acting on the right by  $R$  corresponds to shifting all entries of  $A$  to the right by one column. Moreover, the  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra homomorphism*

$$\tau: \hat{S}_q(n, r) \rightarrow \hat{S}_q(n, r)$$

defined by

$$\tau(e_A) = R^{-1}e_A R$$

for  $A \in \Lambda_1$  is a unipotent automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  of order  $n$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(E_i) &= E_{i+1} \\ \tau(F_i) &= F_{i+1} \\ \tau(1_\lambda) &= 1_{\lambda[-1]}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\lambda[-1])_i = \lambda_{i-1}$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

We define a quiver  $\Gamma$  for  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  and give a set of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -linear relations with the aim of giving a presentation of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  over an extended ground ring and later use the same quiver with the  $q = 0$  form of the relations to study both the affine zero Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  and the generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the quiver with set of vertices  $\Lambda_0$  and arrows

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i,\lambda}: \lambda &\rightarrow \lambda + \alpha_i : \lambda_{i+1} > 0 \\ f_{i,\lambda}: \lambda &\rightarrow \lambda - \alpha_i : \lambda_i > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , where  $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is the simple root.

Write

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0: \lambda_{i+1} > 0} e_{i,\lambda} \\ f_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0: \lambda_i > 0} f_{i,\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

and let  $I = I(n, r)$  be the ideal of relations in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma$  generated by

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_j - e_j e_i \\ f_i f_j - f_j f_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $j \neq i \pm 1$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_{i+1}^2 - (1+q)e_{i+1}e_i e_{i+1} + qe_{i+1}^2 e_i \\ e_i^2 e_{i+1} - (1+q)e_i e_{i+1} e_i + qe_{i+1} e_i^2 \\ f_{i+1}^2 f_i - (1+q)f_{i+1}f_i f_{i+1} + qf_i f_{i+1}^2 \\ f_{i+1} f_i^2 - (1+q)f_i f_{i+1} f_i + qf_i^2 f_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$e_i f_j - f_j e_i$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $i \neq j$ ;

$$e_i f_i - f_i e_i - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} ([[\lambda_i]] - [[\lambda_{i+1}]])k_\lambda$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

There is a unique homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebras

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma/I \rightarrow \hat{S}_q(n, r)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k_\lambda + I) &= 1_\lambda \\ \phi(e_{i,\lambda} + I) &= E_i 1_\lambda \\ \phi(f_{i,\lambda} + I) &= F_i 1_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ . We conjecture that if  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$  such that the  $q$ -integers are invertible and  $q$  is not invertible, then the induced  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \phi$  is an isomorphism. Surjectivity is proven in Proposition 3.2.17.

In order to obtain geometric insight on the product of  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  we consider finite dimensional slices of the orbits and orbit products together with the action of a finite dimensional quotient of a stabiliser in  $G$ . For  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$  we consider the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} X_A^L &= \{L' \in \mathcal{F} : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A\}, \\ X_{A,B}^L &= \{L'' \in \mathcal{F} : \exists L' \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Y_{A,B}^L = \{(L', L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}$$

together with the action of the stabiliser  $G_L$ . It is proven that the  $G_L$ -orbit  $X_A^L$  is an irreducible quasiprojective algebraic variety in Lemmas 4.3.3 and 4.3.2. It is shown that  $Y_{A,B}^L$  is an irreducible quasiprojective variety in Lemma 4.4.2 and then  $X_{A,B}^L$  is irreducible and constructible, thus establishing existence and uniqueness of generic orbits.

**Proposition.** 4.4.6 *Given  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$ , there is a unique element  $A * B \in \Lambda_1$  such that  $X_{A*B}^L$  is open in  $X_{A,B}^L$  for any  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$ .*

This proposition leads to the definition of the generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ , which is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1\}$  together with the generic product  $*$  given by

$$e_A * e_B = \begin{cases} e_{A*B} & : \text{if } \text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B), \\ 0 & : \text{if } \text{co}(A) \neq \text{ro}(B). \end{cases}$$

for  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$ .

**Theorem.** 4.7.1 *The generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with multiplicative identity*

$$1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} 1_\lambda,$$

where  $1_\lambda$  is the basis element corresponding to the diagonal matrix with column vector  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the ideal of relations in  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$  given by specialising the relations in  $I$  at  $q = 0$ , so  $\mathcal{J}$  is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & e_i e_j - e_j e_i : |j - i| \neq 1 \\ & f_i f_j - f_j f_i : |j - i| \neq 1 \\ & e_i^2 e_{i+1} - e_i e_{i+1} e_i \\ & e_i e_{i+1}^2 - e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1} \\ & f_{i+1}^2 f_i - f_{i+1} f_i f_{i+1} \\ & f_{i+1} f_i^2 - f_i f_{i+1} f_i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & e_{i, \lambda - \alpha_i} f_{i, \lambda} - f_{i, \lambda + \alpha_i} e_{i, \lambda} : \lambda_i > 0, \lambda_{i+1} > 0 \\ & e_{i, \lambda - \alpha_i} f_{i, \lambda} - k_\lambda : \lambda_i > 0, \lambda_{i+1} = 0 \\ & f_{i, \lambda + \alpha_i} e_{i, \lambda} - k_\lambda : \lambda_i = 0, \lambda_{i+1} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

An element  $A \in \Lambda_1$  is said to be aperiodic if for every  $s \neq 0$  there is  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a_{i, i+s} = 0$ .

**Proposition.** 5.4.2 *There is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism*

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{G}(n, r)$$

*defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(k_\lambda + \mathcal{J}) &= 1_\lambda \\ \rho(e_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{J}) &= E_i 1_\lambda \\ \rho(f_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{J}) &= F_i 1_\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

*for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .*

*The image of  $\rho$  is spanned by the aperiodic basis elements. If  $r < n$  then  $\rho$  is surjective.*

We conjecture that  $\rho$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras when  $r < n$ .

**Conjecture.** 5.4.14 Assume  $r < n$ . The map

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{G}(n, r)$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras.

The remaining part of the conjecture to be proven is injectivity of  $\rho$ , which depends on a single technical result which we expect to prove in the future. The idea is to define a set of standard paths in  $\Gamma$  which correspond bijectively to the basis elements  $e_A$  and to show that each path in  $\Gamma$  is equivalent to a unique standard path. See Definition 3.2.6 for the definition of standard paths. Using an induction argument the problem is reduced to proving the following technical result.

**Conjecture.** 5.4.13 Assume  $r < n$ . Every path in  $\Gamma$  is congruent to a standard path modulo  $\mathcal{J}$ .

Once we have proven this technical result we will also have proven the following conjectures.

**Conjecture.** 5.5.2 Suppose  $r < n$ . There is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras

$$\Psi: \hat{G}(n, r) \rightarrow \hat{S}_0(n, r)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(1_\lambda) &= 1_\lambda \\ \Psi(E_i) &= E_i \\ \Psi(F_i) &= F_i.\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

**Conjecture.** Suppose  $r < n$ . The composition

$$\phi_0 = \Psi \circ \rho^{-1}: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{S}_0(n, r)$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras. Thus  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  is presented by a quiver with relations.

**Conjecture.** Suppose  $r < n$ . There is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebras

$$\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Q}\Gamma/\mathcal{Q}I \rightarrow \hat{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}(n, r)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}(e_{i,\lambda}) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi_{\mathcal{Q}}(f_{i,\lambda}) &= F_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi_{\mathcal{Q}}(k_{\lambda}) &= 1_{\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

We now describe the structure of the remainder of the thesis. Chapter 2 describes the double affine flag variety realisation of affine  $q$ -Schur algebras, due to Ginzburg and Vasserot [17], Varagnolo and Vasserot [36] and Lusztig [28]. Chapter 3 gives a quiver and relations for the affine  $q$ -Schur algebra and establishes surjectivity of the morphism from the path algebra to  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  over an enlarged ground ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ . We also exhibit an idempotent anti-automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  defined by the transpose and a unipotent automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  of order  $n$  which corresponds to a rotation of the quiver. Detailed proofs are given for some known results. Chapter 4 defines the generic product of orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  and proves associativity of this new product, leading to the definition of the affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ . Chapter 5 gives a quiver and relations for  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  and investigates the link between the generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  and the affine 0-Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$ . Chapter 6 concludes the thesis and highlights some open questions and possible further directions.

## Chapter 2

# Geometric approach to affine $q$ -Schur algebras

In this chapter we describe the affine double flag variety approach to affine  $q$ -Schur algebras due to Ginzburg and Vasserot [17], Varagnolo and Vasserot [36] and Lusztig [28].

### 2.1 Realisation of affine $q$ -Schur algebras

Fix integers  $n, r \geq 1$ .

**Definition 2.1.1.** A *composition of  $r$  into  $n$  parts* is an  $n$ -tuple

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

of non-negative integers whose sum equals  $r$ . Denote the set of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts by  $\Lambda_0$ .

A composition  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  is *sincere* if  $\lambda_i > 0$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and otherwise  $\lambda$  is *insincere*.

For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , let

$$\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1},$$

where the index  $i$  is taken modulo  $n$ .

**Definition 2.1.2.** Let  $\Lambda_1$  be the set of matrices  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with integer entries  $a_{i,j}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- i.  $a_{i,j} \geq 0$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- ii. each row or column has only finitely many non-zero entries;
- iii. the sum of the entries in any  $n$  consecutive rows or columns equals  $r$ ;
- iv.  $a_{i-n,j-n} = a_{i,j}$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 2.1.3.** Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$ , let  $\text{ro}(A)$  and  $\text{co}(A)$  be the compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts given by

$$\text{ro}(A) = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{1,j}, \dots, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n,j} \right)$$

and

$$\text{co}(A) = \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,n} \right).$$

The *source* of  $A$  is  $\text{co}(A)$  and the *target* of  $A$  is  $\text{ro}(A)$ .

The row and column sums are finite since each row and column of  $A$  contains only finitely many nonzero entries, according to the definition of  $\Lambda_1$ .

For each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  be the  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  ‘elementary periodic matrix’ with entries given by

$$(\mathcal{E}_{i,j})_{s,t} = 1$$

if  $(s, t) = (i + cn, j + cn)$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_{i,j})_{s,t} = 0$  otherwise. Clearly  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j} = \mathcal{E}_{i+n,j+n}$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Given  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , let  $D_\lambda \in \Lambda_1$  be the diagonal matrix with source and target  $\lambda$ , which is given by

$$D_\lambda = \lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{1,1} + \dots + \lambda_n \mathcal{E}_{n,n}. \quad (2.1.1)$$

### 2.1.1 Cyclic flags

Fix  $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$  and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the subalgebra generated by  $\varepsilon$ , so  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ . Let  $V$  be a free  $\mathcal{S}$ -module of rank  $r$ . Let  $G$  be the automorphism group of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -module  $V$ , so  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\text{GL}_r(\mathcal{S})$ .

**Definition 2.1.4.** A *lattice* in  $V$  is a  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule  $L$  of  $V$  with

$$\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} L = V.$$

In particular, a lattice is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule of  $V$  which is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module of rank  $r$ .

**Lemma 2.1.5.** *Let  $L$  be a lattice in  $V$ .  $L/\varepsilon L$  is a torsion  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, where  $\varepsilon$  acts as zero and  $L/\varepsilon L$  is an  $r$ -dimensional  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space.*

*Proof.*  $L$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module of rank  $r$ , with  $L \subseteq V$ . Given an  $\mathcal{R}$ -basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  of  $L$ ,  $\{\varepsilon x_1, \dots, \varepsilon x_r\}$  is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -basis of  $\varepsilon L$ . Finally, the cosets  $\{x_1 + \varepsilon L, \dots, x_r + \varepsilon L\}$  give a basis for  $L/\varepsilon L$  over  $\mathcal{R}/\langle \varepsilon \rangle \cong \mathbf{k}$ .  $\square$

Observe that if  $L$  and  $L'$  are lattices in  $V$ , then both the sum  $L + L'$  and the intersection  $L \cap L'$  are lattices in  $V$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} g(L + L') &= g(L) + g(L') \\ g(L \cap L') &= g(L) \cap g(L') \end{aligned}$$

for each  $g \in G$ .



**Definition 2.1.6.** A *cyclic flag* in  $V$  is a collection  $(L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of lattices in  $V$  with  $L_i \subseteq L_{i+1}$  and  $\varepsilon L_i = L_{i-n}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The set of cyclic flags in  $V$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(n, r)$ .

The group  $G = \text{Aut}(V)$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $(g \cdot L)_i = g(L_i)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ . The  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F}$  are indexed by the set  $\Lambda_0$  of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts. In particular, the  $G$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{F}$  corresponding to  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  is

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \left\{ L \in \mathcal{F} : \dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_{i-1}} \right) = \lambda_i \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Consider the set  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  of pairs of flags with the diagonal action of  $G$ , given by

$$g \cdot (L, L') = (gL, gL')$$

for  $g \in G$  and  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ . Denote the  $G$ -orbit of  $(L, L')$  by  $[L, L']$ . The set of  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  admits a combinatorial description as described below.

**Definition 2.1.7.** The periodic characteristic matrix of a pair of flags  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  is the matrix  $A(L, L')$  with entries

$$a_{i,j} = \dim \left( \frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_{j-1} + L_{i-1} \cap L'_j} \right)$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The next lemma shows that the characteristic matrix of a pair of flags depends only on the  $G$ -orbit of the pair.

**Lemma 2.1.8.** Given  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  and  $g \in G$ ,

$$A(gL, gL') = A(L, L').$$

*Proof.* Write  $A = A(L, L')$  and  $B = A(gL, gL')$ . For each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $g$  induces a linear isomorphism

$$\frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \rightarrow \frac{g(L_i \cap L'_j)}{g(L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1})},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,j} &= \dim \left( \frac{gL_i \cap gL'_j}{gL_{i-1} \cap gL'_j + gL_i \cap gL'_{j-1}} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \frac{g(L_i \cap L'_j)}{g(L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1})} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right) \\ &= a_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

since the action of  $g$  commutes with sums and intersections of lattices. Therefore  $A = B$  as claimed.  $\square$

The following result gives another useful set of expressions for the characteristic matrix.

**Lemma 2.1.9.** *For each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$a_{i,j} = \dim \left( \frac{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right).$$

*Proof.* Set  $U = L_i \cap L'_j$  and  $U' = L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}$ . Then  $U + U' = L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j$  and  $U \cap U' = L_i \cap L'_j \cap L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}$ . Applying the isomorphism theorems,  $U + U'/U'$  is naturally isomorphic to  $U/U \cap U'$  as a vector space. In particular,

$$\frac{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} = \frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}}$$

and thus the dimensions of these spaces are both equal to  $a_{i,j}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1.10.** *For each  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A(L, L')$  is an element of  $\Lambda_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ . The periodic characteristic matrix  $A(L, L')$  is  $(n, n)$ -periodic since

$$A(L, L')_{i-n, j-n} = A(\varepsilon L, \varepsilon L')_{i,j} = A(L, L')_{i,j}$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a chain of lattices

$$M_{i,j} = L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $M_{i,j} = L_{i-1}$  for sufficiently small  $j$  and  $M_{i,j} = L_i$  for sufficiently large  $j$ . The chain of lattices gives a filtration  $M_{i,j}/L_{i-1} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z}$  of  $L_i/L_{i-1}$  where the dimensions of the factors in the filtration are

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(M_{i,j}/M_{i,j-1}) &= \dim \left( \frac{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right) \\ &= a_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 2.1.9.

Let  $\mu = |L|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i &= \dim(L_i/L_{i-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

so the sum of the entries in rows 1 to  $n$  is

$$\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_n = r$$

and therefore  $A(L, L') \in \Lambda_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1.11.** *Given a pair of flags  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F}^2$ , the matrices  $A(L, L')$  and  $A(L', L)$  are related by the transpose. In particular,  $A(L, L')_{i,j} = A(L', L)_{j,i}$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* By swapping the roles of  $i$  and  $j$  and swapping  $L$  and  $L'$  it is clear that  $A(L, L')_{i,j}$  and  $A(L', L)_{j,i}$  are both equal to the dimension of the  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space

$$\frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}},$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . □

**Lemma 2.1.12.** *Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  with  $A(L, L') = A$ ,*

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) = \sum_{s \leq i, t > j} a_{s,t}$$

and

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) = \sum_{s > i, t \leq j} a_{s,t},$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  define a lattice

$$M_{s,t} = L_i \cap L'_j + L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_t.$$

Observe that  $L_i \cap L'_j$  is a sublattice of each  $M_{s,t}$  and when  $s \leq i$ ,  $L_s$  is contained in  $L_i$ , so  $M_{s,t}$  is a sublattice of  $L_i$ . The collection of lattices  $(M_{s,t})_{s \leq i, t > j}$  are totally ordered by subset inclusion, as will be shown below, so give a chain of lattices each containing  $L_i \cap L'_j$  and contained in  $L_i$ . This chain of lattices induces a filtration of  $L_i/L_i \cap L'_j$  and it will be shown that the dimensions of the quotients are precisely  $a_{s,t}$  for  $s \leq i$  and  $t > j$ .

Let  $s \leq i$  and  $t \geq j$ .

$$M_{s,t} \subseteq M_{s,t+1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{s,j} &= L_i \cap L'_j + L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_j \\ &= L_i \cap L'_j + L_{s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $t$  is sufficiently large then  $L_s \subseteq L'_t$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} M_{s,t} &= L_i \cap L'_j + L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_t \\ &= L_i \cap L'_j + L_s \\ &= M_{s+1,j}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the collection of lattices is totally ordered, with  $M_{s,t} \leq M_{u,v}$  if and only if  $s < u$  or  $s = u$  and  $t \leq v$ . Thus  $L_i/L_i \cap L'_j$  has a filtration given by the spaces  $M_{s,t}/L_i \cap L'_j$  for all  $s \leq i$  and  $t > j$ .

$$\begin{aligned} M_{i,t} &= L_i \cap L'_j + L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_t \\ &= L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_t \end{aligned}$$

and if  $t$  is sufficiently large that  $L_i \subseteq L'_t$ , then  $M_{i,t} = L_i$ .

If  $s$  is sufficiently small that  $L_s \subseteq L_i \cap L'_j$  then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{s,t} &= L_i \cap L'_j + L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_t \\ &= L_i \cap L'_j. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_{s,t}}{L_i \cap L'_j} &= \frac{L_i \cap L'_j + L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_t}{L_i \cap L'_j} \\ &= \frac{L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_t}{L_i \cap L'_j \cap (L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_t)} \\ &= \frac{L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_t}{L_s \cap L'_j} \end{aligned}$$

Then for each  $s \leq i$  and  $t > j$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \left( \frac{M_{s,t}}{M_{s,t-1}} \right) &= \dim \left( \frac{L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_t}{L_{s-1} + L_s \cap L'_{t-1}} \right) \\ &= a_{s,t}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) = \sum_{s \leq i, t > j} a_{s,t}.$$

To deduce the second formula observe that  $(L', L) \in \mathcal{O}_{A^\top}$ , by Lemma 2.1.11, so

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \left( \frac{L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) &= \sum_{t \leq j, s > i} a_{t,s}^\top \\ &= \sum_{s > i, t \leq j} a_{s,t}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following is a construction of a pair of flags corresponding to a matrix  $A \in \Lambda_1$ . Recall that  $V$  is the free  $\mathcal{S}$ -module  $\mathcal{S}^r$  and let  $V_{\mathbf{k}}$  be the underlying vector space together with the linear operator  $\varepsilon: V_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbf{k}}$ .

Fix an  $r$ -dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $V_{\mathbf{k}}$  such that

$$\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} U = V_{\mathbf{k}}$$

and write

$$U = \bigoplus_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{i,j},$$

given subspaces  $M_{i,j}$  of dimension  $a_{i,j}$ . Then as vector spaces

$$V_{\mathbf{k}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon^h M_{i,j}.$$

Define  $M_{i,j}$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  by setting

$$M_{i-cn, j-cn} = \varepsilon^c M_{i,j}$$

and define

$$L_i = \bigoplus_{s \leq i, t \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{s,t}$$

and

$$L'_j = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}, t \leq j} M_{s,t}$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Each such  $L_i$  and  $L'_j$  is a direct sum of free  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -modules  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]M_{s,t}$  for  $i-n < s \leq i$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , or  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j-n < t \leq j$  respectively, so each is a free  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -module of rank  $r$  and therefore is a lattice in  $V$ .

Observe that the vector space  $L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j$  is the direct sum of those  $M_{s,t}$  such that  $s < i$  or  $s = i$  and  $t \leq j$ , so

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right) = \dim(M_{i,j}) = a_{i,j}$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and therefore  $A(L, L') = A$ .

**Lemma 2.1.13.** *Mapping a pair of flags  $(L, L')$  to the characteristic matrix  $A(L, L')$  induces a bijection*

$$\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}/G \rightarrow \Lambda_1 : [L, L'] \mapsto A(L, L').$$

*Proof.* The construction of a pair of flags corresponding to a matrix preceding this lemma shows that this map is surjective.

Suppose  $(L, L')$  and  $(N, N')$  are pairs of flags with  $A(L, L') = A(N, N') = A$ . There are decompositions of  $V$  which are adapted to  $(L, L')$  and  $(N, N')$  as below: There are subspaces  $U_{i,j}$  of  $V$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that the dimension of  $U_{i,j}$  is  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $\varepsilon U_{i,j} = U_{i-n, j-n}$  and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{i,j};$$

$$L_i = \bigoplus_{s \leq i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{s,j}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$L'_j = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, t \leq j} U_{i,t}$$

for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

There are subspaces  $V_{i,j}$  of  $V$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that the dimension of  $V_{i,j}$  is  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $\varepsilon V_{i,j} = V_{i-n, j-n}$  and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{i,j};$$

$$N_i = \bigoplus_{s \leq i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{s,j}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$N'_j = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, t \leq j} V_{i,t}$$

for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

There exist  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear isomorphisms  $g_{i,j}: U_{i,j} \rightarrow V_{i,j}$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$g_{i-n,j-n} = \varepsilon g_{i,j} \varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Then  $g = (g_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an automorphism of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -module  $V$  with  $g(L_i) = N_i$  and  $g(L'_i) = N'_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $g(L, L') = (N, N')$ . Therefore the map sending a  $G$ -orbit to its characteristic matrix is injective.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1.14.** *Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ ,  $L' \subseteq L$  if and only if  $a_{i,j} = 0$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $i > j$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $L, L' \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $L' \subseteq L$ , meaning  $L'_j \subseteq L_j$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $i > j$

$$\begin{aligned} L_i \cap L'_j &= L'_j \\ L_{i-1} \cap L'_j &= L'_j \\ L_i \cap L'_{j-1} &= L'_{j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j} &= \dim \left( \frac{L'_j}{L'_{j-1} + L'_j} \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Conversely, suppose  $a_{i,j} = 0$  for all  $i > j$ . Using Lemma 2.1.12,

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_i}{L'_i \cap L_i} \right) = \sum_{s > i, t \leq i} a_{s,t} = 0,$$

so  $L_i \cap L'_i = L'_i$  and thus  $L'_i \subseteq L_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.15.** *Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ ,  $L = L'$  if and only if  $a_{i,j} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . In particular,*

$$\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda} = \{(L, L) \in \mathcal{F}^2 : L \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda\},$$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

### 2.1.2 A product of orbits

Given  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$ , define

$$Y_{A,B} = \{(L, L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^3 : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\},$$

$$X_{A,B} = \{(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F}^2 : \exists L' \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}.$$

If also  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$ , define the  $L$ -slices of  $Y_{A,B}$  and  $X_{A,B}$  respectively as

$$Y_{A,B}^L = \{(L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^2 : (L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}\},$$

$$X_{A,B}^L = \{L'' \in \mathcal{F} : (L, L'') \in X_{A,B}\}.$$

**Remark 2.1.16.** There are only finitely many  $G$ -orbits in  $X_{A,B}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.17.** *Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$ ,  $X_{D_\lambda, A} = \mathcal{O}_A$  if  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$  and  $X_{A, D_\lambda} = \mathcal{O}_A$  if  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and set  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$ .  $Y_{D_\lambda, A}$  is the set of triples  $(L, L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^3$  with  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$ , thus  $L = L'$  by Corollary 2.1.15, and  $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ .  $X_{D_\lambda, A}$  is the projection of  $Y_{D_\lambda, A}$ , which equals  $\mathcal{O}_A$ .

Similarly, if  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ ,  $Y_{A, D_\lambda}$  is the set of triples  $(L, L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^3$  with  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$  and  $L'' = L'$ , so  $X_{A, D_\lambda}$  is exactly the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_B$ .  $\square$

### 2.1.3 Triple products

Given  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(C)$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$ , there are spaces  $X_{A,B,C}$ ,  $Y_{A,B,C}$  and their respective  $L$ -slices, defined as follows:

$$Y_{A,B,C} = \{(L, L', L'', L''') \in \mathcal{F}^4 : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B, (L'', L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C\},$$

$$X_{A,B,C} = \{(L, L''') \in \mathcal{F}^2 : \exists (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B \text{ s.t. } (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L'', L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C\},$$

$$Y_{A,B,C}^L = \{(L', L'', L''') \in \mathcal{F}^3 : (L, L', L'', L''') \in Y_{A,B,C}\},$$

$$X_{A,B,C}^L = \{L''' \in \mathcal{F} : (L, L''') \in X_{A,B,C}\}.$$

### 2.1.4 Convolution algebras

Suppose  $\mathbf{k}$  is a finite field and let  $q$  denote the number of elements of  $\mathbf{k}$ . Consider the set  $S$  of  $G$ -invariant functions  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  with constructible support.  $S$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with a basis consisting of the indicator functions of the  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ . Define an operation  $\star$  on  $S$  as follows: for each  $f, g \in S$ ,  $f \star g \in S$  is given by

$$(f \star g)(L, L'') = \sum_{L' \in \mathcal{F}} f(L, L')g(L', L''),$$

for  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ .

$f \star g$  is well defined since the supports of  $f$  and  $g$  consist of finitely many  $G$ -orbits, so there are only finitely many  $L' \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(L, L')g(L', L'') \neq 0$ , given  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ .  $f \star g$  is constant on  $G$ -orbits and is supported on finitely many  $G$ -orbits, so  $f \star g \in S$ .

**Lemma 2.1.18.** *The set  $S$  together with the operation  $\star$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with identity element  $\iota$  given by  $\iota(L, L) = 1$  and  $\iota(L, L') = 0$  for  $L' \neq L$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $f, g, h \in S$  and  $(L, L''') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ((f \star g) \star h)(L, L''') &= \sum_{L''} (f \star g)(L, L'')h(L'', L''') \\ &= \sum_{L''} \sum_{L'} f(L, L')g(L', L'')h(L'', L''') \\ &= (f \star (g \star h))(L, L'''), \end{aligned}$$

thus  $\star$  is associative.  $\iota$  is the multiplicative identity since

$$(\iota \star f)(L, L'') = \sum_{L'} \iota(L, L') f(L', L'') = f(L, L'')$$

and

$$(f \star \iota)(L, L'') = \sum_{L'} f(L, L') \iota(L', L'') = f(L, L''),$$

for each  $f \in S$  and  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$ , let  $e_A \in S$  denote the indicator function of the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_A$ .  $S$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1\}$ . There exist  $\gamma_{A,B,C;q} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  such that

$$e_A \star e_B = \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1} \gamma_{A,B,C;q} e_C$$

for each  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{A,B,C;q} &= (e_A \star e_B)(L, L'') \\ &= \sum_{L'} e_A(L, L') e_B(L', L'') \\ &= \#\{L' : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{O}_C$ .

### 2.1.5 Affine $q$ -Schur algebras

There exist polynomials  $g_{A,B,C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  for  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  such that  $g_{A,B,C}(q) = \gamma_{A,B,C;q}$  for any prime power  $q$ , following Lusztig [28, Section 4]. The affine  $q$ -Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra which is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module with basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1\}$  and with multiplication given by

$$e_A e_B = \sum_C g_{A,B,C} e_C.$$

Given the existence of these ‘universal polynomials’  $g_{A,B,C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1.18 that  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra with multiplicative identity given by

$$1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{D_\lambda}.$$

## 2.2 Affine 0-Schur algebras

Fix integers  $n, r \geq 1$ .

**Definition 2.2.1.** The affine zero Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra

$$\hat{S}_0(n, r) = \mathbb{Z}[q]/(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \hat{S}_q(n, r).$$

Observe that  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, since  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module. Moreover,  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1\}$  with multiplication given by

$$e_A \cdot e_B = \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1} g_{A,B,C}(0) e_C,$$

for each  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$ .



## Chapter 3

# Presenting affine q-Schur algebras

In this chapter we describe a quiver and relations for the affine  $q$ -Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  and give detailed proofs for some elementary results not proven elsewhere. We also define a notion of standard paths in the quiver and give an expression for the image of a standard path in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ , which is a crucial step towards presenting  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  by a quiver with relations.

### 3.1 The distinguished basis

#### 3.1.1 Elementary basis elements

Recall that  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ , for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  elementary periodic matrix, given by

$$(\mathcal{E}_{i,j})_{s,t} = \begin{cases} 1 & : (s, t) = (i + cn, j + cn) \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The diagonal matrix with row and column vector  $\lambda$  is

$$D_\lambda = \lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{1,1} + \cdots + \lambda_n \mathcal{E}_{n,n},$$

as in Equation (2.1.1).

There are pairwise orthogonal idempotents

$$1_\lambda = e_{D_\lambda}$$

for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , such that

$$1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} 1_\lambda$$

as a result of Lemma 2.1.17.

Define

$$E_{i,\lambda} = \begin{cases} e_{D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}} & : \lambda_{i+1} > 0 \\ 0 & : \lambda_{i+1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$F_{i,\lambda} = \begin{cases} e_{D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i} - \mathcal{E}_{i,i}} & : \lambda_i > 0 \\ 0 & : \lambda_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

Also define

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} E_{i,\lambda} \\ F_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} F_{i,\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Write  $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , where  $\varepsilon_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is the  $j$ -th coordinate vector. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{co}(E_{i,\lambda}) &= \lambda \\ \text{ro}(E_{i,\lambda}) &= \lambda + \alpha_i \\ \text{co}(F_{i,\lambda}) &= \lambda \\ \text{ro}(F_{i,\lambda}) &= \lambda - \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

### 3.1.2 Transpose involution

Let  $S$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  defined by

$$S(e_A) = e_{A^\top},$$

for each  $A \in \Lambda_1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.1.** *The map  $S$  is an idempotent  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra anti-automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ . In particular,*

$$S(e_A e_B) = S(e_A) S(e_B)$$

for all  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  and  $S \circ S$  is the identity morphism on  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} S(E_i) &= F_i \\ S(F_i) &= E_i \\ S(1_\lambda) &= 1_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  and  $(L, L'') \in X_{A,B}$ . The set of flags  $L' \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$  and  $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$  consists precisely of those flags  $L'$  such that  $(L'', L') \in \mathcal{O}_{B^\top}$  and  $(L', L) \in \mathcal{O}_{B^\top}$  so it follows that

$$g_{A,B,C} = g_{B^\top, A^\top, C^\top}$$

for all  $C \in \Lambda_1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_A e_B) &= \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1} g_{A,B,C} e_{C^\top} \\ &= \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1} g_{B^\top, A^\top, C^\top} e_{C^\top} \\ &= \sum_{D \in \Lambda_1} g_{B^\top, A^\top, D} e_D \\ &= S(e_B) S(e_A), \end{aligned}$$

so  $S$  is an anti-automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ . The composition  $S \circ S$  is the identity morphism on  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  since

$$\begin{aligned} S(S(e_C)) &= S(e_{C^\top}) \\ &= e_C \end{aligned}$$

for  $C \in \Lambda_1$ .

Firstly, the diagonal matrix  $D_\lambda \in \Lambda_1$  is symmetric, so

$$S(1_\lambda) = 1_\lambda$$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} S(E_{i,\lambda}) &= S(e_{D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}}) \\ &= e_{D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}} \\ &= F_{i,\lambda + \alpha_i} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  with  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ .

Finally,

$$S(F_{i,\lambda}) = E_{i,\lambda - \alpha_i}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  with  $\lambda_i > 0$ , since  $S \circ S$  is the identity map.  $\square$

### 3.1.3 Fundamental multiplication rules

For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the  $q$ -integer  $[[m]] \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  by

$$[[m]] = \frac{1 - q^m}{1 - q},$$

so that

$$[[0]] = 0$$

and

$$[[m]] = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{m-1}$$

for  $m \geq 1$ . In particular,  $[[2]] = 1 + q$  and  $[[3]] = 1 + q + q^2$ .

The following fundamental multiplication rules for  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  are derived from the  $v$ -form of these expressions, where  $q = v^2$ , given by Lusztig in Proposition 3.5 [28].

**Lemma 3.1.2.** *Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{ro}(A)_{i+1} > 0$ ,*

$$E_i e_A = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{i+1,p} > 0} q^{\sum_{j>p} a_{i,j}} [[a_{i,p} + 1]] e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{i,p} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,p}}.$$

*Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{ro}(A)_i > 0$ ,*

$$F_i e_A = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{i,p} > 0} q^{\sum_{j<p} a_{i+1,j}} [[a_{i+1,p} + 1]] e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,p} - \mathcal{E}_{i,p}}.$$

These formulas are still valid in the cases  $E_i e_A = 0$  and  $F_i e_A = 0$ . If the convention that  $e_B = 0$  whenever  $B$  is not in  $\Lambda_1$  is used, then the conditions on  $p$  in the above sums are not needed.

**Corollary 3.1.3.** *Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{co}(A)_j > 0$ ,*

$$e_A E_j = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{p,j} > 0} q^{\sum_{i < p} a_{i,j+1}} [[a_{p,j+1} + 1]] e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{p,j+1} - \mathcal{E}_{p,j}}.$$

*Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{co}(A)_{j+1} > 0$ ,*

$$e_A F_j = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{p,j+1} > 0} q^{\sum_{i > p} a_{i,j}} [[a_{p,j} + 1]] e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{p,j} - \mathcal{E}_{p,j+1}}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} e_A F_j &= S((E_j e_{A^\top})) \\ &= S\left(\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{p,j+1} > 0} q^{\sum_{i > p} a_{i,j}} [[a_{p,j} + 1]] e_{A^\top + \mathcal{E}_{j,p} - \mathcal{E}_{j+1,p}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{p,j+1} > 0} q^{\sum_{i > p} a_{i,j}} [[a_{p,j} + 1]] e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{p,j} - \mathcal{E}_{p,j+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from Lemma 3.1.2. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} e_A E_j &= S((F_j e_{A^\top})) \\ &= S\left(\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{p,j} > 0} q^{\sum_{i < p} a_{i,j+1}} [[a_{p,j+1} + 1]] e_{A^\top + \mathcal{E}_{j+1,p} - \mathcal{E}_{j,p}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{p,j} > 0} q^{\sum_{i < p} a_{i,j+1}} [[a_{p,j+1} + 1]] e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{p,j+1} - \mathcal{E}_{p,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3.1.4 The hook order

For each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $d_{i,j}$  and  $\bar{d}_{i,j}$  be the maps from  $\Lambda_1$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  given by

$$d_{i,j}(A) = \sum_{s \leq i, t > j} a_{s,t}$$

and

$$\bar{d}_{i,j}(A) = \sum_{s > i, t \leq j} a_{s,t}$$

for each  $A \in \Lambda_1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.4.** *The following identities hold for each  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i,j}(A) - d_{i-1,j}(A) &= \sum_{t>j} a_{i,t} \\ d_{i,j-1}(A) - d_{i,j}(A) &= \sum_{s\leq i} a_{s,j} \\ \bar{d}_{i-1,j}(A) - \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) &= \sum_{t\leq j} a_{i,t} \\ \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) - \bar{d}_{i,j-1}(A) &= \sum_{s>i} a_{s,j} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $A \in \Lambda_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i,j}(A) - d_{i-1,j}(A) &= \sum_{s\leq i, t>j} a_{s,t} - \sum_{s\leq i-1, t>j} a_{s,t} \\ &= \sum_{t>j} a_{i,t} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i,j-1}(A) - d_{i,j}(A) &= \sum_{s\leq i, t>j-1} a_{s,t} - \sum_{s\leq i, t>j} a_{s,t} \\ &= \sum_{s\leq i} a_{s,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{i-1,j}(A) - \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) &= \sum_{s>i-1, t\leq j} a_{s,t} - \sum_{s>i, t\leq j} a_{s,t} \\ &= \sum_{t\leq j} a_{i,t} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) - \bar{d}_{i,j-1}(A) &= \sum_{s>i, t\leq j} a_{s,t} - \sum_{s>i, t\leq j-1} a_{s,t} \\ &= \sum_{s>i} a_{s,j}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.1.5.** *For each  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$a_{i,j} = d_{i,j-1}(A) - d_{i-1,j-1}(A) - d_{i,j}(A) + d_{i-1,j}(A)$$

and

$$a_{i,j} = \bar{d}_{i,j-1}(A) - \bar{d}_{i-1,j-1}(A) - \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) + \bar{d}_{i-1,j}(A).$$

*Proof.* As a result of Lemma 3.1.4,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i,j-1}(A) - d_{i-1,j-1}(A) + d_{i,j}(A) - d_{i-1,j}(A) &= \sum_{t>j-1} a_{i,t} - \sum_{t>j} a_{i,t} \\ &= a_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{i-1,j}(A) - \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) + \bar{d}_{i,j-1}(A) - \bar{d}_{i-1,j-1}(A) &= \sum_{t \leq j} a_{i,t} - \sum_{t \leq j-1} a_{i,t} \\ &= a_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . □

Define a relation  $\leq$  on  $\Lambda_1$  by  $A \leq B$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- i.  $\text{ro}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(A) = \text{co}(B)$ .
- ii. For each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d_{i,j}(A) \leq d_{i,j}(B)$ .
- iii. For each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\bar{d}_{i,j}(A) \leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(B)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.6.** *The relation  $\leq$  defines a partial order on  $\Lambda_1$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\leq$  is reflexive and transitive.

Suppose  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$ . Then  $d_{i,j}(A) = d_{i,j}(B)$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $i \leq j$ , which shows  $a_{s,t} = b_{s,t}$  whenever  $s < t$ , as a result of Lemma 3.1.5. Similarly,  $\bar{d}_{i,j}(A) = \bar{d}_{i,j}(B)$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $i \geq j$ , so  $a_{s,t} = b_{s,t}$  whenever  $s > t$ . Moreover,  $a_{i,i} = b_{i,i}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , since  $\text{co}(A) = \text{co}(B)$ . Thus  $A = B$ , which shows  $\leq$  is antisymmetric and therefore  $\leq$  is a partial order on  $\Lambda_1$ . □

This partial order is called the *hook order*. The following lemma will be used later in induction arguments.

**Lemma 3.1.7.** *For any  $A \in \Lambda_1$ , the set  $\{B \in \Lambda_1 : B \leq A\}$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $B \in \Lambda_1$ . Only finitely many of the  $d_{i,j}(B)$  and  $\bar{d}_{i,j}(B)$  are sufficient to determine  $B$  and  $B \leq A$  if and only if

$$0 \leq d_{i,j}(B) \leq d_{i,j}(A)$$

and

$$0 \leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(B) \leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(A)$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , thus there are only finitely many possible values of  $d_{i,j}(B)$  and  $\bar{d}_{i,j}(B)$  provided  $B \leq A$ . Therefore there are only finitely many  $B \in \Lambda_1$  such that  $B \leq A$ . □

**Lemma 3.1.8.** *The transpose operation on  $\Lambda_1$  is order preserving. In particular,  $B \leq A$  if and only if  $B^\top \leq A^\top$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $B \leq A$ . The condition  $\text{co}(A) = \text{co}(B)$  and  $\text{ro}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  is preserved by the transpose operation.

For each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$d_{i,j}(A^\top) = \sum_{s \leq i, t > j} a_{t,s} = \bar{d}_{j,i}(A)$$

and

$$\bar{d}_{i,j}(A^\top) = \sum_{s > i, t \leq j} a_{t,s} = d_{j,i}(A).$$

It follows that  $B^\top \leq A^\top$  and therefore the transpose is order preserving.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.9.** *Suppose  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with*

$$B = A + \mathcal{E}_{i,j} - \mathcal{E}_{s,j} + \mathcal{E}_{s,t} - \mathcal{E}_{i,t}$$

*for some  $i, j, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $i < s$  and  $j < t$ . Then  $B < A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$d_{p,q}(B) = \begin{cases} d_{p,q}(A) - 1 & : i \leq p < s \text{ and } j \leq q < t, \\ d_{p,q}(A) & : \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\bar{d}_{p,q}(B) = \begin{cases} d_{p,q}(A) - 1 & : i \leq p < s \text{ and } j \leq q < t, \\ d_{p,q}(A) & : \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which proves that  $B < A$ .  $\square$

Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{ro}(A)_{i+1} > 0$ . Using the fundamental multiplication rules 3.1.2 and Lemma 3.1.9,

$$E_i e_A = \sum_{s=1}^m q^{\sum_{t > j_s} a_{i,t}} [[a_{i,j_s} + 1]] e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{i,j_s} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,j_s}}$$

where  $j_1, \dots, j_m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m$  and

$$\{j_1, \dots, j_m\} = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i+1,j} > 0\}.$$

The basis elements appearing in the above expression are totally ordered, with

$$A + \mathcal{E}_{i,j_s} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,j_s} < A + \mathcal{E}_{i,j_{s+1}} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,j_{s+1}}$$

for  $s = 1, \dots, m-1$ . Thus the term with  $s = m$  is the maximum.

The partial order on  $\Lambda_1$  induces a partial order on the set of  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ , such that  $\mathcal{O}_A \leq \mathcal{O}_B$  if and only if  $A \leq B$ . The following is a restatement of Lemma 2.1.12 and gives some geometric significance to the hook order on  $\Lambda_1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.10.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ . Then*

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) = d_{i,j}(A)$$

and

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) = \bar{d}_{i,j}(A),$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### 3.1.5 Shifting

In this subsection it is shown that the operations on  $\Lambda_1$  given by shifting up by one row or to the right by one column may be described by the action on the left or right respectively of an invertible element  $R$  of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ .

For each  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the row shift of  $A$  by  $m$  is the element  $[m]A$  of  $\Lambda_1$  given by

$$([m]A)_{i,j} = a_{i+m,j},$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The column shift of  $A$  by  $m$  is the element  $A[m]$  given by

$$(A[m])_{i,j} = a_{i,j+m},$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the translation of  $\lambda$  by  $m$  is the element  $\lambda[m]$  of  $\Lambda_0$  given by

$$(\lambda[m])_i = \lambda_{i+m},$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where the indices of  $\lambda$  are taken modulo  $n$ .

**Example 3.1.11.** Let  $\lambda = (2, 1, 3)$ . Then  $\lambda[1] = (1, 3, 2)$ ,  $\lambda[2] = (3, 2, 1)$  and  $\lambda[3] = \lambda$ .

For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda &= e_{[1]D_\lambda} \\ &= e_{\lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{0,1} + \dots + \lambda_n \mathcal{E}_{n-1,n}} \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$R = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} R_\lambda.$$

Recall that

$$\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda} = \{(L, L) : L \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda\},$$

so

$$\mathcal{O}_{[m]D_\lambda} = \{(L[m], L) : L \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda[m]} = \{(L, L[m]) : L \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda\}.$$

This leads to a simple rule for multiplication by  $R$  in terms of these shifts on matrices.

**Lemma 3.1.12.** *If  $A \in \Lambda_1$  then*

$$Re_A = e_{[1]A}$$

*and*

$$e_A R = e_{A[-1]}.$$



*Proof.* Let  $\mu = \text{ro}(A)$ ,  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$  and fix  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ . Firstly,  $Re_A = R_\mu e_A$  and the orbit corresponding to  $R_\mu$  is  $[L[1], L]$ . If  $(N, N', N'') \in \mathcal{F}^3$  with  $[N, N'] = [L[1], L]$  and  $[N', N''] = [L, L']$  then there are  $g, h \in G$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}(N, N') &= g(L[1], L) \text{ and} \\ (N', N'') &= h(L, L'),\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}(N, N'') &= (gL[1], hL') \\ &= h(h^{-1}gL[1], L') \\ &= h(L[1], L')\end{aligned}$$

since  $gL = hL$ . The coefficient of  $e_{[1]A}$  in  $R_\mu e_A$  is 1 since  $N' = gL$ , so  $R_\mu e_A = e_{[1]A}$  as claimed.

Secondly,  $e_A R = e_A R_{\lambda[-1]}$  and the orbit corresponding to  $R_{\lambda[-1]}$  is  $[L, L'[-1]]$ . If  $(N, N', N'') \in \mathcal{F}^3$  with  $[N, N'] = [L, L']$  and  $[N', N''] = [L', L'[-1]]$  then there are  $g, h \in G$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}(N, N') &= g(L, L') \text{ and} \\ (N', N'') &= h(L', L'[-1]),\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}(N, N'') &= (gL, hL'[-1]) \\ &= g(L, g^{-1}hL'[-1]) \\ &= g(L, L'[-1])\end{aligned}$$

since  $gL' = hL'$ . The coefficient of  $e_{A[-1]}$  in  $e_A R_{\lambda[-1]}$  is 1 since  $N' = gL'$ , so  $e_A R = e_{A[-1]}$  as claimed.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.13.** *The element  $R$  is invertible and*

$$RS(R) = S(R)R = 1.$$

*In particular,*

$$R^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{[-1]D_\lambda}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that

$$S(R) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{[-1]D_\lambda}.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 3.1.12 that

$$RS(R) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{[1][-1]D_\lambda} = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S(R)R &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{[-1]D\lambda[-1]} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{D(\lambda[-1])} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Visually, acting on a basis element  $e_A$  on the left by  $R^{-1}$  corresponds to moving the matrix  $A$  down by one row, while acting on the right by  $R$  corresponds to moving the matrix to the right by one column, so composing these operations is seen as a shift along the diagonal by one position.

Let  $\tau$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  defined by

$$\tau(e_A) = R^{-1}e_AR$$

for  $A \in \Lambda_1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.14.** *The map  $\tau$  is a unipotent automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  of order  $n$ . Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(E_i) &= E_{i+1} \\
\tau(F_i) &= F_{i+1} \\
\tau(1_\lambda) &= 1_{\lambda[-1]}
\end{aligned}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.1.12 that

$$\tau^m(e_A) = e_{[-m]A[-m]}$$

so  $\tau^n$  is the identity morphism on  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  and  $\tau^m \neq 1$  for  $0 < m < n$ . Therefore  $\tau$  is unipotent of degree  $n$ .

It follows from Lemma 3.1.12 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(E_{i,\lambda}) &= E_{i+1,\lambda[-1]} \\
\tau(F_{i,\lambda}) &= F_{i+1,\lambda[-1]} \\
\tau(1_\lambda) &= 1_{\lambda[-1]}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(E_i) &= E_{i+1} \\
\tau(F_i) &= F_{i+1}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  as claimed. □

## 3.2 Quivers and relations

Assume  $n$  and  $r$  are integers with  $n \geq 3$  and  $r \geq 1$ .

### 3.2.1 Relations in affine q-Schur algebras

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *If  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $i \neq j$ , then*

$$E_i F_j - F_j E_i = 0.$$

For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$E_i F_i - F_i E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} ([[\lambda_i]] - [[\lambda_{i+1}]]) 1_\lambda.$$

*Proof.* Denote  $e_A$  by  $[A]$ . Fix  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_i F_j &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} E_i [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{j+1,j} - \mathcal{E}_{j,j}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{j+1,j} - \mathcal{E}_{j,j} + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the nonzero terms in the above sum are those for which  $\lambda_j > 0$  and  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} F_j E_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} F_j [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{j+1,j} - \mathcal{E}_{j,j}], \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is taken over those  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$  and  $\lambda_j > 0$ . Therefore

$$E_i F_j - F_j E_i = 0.$$

Again using Lemma 3.1.2,

$$\begin{aligned} E_i F_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} E_i [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i} - \mathcal{E}_{i,i}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[\lambda_i]] [D_\lambda] + [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i} - \mathcal{E}_{i,i} + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_i E_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} F_i [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[\lambda_{i+1}]] [D_\lambda] + [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i} - \mathcal{E}_{i,i}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$E_i F_i - F_i E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} ([[\lambda_i]] - [[\lambda_{i+1}]]) 1_\lambda,$$

as required. □

An explicit version of these relations will be given after defining some terminology. Given  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , say that  $\lambda$  is *internal* with respect to  $i$  if  $\lambda - \alpha_i, \lambda + \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0$ . Say that  $\lambda$  is *initial* with respect to  $i$  if  $\lambda - \alpha_i \notin \Lambda_0$  and that  $\lambda$  is *final* with respect to  $i$  if  $\lambda + \alpha_i \notin \Lambda_0$ . If  $\lambda$  is sincere, then  $\lambda$  is internal with respect to each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . For example,  $\lambda = (2, 1, 0)$  is initial with respect to 3, final with respect to 2 and internal with respect to 1. On the other hand,  $\mu = (1, 1, 1)$  is sincere, so is internal with respect to 1, 2 and 3.

The expression for the commutator  $[E_i, F_i]$  in Lemma 3.2.1 gives the following relations in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ :

- i. if  $\lambda$  is internal with respect to  $i$  then

$$E_{i, \lambda - \alpha_i} F_{i, \lambda} - F_{i, \lambda + \alpha_i} E_{i, \lambda} = 0;$$

- ii. if  $\lambda$  is initial with respect to  $i$  then

$$F_{i, \lambda + \alpha_i} E_{i, \lambda} - 1_\lambda = 0;$$

- iii. if  $\lambda$  is final with respect to  $i$  then

$$E_{i, \lambda - \alpha_i} F_{i, \lambda} - 1_\lambda = 0.$$

**Lemma 3.2.2.** *The following relations hold in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ , when  $n \geq 3$ :*

$$E_i E_j - E_j E_i = 0$$

and

$$F_i F_j - F_j F_i = 0$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $j \neq i + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_{i+1}^2 - (1 + q) E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} + q E_{i+1}^2 E_i &= 0 \\ E_i^2 E_{i+1} - (1 + q) E_i E_{i+1} E_i + q E_{i+1} E_i^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i+1} F_i^2 - (1 + q) F_i F_{i+1} F_i + q F_i^2 F_{i+1} &= 0 \\ F_{i+1}^2 F_i - (1 + q) F_{i+1} F_i F_{i+1} + q F_i F_{i+1}^2 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $e_A$  by  $[A]$ . Using the fundamental multiplication rules,

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_{i+1}^2 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[2]] [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i, i+2} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1, i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2, i+2}] \\ &\quad + [[2]] [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i, i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1, i+1} + 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1, i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2, i+2}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i, i+2} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1, i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2, i+2}] \\ &\quad + [[2]] [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i, i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1, i+1} + 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1, i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2, i+2}] \end{aligned}$$

$$E_{i+1}^2 E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[2]] [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}]$$

and so

$$E_i E_{i+1}^2 - (1+q) E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} + q E_{i+1}^2 E_i$$

equals

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} (([2]) - (1+q)) [X_\lambda] + (([2]) - (1+q)[[2]] + q[[2]]) [Y_\lambda]$$

where

$$X_\lambda = D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}$$

and

$$Y_\lambda = D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}.$$

Therefore

$$E_i E_{i+1}^2 - (1+q) E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} + q E_{i+1}^2 E_i = 0$$

and so

$$F_{i+1}^2 F_i - (1+q) F_{i+1} F_i F_{i+1} + q F_i F_{i+1}^2 = 0,$$

by applying the transpose involution to the first relation.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^2 E_{i+1} &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[2]] [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}] \\ &\quad + [[2]] [D_\lambda + 2\mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_{i+1} E_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}] \\ &\quad + [[2]] [D_\lambda + 2\mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}] \end{aligned}$$

$$E_{i+1} E_i^2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[2]] [D_\lambda + 2\mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}]$$

and so

$$E_i^2 E_{i+1} - (1+q) E_i E_{i+1} E_i + q E_{i+1} E_i$$

equals

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} (([2]) - (1+q)) A_\lambda + (([2]) - (1+q)[[2]] + q[[2]]) B_\lambda,$$

where

$$A_\lambda = D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}$$

and

$$B_\lambda = D_\lambda + 2\mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}.$$

Therefore

$$E_i^2 E_{i+1} - (1+q) E_i E_{i+1} E_i + q E_{i+1} E_i = 0$$

and

$$F_{i+1} F_i^2 - (1+q) F_i F_{i+1} F_i + q F_i^2 F_{i+1} = 0,$$

where the second relation follows from the first by applying the transpose involution.  $\square$

### 3.2.2 A quiver algebra

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(n, r)$  be the quiver with set of vertices  $\Lambda_0$  and arrows

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i,\lambda}: \lambda &\rightarrow \lambda + \alpha_i & \text{if } \lambda_{i+1} > 0 \\ f_{i,\lambda}: \lambda &\rightarrow \lambda - \alpha_i & \text{if } \lambda_i > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

The path  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra of  $\Gamma$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra with a unit, which has a  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -basis consisting of the paths in  $\Gamma$ , where the multiplication is defined by concatenation of paths. That is, if  $p$  and  $q$  are paths in  $\Gamma$ , then the product  $pq$  is the path ‘ $q$  followed by  $p$ ’ if the target of  $q$  equals the source of  $p$ , or equals zero otherwise.

For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , denote the constant path at  $\lambda$  by  $k_\lambda$ . These elements form a set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents and the multiplicative identity in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma$  is

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} k_\lambda.$$

For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$e_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0: \lambda_{i+1} > 0} e_{i,\lambda}$$

and

$$f_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0: \lambda_i > 0} f_{i,\lambda}.$$

To avoid long subscripts, define  $e_{i,\lambda} = 0$  if  $\lambda_{i+1} = 0$  and define  $f_{i,\lambda} = 0$  if  $\lambda_i = 0$ . Let  $I = I(n, r)$  be the ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma$  generated by the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_j - e_j e_i \\ f_i f_j - f_j f_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $j \neq i \pm 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_{i+1}^2 - (1+q)e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1} + q e_{i+1}^2 e_i \\ e_i^2 e_{i+1} - (1+q)e_i e_{i+1} e_i + q e_{i+1} e_i^2 \\ f_{i+1}^2 f_i - (1+q)f_{i+1} f_i f_{i+1} + q f_i f_{i+1}^2 \\ f_{i+1} f_i^2 - (1+q)f_i f_{i+1} f_i + q f_i^2 f_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$e_i f_j - f_j e_i$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $i \neq j$ ,

$$e_i f_i - f_i e_i - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} ([[\lambda_i]] - [[\lambda_{i+1}]]) k_\lambda$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

### 3.2.3 Mapping to the q-Schur algebra

**Lemma 3.2.3.** *There is a  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra homomorphism*

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma/I \rightarrow \hat{S}_q(n, r)$$

*defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(k_\lambda + I) &= 1_\lambda, \\ \phi(e_{i,\lambda} + I) &= E_{i,\lambda}, \\ \phi(f_{i,\lambda} + I) &= F_{i,\lambda},\end{aligned}$$

*for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 3.2.1 and Lemma 3.2.2 shows that each equation defining the ideal  $I$  corresponds to a zero relation in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ , so there is a unique homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebras given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(k_\lambda + I) &= 1_\lambda, \\ \phi(e_{i,\lambda} + I) &= E_{i,\lambda}, \\ \phi(f_{i,\lambda} + I) &= F_{i,\lambda},\end{aligned}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ . □

In fact,  $\phi$  is determined by its values on  $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$  and  $k_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ . In order to describe the image of this map we introduce the notion of standard paths in  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition 3.2.4.** A path  $p = k_\lambda p_1^+ \cdots p_h^+$  with

$$p_s^+ = e_{i+s-1}^{\alpha_{i,s}} e_{i+s-2}^{\alpha_{i-1,s}} \cdots e_{i+s-n}^{\alpha_{i-n+1,s}},$$

for  $s \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ , is a *standard positive path* if

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{j,s} &\geq \alpha_{j,s+1} \text{ for } s \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}; \\ 0 &\leq \alpha_{j,1} \leq \lambda_j \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}; \\ i &= \max\{t : 1 \leq t \leq n, \alpha_{t,1} = 0\} - 1,\end{aligned}$$

where the index  $j$  in  $e_j$  and  $\alpha_{j,s}$  is taken modulo  $n$ .

**Definition 3.2.5.** A path  $p = k_\lambda p_1^- \cdots p_h^-$  with

$$p_s^- = f_{i-s+1}^{\beta_{i,s}} f_{i-s+2}^{\beta_{i+1,s}} \cdots f_{i-s+n}^{\beta_{i+n-1,s}},$$

for  $s \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ , is a *standard negative path* if

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{j,s} &\geq \beta_{j,s+1} \text{ for } s \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}; \\ 0 &\leq \beta_{j,1} \leq \lambda_{j+1} \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}; \\ i &= \min\{t : 1 \leq t \leq n, \beta_{t-1,1} = 0\},\end{aligned}$$

where the index  $j$  in  $f_j$  and  $\beta_{j,s}$  is taken modulo  $n$ .

**Definition 3.2.6.** A path  $p = k_\lambda p^+ k_\mu p^-$  is a *standard path* if  $k_\lambda p^+$  is a standard positive path,  $k_\mu p^-$  is a standard negative path and the exponents satisfy the conditions

$$\alpha_{j,s} + \beta_{j-1,s} \leq \lambda_j$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Call  $p^+$  the *positive part* of  $p$  and  $p^-$  the *negative part* of  $p$ .

**Remark 3.2.7.** If  $p$  is a standard path with  $p = p'p''$  for some paths  $p'$  and  $p''$ , then  $p'$  is a standard path. Observe that the definition of standard paths includes the constant paths  $k_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

**Remark 3.2.8.** Suppose  $p$  is a standard path. The exponent of  $e_j$  in  $p_s^+$  is  $\alpha_{j-s+1,s}$  and  $\alpha_{j,s}$  is the exponent of  $e_{j+s-1}$  in  $p_s^+$ . Then exponent of  $f_j$  in  $p_s^-$  is  $\beta_{j+s-1,s}$  and  $\beta_{j,s}$  is the exponent of  $f_{j-s+1}$  in  $p_s^-$ .

**Definition 3.2.9.** Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$ . The *standard path for  $A$*  is the standard path  $p_A = k_\lambda p^+ p^-$ , where  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$ ,  $p^+$  is the standard positive path given by

$$\alpha_{i,s} = \sum_{t \geq i+s} a_{i,t}$$

and  $p^-$  is the standard negative path given by

$$\beta_{i,s} = \sum_{t \leq i-s+1} a_{i+1,t}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $s \geq 1$ , respectively.

**Lemma 3.2.10.** *If  $p$  is a standard path then there is a unique element  $A \in \Lambda_1$  such that  $p = p_A$ . Thus there is a bijection between the set of standard paths in  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda_1$ .*

*Proof.* The map

$$\Lambda_1 \rightarrow \{\text{standard paths in } \Gamma\} : A \mapsto p_A$$

is injective since distinct elements of  $\Lambda_1$  define distinct standard paths. Finally, if  $p$  is a standard path then  $p = p_A$  where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,i+s} &= \alpha_{i,s} - \alpha_{i,s+1} \\ a_{i,i-s} &= \beta_{i-1,s} - \beta_{i-1,s+1} \\ a_{i,i} &= \mu_i - \alpha_{i,1} - \beta_{i-1,1} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $s \geq 1$ . □

**Definition 3.2.11.** Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$ . The *positive part* of  $A$  is the element  $A^+ \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{ro}(A^+) = \text{ro}(A)$  and off diagonal entries

$$a_{i,j}^+ = \begin{cases} a_{i,j} & : \text{ if } i < j \\ 0 & : \text{ if } i > j, \end{cases}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .



The negative part of  $A$  is the element  $A^- \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A^-) = \text{co}(A)$  and off-diagonal entries

$$a_{i,j}^- = \begin{cases} a_{i,j} & : \text{ if } i > j \\ 0 & : \text{ if } i < j, \end{cases}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Recall that the  $G$ -orbit of a pair of flags  $(L, L')$  is denoted by  $[L, L']$ .

**Lemma 3.2.12.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{A^+} &= [L, L \cap L'] \\ \mathcal{O}_{A^-} &= [L \cap L', L] \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\mathcal{O}_B = [L, L \cap L']$ . The row vector of  $B$  is  $|L| = \text{ro}(A)$  and  $B$  is upper triangular since  $L \cap L' \subseteq L$ . For  $i < j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,j} &= \dim \left( \frac{L_i \cap L_j \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L_j \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L_{j-1} \cap L'_{j-1}} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right) \\ &= a_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $B$  is the positive part of  $A$  as in Definition 3.2.11. The transpose of the negative part of  $A$  is the positive part of the transpose of  $A$ , so it follows that  $\mathcal{O}_{A^-} = [L \cap L', L']$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.13.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and let  $p$  be the standard path for  $A$ . The positive part of  $p$  is the standard path for  $A^+$  and the negative part of  $p$  is the standard path for  $A^-$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $p = k_\lambda p^+ p^- k_\mu$ , where  $p^+$  and  $p^-$  are the positive and negative parts of  $p$  respectively. The exponents  $\alpha_{i,s}$  in  $p^+$  are determined by the entries of  $A$  strictly above the diagonal, so the  $\alpha_{i,s}$  are also the exponents in the standard path for  $A^+$ . It follows that  $k_\lambda p^+$  is the standard path for  $A^+$  since  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A) = \text{ro}(A^+)$ .

Similarly, the exponents in the standard path for  $A$  are given by the entries in  $A$  strictly below the diagonal and  $\mu = \text{co}(A) = \text{co}(A^-)$ , so  $p^- k_\mu$  is the standard path for  $A^-$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.14.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and let  $p$  be the standard path corresponding to  $A$ . Then*

$$\phi(p + I) = \left( \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} [[\alpha_{i,s}]]! [[\beta_{i,s}]]! \right) e_A + \sum_{B \in \Lambda_1: B < A} g_B e_B \quad (3.2.1)$$

*Proof.* Proceed by induction on the length of  $p$ . If the length of  $p$  is zero then  $p = k_\lambda$  and  $A = D_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , then Equation (3.2.1) holds since  $\phi(k_\lambda + I) = 1_\lambda$ . Assume  $p$  has positive length and the formula holds for standard paths of smaller length.

First suppose  $A$  is upper triangular and  $p = k_\lambda p_1^+ \cdots p_h^+$  is the corresponding standard positive path, where  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$  and

$$h = \max\{j - i : a_{i,j} > 0\}.$$

Factoring out the first arrow in  $p$ ,  $p = p' e_j$  and  $p'$  is a standard positive path with length less than that of  $p$ . The exponent of  $e_j$  in  $p_h^+$  is

$$\alpha_{j-h+1,h} = a_{j-h+1,j+1},$$

so  $a_{j-h+1,j+1}$  is nonzero. The exponent of  $e_{j-1}$  in  $p_h^+$  is zero since  $e_j$  is the first arrow in  $p$ , so  $a_{j-h,j} = 0$ . Then  $p'$  is the standard path corresponding to

$$B = A + \mathcal{E}_{j+1-h,j} - \mathcal{E}_{j+1-h,j+1},$$

so by the inductive hypothesis the image of  $p'$  is

$$\phi(p' + I) = \frac{1}{[[\alpha_{j-h+1,h}]]} \left( \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} [[\alpha_{i,s}]]! \right) e_B + \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1: C < B} g'_C e_C.$$

Using the fundamental multiplication rules,

$$e_B E_j = [[\alpha_{j-h+1,h}]] e_A + \sum_{s > j+1-h: b_{s,j} > 0} q^{\sum_{t < s} b_{t,j+1}} [[b_{s,j+1} + 1]] e_{B + \mathcal{E}_{s,j+1} - \mathcal{E}_{s,j}}.$$

For each  $C < B$ ,  $c_{s,t} = 0$  if  $t - s > h$  and  $c_{j-h,j} = 0$ , so the product  $e_C E_j$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -linear combination of the terms  $e_{C + \mathcal{E}_{s,j+1} - \mathcal{E}_{s,j}}$  for  $s \geq j+1-h$ , which are totally ordered with respect to the hook order and the maximum term  $C + \mathcal{E}_{j+1-h,j+1} - \mathcal{E}_{j-h,j}$  is strictly less than  $B + \mathcal{E}_{j+1-h,j+1} - \mathcal{E}_{j-h,h} = A$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p + I) &= \phi(p' + I) E_j \\ &= \left( \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} [[\alpha_{i,s}]]! \right) e_A + \sum_{B \in \Lambda_1: B < A} g_B e_B, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the case where  $p$  is a standard positive path.

Now suppose the negative part of  $A$  is nontrivial and let

$$p = k_\lambda p_1^+ p_1^- \cdots p_h^-$$

be the standard path corresponding to  $A$ , where  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$  and

$$h = \max\{i - j : a_{i,j} > 0\}.$$

Factoring out the first arrow in  $p$ ,  $p = p' f_j$  and  $p'$  is a standard path of length strictly less than that of  $p$ . The exponent of  $f_j$  in  $p_h^-$  is

$$\beta_{j+h-1,h} = a_{j+h,j}$$

so  $a_{j+h,j}$  is nonzero. The exponent of  $f_{j+1}$  in  $p_h^-$  is zero since  $f_j$  is the first arrow in  $p$ , so

$$a_{j+1+h,j+1} = \beta_{j+h,h} = 0.$$

The matrix corresponding to the standard path  $p'$  is

$$B = A + \mathcal{E}_{j+h,j+1} - \mathcal{E}_{j+h,j}$$

so by the inductive hypothesis the image of  $p'$  is

$$\phi(p' + I) = \frac{1}{[[\beta_{j+h-1,h}]]} \left( \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} [[\alpha_{i,s}]]! [[\beta_{i,s}]]! \right) e_B + \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1: C < B} g'_C e_C.$$

Using the fundamental multiplication rules

$$e_B F_j = [[\beta_{j+h-1,h}]] e_A + \sum_{C < A} g''_C e_C.$$

For each  $C < B$ ,  $c_{s,t} = 0$  is  $s - t > h$  and  $c_{j+h+1,j+1} = 0$ , so the product  $e_C F_j$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -linear combination of the terms  $e_{C+\mathcal{E}_{s,j}-\mathcal{E}_{s,j+1}}$  for  $s \leq j+h$ , which are all strictly smaller than  $B + \mathcal{E}_{j+h,j} - \mathcal{E}_{j+h,j+1} = A$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p + \mathcal{J}) &= \phi(p' + \mathcal{J}) F_j \\ &= \left( \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} [[\alpha_{i,s}]]! [[\beta_{i,s}]]! \right) e_A + \sum_{B < A} g_B e_B \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomials  $g_B \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ . □

### 3.2.4 Change of rings

The following is based on the change of rings for quiver presentations result, Lemma 5.2 in [25]. Let  $R$  and  $S$  be commutative rings and suppose  $f: R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism with  $f(1) = 1$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a quiver and let  $I \subseteq R\Sigma$  be an ideal of relations in  $\Sigma$ . The homomorphism  $f$  defines an  $R$ -algebra structure on  $S$  with  $r \cdot s = f(r)s$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $s \in S$ . Let  $\bar{f}: R\Sigma \rightarrow S\Sigma$  be the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism induced by  $f$ , which is given by

$$\bar{f}(rp) = f(r)p$$

for each path  $p$  in  $\Sigma$  and  $r \in R$ .

Applying the right exact functor  $S \otimes_R -$  to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{i} R\Sigma \rightarrow R\Sigma/I \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R$ -modules gives the exact sequence

$$S \otimes_R I \xrightarrow{1 \otimes i} S \otimes_R R\Sigma \rightarrow S \otimes_R R\Sigma/I \rightarrow 0$$

of  $S$ -modules.

Let  $m: S \otimes_R R\Sigma \rightarrow S\Sigma$  be the  $S$ -algebra homomorphism given by

$$m(s \otimes rp) = sf(r)p,$$

for all paths  $p$  in  $\Sigma$ ,  $r \in R$  and  $s \in S$ . The  $S$ -algebra homomorphism  $S\Sigma \rightarrow S \otimes_R R\Sigma$  given by sending  $sp$  to  $s \otimes p$  is inverse to  $m$ , so  $m$  is an isomorphism of  $S$ -algebras. Observe that  $m$  is also  $R$ -linear, so is an isomorphism of  $R$ -algebras. The image of  $m \circ (1 \otimes i): S \otimes_R I \rightarrow S\Sigma$  is  $S\bar{f}(I)$  since the image is spanned by elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned} m((1 \otimes i)(s \otimes x)) &= m(s \otimes x) \\ &= s\bar{f}(x), \end{aligned}$$

for  $s \in S$  and  $x \in I$ .

Thus we have a commuting diagram of  $S$ -modules with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & \ker \bar{m} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & S \otimes_R I & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes i} & S \otimes_R R\Sigma & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_R R\Sigma/I & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow m & & \downarrow \bar{m} & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S\bar{f}(I) & \longrightarrow & S\Sigma & \longrightarrow & S\Sigma/S\bar{f}(I) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \text{coker } \bar{m} \end{array}$$

The morphism  $\bar{m}$  is given by the universal property of cokernels and can be computed explicitly using the commuting diagram, with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{m}(s \otimes (rp + I)) &= m(s \otimes rp) + S\bar{f}(I) \\ &= sf(r)p + S\bar{f}(I), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $r \in R$ ,  $s \in S$  and paths  $p$  in  $\Sigma$ .

**Lemma 3.2.15.** [25] *The morphism*

$$\bar{m}: S \otimes_R R\Sigma/I \rightarrow S\Sigma/S\bar{f}(I)$$

*is both an isomorphism of  $R$ -algebras and an isomorphism of  $S$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* Using the snake lemma on the above commuting diagram gives an exact sequence of  $S$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \bar{m} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{coker } \bar{m} \rightarrow 0,$$

so  $\ker \bar{m}$  and  $\text{coker } \bar{m}$  are both zero and therefore  $\bar{m}$  is an isomorphism of  $S$ -algebras. Moreover,  $\bar{m}$  is  $R$ -linear, so is also an isomorphism of  $R$ -algebras.  $\square$

Recall that the  $q$ -integers are given by

$$[[0]] = 0$$

and

$$[[m]] = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{m-1} = 1 + q[[m-1]]$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m \geq 1$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the  $q$ -factorial

$$[[m]]! = \prod_{a=1}^m [[a]].$$

Given integers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $0 < a < b$ ,

$$[[b]] - [[a]] = q^a [[b-a]]$$

and the product  $[[a]][[b]]$  can be computed recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [[a]][[b]] &= (1 + q[[a-1]])(1 + q[[b-1]]) \\ &= 1 + q([a-1] + [b-1] + q[a-1][b-1]). \end{aligned}$$

The set of  $q$ -integers is not multiplicatively closed since, for example  $[[2]]^2 = 1 + 2q + q^2$ , but the  $q$ -integers are contained in the multiplicatively closed set  $1 + q\mathbb{Z}[q]$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the localisation of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  at the set of elements of the form  $1 + qf$  for  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ , so  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the subring of  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$  given by

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{ \frac{f}{1 + qg} : f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \right\}.$$

Observe that  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  is a subring of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , so  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra. The  $\mathcal{Q}$ -form of the affine  $q$ -Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  is defined to be the  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra

$$\hat{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}(n, r) = \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \hat{S}_q(n, r).$$

**Lemma 3.2.16.** *The  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism*

$$\bar{m}: \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma/I \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\Gamma/\mathcal{Q}I$$

*given by*

$$\bar{m}(s \otimes (hp + I)) = shp + \mathcal{Q}I,$$

*for all  $s \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  and paths  $p$  in  $\Gamma$ , is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 3.2.15 for the inclusion  $\mathbb{Z}[q] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  proves that  $\bar{m}$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebras and an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebras.  $\square$

Let  $\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}$  be the  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathcal{Q}} = (1 \otimes \phi) \circ \bar{m}^{-1}: \mathcal{Q}\Gamma/\mathcal{Q}I \rightarrow \hat{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}(n, r),$$

which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathcal{Q}}(e_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{Q}I) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi_{\mathcal{Q}}(f_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{Q}I) &= F_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi_{\mathcal{Q}}(k_{\lambda} + \mathcal{Q}I) &= 1_{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.17.** *If  $r < n$  then  $\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Fix  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and let  $p$  be the standard path in  $\Gamma$  corresponding to  $A$ . Then

$$\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}(p + \mathcal{Q}I) = \sum_{B: B \leq A} g_B e_B$$

for some  $g_B \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ , where

$$g_A = \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} [[\alpha_{i,s}]]! [[\beta_{i,s}]]!,$$

by Proposition 3.2.14. The coefficient of the leading term  $g_A$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , so

$$e_A = \phi_{\mathcal{Q}}(g_A^{-1}p + \mathcal{Q}I) - \sum_{B: B < A} g_B g_A^{-1} e_B.$$

There are only finitely many  $B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $B < A$  and for each such  $B$ ,  $e_B$  admits a similar expression, which shows that  $e_A$  can be expressed as the image of a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -linear combination of the standard paths corresponding to the matrices  $B$  with  $B \leq A$  and therefore  $\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is surjective.  $\square$

**Conjecture 3.2.18.** If  $r < n$ , the quiver with relations  $(\Gamma, I)$  gives a presentation of  $\hat{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}(n, r)$  over  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

*Ideas for proof.* The only thing that remains to be shown is that the map from the quiver algebra is injective, since Proposition 3.2.17 shows that this map is surjective.

I hope to deduce this from the presentation of the affine generic algebra by tensoring the surjective map between  $\mathcal{Q}$ -forms of the path algebra and  $q$ -Schur algebra with the  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Q}/(q)$  and observing this map is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras.  $\square$

### 3.3 Relations for the $n=2$ case

In this section we give relations in  $\hat{S}_q(2, r)$  which are modified from the relations in the presentation of the affine quantum loop algebra  $U(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ , as in Definition 1.3.3 [4] for example.

**Lemma 3.3.1.** *The following identities hold in  $\hat{S}_q(2, r)$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} qE_1E_2^3 - [[3]]E_2E_1E_2^2 + [[3]]E_2^2E_1E_2 - qE_2^3E_1 &= 0 \\ qE_1^3E_2 - [[3]]E_1^2E_2E_1 + [[3]]E_1E_2E_1^2 - qE_2E_1^3 &= 0 \\ qF_2F_1^3 - [[3]]F_1F_2F_1^2 + [[3]]F_1^2F_2F_1 - qF_1^3F_2 &= 0 \\ qF_2^3F_1 - [[3]]F_2^2F_1F_2 + [[3]]F_2F_1F_2^2 - qF_1F_2^3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the first of these relations holds, since the second relation is obtained by applying the shifting automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  given by conjugation by  $R$ , which sends  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  and  $E_2$  to  $E_1$ , and then the last two relations are obtained by applying the transpose anti-automorphism  $S$  on  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ , which sends  $E_i$  to  $F_i$  (for  $i = 1, 2$ ) and reverses the order of multiplication.

Next, the first relation will be established by an explicit computation using the fundamental multiplication rules 3.1.3.

Write

$$\begin{aligned} W &= D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{1,2} - \mathcal{E}_{1,1} + 3\mathcal{E}_{2,3} - 3\mathcal{E}_{2,2} \\ X &= D_\lambda + 2\mathcal{E}_{2,3} + \mathcal{E}_{2,4} - 3\mathcal{E}_{2,2} \\ Y &= D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{1,3} - \mathcal{E}_{1,1} + 2\mathcal{E}_{2,3} - 2\mathcal{E}_{2,2} \\ Z &= D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{2,3} + \mathcal{E}_{2,5} - 2\mathcal{E}_{2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$E_1 E_2^3 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[2]][[3]]e_W + [[2]][[3]]e_Y$$

$$E_2 E_1 E_2^2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[2]][[3]]e_W + [[2]]e_X + [[2]]^2 e_Y + [[2]]e_Z$$

$$E_2^2 E_1 E_2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[2]][[3]]e_W + [[2]]^2 e_X + [[2]]e_Y + [[2]]e_Z$$

$$E_2^3 E_1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [[2]]3e_W + [[2]][[3]]e_X$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} qE_1 E_2^3 - [[3]]E_2 E_1 E_2^2 + [[3]]E_2^2 E_1 E_2 - qE_2^3 E_1 &= [[2]][[3]](q - [[3]] + [[3]] - q)e_W \\ &\quad + [[2]][[3]](-1 + [[2]] - q)e_X \\ &\quad + [[2]][[3]](q - [[2]] + 1)e_Y \\ &\quad + ([[2]][[3]] - [[2]][[3]])e_Z, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that the first relation holds and hence all the relations hold.  $\square$

## Chapter 4

# A generic affine algebra

Assume  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$  and fix  $n, r \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$  and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the subalgebra generated by  $\varepsilon$ , namely  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ . Let  $V$  be a free  $\mathcal{S}$ -module of rank  $r$  and let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(n, r)$  be the set of  $n$ -periodic cyclic flags in  $V$ ; so  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of collections  $L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ -lattices in  $V$  with  $L_i \subseteq L_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\varepsilon L_i = L_{i-n}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $G$  be the group of  $\mathcal{S}$ -module automorphisms of  $V$ . Thus  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathcal{S})$ .  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}$  with orbits  $\{\mathcal{F}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_0\}$ , where  $\Lambda_0$  is the set of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts, as in Definition 2.1.1.

The diagonal action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  has orbits  $\{\mathcal{O}_A : A \in \Lambda_1\}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_A$  consists of those pairs of flags with periodic characteristic matrix equal to  $A$ . Definitions of the periodic characteristic matrix and the set  $\Lambda_1$  are given in Definition 2.1.7 and Definition 2.1.2 respectively.

Recall that the periodic characteristic matrix of a pair  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  is the  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , with

$$a_{i,j} = \dim \left( \frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right)$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Recall that  $\mathrm{ro}$  and  $\mathrm{co}$  are the maps  $\Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_0$  given by

$$\mathrm{ro}(A) = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{1,j}, \dots, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n,j} \right)$$

and

$$\mathrm{co}(A) = \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,n} \right)$$

for each  $A \in \Lambda_1$ . Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$ , write  $A: \mathrm{co}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{ro}(A)$ .

The purpose of this chapter is to define an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with a multiplicative basis by defining a modified form of the product in the affine  $q$ -Schur algebra. In particular, given  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$ , the orbit product

$$X_{A,B} = \{(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} : \exists L' \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}$$



consists of finitely many  $G$ -orbits and it will be shown that there is a unique *generic* orbit in  $X_{A,B}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_{A*B}$ , with the property that

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L_j''} \right) \leq \dim \left( \frac{N_i}{N_i \cap N_j''} \right)$$

and

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_j''}{L_i \cap L_j''} \right) \leq \dim \left( \frac{N_j''}{N_i \cap N_j''} \right)$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(N, N'') \in \mathcal{O}_{A*B}$  and  $(L, L'') \in X_{A,B}$ . It will be shown that the *generic product* of orbits is associative, so the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module on the set of  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear multiplication given by

$$\mathcal{O}_A * \mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}_{A*B},$$

for each  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$ , and

$$\mathcal{O}_A * \mathcal{O}_B = 0$$

for  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) \neq \text{ro}(B)$ , is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with multiplicative identity given by

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda},$$

where  $D_\lambda$  is the diagonal matrix with  $\text{co}(D_\lambda) = \lambda$ . The resulting  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra is called the *generic affine algebra* (of rank  $r$  and period  $n$ ), denoted by  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ .

## 4.1 Grassmannians and related varieties

Here we collect a few elementary results on Grassmannians and some related varieties. In this section, let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space and let  $0 \leq d \leq n$  be an integer. There is a linear map

$$\phi^{(d)}: \Lambda^d(V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, \Lambda^{d+1}(V))$$

given by

$$\phi^{(d)}(\alpha)(v) = \alpha \wedge v$$

for  $\alpha \in \Lambda^d(V)$  and  $v \in V$ . The kernel of  $\phi^{(d)}(\alpha)$  is the space of divisors of  $\alpha$ ,

$$D_\alpha = \{v \in V : \alpha \wedge v = 0\}.$$

An element  $\alpha \in \Lambda^d(V)$  is said to be totally decomposable if  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_d$ , where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in V$  are linearly independent. The dimension of  $D_\alpha$  is at most  $d$  and  $\dim(D_\alpha) = d$  precisely when  $\alpha$  is totally decomposable. Consequently, the rank of  $\phi^{(d)}(\alpha)$  is at least  $n - d$  and  $\alpha$  is totally decomposable if and only if  $\text{rank } \phi^{(d)}(\alpha) \leq n - d$ , which holds if and only if the  $(n - d + 1) \times (n - d + 1)$ -minors of a matrix of  $\phi^{(d)}(\alpha)$  are all zero.

**Lemma 4.1.1.** *The space*

$$\{(U_1, U_2) \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V) : \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \geq a\}$$

*is a projective algebraic variety, for each  $d_1, d_2, a \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $d_1, d_2, a \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* As above, there is a linear map  $\Psi: \Lambda^{d_1}V \oplus \Lambda^{d_2}V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, \Lambda^{d_1+d_2}(V))$  given by  $\Psi(\alpha, \beta)(v) = (\alpha \wedge v, \beta \wedge v)$ . Given  $\alpha \in \Lambda^{d_1}(V)$  and  $\beta \in \Lambda^{d_2}(V)$ , the kernel of  $\Psi(\alpha, \beta)$  is  $D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$  and so the rank of  $\Psi(\alpha, \beta)$  is  $n - \dim(D_\alpha \cap D_\beta)$ .

Let  $U_i \in \text{Gr}_{d_i}(V)$  and suppose  $p_i(U_i) = [\alpha_i]$ , where  $p_i$  is the Plücker embedding of  $\text{Gr}_{d_i}(V)$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^{d_i}(V))$ , so  $U_i = D_{\alpha_i} = \ker \phi^{(d_i)}(\alpha)$ . Therefore the kernel of  $\Psi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  is  $U_1 \cap U_2$ , so the condition that  $\dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \geq a$  is equivalent to the condition that  $\Psi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  has rank at most  $n - a$ . After fixing a basis of  $V$ , this condition is given by the vanishing of the  $(n - a + 1) \times (n - a + 1)$  minors of the matrix of  $\Psi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ . Therefore  $\{(U_1, U_2) \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V) : \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \geq a\}$  is a closed subset of the product of Grassmannians  $\text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V)$ , so is a projective variety.

More precisely, the entries of a matrix of  $\Psi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree 1 in the Plücker coordinates on  $\text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V)$  since  $\Psi$  is linear and so the minors of  $\Psi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  are also homogeneous polynomials in the Plücker coordinates.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.1.2.** *Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{k}$  and let  $d_1, d_2, a \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $d_1, d_2, a \leq n$ . The following hold:*

- i.  $\{(U_1, U_2) \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V) : \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) = a\}$  is a quasiprojective variety;
- ii.  $\{(U_1, U_2) \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V) : U_1 \subseteq U_2\}$  is a projective variety;
- iii. Given  $U_2 \in \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V)$ ,  $\{U_1 \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) : \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \geq a\}$  is a projective variety;
- iv. Given  $U_2 \in \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V)$ ,  $\{U_1 \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) : \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) = a\}$  is a quasiprojective variety;
- v. Given  $U_2 \in \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V)$ ,  $\{U_1 \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) : U_1 \subseteq U_2\}$  is a projective variety;
- vi. Given  $U_2 \in \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V)$ ,  $\{U_1 \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) : U_2 \subseteq U_1\}$  is a projective variety.

*Proof.* Let  $X_i$  denote the set in  $i$ -th statement of the lemma and write  $X_{i,a}$  to emphasise the dependence of  $a$ .

$X_1$  is a quasiprojective variety since it is equal to the intersection of the projective variety  $\{(U_1, U_2) \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V) : \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \geq a\}$  with the open set  $\{(U_1, U_2) \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V) : \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \leq a\}$ .

Given  $(U_1, U_2) \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V)$ ,  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$  if and only if  $\dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \geq d_1$ , so Lemma 4.1.1 shows  $X_2$  is a projective variety.

Let  $\pi_i: \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{d_i}(V)$  be the projection map onto the  $i$ -th factor, for  $i = 1, 2$ . The completeness property of projective varieties ensures that  $\pi_i$  is a closed morphism. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &= \{U_1 \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) : \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \geq a\} \\ &= \pi_1(\{(U_1, W) \in \text{Gr}_{d_1}(V) \times \text{Gr}_{d_2}(V) : \dim(U_1 \cap W) \geq a\} \cap \pi_2^{-1}\{U_2\}). \end{aligned}$$

The fibre of  $\pi_2$  over  $U_2$  is closed, so the intersection of the fibre with the variety from Lemma 4.1.1 is closed and then the image of this intersection under  $\pi_1$  is closed. This shows  $X_3$  is a projective variety.

$X_4$  is a quasiprojective variety since it is the complement of the subvariety  $X_{3,a+1}$  in  $X_{3,a}$ . Finally, 5-6 follow as special cases of  $\mathcal{B}$  since  $X_5 = X_{3,d_1}$  and  $X_6 = X_{3,d_2}$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Geometry of affine flag varieties

Given  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $N, a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  define

$$\Pi_{N,\lambda}(L) = \{L' \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda : \varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L_0\}.$$

and

$$\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) = \left\{ L' \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda : \varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L_0, \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L'_0} \right) = a \right\}.$$

**Lemma 4.2.1.** *Given  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ ,*

$$\Pi_{N,\lambda}(L) = \bigcup_{a: 0 \leq a \leq 2Nr} \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L).$$

*Proof.* If  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}(L)$  then  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$  and the  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -module  $\varepsilon^{-N} L_0 / L'_0$  is naturally isomorphic to  $(\varepsilon^{-N} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0) / (L'_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0)$ , so

$$\dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L'_0} \right) \leq \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{\varepsilon^N L_0} \right) = 2Nr.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 4.2.2.** *The space  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  is a projective algebraic variety for each  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  and  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \leq a \leq 2Nr$*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be the  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -module  $\varepsilon^{-1-N} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0$ , which has dimension  $(2N+1)r$  over  $\mathbf{k}$ . Let  $d_i = 2Nr - a + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The correspondence between submodules of  $\varepsilon^{-1-N} L_0$  which contain  $\varepsilon^N L_0$  and submodules of  $\varepsilon^{-1-N} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0$  determines a map

$$\rho: \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{d_1}(W) \times \dots \times \mathrm{Gr}_{d_n}(W),$$

with  $\rho(L') = (L'_1 / \varepsilon^N L_0, \dots, L'_n / \varepsilon^N L_0)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of  $(U_1, \dots, U_n) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{d_1}(W) \times \dots \times \mathrm{Gr}_{d_n}(W)$  with  $U_i \subseteq U_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\varepsilon U_n \subseteq U_1$ . Lemma 4.1.2 shows that each of these conditions is closed, so  $\mathcal{X}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathrm{Gr}_{d_1}(W) \times \dots \times \mathrm{Gr}_{d_n}(W)$ , therefore  $\mathcal{X}$  is a projective algebraic variety.

The image of  $\rho$  is contained in  $\mathcal{X}$  since

$$\varepsilon L'_n / \varepsilon^N L_0 = L'_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_1 / \varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L'_n / \varepsilon^N L_0.$$

Suppose  $(U_1, \dots, U_n) \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $U_i$  is a  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -module, since  $\varepsilon U_i \subseteq \varepsilon U_n \subseteq U_1 \subseteq U_i$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , so  $U_i$  lifts uniquely to a  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -module  $L'_i$  with  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_i \subseteq$

$\varepsilon^{-1-N}L_0$ . Therefore  $L'_1, \dots, L'_n$  are  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -lattices with  $L_i \subseteq L_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\varepsilon L'_n \subseteq L'_1$ , with

$$\dim(\varepsilon^{-1-N}L_0/L'_n) = \dim(W/W_n) = (2N+1)r - d_n = a$$

and

$$\dim(L'_i/L'_{i-1}) = \dim(W_i/W_{i-1}) = d_i - d_{i-1} = \lambda_i,$$

for each  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore there is a unique  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  such that  $\rho(L') = (W_1, \dots, W_n)$ , where  $L'$  is given by  $L'_{i+cn} = \varepsilon^{-c}L'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows  $\rho$  is injective and  $\text{im } \rho = \mathcal{X}$ , which is a projective variety, so  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  is a projective variety.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.3.** *Given  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  and  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \leq a \leq 2Nr$ ,  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  is closed in  $\Pi_{N+1,\lambda}^{a+r}(L)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ , then  $\varepsilon^{N+1}L_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N}L_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-(N+1)}L_0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-(1+N)}L_0}{L'_0}\right) &= \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-(1+N)}L_0}{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}\right) + \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{L'_0}\right) \\ &= \dim\left(\frac{L_0}{\varepsilon L_0}\right) + \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{L'_0}\right) \\ &= r + a, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \subseteq \Pi_{N+1,\lambda}^{a+r}(L)$ . For  $L' \in \Pi_{N+1,\lambda}^{a+r}(L)$ , if additionally  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N}L_0$ , then

$$\dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-(N+1)}L_0}{L'_0}\right) = r + \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{L'_0}\right),$$

which shows  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ . Therefore  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  is the subspace of  $\Pi_{N+1,\lambda}^{a+r}(L)$  defined by the two closed conditions  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0$  and  $L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N}L_0$ , using Lemma 4.1.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.4.** *Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ ,  $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $L, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq 2Nr$ ,  $0 \leq b \leq 2Mr$ .  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \cap \Pi_{M,\lambda}^b(\tilde{L})$  is a closed set in  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \cap \Pi_{M,\lambda}^b(\tilde{L})$  is the subset of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  defined by the additional conditions that  $\varepsilon^M \tilde{L}_0 \subseteq L'_0$  and  $L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-M} \tilde{L}_0$ , so is a closed subset of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ , using 4.1.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.5.** *Suppose  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $N, a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  with  $a \leq 2Nr$ . For each  $g \in G$ , the restriction of the action map*

$$g: \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \rightarrow \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(gL)$$

*is an isomorphism of projective algebraic varieties.*

*Proof.* If  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  and  $g \in G$ , then

$$\varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$$

and so

$$\varepsilon^N g(L_0) \subseteq g(L'_0) \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} g(L_0),$$

which proves that  $gL \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(gL)$ . Thus the action of  $g$  defines a map from  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  to  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(gL)$  which is an isomorphism of projective algebraic varieties since  $g$  is an automorphism of  $V$ , with the inverse morphism given by multiplying by  $g^{-1}$ .  $\square$

#### 4.2.1 Action through an algebraic group

Let  $W$  be the  $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ -module  $\varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0$ .  $\varepsilon^{2N+1}$  acts as zero on  $W$  and

$$\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] / \langle \varepsilon^{2N+1} \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]} W$$

is a free  $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] / \langle \varepsilon^{2N+1} \rangle$ -module of rank  $r$ . In particular,  $W$  is a complex vector space of dimension  $(2N+1)r$ .

Each element  $g \in G_L$  determines an endomorphism  $\bar{g}$  of  $W$ , given by

$$\bar{g}(x + \varepsilon^N L_0) = g(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0,$$

for each  $x \in \varepsilon^{-1-N} L_0$ . Given  $g, h \in G_L$ ,  $\overline{gh} = \bar{g}\bar{h}$  and so  $\bar{g}$  is an automorphism of  $W$  and the inverse of  $\bar{g}$  is  $\bar{g}^{-1}$ . Therefore the map  $\bar{\cdot} : G_L \mapsto \text{GL}(W)$  given by  $g \mapsto \bar{g}$  is a group homomorphism with kernel

$$H_{N,L} := \{g \in G_L : \bar{g} = 1\},$$

which consists of those  $g \in G_L$  such that

$$g(x) - x \in \varepsilon^N L_0$$

for each  $x \in \varepsilon^{-1-N} L_0$ . Thus  $G_L / H_{N,L}$  may be identified with a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(W)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.6.**  $G_L / H_{N,L}$  is a connected algebraic group.

*Proof.* As a result of the first isomorphism theorem,  $G_L / H_{N,L}$  is isomorphic to the image of  $G_L$  in  $\text{GL}(W)$ , which will be described explicitly by equations in the coordinate functions on  $\text{GL}(W)$ , with respect to a fixed basis of  $W$ .

Let  $\{\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_r\}$  be a basis of  $L_n / L_0$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  which is adapted to the flag

$$L_1 / L_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L_{n-1} / L_0 \subseteq L_n / L_0,$$

so that

$$L_i / L_0 = \langle \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i} \rangle$$

for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Fix  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in L_n$  such that  $\tilde{x}_i = x_i + L_0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then

$$L_i = L_0 + \langle x_1, \dots, x_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i} \rangle$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

Then  $W$  has a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\{y_j : 1 \leq j \leq (2N+1)r\}$  given by

$$y_{i+cr} = \varepsilon^{-c+N} x_i$$

for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $c \in \{0, \dots, 2N\}$ . Observe that  $\varepsilon y_i = 0$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $\varepsilon y_i = y_{i-r}$  for  $r < i \leq (2N+1)r$ .

The coordinate functions on  $\mathrm{GL}(W)$  with respect to this choice of basis are the maps

$$\gamma_{i,j} : \mathrm{GL}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq (2N+1)r$ , given by

$$g(y_j) = \sum_i \gamma_{i,j}(g) y_i,$$

for each  $j = 1, \dots, (2N+1)r$ .

The image of  $G_L$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(W)$  is the subgroup defined by the conditions

$$\gamma_{i,j} = \gamma_{i-r,j-r}$$

for each  $i, j \in \{r+1, \dots, (2N+1)r\}$  and

$$\gamma_{i,j} = 0$$

for each  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, (2N+1)r\}$  with  $i > \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_s$  and  $j \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_s$  for some  $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . This shows that the image of  $G_L$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(W)$  is a connected algebraic group and therefore  $G_L/H_{N,L}$  is a connected algebraic group.

With respect to the basis  $\{y_i : i \in \{1, \dots, (2N+1)r\}\}$ , the image of  $G_L$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(W)$  consists of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{2N} \\ 0 & A_0 & A_1 & \cdots & A_{2N-1} \\ 0 & 0 & A_0 & \cdots & A_{2N-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_{2N} \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$  is the parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$  which is the stabiliser of the flag

$$L_1/L_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L_{n-1}/L_0 \subseteq L_n/L_0.$$

□

Given  $g \in G$ , the map  $G_L \rightarrow G_{gL}$  sending  $h$  to  $ghg^{-1}$  is a group isomorphism which descends to an isomorphism of algebraic groups  $G_L/H_{N,L} \rightarrow G_{gL}/H_{N,gL}$ . Thus we have a commuting diagram of morphisms of varieties, where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_L/H_{N,L} \times \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_{gL}/H_{N,gL} \times \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(gL) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(gL) \end{array}$$

### 4.2.2 Incidence in affine flag varieties

Given  $N, a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_0$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\left\{ (L', L'') \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{N,\mu}^b(L) : \dim \left( \frac{L'_i}{L'_i \cap L''_j} \right) \leq c \right\}$$

is a closed set in  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{N,\mu}^b(L)$  since

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_i}{L'_i \cap L''_j} \right) = \dim \left( \frac{L'_i / \varepsilon^M L_0}{L'_i / \varepsilon^M L_0 \cap L''_j / \varepsilon^M L_0} \right).$$

**Lemma 4.2.7.** *Given  $N, a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\left\{ L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) : \dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) \leq c \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) : \dim \left( \frac{L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) \leq c \right\}$$

are closed sets in  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ .

*Proof.* This is a result of Lemma 4.1.2, since

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) = \dim \left( \frac{L_i / \varepsilon^M L_0}{L_i / \varepsilon^M L_0 \cap L'_j / \varepsilon^M L_0} \right),$$

where  $M \geq N$  is chosen so that  $\varepsilon^M L_0 \subseteq L_i \subseteq \varepsilon^{-M} L_0$  and  $\varepsilon^M L_0 \subseteq L'_j \subseteq \varepsilon^{-M} L_0$  for each  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ .  $\square$

## 4.3 Geometry of orbits

Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$  and write  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ . Recall that

$$X_A^L = \{L' \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A\}.$$

**Lemma 4.3.1.** *There is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X_A^L \subseteq \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ , where  $a = d_{nN,0}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* There is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $a_{i,j} = 0$  whenever  $|j - i| > nN$ . If  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$  then

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_0}{L'_0 \cap \varepsilon^{-N} L_0} \right) = \dim \left( \frac{L'_0}{L'_0 \cap L_{nN}} \right) = \sum_{s > nN, t \leq 0} a_{s,t} = 0,$$

so it follows  $L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$ . Similarly,

$$\dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^N L_0}{\varepsilon^N L_0 \cap L'_0} \right) = \dim \left( \frac{L_{-nN}}{L_{-nN} \cap L'_0} \right) = \sum_{s \leq -nN, t > 0} a_{s,t} = 0,$$

which shows  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0$ . Moreover,

$$\dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L'_0} \right) = \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0 \cap L'_0} \right) = \sum_{s \leq nN, t > 0} a_{s,t} = d_{nN,0}(A),$$

as a result of Lemma 2.1.12.  $\square$

Assume  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  is chosen so that  $X_A^L \subseteq \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ , where  $a = d_{nN,0}(A)$ , as in Lemma 4.3.1.

**Lemma 4.3.2.**  $X_A^L$  is a locally closed subset of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ . In particular,  $X_A^L$  is a quasiprojective variety.

*Proof.* If  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  then

$$L_{-Nn} = \varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0 \subseteq L'_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L'_n \subseteq \varepsilon^{-1-N} L_0 = L_{(N+1)n}.$$

Therefore  $X_A^L$  is the subset of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  defined by the conditions  $\dim(L_i/L_i \cap L'_j) = d_{i,j}(A)$  for  $i : -Nn \leq i < j$  and  $\dim(L'_j/L_i \cap L'_j) = \bar{d}_{i,j}(A)$  for  $i : j < i \leq (N+1)n$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

The set of  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  with  $\dim(L_i/L_i \cap L'_j) \leq d_{i,j}(A)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $i : -Nn \leq i < j$  and  $\dim(L'_j/L_i \cap L'_j) \leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(A)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $i : j < i \leq (N+1)n$  is a closed subset of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ , as a result of Lemma 4.2.7.

On the other hand, the set of  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  satisfying the conditions

$$\dim(L_i/L_i \cap L'_j) \geq d_{i,j}(A)$$

for  $i < j$  and

$$\dim(L'_j/L_i \cap L'_j) \geq \bar{d}_{i,j}(A)$$

for  $i > j$  is open in  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  since the complement is closed, as a result of Lemma 4.2.7.

Therefore  $X_A^L$  is the intersection of an open set and a closed set in  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ , so  $X_A^L$  is locally closed. It follows that  $X_A^L$  is an open subset of the projective variety  $\overline{X_A^L}$ , so is a quasiprojective variety as claimed.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.3.**  $X_A^L$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* For any  $L' \in X_A^L$ ,  $X_A^L = G_L/H_{N,L} \cdot L'$ . Lemma 4.2.6 shows that  $G_L/H_{N,L}$  is a connected algebraic group which acts algebraically on  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$ . The image of  $G_L/H_{N,L}$  under the morphism  $g \mapsto gL'$  equals  $X_A^L$ , which shows  $X_A^L$  is irreducible since  $G_L/H_{N,L}$  is irreducible.  $\square$

Consequently,  $\overline{X_A^L}$  is an irreducible projective variety and the action of  $G_L/H_{N,L}$  on  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  restricts to an algebraic group action on  $\overline{X_A^L}$  for which there are finitely many orbits. In particular,  $\overline{X_A^L} \setminus X_A^L$  is a union of finitely many orbits which are so-called degenerations of the orbit  $X_A^L$ .

## 4.4 Geometry of orbit products

Let  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and write  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$  and  $\mu = \text{co}(B)$ . Fix  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$ . Recall

$$Y_{A,B}^L = \{(L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda \times \mathcal{F}_\mu : L' \in X_A^L, L'' \in X_B^{L'}\}$$

and

$$X_{A,B}^L = \{L'' \in \mathcal{F}_\mu : \exists L' \text{ with } (L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}^L\}.$$



**Lemma 4.4.1.** *There is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$Y_{A,B}^L \subseteq \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L),$$

where  $a = d_{nN,0}(A)$  and  $b = d_{nN,0}(B)$ .

*Proof.* There is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$  and  $\varepsilon^N L'_0 \subseteq L''_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L'_0$  for each  $(L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}^L$ , using Lemma 4.3.1. Set  $a = d_{nN,0}(A)$  and  $b = d_{nN,0}(B)$ .

Then for any  $(L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}^L$ ,

$$\varepsilon^{2N} L_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^N L'_0 \subseteq L''_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-2N} L_0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-2N} L_0}{L''_0} \right) &= \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L'_0}{L''_0} \right) + \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-2N} L_0}{\varepsilon^{-N} L'_0} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L'_0}{L''_0} \right) + \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L'_0} \right) \\ &= a + b, \end{aligned}$$

as a result of Lemma 2.1.12, so  $(L', L'') \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$  as required.  $\square$

Now assume  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  is chosen so that  $Y_{A,B}^L \subseteq \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$ , where  $a = d_{nN,0}(A)$  and  $b = d_{nN,0}(B)$ , using Lemma 4.4.1.

**Lemma 4.4.2.**  *$Y_{A,B}^L$  is a locally closed subset of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$ . In particular,  $Y_{A,B}^L$  is a quasiprojective variety.*

*Proof.*  $Y_{A,B}^L$  is the subset of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$  consisting of those  $(L', L'')$  satisfying the following conditions:  $\dim(L_i/L_i \cap L'_j) = d_{i,j}(A)$  for  $i < j$ ,  $\dim(L'_j/L_i \cap L'_j) = \bar{d}_{i,j}(A)$  for  $i > j$ ,  $\dim(L'_i/L'_i \cap L''_j) = d_{i,j}(B)$  for  $i < j$  and  $\dim(L''_j/L'_i \cap L''_j) = \bar{d}_{i,j}(B)$ . Only finitely many conditions are required to define  $Y_{A,B}^L$  since there are only finitely many nonzero entries in  $A$  and  $B$  modulo the  $(n, n)$ -periodicity.

The conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(L_i/L_i \cap L'_j) &\leq d_{i,j}(A) \\ \dim(L'_i/L'_i \cap L''_j) &\leq d_{i,j}(B) \\ \dim(L'_j/L_i \cap L'_j) &\leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) \\ \dim(L''_j/L'_i \cap L''_j) &\leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(B) \end{aligned}$$

define closed subsets of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , as a result of Lemma 4.2.7.

On the other hand, the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(L_i/L_i \cap L'_j) &\geq d_{i,j}(A) \\ \dim(L'_i/L'_i \cap L''_j) &\geq d_{i,j}(B) \\ \dim(L'_j/L_i \cap L'_j) &\geq \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) \\ \dim(L''_j/L'_i \cap L''_j) &\geq \bar{d}_{i,j}(B) \end{aligned}$$

define open subsets of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , using Lemma 4.2.7.

Therefore  $Y_{A,B}^L$  is the intersection of finitely many open sets and finitely many closed sets in  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$ , so  $Y_{A,B}^L$  is locally closed. In particular,  $Y_{A,B}^L$  is a quasiprojective variety.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.3.** *For any  $L' \in X_A^L$ ,*

$$Y_{A,B}^L = G_L \cdot (\{L'\} \times X_B^{L'}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $L' \in X_A^L$ , then  $\{L'\} \times X_B^{L'}$  is contained in  $Y_{A,B}^L$  and  $G_L$  acts on  $Y_{A,B}^L$ , so  $G_L \cdot (\{L'\} \times X_B^{L'})$  is contained in  $Y_{A,B}^L$ . If  $(N', N'') \in Y_{A,B}^L$ , then  $N' = \sigma L'$  for some  $\sigma \in G_L$ , since  $N' \in X_A^L$ . Then  $(N', N'') = \sigma(L', \sigma^{-1}N'')$  and  $\sigma^{-1}N'' \in X_B^{\sigma^{-1}N'} = X_B^{L'}$ , so  $(N', N'') \in \sigma \cdot (\{L'\} \times X_B^{L'})$ . Therefore  $Y_{A,B}^L = G_L \cdot (\{L'\} \times X_B^{L'})$  as claimed.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.4.**  *$Y_{A,B}^L$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Let  $L' \in X_A^L$ .  $G_L/H_{2N,L}$  is a connected algebraic group acting algebraically on  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$  by Lemma 4.2.6.  $X_B^{L'}$  is an irreducible locally closed subset of  $\Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$ , so  $\{L'\} \times X_B^{L'}$  is an irreducible locally closed set in  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$ .  $Y_{A,B}^L = G_L \cdot (\{L'\} \times X_B^{L'}) = G_L/H_{2N,L} \cdot (\{L'\} \times X_B^{L'})$ , by Lemma 4.4.3, so it follows that  $Y_{A,B}^L$  is irreducible.  $\square$

Let  $p_2$  be the projection onto the second factor  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L) \rightarrow \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$ .  $p_2$  is a closed morphism since  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  is a projective variety and therefore complete, by Lemma 4.2.2. Therefore  $p_2(\overline{Y_{A,B}^L}) = \overline{X_{A,B}^L}$ , since  $p_2(Y_{A,B}^L) = X_{A,B}^L$ .

**Lemma 4.4.5.**  *$X_{A,B}^L$  is irreducible and constructible.*

*Proof.* Proposition 4.4.4 shows that  $Y_{A,B}^L$  is irreducible and locally closed, so it follows  $X_{A,B}^L$  is irreducible and constructible, since  $X_{A,B}^L = p_2(Y_{A,B}^L)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.6.** *There is a unique open  $G_L$ -orbit in  $X_{A,B}^L$ .*

*Proof.*  $X_{A,B}^L$  consists of finitely many  $G_L$ -orbits and is an irreducible topological space, by Lemma 4.4.5. Consequently,  $X_C^L$  is dense in  $X_{A,B}^L$  for some  $C \in \Lambda_1$  such that  $g_{A,B,C} \neq 0$ . Lemma 4.3.2 shows that  $X_C^L$  is locally closed in  $X_{A,B}^L$ , so  $X_C^L$  is open in  $\overline{X_C^L} = X_{A,B}^L$ . Irreducibility of  $X_{A,B}^L$  shows that there is a unique open  $G_L$ -orbit, since two nonempty open sets in  $X_{A,B}^L$  intersect nontrivially, thus any two open  $G_L$  orbits in  $X_{A,B}^L$  coincide.  $\square$

Let  $A * B \in \Lambda_1$  denote the matrix corresponding to the dense open  $G_L$ -orbit in  $X_{A,B}^L$ , so  $\overline{X_{A*B}^L} = X_{A,B}^L$ .

## 4.5 Degenerations of orbits and the combinatorial partial order

**Proposition 4.5.1.** *Let  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{ro}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(A) = \text{co}(B)$ . If  $X_B^L \subseteq \overline{X_A^L}$  for some  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$  then  $B \leq A$  with respect to the hook order.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\mu = \text{ro}(A)$  and fix  $L \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$ . Assume  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  is chosen so that  $X_A^L \subseteq \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  and  $X_B^L \subseteq \Pi_{N,\lambda}^b(L)$ , where  $a = d_{nN,0}(A)$  and  $b = d_{nN,0}(B)$ . Then  $X_A^L$  is an open subset of the projective variety consisting of those  $L' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L)$  such that

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) \leq d_{i,j}(A)$$

and

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) \leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(A),$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Assume  $X_B^L \subseteq \overline{X_A^L}$ , then

$$d_{i,j}(B) = \dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) \leq d_{i,j}(A)$$

and

$$\bar{d}_{i,j}(B) = \dim \left( \frac{L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) \leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(A),$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for any  $L' \in X_B^L$ . So  $B \leq A$  if  $X_B^L \subseteq \overline{X_A^L}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.2.** In practice it seems that the converse of Proposition 4.5.1 is true, so that the closure order and the hook order are the same, although I have not been able to find a proof.

**Corollary 4.5.3.** *Suppose  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$ . Then*

$$A * B = \max\{C \in \Lambda_1 : g_{A,B,C} \neq 0\}.$$

## 4.6 Associativity of the generic product

Let  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(C)$  and fix  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$ . Write  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\mu = \text{co}(B)$  and  $\nu = \text{co}(C)$ . Define

$$Y_{A,B,C}^L = \left\{ (L', L'', L''') \in \mathcal{F}^3 : L' \in X_A^L, L'' \in X_B^{L'}, L''' \in X_C^{L''} \right\}$$

and

$$X_{A,B,C}^L = \left\{ L''' \in \mathcal{F} : \exists (L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^2 \text{ with } (L', L'', L''') \in Y_{A,B,C}^L \right\}.$$

**Lemma 4.6.1.** *There is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is contained in*

$$\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L) \times \Pi_{3N,\nu}^{a+b+c}(L),$$

where  $a = d_{nN,0}(A)$ ,  $b = d_{nN,0}(B)$  and  $c = d_{nN,0}(C)$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 4.3.1 shows that there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subseteq L'_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$ ,  $\varepsilon^N L'_0 \subseteq L''_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L'_0$  and  $\varepsilon^N L''_0 \subseteq L'''_0 \subseteq \varepsilon^{-N} L''_0$  for each  $(L', L'', L''') \in Y_{A,B,C}^L$ . Using the proof of Lemma 4.4.1, it follows  $L'' \in \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L)$  and  $L''' \in \Pi_{2N,\nu}^{b+c}(L') \subseteq \Pi_{3N,\nu}^{a+b+c}(L)$ .  $\square$

Assume  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  is chosen so that  $Y_{A,B,C}^L \subseteq \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L) \times \Pi_{3N,\nu}^{a+b+c}(L)$ , where  $a = d_{nN,0}(A)$ ,  $b = d_{nN,0}(B)$  and  $c = d_{nN,0}(C)$ , as in Lemma 4.6.1.

**Lemma 4.6.2.**  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is a locally closed subset of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L) \times \Pi_{3N,\nu}^{a+b+c}(L)$ . In particular,  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is a quasiprojective variety.

*Proof.* Write  $\Pi = \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L) \times \Pi_{3N,\nu}^{a+b+c}(L)$ . Then  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  consists of those  $(L', L'', L''') \in \Pi$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) = d_{i,j}(A), \quad (4.6.1)$$

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_i}{L'_i \cap L''_j} \right) = d_{i,j}(B), \quad (4.6.2)$$

$$\dim \left( \frac{L''_i}{L''_i \cap L'''_j} \right) = d_{i,j}(C), \quad (4.6.3)$$

for  $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \mathbb{Z}$  with  $i < j < (N+1)n$ , and

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) = \bar{d}_{i,j}(A), \quad (4.6.4)$$

$$\dim \left( \frac{L''_j}{L'_i \cap L''_j} \right) = \bar{d}_{i,j}(B), \quad (4.6.5)$$

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'''_j}{L''_i \cap L'''_j} \right) = \bar{d}_{i,j}(C), \quad (4.6.6)$$

for  $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \mathbb{Z}$  with  $-Nn < j < i$ .

For  $i < j$ , the conditions

$$\dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) \leq d_{i,j}(A)$$

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_i}{L'_i \cap L''_j} \right) \leq d_{i,j}(B)$$

$$\dim \left( \frac{L''_i}{L''_i \cap L'''_j} \right) \leq d_{i,j}(C)$$

define closed subsets of  $\Pi$ .

For  $i > j$ , the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\dim\left(\frac{L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_j}\right) &\leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) \\ \dim\left(\frac{L''_j}{L'_i \cap L''_j}\right) &\leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(B) \\ \dim\left(\frac{L'''_j}{L''_i \cap L'''_j}\right) &\leq \bar{d}_{i,j}(C)\end{aligned}$$

also define closed subsets of  $\Pi$ .

On the other hand, the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\dim\left(\frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j}\right) &\geq d_{i,j}(A) \\ \dim\left(\frac{L'_i}{L'_i \cap L''_j}\right) &\geq d_{i,j}(B) \\ \dim\left(\frac{L''_i}{L''_i \cap L'''_j}\right) &\geq d_{i,j}(C)\end{aligned}$$

define open subsets of  $\Pi$  for  $i < j$ . Similarly, the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\dim\left(\frac{L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_j}\right) &\geq \bar{d}_{i,j}(A) \\ \dim\left(\frac{L''_j}{L'_i \cap L''_j}\right) &\geq \bar{d}_{i,j}(B) \\ \dim\left(\frac{L'''_j}{L''_i \cap L'''_j}\right) &\geq \bar{d}_{i,j}(C)\end{aligned}$$

define open subsets of  $\Pi$  for  $i > j$ .

Therefore  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is the intersection of finitely many closed sets in  $\Pi$  with finitely many open subsets of  $\Pi$ , so  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is locally closed. In particular,  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is a quasiprojective variety.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.3.** *For any  $(L', L'', L''') \in Y_{A,B,C}^L$ ,*

$$Y_{A,B,C}^L = \{\alpha \cdot (L', \beta L'', \beta \gamma L''') : \alpha \in G_L, \beta \in G_{L'}, \gamma \in G_{L''}\}.$$

*In particular,*

$$Y_{A,B,C}^L = G_L \cdot \left(\{L'\} \times Y_{B,C}^{L'}\right)$$

*for each  $L' \in X_A^L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(L', L'', L''') \in Y_{A,B,C}^L$ . If  $\alpha \in G_L$ ,  $\beta \in G_{L'}$  and  $\gamma \in G_{L''}$  then

$$(\alpha L', \alpha \beta L'', \alpha \beta \gamma L''') \in Y_{A,B,C}^L$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} (L, \alpha L') &= \alpha(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \\ (\alpha L', \alpha \beta L'') &= \alpha \beta(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B \\ (\alpha \beta L'', \alpha \beta \gamma L''') &= \alpha \beta \gamma(L'', L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C \end{aligned}$$

For each  $(N', N'', N''')Y_{A,B,C}^L$  there exist  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in G$  with

$$\begin{aligned} (L, N') &= \sigma_1(L, L') \\ (N', N'') &= \sigma_2(L', L'') \\ (N'', N''') &= \sigma_3(L'', L'''). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha = \sigma_1$ ,  $\beta = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$  and  $\gamma = \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3$ , so  $\sigma_2 = \alpha\beta$  and  $\sigma_3 = \alpha\beta\gamma$ . It follows that

$$(N', N'', N''') = (\alpha L', \alpha \beta L'', \alpha \beta \gamma L'''),$$

which proves the first claim. The second claim follows from the first since  $(L'', L''') \in Y_{B,C}^{L'}$  and therefore

$$Y_{B,C}^{L'} = \{(\beta L'', \beta \gamma L''') : \beta \in G_{L'}, \gamma \in G_{L''}\},$$

as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.4.** *Suppose  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(C)$ . Then  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Write

$$\Pi = \Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L) \times \Pi_{3N,\nu}^{a+b+c}(L).$$

Lemma 4.2.2 shows that  $\Pi$  is a projective algebraic variety and Lemma 4.2.6 shows that  $G_L/H_{3N,L}$  is a connected algebraic group acting algebraically on  $\Pi$  by the diagonal action.

Let  $L' \in X_A^L$ . As a result of Lemma 4.6.3

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{A,B,C}^L &= G_L \cdot (\{L'\} \times Y_{B,C}^{L'}) \\ &= G_L/H_{3N,L} \cdot (\{L'\} \times Y_{B,C}^{L'}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.4.4 shows that  $Y_{B,C}^{L'}$  is irreducible, so  $\{L'\} \times Y_{B,C}^{L'}$  is irreducible. The image of  $\{L'\} \times Y_{B,C}^{L'}$  under the action of  $G_L/H_{3N,L}$  is irreducible, since  $G_L/H_{3N,L}$  is connected and therefore irreducible. Therefore  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is irreducible.  $\square$

Let  $p_3$  be the projection of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a(L) \times \Pi_{2N,\mu}^{a+b}(L) \times \Pi_{3N,\nu}^{a+b+c}(L)$  onto the third factor. By the completeness property of projective varieties,  $p_3$  is a closed morphism. The image of  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  under  $p_3$  is  $X_{A,B,C}^L$ , so

$$p_3(\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}) = \overline{X_{A,B,C}^L}.$$

**Lemma 4.6.5.** *Suppose  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(C)$ . Then  $X_{A,B,C}^L$  is irreducible and constructible.*

*Proof.* Lemma 4.6.2 and Proposition 4.6.4 show that  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is locally closed and irreducible. It follows  $X_{A,B}^L$  is irreducible and constructible, since  $X_{A,B,C}^L$  is the image of  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  under the morphism  $p_3$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.6.** *Suppose  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(C)$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$ . Then there is a unique open and dense  $G_L$ -orbit in  $X_{A,B,C}^L$ .*

*Proof.* There are only finitely many  $G_L$ -orbits in  $X_{A,B,C}^L$ . In particular,

$$X_{A,B,C}^L = \bigcup_{D \in \Lambda_1: g_{A,B,D} \neq 0} X_{D,C}^L = \bigcup_{D \in \Lambda_1: g_{A,B,D} \neq 0} \bigcup_{D' \in \Lambda_1: g_{D,C,D'} \neq 0} X_{D'}^L,$$

and

$$\overline{X_{A,B,C}^L} = \bigcup_{D \in \Lambda_1: g_{A,B,D} \neq 0} \bigcup_{D' \in \Lambda_1: g_{D,C,D'} \neq 0} \overline{X_{D'}^L}.$$

There is  $D \in \Lambda_1$  such that  $\overline{X_D^L} = \overline{X_{A,B,C}^L}$ , since  $X_{A,B,C}^L$  is irreducible, by Lemma 4.6.5. By Lemma 4.3.2,  $X_D^L$  is open in  $\overline{X_D^L} = \overline{X_{A,B,C}^L}$ , so  $X_D^L$  is open in  $X_{A,B,C}^L$ .

If  $X_D^L$  and  $X_{D'}^L$  are open in  $X_{A,B,C}^L$ , then  $X_D^L$  and  $X_{D'}^L$  have nonempty intersection since  $X_{A,B,C}^L$  is irreducible, then  $X_D^L = X_{D'}^L$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.7.** *Suppose  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(C)$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$ . Then  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A*B,C}^L)$  is open in  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$ .*

*Proof.* Projection onto the second component is a closed morphism of varieties  $p_2: \overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L} \rightarrow \overline{X_{A,B}^L}$  with  $p_2(Y_{A,B,C}^L) = X_{A,B}^L$ . It follows that  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A*B,C}^L)$  is open in  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$  since  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A*B,C}^L) = p_2^{-1}(X_{A*B}^L)$  and  $X_{A*B}^L$  is open in  $\overline{X_{A,B}^L}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.8.** *Suppose  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(C)$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$ . Then  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A,B*C}^L)$  is an open subset of  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$ .*

*Proof.*  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A,B*C}^L)$  consists of those  $(L', L'', L''') \in \overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$  such that

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'_i}{L'_i \cap L'''_j} \right) \geq d_{i,j}(B * C)$$

for  $i < j$  and

$$\dim \left( \frac{L'''_j}{L'_i \cap L'''_j} \right) \geq \bar{d}_{i,j}(B * C)$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $i > j$ . Each of these conditions defines an open subset of  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$  and  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A,B*C}^L)$  is determined by only finitely many of the conditions, so is the intersection of finitely many open sets in  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$ , so  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A,B*C}^L)$  is open in  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.9.** *Suppose  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(C)$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(A)}$ . Then*

$$X_{A*(B*C)}^L = X_{(A*B)*C}^L.$$

*Proof.* The unique open  $G_L$ -orbit in  $X_{A*B,C}^L$  is  $X_{(A*B)*C}^L$ , so  $p_3^{-1}(X_{(A*B)*C}^L)$  is open in  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A*B,C}^L)$  and Lemma 4.6.7 shows that  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A*B,C}^L)$  is open in  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$ , so  $p_3^{-1}(X_{(A*B)*C}^L)$  is open in  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$ .

Similarly,  $X_{A*(B*C)}^L$  is open in  $X_{A,B*C}^L$ , so  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A*(B*C)}^L)$  is open in  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A,B*C}^L)$  and Lemma 4.6.8 shows that  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A,B*C}^L)$  is open in  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$ , so it follows that  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A*(B*C)}^L)$  is open in  $\overline{Y_{A,B,C}^L}$ .

Therefore the intersection of  $p_3^{-1}(X_{A*(B*C)}^L)$  with  $p_3^{-1}(X_{(A*B)*C}^L)$  is nonempty since  $Y_{A,B,C}^L$  is irreducible, by Proposition 4.6.4. It follows that the  $G_L$ -orbits  $X_{A*(B*C)}^L$  and  $X_{(A*B)*C}^L$  have nonempty intersection and therefore are the same orbit.  $\square$

## 4.7 The generic affine algebra and a categorical perspective

The generic affine algebra of rank  $r$  and period  $n$ , denoted by  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ , is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear multiplication given by

$$e_A * e_B = e_{A*B}$$

for  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$ , and

$$e_A * e_B = 0$$

for  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) \neq \text{ro}(B)$ .

**Theorem 4.7.1.** *The generic algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with 1, with*

$$1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} 1_\lambda$$

where

$$1_\lambda = e_{D_\lambda},$$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$ . If  $\text{co}(A) \neq \text{ro}(B)$  or  $\text{co}(B) \neq \text{ro}(C)$ , then

$$(e_A * e_B) * e_C = 0 = e_A * (e_B * e_C),$$

so we may now suppose  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(C)$ .

As a result of Theorem 4.6.9,

$$\begin{aligned} (e_A * e_B) * e_C &= e_{(A*B)*C} \\ &= e_{A*(B*C)} \\ &= e_A * (e_B * e_C), \end{aligned}$$

so it follows  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra.



The expression for the multiplicative identity follows from Lemma 2.1.17, since

$$e_A * \left( \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} 1_\lambda \right) = e_A * 1_{\text{co}(A)} = e_A$$

and

$$\left( \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} 1_\lambda \right) * e_A = 1_{\text{ro}(A)} * e_A = e_A,$$

for each  $A \in \Lambda_1$ . □

**Proposition 4.7.2.** *The following constitutes a small category: the set of objects is  $\Lambda_0$  and the set of morphisms is  $\Lambda_1$ . Given compositions  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_0$ , the morphisms with source  $\mu$  and target  $\lambda$  are those matrices  $A \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(A) = \mu$  and  $\text{ro}(A) = \lambda$ . Given  $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda_0$  and  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  with  $\text{co}(B) = \nu$ ,  $\text{ro}(B) = \mu = \text{co}(A)$  and  $\text{ro}(A) = \lambda$ , their composition is  $A * B$ , with source  $\text{co}(A * B) = \text{co}(B) = \nu$  and target  $\text{ro}(A * B) = \text{ro}(A) = \lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Theorem 4.6.9 shows that the generic product  $*$  is associative. For each object  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , the identity morphism  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda$  is the diagonal matrix  $D_\lambda$ . □

Then the generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  may be realised as the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra of this category. Observe that there are only finitely many objects in this category and distinct objects are non-isomorphic, so the isomorphism classes in this category are in one to one correspondence with  $\Lambda_0$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra of this category is the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module on  $\Lambda_1$  with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear multiplication given by the generic product  $*$ .

## Chapter 5

# Towards a realisation of affine zero Schur algebras

The purpose of this chapter is to study the link between the generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  and the affine 0-Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$ .

### 5.1 Preliminary results on the generic affine algebra

Recall from Chapter 4 that the generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra which is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module on  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1\}$  with multiplication defined by

$$e_A * e_B = \begin{cases} e_{A*B} & : \text{ if } \text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B) \\ 0 & : \text{ if } \text{co}(A) \neq \text{ro}(B) \end{cases}$$

for  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$ .

For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ , define

$$E_{i,\lambda} = e_{D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}}$$

and let

$$E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0 : \lambda_{i+1} > 0} E_{i,\lambda}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $\lambda_i > 0$ , define

$$F_{i,\lambda} = e_{D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i} - \mathcal{E}_{i,i}}$$

and let

$$F_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0 : \lambda_i > 0} F_{i,\lambda}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.1.** *Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and write  $\mu = \text{ro}(A)$ .*

*If  $\mu_{i+1} = 0$  then  $E_i * e_A = 0$ . If  $\mu_{i+1} > 0$ , then*

$$E_i * e_A = e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{i,p} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,p}},$$

where

$$p = \max\{j \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i+1,j} > 0\}.$$

If  $\mu_i = 0$  then  $F_i * e_A = 0$ . If  $\mu_i > 0$  then

$$F_i * e_A = e_{A+\mathcal{E}_{i+1,p}-\mathcal{E}_{i,p}},$$

where

$$p = \min\{j \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i,j} > 0\}.$$

*Proof.* The corresponding product in the affine  $q$ -Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  is

$$E_i \cdot e_A = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i+1,j} > 0} q^{\sum_{t>j} a_{i,t}} [[a_{i,j} + 1]] e_{A+\mathcal{E}_{i,j}-\mathcal{E}_{i+1,j}},$$

by Lemma 3.1.2.

If  $\mu_{i+1} = 0$  then  $E_i * e_A = 0$  by definition. Suppose  $\mu_{i+1} > 0$  and let

$$p = \max\{j \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i+1,j} > 0\},$$

then

$$A + \mathcal{E}_{i,j} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,j} < A + \mathcal{E}_{i,p} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,p}$$

when  $j < p$ , using Lemma 3.1.9. Therefore

$$E_i * e_A = e_{A+\mathcal{E}_{i,p}-\mathcal{E}_{i+1,p}}.$$

Similarly, the corresponding product in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  for  $F_i$  is

$$F_i \cdot e_A = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i,j} > 0} q^{\sum_{t<j} a_{i+1,t}} [[a_{i+1,j} + 1]] e_{A+\mathcal{E}_{i+1,j}-\mathcal{E}_{i,j}},$$

by Lemma 3.1.2.

If  $\mu_i = 0$  then  $F_i * e_A = 0$  by definition. Suppose  $\mu_i > 0$  and let

$$p = \min\{j \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i,j} > 0\}$$

then

$$A + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,j} - \mathcal{E}_{i,j} < A + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,p} - \mathcal{E}_{i,p}$$

for  $j > p$ , using Lemma 3.1.9. Therefore

$$F_i * e_A = e_{A+\mathcal{E}_{i+1,p}-\mathcal{E}_{i,p}}$$

□

Let  $S$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module automorphism of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  defined by

$$S(e_A) = e_{A^\top}$$

for each  $A \in \Lambda_1$ .

**Lemma 5.1.2.** *The map  $S$  is an idempotent  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra anti-automorphism of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ . In particular,*

$$S(e_A * e_B) = S(e_B) * S(e_A)$$

for each  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$  and  $S \circ S$  is the identity morphism on  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ . Moreover,

$$S(E_i) = F_i$$

$$S(F_i) = E_i$$

$$S(1_\lambda) = 1_\lambda$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

*Proof.* Using Lemma 3.1.1, the transpose defines a bijection

$$\{C \in \Lambda_1 : g_{A,B,C} \neq 0\} \rightarrow \{D \in \Lambda_1 : g_{B^\top, A^\top, D} \neq 0\}$$

which preserves the hook order on  $\Lambda_1$ , by Lemma 3.1.9. Observe that  $\text{co}(B^\top) = \text{ro}(B)$  and  $\text{ro}(A^\top) = \text{co}(A)$ , so that  $S(e_A * e_B)$  and  $S(e_B) * S(e_A)$  are both zero precisely when  $\text{co}(A) \neq \text{ro}(B)$ . If  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$ , these sets are nonempty and the maximum elements are related by the transpose, so

$$(A * B)^\top = B^\top * A^\top.$$

Therefore

$$S(e_A * e_B) = S(e_B)S(e_A)$$

for all  $A, B \in \Lambda_1$ , so  $S$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra anti-automorphism of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ .

The composition  $S \circ S$  maps  $e_A$  to  $S(e_{A^\top})$ , so  $S \circ S$  is the identity morphism on  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ .

As in Lemma 3.1.1,

$$S(E_{i,\lambda}) = F_{i,\lambda+\alpha_i}$$

$$S(F_{i,\lambda}) = E_{i,\lambda-\alpha_i}$$

$$S(1_\lambda) = 1_\lambda$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ . □

**Lemma 5.1.3.** *Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and write  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ .*

*If  $\lambda_j = 0$  then  $e_A * E_j = 0$ . If  $\lambda_j > 0$  then*

$$e_A * E_j = e_{A+\mathcal{E}_{p,j+1}-\mathcal{E}_{p,j}},$$

where

$$p = \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i,j} > 0\}.$$

*If  $\lambda_{j+1} = 0$  then  $e_A * F_j = 0$ . If  $\lambda_{j+1} > 0$  then*

$$e_A * F_j = e_{A+\mathcal{E}_{p',j}-\mathcal{E}_{p',j+1}},$$

where

$$p' = \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i,j+1} > 0\}.$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately on applying the transpose involution to the formulas for the action of  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  on the left given in Lemma 5.1.1.

Equally, this result can be proven directly using the formulas for the action of  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  on the right in Lemma 3.1.3, as in the proof of Lemma 5.1.1.  $\square$

For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , define

$$R_\lambda = e_{[1]D_\lambda} = e_{\lambda_1 \varepsilon_{0,1} + \dots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_{n-1,n}}$$

and set

$$R = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} R_\lambda.$$

**Lemma 5.1.4.** *For each  $A \in \Lambda_1$ ,*

$$R * e_A = e_{[1]A}$$

and

$$e_A * R = e_{A[-1]}.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 3.1.12 shows that the same formulas hold in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ , then the result follows for the generic multiplication  $*$ , since each product  $R * e_A$  and  $e_A * R$  is supported on one orbit, so the generic multiplication and the product on  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  are the same in this instance.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.1.5.** *The element  $R$  of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is invertible, with*

$$R * S(R) = 1 = S(R) * R.$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$S(R) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{[-1]D_\lambda},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} R * S(R) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} R e_{[-1]D_\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{D_\lambda} \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 5.1.4.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} S(R) * R &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{D_\lambda[1]} * R \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} e_{D_\lambda} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Let  $\tau$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra automorphism of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  defined by

$$\tau(e_A) = R^{-1}e_A R$$

for each  $A \in \Lambda_1$ .

**Lemma 5.1.6.** *The automorphism  $\tau$  is unipotent of order  $n$ . Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(E_i) &= E_{i+1} \\ \tau(F_i) &= F_{i+1} \\ \tau(1_\lambda) &= 1_{\lambda[-1]}\end{aligned}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

*Proof.* Using Lemma 5.1.4,

$$\tau^m(e_A) = e_{[-m]A[-m]}$$

for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then using the  $(n, n)$ -periodicity property in  $\Lambda_1$  it follows that  $\tau^n$  is the identity morphism on  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  and  $\tau^m \neq 1$  for  $0 < m < n$ , so  $\tau$  is unipotent of order  $n$ .

It also follows from Lemma 5.1.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(E_{i,\lambda}) &= E_{i+1,\lambda[-1]} \\ \tau(F_{i,\lambda}) &= F_{i+1,\lambda[-1]} \\ \tau(1_\lambda) &= 1_{\lambda[-1]}\end{aligned}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(E_i) &= E_{i+1} \\ \tau(F_i) &= F_{i+1}\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . □

## 5.2 Multiplicative bases in affine zero Schur algebras: example

Recall that the affine 0-Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  is defined to be the associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra

$$\hat{S}_0(n, r) = \mathbb{Z}[q]/(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \hat{S}_q(n, r).$$

In particular,  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis

$$\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1\}$$

with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear product given by

$$e_A e_B = \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1} g_{A,B,C}(0) e_C$$

for  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1$ , where the polynomials  $g_{A,B,C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  are the structure constants in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ .

The multiplicative identity in  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  is

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} 1_\lambda.$$

The result of the shifting lemma, Lemma 3.1.12, also holds in  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$ . In particular,

$$Re_A = e_{[1]A}$$

and

$$e_AR = e_{A[-1]},$$

for each  $A \in \Lambda_1$ .

Now assume  $r = 1$ , so

$$\Lambda_1(n, 1) = \{\mathcal{E}_{i,j} : (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_0(n, 1) = \{\varepsilon_n, \dots, \varepsilon_1\}.$$

**Lemma 5.2.1.** *The distinguished basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n, 1)\}$  is a multiplicative basis of  $\hat{S}_0(n, 1)$ . More precisely,*

$$e_{\mathcal{E}_{i,j}} e_{\mathcal{E}_{j,k}} = e_{\mathcal{E}_{i,k}}$$

for  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and

$$e_{\mathcal{E}_{i,j}} e_{\mathcal{E}_{k,l}} = 0$$

for  $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $j \neq k$  modulo  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Lemma 3.1.12 shows that

$$e_{\mathcal{E}_{i,j}} = R^{j-i} 1_{\varepsilon_j},$$

where the subscript of  $\varepsilon_j$  is taken modulo  $n$ .

If  $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $j \neq k$  modulo  $n$ , then

$$\text{co}(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = \varepsilon_j \neq \varepsilon_k = \text{ro}(\mathcal{E}_{k,l}),$$

so

$$e_{\mathcal{E}_{i,j}} e_{\mathcal{E}_{k,l}} = 0.$$

Finally, let  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathcal{E}_{i,j}} e_{\mathcal{E}_{j,k}} &= R^{j-i} 1_{\varepsilon_j} R^{k-j} 1_{\varepsilon_k} \\ &= R^{j-i} R^{k-j} 1_{\varepsilon_k} \\ &= R^{k-i} 1_{\varepsilon_k} \\ &= e_{\mathcal{E}_{i,k}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n, 1)\}$  of  $\hat{S}_0(n, 1)$  is a multiplicative basis.  $\square$

This result also shows that the product in  $\hat{S}_0(n, 1)$  is the same as the generic product, since

$$e_A e_B = e_{A*B}$$

if  $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$ , and

$$e_A e_B = 0$$

if  $\text{co}(A) \neq \text{ro}(B)$ , for  $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, 1)$ .

**Corollary 5.2.2.** *For each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\hat{S}_0(n, 1) = \hat{G}(n, 1).$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Lemma 5.2.1 and the comment which follows the proof.  $\square$

### 5.3 Aperiodicity in the generic affine algebra

**Definition 5.3.1.** An element  $A \in \Lambda_1$  is aperiodic if for each  $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  there exists  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a_{i, i+l} = 0$ .

An element of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is said to be aperiodic if it is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of basis elements  $e_A$  corresponding to the aperiodic elements in  $\Lambda_1$ . For example, the elements  $1_\lambda$ ,  $E_{i, \lambda}$  and  $F_{i, \lambda}$  are all aperiodic. When  $r < n$ , any element  $A \in \Lambda_1$  is aperiodic since there must be a zero row and a zero column in  $A$ .

**Lemma 5.3.2.** *Suppose  $A \in \Lambda_1$  is aperiodic and write  $\mu = \text{ro}(A)$ .*

- i. If  $\mu_{i+1} > 0$ , then  $E_i * e_A$  is aperiodic.*
- ii. If  $\mu_i > 0$ , then  $F_i * e_A$  is aperiodic.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \in \Lambda_1$  be aperiodic and let  $\mu = \text{ro}(A)$ .

Suppose  $\mu_{i+1} > 0$ . There is  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a_{i+1, p} > 0$  and  $a_{i+1, p'} = 0$  whenever  $p' > p$ . Lemma 3.1.2 shows that  $E_i * e_A = e_B$ , where  $B = A + \mathcal{E}_{i, p} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1, p}$ . Let  $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $l \notin \{p - i, p - i - 1\}$ , then  $b_{s, s+l} = a_{s, s+l}$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so there is  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b_{s, s+l} = a_{s, s+l} = 0$ , since  $A$  is aperiodic. If  $l = p - i$ , then  $b_{i+1, i+1+l} = b_{i+1, p+1} = a_{i+1, p+1} = 0$ , by maximality of  $p$ . If  $l = p - i - 1$ , there is  $s \neq i + 1$  such that  $a_{s, s+l} = 0$ , since  $A$  is aperiodic and  $a_{i+1, i+1+l} = a_{i+1, p} > 0$ , so  $b_{s, s+l} = a_{s, s+l} = 0$ . Therefore,  $B = A + \mathcal{E}_{i, p} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1, p}$  is aperiodic.

Suppose  $\mu_i > 0$ . Lemma 3.1.2 shows that  $F_i * e_A = e_C$  where  $C = A + \mathcal{E}_{i+1, p} - \mathcal{E}_{i, p}$  and  $p = \min\{p' \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i, p'} > 0\}$ . Let  $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $l \notin \{p - i, p - i - 1\}$  then  $c_{s, s+l} = a_{s, s+l}$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so there is  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $c_{s, s+l} = a_{s, s+l} = 0$ , by aperiodicity of  $A$ . If  $l = p - i$ , then  $a_{i, i+l} = a_{i, p} > 0$ , so there is  $s \neq i$  such that  $a_{s, s+l} = 0$ . Then  $c_{s, s+l} = a_{s, s+l} = 0$ . Finally, if  $l = p - i - 1$ , then  $c_{i, i+l} = a_{i, p-1} = 0$  by minimality of  $p$ . Thus  $C$  is aperiodic as required.  $\square$

Suppose  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  and

$$\omega = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_m,$$

where

$$\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m \in \{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \cup \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}.$$



Either  $\omega * 1_\lambda = 0$  or  $\omega * 1_\lambda = e_A$  for some  $A \in \Lambda_1$ , where  $A$  is aperiodic, as a result of Lemma 5.3.2.

The next step is to prove a converse of this result. It will be shown that each of the aperiodic basis elements  $e_A$  in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  can be expressed in the form  $\omega 1_\lambda$ , where  $\omega$  is a word in  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  and  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ . This will be proven by induction on the *weight* of a matrix by showing how any aperiodic basis element can be written as the product of some  $E_i$  or  $F_i$  with an aperiodic basis element of strictly smaller weight.

**Definition 5.3.3.** For each  $A \in \Lambda_1$ , define the *weight* of  $A$  to be the non negative integer

$$\text{wt}(A) = \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} |j - i| a_{i,j}.$$

Observe that

$$\text{wt}(A) = \sum_{[i,j]: i < j} (j - i) a_{i,j} + \sum_{[i,j]: i > j} (i - j) a_{i,j}.$$

Also write  $\text{wt}(e_A) = \text{wt}(A)$ . Then  $1_\lambda$  has weight 0, and  $E_{i,\lambda}$  and  $F_{i,\lambda}$  have weight 1. In fact, the converse also holds: If  $\text{wt}(A) = 0$  then  $e_A = 1_\lambda$  where  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ , and if  $\text{wt}(A) = 1$  then  $e_A$  is  $E_{i,\lambda}$  or  $F_{i,\lambda}$  for some  $i$ , where  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ .

**Lemma 5.3.4.** Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and write  $\mu = \text{ro}(A)$ . Suppose  $\mu_{i+1} > 0$  and set

$$p = \max\{p' \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i+1,p'} > 0\}.$$

If  $p > i$  then

$$\text{wt}(E_i * e_A) = 1 + \text{wt}(e_A)$$

and if  $p \leq i$  then

$$\text{wt}(E_i * e_A) = -1 + \text{wt}(e_A).$$

*Proof.* Lemma 5.1.1 shows that

$$E_i * e_A = e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{i,p} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,p}}$$

so

$$\text{wt}(E_i * e_A) - \text{wt}(e_A) = |p - i| - |p - i - 1|,$$

which equals 1 if  $p > i$  and equals  $-1$  if  $p \leq i$ . □

**Lemma 5.3.5.** Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $\mu = \text{ro}(A)$ . Suppose  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $\mu_i > 0$  and let

$$q = \min\{q' \in \mathbb{Z} : a_{i,q'} > 0\}.$$

If  $q \leq i$  then

$$\text{wt}(F_i * e_A) = \text{wt}(e_A) + 1$$

and if  $q > i$  then

$$\text{wt}(F_i * e_A) = \text{wt}(e_A) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Again using Lemma 5.1.1,

$$F_i * e_A = e_{A + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,q} - \mathcal{E}_{i,q}},$$

so

$$\text{wt}(F_i * e_A) - \text{wt}(e_A) = |q - i - 1| - |q - i|,$$

which equals  $-1$  if  $q > i$  and equals  $1$  if  $q \leq i$ . □

**Lemma 5.3.6.** *If  $A \in \Lambda_1$  is aperiodic, then*

$$e_A = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_m 1_\lambda$$

for some

$$\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m \in \{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \cup \{F_1, \dots, F_n\},$$

where  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$  and  $m = \text{wt}(A)$ .

*Proof.* The proof uses induction on the weight of  $A$ .

If  $\text{wt}(A) = 0$  then  $A = D_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ , so

$$e_A = 1_\lambda.$$

Assume  $\text{wt}(A) > 0$ . Then  $A$  has at least one nonzero entry which is not on the diagonal.

Suppose the upper part of  $A$  is nonzero and set

$$h^+ = \max\{j - i : a_{i,j} \neq 0\}.$$

There is  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $a_{i,i+h^+} > 0$  and  $a_{i+1,i+1+h^+} = 0$ , using the aperiodicity property of  $A$ . Let  $p$  be the smallest integer such that  $p > i$ ,  $a_{i,p} > 0$  and  $a_{i+1,j} = 0$  for  $j > p$ .

Then

$$e_A = E_i * e_B$$

where  $B = A + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,p} - \mathcal{E}_{i,p}$ . Moreover,  $B$  is aperiodic and

$$\text{wt}(B) = \text{wt}(A) - 1,$$

using Lemma 5.3.4.

Next suppose the lower part of  $A$  is nonzero and set

$$h^- = \max\{i - j : a_{i,j} > 0\}.$$

There is  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $a_{i,i-h^-} = 0$  and  $a_{i+1,i+1-h^-} > 0$ , by the aperiodicity property of  $A$ . Let  $q$  be the largest integer such that  $q < i+1$ ,  $a_{i+1,q} > 0$  and  $a_{i,j} = 0$  for  $j < q$ . Then  $q \geq i - h^-$  and

$$e_A = F_i e_B,$$

where

$$B = A + \mathcal{E}_{i,q} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,q}.$$

Observe  $B$  is aperiodic and

$$\text{wt}(B) = \text{wt}(A) - 1,$$

by Lemma 5.3.5.

Therefore, if  $\text{wt}(A) > 0$  there exists an aperiodic element  $B \in \Lambda_1$  with

$$\text{wt}(B) = \text{wt}(A) - 1$$

and such that

$$e_A = \omega e_B$$

for some  $\omega \in \{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \cup \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ .

It follows that any aperiodic basis element  $e_A$  is the product of a word of length  $\text{wt}(A)$  in  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  with the idempotent  $1_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.7.** *The subalgebra of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  generated by  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $1_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis*

$$\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1 \text{ is aperiodic}\}.$$

*Proof.* By definition, this subalgebra is spanned by the nonzero products in  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $1_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , which are exactly the aperiodic basis elements, by Lemma 5.3.2 and Lemma 5.3.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.8.** *In the case  $r < n$ ,  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is generated by  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $1_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .*

*Proof.* When  $r < n$ , any  $A \in \Lambda_1$  is aperiodic since  $\text{co}(A)$  has a zero entry, so  $A$  has a column of zero entries. Therefore each of the basis elements  $e_A$  in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  may be written as a product of the  $E_i$ ,  $F_i$  and  $1_\lambda$ , using Proposition 5.3.7.  $\square$

## 5.4 Quiver presentation of the generic affine algebra.

Let  $n$  and  $r$  be integers with  $n \geq 3$  and  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(n, r)$  be the quiver associated to the affine  $q$ -Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ , as defined in Section 3.2.2.

Recall that  $\Gamma$  is the quiver with set of vertices  $\Lambda_0$  and arrows

$$e_{i,\lambda} : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda + \alpha_i \text{ for } (i, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \Lambda_0 \text{ with } \lambda_{i+1} > 0,$$

and

$$f_{i,\lambda} : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda - \alpha_i \text{ for } (i, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \Lambda_0 \text{ with } \lambda_i > 0.$$

Recall that the path  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra of  $\Gamma$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis consisting of the paths in  $\Gamma$  and with multiplication defined by concatenation of paths. If  $p$  and  $q$  are paths in  $\Gamma$  then the product  $pq$  is the path  $q$  followed by  $p$  if the target of  $q$  equals the source of  $p$ , otherwise  $pq$  equals zero.

For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$e_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0 : \lambda_{i+1} > 0} e_{i,\lambda}$$

and

$$f_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0: \lambda_i > 0} f_{i,\lambda}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$  generated by the following expressions, which are obtained from the relations in the  $q$ -Schur algebra by setting  $q$  equal to 0:

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_j - e_j e_i \\ f_i f_j - f_j f_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $j \neq i \pm 1$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_{i+1}^2 - e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1} \\ e_i^2 e_{i+1} - e_i e_{i+1} e_i \\ f_{i+1}^2 f_i - f_{i+1} f_i f_{i+1} \\ f_{i+1} f_i^2 - f_i f_{i+1} f_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$e_i f_j - f_j e_i$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $i \neq j$ ;

$$e_i f_i - f_i e_i - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} c_{i,\lambda} k_\lambda$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , where

$$c_{i,\lambda} = \begin{cases} 1 & : \text{if } \lambda_{i+1} = 0, \lambda_i > 0 \\ 0 & : \text{if } \lambda_i > 0, \lambda_{i+1} > 0 \\ -1 & : \text{if } \lambda_i = 0, \lambda_{i+1} > 0. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying each expression above with the idempotents  $k_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  gives a relation involving paths with common source and target vertices, thus  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear relations in  $\Gamma$ . The ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$  is generated by the following set of relations:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i,\lambda+\alpha_j} e_{j,\lambda} - e_{j,\lambda+\alpha_i} e_{i,\lambda} \\ f_{i,\lambda-\alpha_j} f_{j,\lambda} - f_{j,\lambda-\alpha_i} f_{i,\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $j \neq i \pm 1$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i,\lambda+2\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i+1,\lambda+\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i+1,\lambda} - e_{i+1,\lambda+\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i,\lambda+\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i+1,\lambda} \\ e_{i,\lambda+\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i,\lambda+\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i+1,\lambda} - e_{i,\lambda+\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i+1,\lambda+\alpha_i} e_{i,\lambda} \\ f_{i+1,\lambda-\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+1}} f_{i+1,\lambda-\alpha_i} f_{i,\lambda} - f_{i+1,\lambda-\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+1}} f_{i,\lambda-\alpha_{i+1}} f_{i+1,\lambda} \\ f_{i+1,\lambda-2\alpha_i} f_{i,\lambda-\alpha_i} f_{i,\lambda} - f_{i,\lambda-\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+1}} f_{i+1,\lambda-\alpha_i} f_{i,\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ;

$$e_{i,\lambda-\alpha_j} f_{j,\lambda} - f_{j,\lambda+\alpha_i} e_{i,\lambda}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $i \neq j$ ;

$$e_{i,\lambda-\alpha_i} f_{i,\lambda} - f_{i,\lambda+\alpha_i} e_{i,\lambda}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  with  $\lambda_i > 0$  and  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ ;

$$e_{i,\lambda-\alpha_i} f_{i,\lambda} - k_\lambda$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $\lambda_i > 0$  and  $\lambda_{i+1} = 0$ ;

$$f_{i,\lambda+\alpha_i} e_{i,\lambda} - k_\lambda$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  with  $\lambda_i = 0$  and  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ .

**Lemma 5.4.1.** *The following identities hold in the generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_j &= E_j E_i \\ F_i F_j &= F_j F_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $j \neq i \pm 1$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_{i+1}^2 &= E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} \\ E_i^2 E_{i+1} &= E_i E_{i+1} E_i \\ F_{i+1}^2 F_i &= F_{i+1} F_i F_{i+1} \\ F_{i+1} F_i^2 &= F_i F_{i+1} F_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$E_i F_j = F_j E_i$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $i \neq j$ ;

$$E_i F_i - F_i E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} c_{i,\lambda} 1_\lambda$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $j > i + 1$ , so  $\{i, i + 1\}$  and  $\{j, j + 1\}$  are disjoint, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_j &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} E_i [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{j,j+1} - \mathcal{E}_{j+1,j+1}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{j,j+1} - \mathcal{E}_{j+1,j+1}] \\ &= E_j E_i \end{aligned}$$

Then applying the transpose involution yields the second equation:

$$F_i F_j - F_j F_i = -S([E_i, E_j]) = 0.$$

Using the fundamental multiplication rules 5.1.1 and 5.1.3, for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_{i+1}^2 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} E_i [D_\lambda + 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + 2\mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2} + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} E_{i+1} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i+2,i+2}], \end{aligned}$$

so  $E_i E_{i+1}^2 = E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^2 E_{i+1} &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + 2\mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i,i}] E_{i+1} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i,i}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_{i+1} E_i &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} - \mathcal{E}_{i,i}] E_i \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+2} + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - 2\mathcal{E}_{i,i}], \end{aligned}$$

so  $E_i^2 E_{i+1} = E_i E_{i+1} E_i$ .

The relations between  $F_i$  and  $F_{i+1}$  may be deduced using the transpose involution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i+1}^2 F_i &= S(E_i E_{i+1}^2) \\ &= S(E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1}) \\ &= F_{i+1} F_i F_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i+1} F_i^2 &= S(E_i^2 E_{i+1}) \\ &= S(E_i E_{i+1} E_i) \\ &= F_i F_{i+1} F_i. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_i F_j &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} E_i [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{j+1,j} - \mathcal{E}_{j,j}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{j+1,j} - \mathcal{E}_{j,j} + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_j E_i &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} F_j [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1}] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{j+1,j} - \mathcal{E}_{j,j}], \end{aligned}$$

so  $E_i F_j = F_j E_i$ .

Finally, for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$E_i F_i = \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i > 0, \lambda_{i+1} = 0} 1_\lambda + \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i > 0, \lambda_{i+1} > 0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i} - \mathcal{E}_{i,i}]$$

and

$$F_i E_i = \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i = 0, \lambda_{i+1} > 0} 1_\lambda + \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i > 0, \lambda_{i+1} > 0} [D_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{i,i+1} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i+1} + \mathcal{E}_{i+1,i} - \mathcal{E}_{i,i}],$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} E_i F_i - F_i E_i &= \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i > 0, \lambda_{i+1} = 0} 1_\lambda - \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i = 0, \lambda_{i+1} > 0} 1_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} c_{i,\lambda} 1_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.4.1 shows that there is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{G}(n, r)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(k_\lambda + \mathcal{J}) &= 1_\lambda \\ \rho(e_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{J}) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \rho(f_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{J}) &= F_{i,\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ . Thus  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  may also be regarded as an algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$  where the action of a path  $p$  is given by

$$e_A \cdot p = e_A \rho(p + \mathcal{J})$$

for all  $A \in \Lambda_1$ .

**Proposition 5.4.2.** *The image of  $\rho$  is spanned by the aperiodic basis elements. If  $r < n$  then  $\rho$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* The image of  $\rho$  is the subalgebra of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  generated by  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $1_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , which has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis

$$\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1, A \text{ is aperiodic.}\},$$

using Proposition 5.3.7. If  $r < n$  then every  $A \in \Lambda_1$  is aperiodic, since  $A$  must contain a zero row or column. Therefore  $\rho$  is surjective when  $r < n$ . □

Recall the definition of standard paths in  $\Gamma$ , from Definition 3.2.6. There is a bijection between the set of standard paths in  $\Gamma$  and the standard monomial basis in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  indexed by  $\Lambda_1$ , using Lemma 3.2.10.

The expression for the standard path of  $A$  is derived by contracting the rows of  $A$  so that each step produces zero entries on the highest or lowest diagonal, yielding the element  $D_\lambda$  where  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$  after finitely many steps. Computing the image of a standard path in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  by computing the segments from left to right constructs  $e_A$  slice by slice. The segment  $p_{s+1}^+$  produces the diagonal at level  $s$  while the segment  $p_{s+1}^-$  produces the diagonal at level  $-s$ . In order to describe this process precisely we now give some notation for the row contractions of  $A$ .

Given  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and  $s \geq 1$  define elements  $(s)A$  and  $A(s)$  in  $\Lambda_1$  by

$$((s)A)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j} & \text{if } i - j > s, \\ 0 & \text{if } i - j < s, \\ \sum_{t \leq j} a_{i,t} & \text{if } i - j = s \end{cases}$$

and

$$(A(s))_{i,j} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j} & \text{if } j - i < s, \\ 0 & \text{if } j - i > s, \\ \sum_{t \geq j} a_{i,t} & \text{if } j - i = s \end{cases}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Observe that  $(0)A(0) = D_\lambda$  where  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$ ;  $(0)A$  is upper triangular and coincides with  $A$  above the diagonal;  $A(0)$  is lower triangular and coincides with  $A$  below the diagonal;  $\text{ro}((s)A) = \text{ro}(A)$  and  $\text{ro}(A(s)) = \text{ro}(A)$ . Also define the *height* of  $A$  as

$$\text{ht}(A) = \max\{|j - i| : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{i,j} > 0\}$$

so that  $(h)A = A$  and  $A(h) = A$  for  $h \geq \text{ht}(A)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.3.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and let  $p = k_\lambda p_1^+ \cdots p_h^+ p_1^- \cdots p_h^-$  be the standard path for  $A$ . Then*

$$e_{A(s-1)} \cdot p_s^+ = e_{A(s)}$$

and

$$e_{(s-1)A} \cdot p_s^- = e_{(s)A}$$

for each  $s \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B = A(s-1) \cdot p_s^+$ . Using the fundamental multiplication rules in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ , Lemma 5.1.3, it follows that

$$B = A(s-1) + \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \alpha_{i,s} (\mathcal{E}_{i,i+s} - \mathcal{E}_{i,i+s-1}).$$

So  $b_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$  if  $j - i < s - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,i+s-1} &= \alpha_{i,s-1} - \alpha_{i,s} \\ &= a_{i,i+s-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b_{i,i+s} = \alpha_{i,s},$$



which proves that  $B = A(s)$ .

Similarly, let  $B = (s-1)A \cdot p_s^-$ . Using Lemma 5.1.3 it follows that

$$B = (s-1)A + \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \beta_{i-1, s} (\mathcal{E}_{i, i-s} - \mathcal{E}_{i, i-s+1}).$$

So  $b_{i, j} = a_{i, j}$  if  $i - j < s - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i, i-s+1} &= \beta_{i-1, s-1} - \beta_{i-1, s} \\ &= a_{i, i-s+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b_{i, i-s} = \beta_{i-1, s},$$

which proves  $B = (s)A$ . □

**Lemma 5.4.4.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and let  $p$  be the standard path for  $A$ . Then*

$$\rho(p + \mathcal{J}) = e_A.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$ ,  $\mu = \text{co}(A)$ ,  $h = \text{ht}(A)$  and let

$$p = k_\lambda p_1^+ \cdots p_h^+ p_1^- \cdots p_h^- k_\mu$$

be the standard path for  $A$ .

The standard path for  $(0)A$  is  $k_\lambda p_1^+ \cdots p_h^+$ , by Lemma 3.2.13, so

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(0)A} &= e_{(0)A(h)} \\ &= e_{(0)A(0)} \cdot p_1^+ \cdots p_h^+ \end{aligned}$$

by repeatedly applying Lemma 5.4.3. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} e_A &= e_{(h)A} \\ &= e_{(0)A} \cdot p_1^- \cdots p_h^-, \end{aligned}$$

since  $p$  is the standard path for  $A$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e_A &= e_{(0)A(0)} \cdot p_1^+ \cdots p_h^+ p_1^- \cdots p_h^- \\ &= e_{D_\lambda} \cdot p \\ &= \rho(p + \mathcal{J}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 5.4.5.** The result of Lemma 5.4.4 gives another way to see that the homomorphism  $\rho$  from the quiver algebra to  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is surjective provided  $r < n$ . When  $r \geq n$ , the image of the quiver algebra in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is spanned by the aperiodic basis elements, by Proposition 5.4.2.

Recall the definition of the positive and negative parts  $A^+$  and  $A^-$  of a matrix  $A \in \Lambda_1$ , as in Definition 3.2.11.

**Lemma 5.4.6.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1$ . Then*

$$e_A = e_{A^+} e_{A^-}$$

*and in terms of  $G$ -orbits,*

$$[L, L'] = [L, L \cap L'] [L \cap L', L'].$$

*Proof.* Let

$$p = k_\lambda p^+ k_\mu p^-$$

be the standard path for  $A$ . Then  $k_\lambda p^+$  is the standard path for  $A^+$  and  $k_\mu p^-$  is the standard path for  $A^-$ , by Lemma 3.2.13. Then Lemma 5.4.4 proves that

$$\begin{aligned} e_A &= \rho(p + \mathcal{J}) \\ &= \rho(k_\lambda p^+ + \mathcal{J}) \rho(k_\mu p^- + \mathcal{J}) \\ &= e_{A^+} e_{A^-}. \end{aligned}$$

The second part then follows from Lemma 3.2.12 which states that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{A^+} &= [L, L \cap L'] \\ \mathcal{O}_{A^-} &= [L \cap L', L'] \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ . □

**Definition 5.4.7.** A path is said to be *reduced* if it is not equivalent to a shorter path modulo  $\mathcal{J}$ .

**Lemma 5.4.8.** *A standard path is reduced.*

*Proof.* If  $p$  is a standard positive or negative path then  $p$  is reduced, since the relations only involving the edges  $e_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  or  $f_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  are homogeneous polynomials, so any equivalent path is of the same length.

Now suppose  $p = k_\lambda p^+ p^- k_\mu$  is a standard path for a standard positive path  $k_\lambda p^+$  and a standard negative path  $p^- k_\mu$ . The number of arrows in  $p$  is

$$l = \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} \alpha_{i,s} + \beta_{i,s}.$$

Let  $A$  be the matrix corresponding to the standard path  $p$ , so that  $p = p_A$  as in Lemma 3.2.10. The minimum number of  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  in an expression for  $e_A$  in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is

$$\text{wt}(A) = \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} |j - i| a_{i,j},$$

using Lemma 5.3.6, so  $l \geq \text{wt}(A)$ .

Recall that

$$\alpha_{i,s} = \sum_{t \geq s} a_{i,i+t}$$

and

$$\beta_{i-1,s} = \sum_{t \geq s} a_{i,i-s},$$

so

$$\sum_{s \geq 1} \alpha_{i,s} = \sum_{s \geq 1} s a_{i,i+s}$$

and

$$\sum_{s \geq 1} \beta_{i-1,s} = \sum_{s \geq 1} s a_{i,i-s}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} \alpha_{i,s} + \beta_{i,s} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} s(a_{i,i+s} + a_{i,i-s}) \\ &= \text{wt}(A), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $p$  is reduced.  $\square$

The next result gives a more general form of the 0-Serre relations in the quiver algebra for  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ , which will be useful in transforming a path into a standard path.

**Lemma 5.4.9.** *Let  $t > s \geq 0$  be integers. Then*

$$e_i^s e_{i+1}^s e_i - e_i^{s+1} e_{i+1}^s = 0 \quad (5.4.1)$$

$$e_i^s e_{i+1}^t - e_{i+1}^{t-s} e_i^s e_{i+1}^s = 0 \quad (5.4.2)$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J}$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* First we prove Equation (5.4.1) holds. If  $s = 0$  the result is a tautology and if  $s = 1$  this is the usual 0-Serre relation. Suppose  $s > 1$  and Equation (5.4.1) holds for smaller values. By repeatedly using the 0-Serre relations

$$e_i^2 e_{i+1} - e_i e_{i+1} e_i = 0$$

it follows that

$$e_i^s e_{i+1} = e_i e_{i+1} e_i^{s-1} \quad (5.4.3)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^s e_{i+1}^s e_i &= e_i e_{i+1} e_i^{s-1} e_{i+1}^{s-1} e_i \quad (\text{by (5.4.3).}) \\ &= e_i e_{i+1} e_i^s e_{i+1}^{s-1} \quad (\text{by induction.}) \\ &= e_i^{s+1} e_{i+1} e_{i+1}^{s-1} \quad (\text{by (5.4.3).}) \\ &= e_i^{s+1} e_{i+1}^s. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove Equation (5.4.2) holds. If  $s = 0$  the result is clear. Suppose  $s > 0$  and Equation (5.4.2) holds for smaller values. Using the 0-Serre relations repeatedly gives

$$e_i e_{i+1}^t = e_{i+1}^{t-1} e_i e_{i+1} \quad (5.4.4)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i^s e_{i+1}^t &= e_i^{s-1} e_{i+1}^{t-1} e_i e_{i+1} \quad (\text{by (5.4.4).}) \\
&= e_{i+1}^{t-s} e_i^{s-1} e_{i+1}^{s-1} e_i e_{i+1} \quad (\text{by induction.}) \\
&= e_{i+1}^{t-s} e_i^s e_{i+1}^{s-1} e_{i+1} \quad (\text{by (5.4.1).}) \\
&= e_{i+1}^{t-s} e_i^s e_{i+1}^s.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 5.4.10.** *Let  $t > s \geq 0$  be integers. Then*

$$f_{i+1}^s f_i^{s+1} - f_i f_{i+1}^s f_i^s = 0$$

and

$$f_{i+1}^s f_i^s f_{i+1}^{t-s} - f_{i+1}^t f_i^s = 0$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J}$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Applying the transpose involution to the relations in Lemma 5.4.9 yields these relations, since  $e_i$  is mapped to  $f_i$  and the order of multiplication is reversed. □

**Lemma 5.4.11.** *Assume that  $r < n$  and let  $p$  be a nonzero path. Then  $p$  does not contain a cyclic section*

$$c = e_i^{a_i} e_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}} \cdots e_{i+1}^{e_{i+1}}$$

with the sum of the exponents  $\sum_{j \neq i} a_j > r$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $p$  contains such a cyclic section  $c$  and write  $p = p' k_\lambda c k_\mu p''$ . As  $p$  is nonzero it follows that

$$\mu = \lambda + a_i(\varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_i) + a_{i-1}(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-1}) + \cdots + a_{i+1}(\varepsilon_{i+2} - \varepsilon_{i+1})$$

so  $a_j \leq \lambda_j$  for  $j \neq i+1$  and  $a_{i+1} \leq \lambda_{i+1} + a_i$ . Then

$$\sum_{j \neq i} a_j \leq \sum_j \lambda_j = r,$$

contradicting the hypothesis that such a cyclic section exists. □

**Conjecture 5.4.12.** Let  $p$  be a standard path in  $\Gamma$ . If  $q$  is a path in  $\Gamma$  with  $q = p e_i$  or  $q = p f_i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $q$  is congruent to a standard path modulo  $\mathcal{J}$ .

**Conjecture 5.4.13.** When  $r < n$ , any path in  $\Gamma$  is congruent to a standard path modulo  $\mathcal{J}$ .

*Idea of proof.* Let  $p$  be a path in  $\Gamma$  and proceed by induction on the length of  $p$ . If  $p$  has length zero then  $p = k_\mu$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$ , so  $p$  is a standard path. If  $p$  has length one then  $p = k_\mu e_i$  or  $p = k_\mu f_i$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

Suppose  $p$  has length at least two and that any strictly shorter path is congruent to a standard path. Pulling out the first arrow, write  $p = p' e_i$  or  $p = p' f_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Using the inductive hypothesis we may assume  $p'$  is a standard path, so it will follow from Conjecture 5.4.12 that  $p$  is congruent to a standard path. □

**Conjecture 5.4.14.** Suppose  $r < n$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{G}(n, r)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(e_{i,\lambda}) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \rho(f_{i,\lambda}) &= F_{i,\lambda} \\ \rho(k_\lambda) &= 1_\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , is an isomorphism.

*Idea of proof.* Under the assumption  $r < n$ ,  $\rho$  is a surjective homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras, by Proposition 5.4.2.

Once Conjecture 5.4.13 has been proven, injectivity of  $\rho$  will follow: if  $p$  and  $p'$  are paths in  $\Gamma$  with

$$\rho(p + \mathcal{J}) = \rho(p' + \mathcal{J}) = e_A,$$

then assuming the result of Conjecture 5.4.13,  $p$  and  $p'$  are both congruent to the standard path corresponding to  $A$  modulo  $\mathcal{J}$ . It follows that  $\rho$  is injective, so is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras.  $\square$

## 5.5 The isomorphism conjecture

Fix integers  $n, r \geq 1$  with  $r < n$ . This section aims to give a realisation of the affine 0-Schur algebra by the generic affine algebra in the case that  $r < n$ . Recall that the affine 0-Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  is defined to be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra

$$\hat{S}_0(n, r) = \mathbb{Z}[q]/(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \hat{S}_q(n, r).$$

The inclusion of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  into  $\mathcal{Q}$  sending  $f$  to  $f/1$  gives an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras

$$\mathbb{Z}[q]/q\mathbb{Z}[q] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}/q\mathcal{Q} : a + q\mathbb{Z}[q] \mapsto a + q\mathcal{Q},$$

and both are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  itself. Therefore

$$\hat{S}_0(n, r) = \mathcal{Q}/q\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \hat{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}(n, r).$$

Recall that there is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebras

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma/I \rightarrow \hat{S}_q(n, r)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(e_{i,\lambda} + I) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi(f_{i,\lambda} + I) &= F_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi(k_\lambda + I) &= 1_\lambda\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

Let  $\phi_0$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\phi_0 = \mathcal{Q}/(q) \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \phi_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{S}_0(n, r),$$

which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_0(e_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{J}) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi_0(f_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{J}) &= F_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi_0(k_\lambda + \mathcal{J}) &= 1_\lambda\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

**Lemma 5.5.1.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism*

$$\phi_0: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{S}_0(n, r)$$

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* Proposition 3.2.17 shows that

$$\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Q}\Gamma/\mathcal{Q}I \rightarrow \hat{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}(n, r)$$

is a surjective  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism, so

$$\phi_0 = \mathcal{Q}/(q) \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \phi_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{S}_0(n, r)$$

is a surjective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism, using right exactness of tensor products.  $\square$

Let  $\rho$  denote the surjective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{G}(n, r)$$

from Proposition 5.4.2, given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(e_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{J}) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \rho(f_{i,\lambda} + \mathcal{J}) &= F_{i,\lambda} \\ \rho(k_\lambda + \mathcal{J}) &= 1_\lambda\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

**Conjecture 5.5.2.** Assume  $r < n$ . There is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras

$$\Psi: \hat{G}(n, r) \rightarrow \hat{S}_0(n, r)$$

such that  $\phi_0 = \Psi \circ \rho$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(E_{i,\lambda}) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \Psi(F_{i,\lambda}) &= F_{i,\lambda} \\ \Psi(1_\lambda) &= 1_\lambda\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ .

*Idea of proof.* Assume the result of Conjecture 5.4.14 holds, so

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \hat{G}(n, r)$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras. Then  $\Psi = \phi_0 \circ \rho^{-1}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism from  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  to  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  with

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(E_{i,\lambda}) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \Psi(F_{i,\lambda}) &= F_{i,\lambda} \\ \Psi(1_\lambda) &= 1_\lambda\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ . Lemma 5.5.1 proves that  $\phi_0$  is surjective, so  $\Psi$  is surjective.

Fix  $A \in \Lambda_1$  and let  $p$  be the standard path for  $A$  as in Definition 3.2.9, so that

$$\rho(p + \mathcal{J}) = e_A,$$

using Lemma 5.4.4. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(e_A) &= \phi_0(p + \mathcal{J}) \\ &= \sum_{B \in \Lambda_1: B \leq A} g_B(0) e_B,\end{aligned}$$

for some  $g_B \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}g_A(0) &= \left( \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s \geq 1} [[\alpha_{i,s}]]! [[\beta_{i,s}]]! \right)_{q=0} \\ &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 3.2.14, so

$$\Psi(e_A) = e_A + \sum_{B: B < A} g_B(0) e_B.$$

To prove that  $\Psi$  is injective, suppose  $x$  is a nonzero element of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  and write

$$x = \sum_{A \in \Lambda_1} c_A e_A$$

for some  $c_A \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\Xi = \{A \in \Lambda_1 : c_A \neq 0\}$ . There is a maximal element  $D$  in  $\Xi$  since  $\Xi$  is nonempty and finite. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(x) &= \sum_{A \in \Xi} c_A \Psi(e_A) \\ &= \sum_{A \in \Xi} \left( c_A e_A + \sum_{B \in \Xi: B < A} c_{A,B} e_B \right) \\ &= c_D e_D + \sum_{A \in \Xi: A < D} c'_A e_A\end{aligned}$$

and  $c_D \neq 0$ , so  $\Psi(x) \neq 0$ , which proves that  $\Psi$  is injective. Therefore  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras.  $\square$

## 5.6 The period 2 case

In the case  $n = 2$  the quiver  $\Gamma = \Gamma(2, r)$  associated to  $\hat{G}(2, r)$  consists of  $r + 1$  vertices (totally ordered) with two pairs of edges between adjacent vertices,  $(e_1, f_1)$  and  $(e_2, f_2)$ .

The following identities are a  $q = 0$  form of the  $q$ -Serre relations in Lemma 3.3.1:

**Lemma 5.6.1.** *The following identities hold in  $\hat{G}(2, r)$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_{i+1} E_i^2 &= E_i^2 E_{i+1} E_i \\ F_i F_{i+1} F_i^2 &= F_i^2 F_{i+1} F_i. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 E_2 E_1^2 &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + \mathcal{E}_{1,3} - \mathcal{E}_{1,1}] E_1^2 \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + \mathcal{E}_{1,4} - \mathcal{E}_{1,1}] E_1 \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + \mathcal{E}_{1,4} + \mathcal{E}_{1,2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{1,1}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^2 E_2 E_1 &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + 2\mathcal{E}_{1,2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{1,1}] E_2 E_1 \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + \mathcal{E}_{1,3} + \mathcal{E}_{1,2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{1,1}] E_1 \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} [D_\mu + \mathcal{E}_{1,4} + \mathcal{E}_{1,2} - 2\mathcal{E}_{1,1}], \end{aligned}$$

so  $E_1 E_2 E_1^2 = E_1^2 E_2 E_1$ .

Conjugation by  $R$  defines an idempotent automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(E_1) &= E_2 \\ \tau(E_2) &= E_1 \\ \tau(1_\lambda) &= 1_{\lambda[-1]}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$E_2 E_1 E_2^2 - E_2^2 E_1 E_2 = \tau(E_1 E_2 E_1^2 - E_1^2 E_2 E_1) = 0.$$

Finally, the identities involving  $F_i$  and  $F_{i+1}$  follow by applying the transpose involution, since

$$\begin{aligned} F_i F_{i+1} F_i^2 - F_i^2 F_{i+1} F_i &= S((E_i^2 E_{i+1} E_i - E_i E_{i+1} E_i^2)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . □



## Chapter 6

# Conclusion

### 6.1 The case of large $r$

When  $r \geq n$  the elements  $E_i$ ,  $F_i$  and  $1_\lambda$  in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  generate the subalgebra spanned by the aperiodic basis elements, so more elements will be required in a generating set. Additional relations will be required and it seems that the problem of finding generating relations becomes progressively more difficult as  $r$  increases by multiples of  $n$ . For instance, when  $n \leq r < 2n$ , the elements  $E_i$ ,  $F_i$ ,  $1_\lambda$  and  $R^{\pm 1}$  generate  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  and we have the additional relations

$$\begin{aligned} R^{-1}E_iR - E_{i+1} &= 0 \\ R^{-1}F_iR - F_{i+1} &= 0 \\ R^{-1}1_\lambda R - 1_{\lambda[-1]} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

but it is not clear whether these are generating relations for  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ . When  $r \geq 2n$ , yet more generators and relations will be needed. Since Lusztig's construction of quantum affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  is based on taking a limit in  $r$ , it will be interesting to understand the case of arbitrarily large  $r$ .

### 6.2 Further results on affine zero Schur algebras

An interesting problem would be to relate the non-negative subalgebra of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  to the composition monoid of a cyclic quiver, studied by [22] and Deng, Du and Mah [5]. Using the element  $R$  we may shift elements into the non-negative subalgebra of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  and understand the product in terms of generic extensions of quiver representations. The automorphism  $\tau$  of generic is then consistent with the Auslander-Reiten translation on the isomorphism classes of nilpotent representations of the cyclic quiver on  $n$  vertices.

The degeneration order on orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  implies the hook order on  $\Lambda_1$ , by Lemma compare partial orders. We conjecture that these two orders are equivalent, so that  $A \leq B$  if and only if

$$X_A^L \subseteq \overline{X_B^L}$$

for any  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro}(B)}$ .

It is expected that there are interesting links between the generic affine algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ , the affine 0-Hecke algebra  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0(r)$  and affine nil-Temperley-Lieb algebras, generalising the results in the finite type case by Jensen, Su and Yang [26].

# Bibliography

- [1] A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig, R. MacPherson, et al. “A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of  $GL_n$ .” In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 61.2 (1990), pp. 655–677.
- [2] K. Bongartz. “On degenerations and extensions of finite dimensional modules”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 121.2 (1996), pp. 245–287.
- [3] T. Bridgeland. “Quantum groups via Hall algebras of complexes”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* (2013), pp. 739–759.
- [4] B. Deng, J. Du, and Q. Fu. *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur–Weyl theory*. Vol. 401. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [5] B. Deng, J. Du, and A. Mah. “Generic extensions and composition monoids of cyclic quivers”. In: *Contem. Math* 602 (2013), pp. 99–114.
- [6] B. Deng and S. Ruan. *Hall polynomials for tame type*. 2015. eprint: [arXiv:1512.03504](#).
- [7] B. Deng and G. Yang. “On 0-Schur algebras”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 216.6 (2012), pp. 1253–1267.
- [8] B. Deng et al. *Finite dimensional algebras and quantum groups*. 150. American Mathematical Soc., 2008.
- [9] R. Dipper and G. James. “q-tensor space and q-Weyl modules”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 327.1 (1991), pp. 251–282.
- [10] R. Dipper and G. James. “The q-Schur Algebra”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 3.1 (1989), pp. 23–50.
- [11] S. Doty and A. Giaquinto. “Presenting Schur algebras”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2002.36 (2002), pp. 1907–1944.
- [12] S. R. Doty and R. M. Green. “Presenting affine q-Schur algebras”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 256.2 (2007), pp. 311–345.
- [13] R. Dou, Y. Jiang, and J. Xiao. *Hall algebra approach to Drinfeld’s presentation of quantum loop algebras*. 2010. eprint: [arXiv:1002.1316](#).
- [14] J. Du. “A note on quantized Weyl reciprocity at roots of unity”. In: *Algebra Colloq.* Vol. 2. 4. Citeseer. 1995, pp. 363–372.
- [15] J. Du and Q. Fu. “Quantum affine  $gl_n$  via Hecke algebras”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 282 (2015), pp. 23–46.
- [16] J. Du and Z. Zhao. *Multiplication formulas and canonical bases for quantum affine  $gl_n$* . 2016. eprint: [arXiv:1608.01423v1](#).

- [17] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot. “Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type  $A_n$ ”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* 1993.3 (1993), pp. 67–85.
- [18] R. M. Green. “ $q$ -Schur algebras as quotients of quantized enveloping algebras”. In: *Journal of algebra* 185.3 (1996), pp. 660–687.
- [19] R. M. Green. “The affine  $q$ -Schur algebra”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 215.2 (1999), pp. 379–411.
- [20] J. Harris. *Algebraic geometry: a first course*. Vol. 133. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [21] A. Hubery. *Hall polynomials for affine quivers*. 2007. eprint: [arXiv:math/0703178](#).
- [22] A. Hubery. *The composition algebra of an affine quiver*. 2004. eprint: [arXiv:math/0403206](#).
- [23] D. A. Hudec. *The Grassmanian as a Projective Variety*. 2007.
- [24] J. Humphreys. *Linear Algebraic Groups*. Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [25] B.T. Jensen and X. Su. “Geometric realisation of 0-Schur and 0-Hecke algebras”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 219.2 (2015), pp. 277–307.
- [26] B.T. Jensen, X. Su, and G. Yang. “Degenerate 0-Schur algebras and nil-Temperley-Lieb algebras”. In: *Algebra and Representation Theory* 23 (2020), pp. 1177–1196.
- [27] B.T. Jensen, X. Su, and G. Yang. “Projective modules of 0-Schur algebras”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 454 (2016), pp. 181–205.
- [28] G. Lusztig. “Aperiodicity in quantum affine  $gl_n$ ”. In: *Asian Journal of Mathematics* 3.1 (1999), pp. 147–178.
- [29] P. Morandi. *Algebraic Groups, Grassmannians, and Flag Varieties*. 1998.
- [30] M. Reineke. “Generic extensions and multiplicative bases of quantum groups at  $q = 0$ ”. In: *Represent. Theory* 5 (2001), pp. 147–163.
- [31] M. Reineke. “The monoid of families of quiver representations”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 84.3 (2002), pp. 663–685.
- [32] M. Reineke. *The quantic monoid and degenerate quantized enveloping algebras*. 2002. eprint: [arXiv:math/0206095](#).
- [33] C. M. Ringel. “Hall algebras”. In: *Banach Center Publications* 26.1 (1990), pp. 433–447.
- [34] C. M. Ringel. *The Hall algebra approach to quantum groups*. Sonderforschungsbereich 343, 1993.
- [35] X. Su. “A generic multiplication in quantised Schur algebras”. In: *Quarterly journal of mathematics* 61.4 (2010), pp. 497–510.
- [36] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot. “On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra”. In: *Duke mathematical journal* 100.2 (1999), pp. 267–297.