

A geometric realisation of affine 0-Schur algebras.

Tom Crawley

September 9, 2019

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The cyclic flags approach to affine <math>q</math>-Schur algebras.</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Cyclic flags . . . . .	3
2.2	Convolution algebras . . . . .	4
2.3	Affine $q$ -Schur algebras . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Quivers with relations for affine <math>q</math>-Schur algebras.</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Basic results: TO BE REPLACED WITH A MORE INFORMATIVE NAME. . .	6
3.2	quivers with relations . . . . .	8
3.2.1	Exceptional case $n = 2$ . . . . .	9
3.2.2	Typical case $n > 2$ . . . . .	9
<b>4</b>	<b>A generic affine Schur algebra.</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1	Introducing the affine generic algebra . . . . .	11
4.1.1	Not quite a category . . . . .	11
4.2	A partial order . . . . .	12
4.3	Preliminary results . . . . .	13
4.4	Existence of a maximum . . . . .	17
4.5	Associativity . . . . .	18
4.6	The generic algebra . . . . .	18
4.7	Multiplication rules . . . . .	19
4.8	– Chapter draft bin – . . . . .	20
4.8.1	locally closed orbits . . . . .	21
4.8.2	Associativity of the generic product . . . . .	21
<b>5</b>	<b>A realisation of affine zero Schur algebras</b>	<b>22</b>
5.1	Presentation of the generic algebra. . . . .	22
<b>6</b>	<b>Further directions</b>	<b>24</b>

## Chapter 1

# Introduction

## Chapter 2

# The cyclic flags approach to affine $q$ -Schur algebras.

Fix natural numbers  $n$  and  $r$ .

**Definition 2.0.1.** A composition of  $r$  into  $n$  parts is an  $n$ -tuple  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  of non-negative integers whose sum equals  $r$ . Denote the set of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts by  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$ .

**Definition 2.0.2.** Let  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$  be the set of matrices  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with non-negative integer entries  $a_{i,j}$  satisfying the following conditions: each row or column has only finitely many non-zero entries; the sum of the entries in any  $n$  consecutive rows or columns equals  $r$ ;  $a_{i-n,j-n} = a_{i,j}$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . These matrices are referred to as infinite periodic matrices.

**Definition 2.0.3.** Given  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ , let  $\text{ro } A$  and  $\text{co } A$  be the compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts given by

$$\text{ro } A = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{1,j}, \dots, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n,j} \right)$$

and

$$\text{co } A = \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,n} \right).$$

$A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  is said to go from  $\text{co } A$  to  $\text{ro } A$ .

## 2.1 Cyclic flags

Fix  $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$  and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the subalgebra generated by  $\varepsilon$ , so  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ . Let  $V$  be a free  $\mathcal{S}$ -module of rank  $r$ . Let  $G$  be the automorphism group of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -module  $V$ , so  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\text{GL}_r(\mathcal{S})$ . A  $(\mathcal{R})$ -lattice in  $V$  is a  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule  $L$  of  $V$  with  $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} L = V$ . In particular, a lattice is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule of  $V$  which is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module of rank  $r$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(n, r)$  be the set of collections  $(L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of lattices in  $V$  with  $L_i \subset L_{i+1}$  and  $\varepsilon L_i = L_{i-n}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . These collections of lattices in  $V$  are referred to as cyclic flags in  $V$ .

$G$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $(g \cdot L)_i = g(L_i)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , given  $g \in G$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ . The  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F}$  are indexed by the set  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts: the  $G$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{F}$  corresponding to  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$  is

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \left\{ L \in \mathcal{F} : \dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_{i-1}} \right) = \lambda_i \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

**Definition 2.1.1.** The periodic characteristic matrix of a pair of cyclic flags  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  is the matrix  $A(L, L') = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with entries

$$a_{i,j} = \dim \left( \frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_{j-1} + L_{i-1} \cap L'_j} \right)$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The diagonal action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  has orbits indexed by the set  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$  of infinite periodic matrices (see definition 2.0.2). The  $G$ -orbit corresponding to  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  is denoted  $\mathcal{O}_A$  and consists of those pairs  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  with periodic characteristic matrix  $A(L, L')$  equal to  $A$ .

define  $Y_{A,B}$ ,  $X_{A,B}$  in terms of the maps  $\pi, \delta$  and the product of orbits. Given  $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  define

$$X_{A,B} = \{(L, L'') : \exists L' \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}.$$

**Observation 1.** There are only finitely many  $G$ -orbits in  $X_{A,B}$ .

## 2.2 Convolution algebras

Suppose  $\mathbf{k}$  is a finite field and let  $q$  denote the number of elements of  $\mathbf{k}$ . Consider the set  $S$  of  $G$ -invariant functions  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  with constructible support.  $S$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with a basis consisting of the indicator functions of the  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ . Define an operation  $\star$  on  $S$  as follows: for each  $f, g \in S$ ,  $f \star g \in S$  is given by

$$(f \star g)(L, L'') = \sum_{L' \in \mathcal{F}} f(L, L')g(L', L''),$$

for  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ .

$f \star g$  is well defined since the supports of  $f$  and  $g$  consist of finitely many  $G$ -orbits, so there are only finitely many  $L' \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(L, L')g(L', L'') \neq 0$ , given  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ .  $f \star g$  is constant on  $G$ -orbits and is supported on finitely many  $G$ -orbits, so  $f \star g \in S$ .

**Lemma 2.2.1.** The set  $S$  together with the operation  $\star$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with identity element  $\iota$  given by  $\iota(L, L) = 1$  and  $\iota(L, L') = 0$  for  $L' \neq L$ .

*Proof.* Given  $f, g, h \in S$  and  $(L, L''') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ((f \star g) \star h)(L, L''') &= \sum_{L''} (f \star g)(L, L'')h(L'', L''') \\ &= \sum_{L''} \sum_{L'} f(L, L')g(L', L'')h(L'', L''') \\ &= (f \star (g \star h))(L, L'''), \end{aligned}$$

thus  $\star$  is associative.  $\iota$  is the multiplicative identity since

$$(\iota \star f)(L, L'') = \sum_{L'} \iota(L, L')f(L', L'') = f(L, L'')$$

and

$$(f \star \iota)(L, L'') = \sum_{L'} f(L, L')\iota(L', L'') = f(L, L''),$$

for each  $f \in S$  and  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ . □

Given  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ , let  $e_A \in S$  denote the indicator function of the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_A$ .  $S$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)\}$ . There exist  $\gamma_{A,B,C;q} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  such that

$$e_A \star e_B = \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)} \gamma_{A,B,C;q} e_C$$

for each  $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{A,B,C;q} &= (e_A \star e_B)(L, L'') \\ &= \sum_{L'} e_A(L, L') e_B(L', L'') \\ &= \#\{L' : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{O}_C$ .

## 2.3 Affine $q$ -Schur algebras

There exist a polynomial  $\gamma_{A,B,C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  for each  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  such that  $\gamma_{A,B,C}(q) = \gamma_{A,B,C;q}$  for any prime power  $q$ , following [ADD A REFERENCE][1]. The affine  $q$ -Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  (defined in [ADD A REFERENCE]) is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module with basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)\}$  and with multiplication given by

$$e_A e_B = \sum_C \gamma_{A,B,C} e_C.$$

$(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$  if and only if  $(L', L) \in \mathcal{O}_{A^\top}$ . In fact, the operation of transposition on  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  (or on  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$ ) induces an anti-automorphism of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.1.** *Transposition gives a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -modules  $\top : \hat{S}_q(n, r) \rightarrow \hat{S}_q(n, r)$  with  $\top(e_A) = e_{A^\top}$ ,  $\top \circ \top = 1$  and  $\top(e_A e_B) = \top(e_B) \top(e_A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  and let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a finite field with  $q = \#\mathbf{k}$  elements. If  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{O}_C$  then  $(L'', L) \in \mathcal{O}_{C^\top}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{A,B,C;q} &= \#\{L' : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\} \\ &= \#\{L' : (L'', L') \in \mathcal{O}_{B^\top} \text{ and } (L', L) \in \mathcal{O}_{A^\top}\} \\ &= \gamma_{B^\top, A^\top, C^\top;q} \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that  $\top(e_A e_B) = \top(e_B) \top(e_A)$ . □

## Chapter 3

# Quivers with relations for affine q-Schur algebras.

### 3.1 Basic results: TO BE REPLACED WITH A MORE INFORMATIVE NAME.

If  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  denote the ‘elementary matrix’ with entries given by  $(\mathcal{E}_{i,j})_{s,t} = 1$ , for  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , whenever  $(i, j) \sim (s, t)$  modulo  $(n, n)$  and all other entries are zero.

Given  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ , let  $D_\lambda \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  denote the diagonal matrix with  $r(D_\lambda) = c(D_\lambda) = \lambda$ . That is,

$$D_\lambda = \lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{1,1} + \cdots + \lambda_n \mathcal{E}_{n,n}$$

For  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ , write  $1_\lambda = e_{D_\lambda}$ . The  $1_\lambda$  are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  with  $1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} 1_\lambda$ .

Given  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , write  $X_{i,j} = \mathcal{E}_{i,j} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,j}$ . By convention,  $e_A = 0$  unless  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ .

For  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ , write

$$E_{i,\lambda} = e_{D_\lambda + X_{i,i+1}},$$

$$F_{i,\lambda} = e_{D_\lambda - X_{i,i}}.$$

Define

$$E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} E_{i,\lambda}$$

$$F_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} F_{i,\lambda}.$$

Observe that  $E_{i,\lambda} = 0$  unless  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$  and  $F_{i,\lambda} = 0$  unless  $\lambda_i > 0$ . Also,  $E_{i,\lambda} = E_i 1_\lambda$  and  $F_{i,\lambda} = F_i 1_\lambda$ .

**Lemma 3.1.1.** *Let  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ .*

$$E_i e_A = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\sum_{j>p} a_{i,j}} [a_{i,p} + 1] e_{A+X_{i,p}}$$

and

$$F_i e_A = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\sum_{j<p} a_{i+1,j}} [a_{i+1,p} + 1] e_{A-X_{i,p}}.$$

Note that these formulas are still valid in the cases  $E_i e_A = 0$  and  $F_i e_A = 0$ . There are similar formulas for right multiplication by  $E_i$  and  $F_i$ , which can be obtained by applying the transpose involution to the above formulas. The transpose relates the  $E_i$ ,  $F_i$  and  $1_\lambda$  in the following way:  $\top(E_{i,\lambda}) = F_{i,\lambda}$ ,  $\top(F_{i,\lambda}) = E_{i,\lambda-\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_{i+1}}$  and  $\top(1_\lambda) = 1_\lambda$ . In particular,  $\top(E_i) = F_i$  and  $\top(F_i) = E_i$ .

**Corollary 3.1.2.** *Let  $j \in [1, n]$  and  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ . Then*

$$e_A F_j = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\sum_{i>p} a_{i,j}} [a_{p,j} + 1] e_{A+X_{j,p}^\top}$$

and

$$e_A E_j = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\sum_{i<p} a_{i,j+1}} [a_{p,j+1} + 1] e_{A-X_{j,p}^\top}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} e_A F_j &= \top(E_j e_{A^\top}) \\ &= \top\left(\sum_p q^{\sum_{i>p} a_{i,j}} [a_{p,j} + 1] e_{A^\top + X_{j,p}}\right) \\ &= \sum_p q^{\sum_{i>p} a_{i,j}} [a_{p,j} + 1] e_{A+X_{j,p}^\top} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_A E_j &= \top(F_j e_{A^\top}) \\ &= \top\left(\sum_p q^{\sum_{i<p} a_{i,j+1}} [a_{p,j+1} + 1] e_{A^\top - X_{j,p}}\right) \\ &= \sum_p q^{\sum_{i<p} a_{i,j+1}} [a_{p,j+1} + 1] e_{A-X_{j,p}^\top} \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that  $E_i^{r+1} = F_i^{r+1} = 0$  while

$$E_i^r = [r]! e_r \varepsilon_{i,i+1}$$

and

$$F_i^r = [r]! e_r \varepsilon_{i+1,i}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.3** (quantum Serre relations:  $n \geq 3$ ). *Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . The following relations hold in  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ :*

$$E_i E_j - E_j E_i = 0$$

$$F_i F_j - F_j F_i = 0$$

unless  $j = i \pm 1$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_{i+1}^2 - (1+q) E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} + q E_{i+1}^2 E_i &= 0 \\ E_i^2 E_{i+1} - (1+q) E_i E_{i+1} E_i + q E_{i+1} E_i^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i+1} F_i^2 - (1+q) F_i F_{i+1} F_i + q F_i^2 F_{i+1} &= 0 \\ F_{i+1}^2 F_i - (1+q) F_{i+1} F_i F_{i+1} + q F_i F_{i+1}^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$



*Proof.* Here we introduce temporary notation for the basis elements: Write  $[A] = e_A$ .

Take  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ .

$$E_i E_{i+1}^2 1_\lambda = [2][D_\lambda + 2X_{i+1, i+2} + X_{i, i+2}] + [2][D_\lambda + 2X_{i+1, i+2} + X_{i, i+1}]$$

$$E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} 1_\lambda = [D_\lambda + 2X_{i+1, i+2} + X_{i, i+1}] + [2][D_\lambda + 2X_{i+1, i+1} + X_{i, i+1}]$$

$$E_{i+1}^2 E_i 1_\lambda = [2][D_\lambda + 2X_{i+1, i+2} + X_{i, i+1}]$$

Then

$$(E_i E_{i+1}^2 - (1+q)E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} + qE_{i+1}^2 E_i) 1_\lambda = 0,$$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ . The relation  $E_i E_{i+1}^2 - (1+q)E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} + qE_{i+1}^2 E_i = 0$  then follows.

The relations between  $F_i$  and  $F_{i+1}$  may be obtained directly, as above, or by applying the transpose operator to the relations already derived: note that the two sets of relations are related by swapping  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  and reversing the order of multiplication.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.4** (quantum Serre relations:  $n = 2$ ). *In the case  $n = 2$ , the quantum Serre relations will be of total degree 4. Look at the presentation of quantum groups for candidate relations. If that fails, brute force won't be too hard.*

**Lemma 3.1.5.**  $[E_i, F_j] = 0$  unless  $j = i$ .

$$E_i F_i - F_i E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} ([\lambda_i] - [\lambda_{i+1}]) 1_\lambda.$$

For  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ , let  $R_\lambda = e_{\lambda_1 \varepsilon_{0,1} + \dots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_{n-1,n}}$ . Write  $R = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} R_\lambda$ . Note  $R_\lambda = R 1_\lambda$ . Given  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $A[m] \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  be given by  $A[m]_{i,j} = a_{i,j+m}$  and let  $A^{[m]}$  be given by  $A^{[m]}_{i,j} = a_{i+m,j}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.6** (Shifting). *If  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  then*

$$R e_A = e_{A[\pm 1]}$$

and

$$e_A R = e_{A[\pm 1]}.$$

Conjugation by  $R$  gives an automorphism  $\rho$  of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  satisfying  $\rho^n = 1$ .

## 3.2 quivers with relations

Denote by  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  the set of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts. That is,  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  is the set of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with non-negative entries which sum to  $r$ . Let  $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be the  $i$ th elementary vector and write  $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$  for each  $i \in [1, n]$ . Then  $\lambda + \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$  if  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$  and  $\lambda - \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$  if  $\lambda_i > 0$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(n, r)$  be the quiver with set of vertices  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$ , with the following arrows:

For  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$  and  $i \in [1, n]$ , there is an arrow  $e_{i,\lambda} : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda + \alpha_i$  if  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$  and there is an arrow  $f_{i,\lambda} : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda - \alpha_i$  if  $\lambda_i > 0$ .

Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma$  the path  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra of  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module with a basis given by the set of paths in  $\Gamma$ , with multiplication given by the concatenation of paths. If  $p$  starts

where  $q$  ends, the product  $pq$  is the path  $q$  followed by  $p$ . Write  $e_{i,\lambda} = 0$  unless  $\lambda, \lambda + \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$  and write  $f_{i,\lambda} = 0$  unless  $\lambda, \lambda - \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ .

By construction, there is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebras

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma \rightarrow \hat{S}_q(n, r)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(e_{i,\lambda}) &= E_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi(f_{i,\lambda}) &= F_{i,\lambda} \\ \phi(k_\lambda) &= 1_\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ .

The image of  $\phi$  is the subalgebra of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$  generated by  $E_i, F_i$  for  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $1_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ , since  $E_{i,\lambda} = E_i 1_\lambda$  and  $F_{i,\lambda} = F_i 1_\lambda$ , while  $E_i = \sum_\lambda E_{i,\lambda}$  and  $F_i = \sum_\lambda F_{i,\lambda}$ . In general  $\phi$  is not surjective, so this does not always lead to a presentation of  $\hat{S}_q(n, r)$ .

### 3.2.1 Exceptional case $n = 2$ .

Describe the quiver.

Define an ideal of relations in the path algebra.

Write down the homomorphism from the bound quiver algebra to the  $q$ -Schur algebra.

### 3.2.2 Typical case $n > 2$ .

Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $\Gamma = \Gamma(n, r)$  has vertex set  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$ . **RESUME HERE...**

Define  $e_i, f_i \in \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma(n, r)$  by

$$e_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} e_{i,\lambda}$$

and

$$f_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} f_{i,\lambda},$$

with the convention  $e_{i,\lambda} = 0$  unless  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$  and  $f_{i,\lambda} = 0$  unless  $\lambda_i > 0$ . Let  $k_\lambda \in \mathbf{k}\Delta$  denote the constant path at vertex  $\lambda$ .  $\{k_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)\}$  is a set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma(n, r)$ .

Let  $I(n, r) \subset \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma(n, r)$  be the ideal generated by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}& e_i e_{i+1}^2 - (1+q)e_{i+1}e_i e_{i+1} + qe_{i+1}^2 e_i \\& e_i^2 e_{i+1} - (1+q)e_i e_{i+1} e_i + qe_{i+1} e_i^2 \\& f_{i+1} f_i^2 - (1+q)f_i f_{i+1} f_i + qf_i^2 f_{i+1} \\& f_{i+1}^2 f_i - (1+q)f_{i+1} f_i f_{i+1} + qf_i f_{i+1}^2 \\& e_i f_j - f_j e_i - \delta_{i,j} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} ([\lambda_i] - [\lambda_{i+1}]) k_\lambda\end{aligned}$$

Recall that a relation is a  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -linear combination of paths with common start and end vertices. The relations involving paths  $\lambda \rightarrow \mu$  are given by  $1_\mu \text{expr} 1_\lambda$ , for each of the above expressions.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *There is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebras*

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma(n, r)/I(n, r) \rightarrow \hat{S}_q(n, r)$$

*given by*

$$\phi(e_{i,\lambda}) = E_{i,\lambda}$$

$$\phi(f_{i,\lambda}) = F_{i,\lambda}$$

$$\phi(k_\lambda) = 1_\lambda.$$

## Chapter 4

# A generic affine Schur algebra.

### 4.1 Introducing the affine generic algebra

Assume  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$  and fix  $n, r \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$  and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the subalgebra generated by  $\varepsilon$ , namely  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ . Let  $V$  be a free  $\mathcal{S}$ -module of rank  $r$  and let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(n, r)$  be the set of  $n$ -periodic cyclic flags in  $V$ ; so  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of collections  $L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ -lattices in  $V$  with  $L_i \subset L_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\varepsilon L_i = L_{i-n}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $G$  be the group of  $\mathcal{S}$ -module automorphisms of  $V$ . Thus  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathcal{S})$ .  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}$  with orbits  $\{\mathcal{F}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)\}$ , where  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  is the set of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts.

The diagonal action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  has orbits  $\{\mathcal{O}_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)\}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_A$  consists of those pairs of flags with periodic characteristic matrix equal to  $A$ . In particular, the periodic characteristic matrix of a pair  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  is the  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , with

$$a_{i,j} = \dim \left( \frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right),$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

#### 4.1.1 Not quite a category

There are maps  $\mathrm{ro}, \mathrm{co} : \Lambda_1(n, r) \rightarrow \Lambda_0(n, r)$  given by

$$\mathrm{ro} A = \left( \sum_j a_{1,j}, \dots, \sum_j a_{n,j} \right)$$

and

$$\mathrm{co} A = \left( \sum_i a_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_i a_{i,n} \right).$$

Given  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ , write  $\mathrm{co} A \xrightarrow{A} \mathrm{ro} A$ . The purpose of this chapter is to define a category with objects  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  and morphisms  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$ ; where  $\mathrm{Hom}(\lambda, \mu) = \{A \in \Lambda_1(n, r) : \mathrm{ro} A = \mu, \mathrm{co} A = \lambda\}$ . Given  $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  let  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A,B}$  be the set of  $C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  such that there exist  $L, L', L'' \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ ,  $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$  and  $(L'', L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C$ . It will be shown that  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$  admits a partial order  $\leq$  such that  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A,B}$  has a maximum element  $A * B$ , whenever  $\mathrm{co} A = \mathrm{ro} B$ . It

will be shown that  $*$  is associative, so defining the composition of morphisms in the category formed by  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  and  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$ .

The generic affine Schur algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  will then be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra defined as a linearisation of this category. It will be shown that  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  gives a realisation of the affine 0-Schur algebra  $\hat{S}_0(n, r)$  when  $r < n$ . It is expected that a more refined presentation of the generic algebra and the 0-Schur algebra will allow the conditions on the parameters to be relaxed slightly: the  $r = n$  case is approachable, which may extend to the case  $r < 2n$ .

## 4.2 A partial order

Given  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define a map  $d_{i,j}$  on  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$  by setting

$$d_{i,j}A = \sum_{s \leq i, t > j} a_{s,t}$$

for each  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.1.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ , with  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and write  $d_{i,j} = d_{i,j}A$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$d_{i+1,j} - d_{i,j} = \sum_{t > j} a_{i+1,t}$$

and

$$d_{i,j+1} - d_{i,j} = - \sum_{s \leq i} a_{s,j}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$d_{i+1,j} - d_{i,j} = \sum_{s \leq i+1, t > j} a_{s,t} - \sum_{s \leq i, t > j} a_{s,t} = \sum_{t > j} a_{i+1,t}.$$

Similarly,

$$d_{i,j+1} - d_{i,j} = \sum_{s \leq i, t > j+1} a_{s,t} - \sum_{s \leq i, t > j} a_{s,t} = - \sum_{s \leq i} a_{s,j}.$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.2.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ , with  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and write  $d_{i,j} = d_{i,j}A$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$a_{i,j} = d_{i,j-1} - d_{i-1,j-1} + d_{i-1,j} - d_{i,j}$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Using lemma 4.2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j} &= \sum_{t > j-1} a_{i,t} - \sum_{t > j} a_{i,t} \\ &= (d_{i,j-1} - d_{i-1,j-1}) - (d_{i,j} - d_{i-1,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j} &= \sum_{s \leq i} a_{s,j} - \sum_{s \leq i-1} a_{s,j} \\ &= (d_{i,j-1} - d_{i,j}) - (d_{i-1,j-1} - d_{i-1,j}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.3.** *The relation  $\leq$  on  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$ , defined by  $A \leq B$  if and only if  $d_{i,j}A \leq d_{i,j}B$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is a partial order.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\leq$  is reflexive and transitive, so it remains to see  $\leq$  is antisymmetric. Suppose  $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  with  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$ . Then  $d_{i,j}A = d_{i,j}B$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which shows  $A = B$  as a result of lemma 4.2.2.  $\square$

The partial order on  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$  induces a partial order on the set of  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ , such that  $\mathcal{O}_A \leq \mathcal{O}_B$  if and only if  $A \leq B$ . The next lemma gives some geometric significance to the partial order on  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.4.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  and take  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ . Then*

$$d_{i,j}A = \dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right)$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

It is thought\* that the partial order on  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$  is compatible with the degeneration order (or closure order) on  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  when  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ . In particular, it is hoped that  $\mathcal{O}_A \leq \mathcal{O}_B$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_A \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_B}$ .

### 4.3 Preliminary results

Fix  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.1.**  *$L_0/\varepsilon L_0$  is a torsion  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -module, where  $\varepsilon$  acts as zero, with dimension  $r$  as a  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space.*

*Proof.* Let  $V = \mathbf{k}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]^r$ .  $L_0$  is a free  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -module of rank  $r$ , with  $L_0 \subset V$ . So we may take a  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ -basis  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in V$  for  $L_0$ . The action of  $\varepsilon$  gives an automorphism of  $V$  mapping  $L_0$  to  $\varepsilon L_0$ , so  $\varepsilon x_1, \dots, \varepsilon x_r$  give a basis for  $\varepsilon L_0$  over  $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$ . Therefore, the cosets  $x_1 + \varepsilon L_0, \dots, x_r + \varepsilon L_0$  give a basis for  $L_0/\varepsilon L_0$  over  $\mathbf{k}$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  with  $\text{co } A = \text{ro } B$ . Recall the notation

$$Y_{A,B} = \{(L, L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^3 : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}$$

and

$$X_{A,B} = \{(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F}^2 : \exists L' \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } (L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}\}.$$

$X_{A,B}$  is the image of  $Y_{A,B}$  under the projection onto the first and last components.

**Lemma 4.3.2.** *There is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$$

whenever  $(L, L'') \in X_{A,B}$ .

*Proof.* There exist  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\varepsilon^{N_1} L_0 \subset L'_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N_1} L_0$$

and

$$\varepsilon^{N_2} L'_0 \subset L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N_2} L'_0,$$

whenever  $(L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}$ . Then, for  $(L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}$ ,

$$L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N_2} L'_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-(N_1+N_2)} L_0$$

and

$$\varepsilon^{N_1+N_2} L_0 \subset \varepsilon^{N_2} L'_0 \subset L''_0.$$

In particular, taking  $N = N_1 + N_2$ , we have

$$\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$$

whenever  $(L, L'') \in X_{A,B}$ . □

**Lemma 4.3.3.** Suppose  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\varepsilon^{N_1} L_0 \subset L_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N_1} L_0$  and  $\varepsilon^{N_2} L'_0 \subset L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N_2} L'_0$  whenever  $(L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}$  and let  $N = N_1 + N_2$ . Then

$$\dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L''_0} \right) = d_{nN_1,0}(A) + d_{nN_2,0}(B)$$

and

$$\dim \left( \frac{L''_0}{\varepsilon^N L_0} \right) = 2Nr - d_{nN_1,0}(A) + d_{nN_2,0}(B),$$

whenever  $(L, L'') \in X_{A,B}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(L, L'') \in X_{A,B}$  and  $L' \in \mathcal{F}$  so that  $(L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}$ . As in lemma 4.3.2,  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$ , so

$$\dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L''_0} \right) + \dim \left( \frac{L''_0}{\varepsilon^N L_0} \right) = \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{\varepsilon^N L_0} \right).$$

As a  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space,  $\varepsilon^{-N} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0$  is isomorphic to  $(L_0 / \varepsilon L_0)^{2N}$ , which has dimension  $2Nr$ , so

$$\dim \left( \frac{L''_0}{\varepsilon^N L_0} \right) = 2Nr - \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L''_0} \right).$$

It remains to compute the codimension of  $L''_0$  in  $\varepsilon^{-N} L_0$ . Note  $L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N_2} L'_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$ , so

$$\dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L''_0} \right) = \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{\varepsilon^{-N_2} L'_0} \right) + \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N_2} L'_0}{L''_0} \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{\varepsilon^{-N_2} L'_0} \right) &= \dim \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{-N_1} L_0}{L'_0} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \frac{L_{nN_1}}{L_{nN_1} \cap L'_0} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s \leq nN_1, t > 0} A_{s,t} \\ &= d_{nN_1,0}(A). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N_2}L'_0}{L''_0}\right) &= \dim\left(\frac{L'_{nN_2}}{L'_{nN_2} \cap L''_0}\right) \\
&= \sum_{s \leq nN_2, t > 0} B_{s,t} \\
&= d_{nN_2,0}(B).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Fix  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ . Given  $N, a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ , define

$$\Pi_{N,\lambda} = \{L'' \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda : \varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0\}$$

and

$$\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a = \left\{ L'' \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda : \varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0, \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L''_0}\right) = a \right\}.$$

$\Pi_{N,\lambda}$  is the (disjoint) union of the  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$  for  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . In fact, we will see  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$  is empty whenever  $a > 2Nr$ .

**Lemma 4.3.4.** *Let  $N, a \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ . Then  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$  is nonempty exactly when  $0 \leq a \leq 2Nr$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $L'' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}$ . By definition,  $\varepsilon^{-N} L_0 \subset L'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$ , which shows

$$\dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L''_0}\right) \leq \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{\varepsilon^N L_0}\right) = 2Nr.$$

Therefore,  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$  is empty unless  $a \leq 2Nr$ .

Now assume  $0 \leq a \leq 2Nr$ . We may choose an  $\varepsilon$ -invariant subspace  $W'$  of  $W = \varepsilon^{-N} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0$  of codimension  $a$ .  $W'$  lifts to give a  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, say  $L''_0$ , with  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$  and with  $\dim(\varepsilon^{-N} L_0 / L''_0) = \dim(W/W') = a$ . Similarly, a flag of type  $\lambda$  in  $L''_0 / \varepsilon L''_0$  lifts to give  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules  $(L''_{-n+1}, \dots, L''_0)$  with

$$\varepsilon L''_0 \subset L''_{-n+1} \subset \dots \subset L''_{-1} \subset L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$$

and such that the dimensions of successive quotients are given by  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, a$ , from left to right. Thus,  $(L''_{-n+1}, \dots, L''_0)$  extends by periodicity to give an element of  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$ , as desired. □

**Lemma 4.3.5.**  *$\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$  is a (quasi)projective variety, provided  $0 \leq a \leq 2Nr$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W = \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0$  and let

$$X = \left\{ W_1 \leq \dots \leq W_n \leq W : \dim\left(\frac{W}{W_n}\right) = a, \dim\left(\frac{W_i}{W_{i-1}}\right) = \lambda_i \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, n \right\}.$$

$X$  is known to be a projective variety [CITATION NEEDED]

Let  $X'$  be the subset of  $X$  consisting of those  $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ , where each  $W_i$  is  $\varepsilon$ -invariant and  $\varepsilon W_n \leq W_1$ .  $X'$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , though is not necessarily irreducible.

The correspondence between the set of  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodules of  $\varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0$  which contain  $\varepsilon^N L_0$  and the set of  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodules of  $\varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0$  gives a pair of mutually inverse maps  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a \leftrightarrow X'$ .

– the idea that is relevant to the proof is that inclusion relations  $L_i \subset L_{i+1}$  describe a closed set in a product of grassmanians. Unsure here – Is it true that irreducible components of  $X'$  are projective varieties. In this case, should the statement be that  $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$  is a projective algebraic set, rather than a quasi projective variety? □



**Lemma 4.3.6.** Suppose  $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$  with  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ . Then  $X_{A,B}^L$  is the image of the map

$$G_L \times G_{L'} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} : (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha\beta L''.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\alpha \in G_L$  and  $\beta \in G_{L'}$ .  $(L, \alpha L', \alpha\beta L'') \in Y_{A,B}$  since  $(L, \alpha L') \sim (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$  and  $(\alpha L', \alpha\beta L'') \sim (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$ . This shows  $(L, \alpha\beta L'') \in X_{A,B}$  and thus  $\alpha\beta L'' \in X_{A,B}^L$ .

Conversely, suppose  $N'' \in X_{A,B}^L$ .  $(L, N'') \in X_{A,B}$ , so there is  $N'$  such that  $(L, N') \in \mathcal{O}_A$  and  $(N', N'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$ . There exist  $\gamma, \delta \in G$  such that  $\gamma(L, L') = (N, N')$  and  $\delta(L', L'') = (N', N'')$ . Then  $(L, N', N'') = (L, \gamma L', \delta L'') = (L, \gamma L', \gamma(\gamma^{-1}\delta)L'')$ , where  $\gamma \in G_L$  and  $\gamma^{-1}\delta \in G_{L'}$ . This shows  $N'' \in G_L G_{L'} L''$  as required.  $\square$

Given  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$H_N = \left\{ h \in G_L : h = 1 \text{ on } \frac{\varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0}{\varepsilon^N L_0} \right\}.$$

Explicitly, the condition  $h = 1$  on  $\varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0$  means:  $h(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = x + \varepsilon^N L_0$  for  $x \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0$ . Observe that  $H_{N+1} \subset H_N$  for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  since  $h(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = x + \varepsilon^N L_0$  whenever  $x \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0$ .

**Lemma 4.3.7.**  $H_N$  is a normal subgroup in  $G_L$ , for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.*  $H_N \subset G_L$  by definition. Suppose  $h, h' \in H_N$  and let  $x \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0$ .  $h'(x) \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0$  as  $h' \in G_L$ , so  $hh'(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = h'(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = x + \varepsilon^N L_0$ , which shows  $hh' \in H_N$ .  $h(x) - x \in \varepsilon^N L_0$ , so  $h^{-1}(x) - x = -h^{-1}(h(x) - x) \in \varepsilon^N L_0$ .  $h^{-1} \in H_N$ , so  $H_N$  is a subgroup of  $G_L$ .

Let  $g \in G_L$ .  $hg^{-1}(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = g^{-1}(x)$  as  $g^{-1}(x) \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0$ , so  $ghg^{-1}(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = gg^{-1}(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = x + \varepsilon^N L_0$ . Thus  $ghg^{-1} \in H_N$ , which proves  $H_N$  is a normal subgroup in  $G_L$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.8.**  $G_L/H_N$  is an irreducible algebraic group, for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* COMPLETE THIS PROOF.  $\square$

The  $H_N$  form a descending chain of normal subgroups in  $G_L$ :  $\cdots \subset H_1 \subset H_0 \subset G_L \subset G$ .

**Lemma 4.3.9.** There is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $H_N \subset G_{L'}$ . Consequently,  $H_{N'} \subset G_{L'}$  whenever  $N' \geq N$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L'_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$ . Then

$$\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L'_0 \subset L'_1 \subset \cdots \subset L'_n \subset \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0.$$

Let  $h \in H_N$ .  $h(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = x + \varepsilon^N L_0$  for  $x \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0$ , so  $h(L'_i) \subset L'_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Moreover,  $h^{-1}$  stabilises  $L'_i$ , so  $h(L'_i) = L'_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and therefore for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This shows  $h \in G_{L'}$  as required, so  $H_N \subset G_{L'}$ .  $\square$

Note that  $H_N$  is generally not a normal subgroup of  $G_{L'}$ , though the space of (right) cosets of  $H_N$  in  $G_{L'}$  will still be irreducible.

**Lemma 4.3.10.**  $G_{L'}/H_N$  is irreducible, provided  $H_N \subset G_{L'}$ .

*Proof.* COMPLETE THIS PROOF.  $\square$

## 4.4 Existence of a maximum

**Proposition 4.4.1.** *Given  $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  with  $\text{co } A = \text{ro } B$ ,  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$  has a maximum element.*

*Draft 1.*  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$  is non-empty since  $\text{co } A = \text{ro } B$ . The partial order on  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$  is given by the partial order on  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$ ; where  $C' \leq C$  if and only if  $d_{i, j}C' \leq d_{i, j}C$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

To prove existence of a maximum element in  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$  we will consider the poset of  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  and prove existence of a maximum orbit in  $X_{A, B}$  using an open orbits argument. Recall  $X_{A, B}$  consists of  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  such that there exists  $L' \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$  and  $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$ .

There is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$  whenever  $(L, L'') \in X_{A, B}$ . Fix  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro } A}$  and write

$$X_{A, B}^L = \{L'' \in \mathcal{F} : (L, L'') \in X_{A, B}\}.$$

With the above choice of  $N$ , write

$$\Pi = \{L'' \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{co } B} : \varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0\}.$$

$\Pi$  is a complex projective variety (not generally irreducible), closed under the action of  $G_L$ . [\[ADD A REFERENCE\]](#) The closure  $\overline{X_{A, B}^L}$  of  $X_{A, B}^L$  in  $\Pi$  is an irreducible complex projective variety.

Proposition [\[ADD A REFERENCE\]](#) shows there is a unique  $G_L$ -orbit in  $X_{A, B}^L$  which is open in  $\overline{X_{A, B}^L}$ , say  $\mathcal{O}_C^L$  for some  $C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$ . It will be shown that  $C$  is the maximum element of  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$ . Given  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $m_{i, j}$  denote the maximum of  $\{d_{i, j}C : C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}\}$  and define

$$\mathcal{M}_{i, j} = \{L'' \in \overline{X_{A, B}^L} : d_{i, j}(L, L'') = m_{i, j}\}.$$

$\mathcal{M}_{i, j}$  is non-empty by definition of the  $m_{i, j}$  and is closed under the action of  $G_L$ .  $\mathcal{M}_{i, j}$  is open in  $\overline{X_{A, B}^L}$  since the function

$$d_{i, j}^L : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} : L'' \mapsto \dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L_j''} \right)$$

is lower semi-continuous [\[ADD A REFERENCE\]](#) and

$$\mathcal{M}_{i, j} = \overline{X_{A, B}^L} \setminus \{L'' \in \overline{X_{A, B}^L} : d_{i, j}^L(L'') \leq m_{i, j} - 1\}.$$

It follows that  $\mathcal{O}_C^L$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{i, j}$  intersect non-trivially, since  $\overline{X_{A, B}^L}$  is irreducible and therefore  $\mathcal{O}_C^L \subset \mathcal{M}_{i, j}$  as both are closed under the action of  $G_L$ . This proves  $C$  is a maximum element of  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$ , since

$$d_{i, j}C = d_{i, j}(L, L'') = m_{i, j}$$

for any  $L'' \in \mathcal{O}_C^L$ . □

*Draft 2.*  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$  is non-empty since  $\text{co } A = \text{ro } B$ . For each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$m_{i, j} = \max_{C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}} d_{i, j}C.$$

It will be shown that there is a unique element  $A * B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$  with  $d_{i, j}(A * B) = m_{i, j}$ : such an element is necessarily a maximum in  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A, B}$ . Fix  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro } A}$  and assume  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  is sufficiently large that  $X_{A, B}^L \subset \Pi_N$ ; where

$$\Pi_N = \{L'' \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{co } B} : \varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L''_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0\}.$$

Lusztig notes [1] that  $\Pi_N$  is a projective algebraic variety, closed under the action of  $G_L$ . Lemma [ADD A REFERENCE] shows that the closure of  $X_{A,B}^L$  in  $\Pi_N$ , denoted  $\overline{X_{A,B}^L}$ , is an irreducible complex projective variety.

For each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , write

$$\mathcal{M}_{i,j} = \{L'' \in \overline{X_{A,B}^L} : d_{i,j}(L, L'') = m_{i,j}\}.$$

$\mathcal{M}_{i,j}$  is non-empty since  $d_{i,j}(L, -)$  attains a maximum on  $X_{A,B}^L$ .  $\mathcal{M}_{i,j}$  is open in  $\overline{X_{A,B}^L}$  since

$$\overline{X_{A,B}^L} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{i,j} = \{L'' \in \overline{X_{A,B}^L} : d_{i,j}(L, L'') \leq m_{i,j} - 1\}$$

and the function

$$d_{i,j}(L, -) : \Pi_N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} : L'' \mapsto \dim \left( \frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L_j''} \right)$$

is lower semi-continuous, by lemma [[ADD A REFERENCE]: lower semi-continuity].

Lemma [[ADD A REFERENCE]: open orbit] shows that there is a unique  $G_L$ -orbit in  $X_{A,B}^L$  which is open in  $\overline{X_{A,B}^L}$ , say  $\mathcal{O}_{A*B}^L$  for some  $A * B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)_{A,B}$ .  $\mathcal{M}_{i,j}$  intersects the open orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{A*B}^L$  non-trivially, since  $\mathcal{M}_{i,j}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{A*B}^L$  are both non-empty and open in the irreducible space  $\overline{X_{A,B}^L}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}_{A*B}^L \subset \mathcal{M}_{i,j}$ , since  $\mathcal{M}_{i,j}$  is closed under the action of  $G_L$ . In particular, we have  $A * B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)_{A,B}$  with  $d_{i,j}(A * B) = m_{i,j}$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which shows  $A * B$  is a maximum in  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A,B}$ .

More specifically, we may compute:

$$a_{i,j}(A * B) = m_{i,j-1} - m_{i-1,j-1} + m_{i-1,j} - m_{i,j}$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . □

**Lemma 4.4.2.** *For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ ,  $D_\lambda * A = A$  whenever  $\text{ro } A = \lambda$  and  $A * D_\lambda = A$  whenever  $\text{co } A = \lambda$ .*

## 4.5 Associativity

**Proposition 4.5.1.** *Given  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  with  $\text{co } A = \text{ro } B$  and  $\text{co } B = \text{ro } C$ ,  $(A * B) * C = A * (B * C)$ .*

*Proof.* INCLUDE PROOF. □

## 4.6 The generic algebra

**Definition 4.6.1.** *For each  $n, r \geq 1$ , the generic category  $\mathcal{G}(n, r)$  is the category with set of objects  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  and set of morphisms  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$  where; the morphisms from  $\lambda$  to  $\mu$  are those matrices  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  with  $\text{co } A = \lambda$  and  $\text{ro } A = \mu$ ; the composition of morphisms  $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$  and  $B : \mu \rightarrow \nu$  is  $B * A : \lambda \rightarrow \nu$ , where  $B * A$  is the maximum element in  $\Lambda_1(n, r)_{A,B}$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ , the identity morphism  $D_\lambda : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$  is given by  $(D_\lambda)_{i,i} = \lambda_i$  and  $(D_\lambda)_{i,j} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$ .*

**Example 1.** *The objects in  $\mathcal{G}(n, r)_{2,2}$  are compositions of 2 into 2 parts, namely  $(0, 2)$ ,  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0)$ . The set of morphisms from  $\lambda$  to  $\mu$  is the set of infinite periodic matrices  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)_{2,2}$  with  $\text{co } A = \lambda$  and  $\text{ro } A = \mu$ , which is a countably infinite set for any pair of compositions  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_0(n, r)_{2,2}$ .*

**Definition 4.6.2** (Generic algebra). *The affine generic algebra  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is the category  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{G}(n, r)$ . In particular,  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)\}$  and with associative multiplication given by*

$$e_A * e_B = \begin{cases} e_{A*B} & \text{if } \text{co } A = \text{ro } B \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{co } A \neq \text{ro } B. \end{cases}$$

Given  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ , let  $1_\lambda = e_{D_\lambda}$ .

**Corollary 4.6.1.**  $\{1_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)\}$  is a set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  with  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} 1_\lambda = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.6.2.**  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is an associative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with 1.

*Proof.* Given  $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  with  $\text{co } A = \text{ro } B$ , proposition 4.4.1 shows that there is a maximum element in  $\{C \in \Lambda_1(n, r) : g_{A,B,C} \neq 0\}$ , which is denoted  $A * B$ . This shows that the product on  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  is well-defined. If  $\text{co } A \neq \text{ro } B$  or  $\text{co } B \neq \text{ro } C$ , then  $(e_A * e_B) * e_C = 0 = e_A * (e_B * e_C)$ . If  $\text{co } A = \text{ro } B$  and  $\text{co } B = \text{ro } C$ , then proposition 4.5.1 shows that

$$(e_A * e_B) * e_C = e_{(A*B)*C} = e_{A*(B*C)} = e_A * (e_B * e_C).$$

Corollary 4.6.1 shows that the sum of the idempotents  $1_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$  is a multiplicative identity.  $\square$

## 4.7 Multiplication rules

Write

$$E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} E_{i,\lambda}$$

$$F_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)} F_{i,\lambda}.$$

Then  $E_{i,\lambda} = E_i 1_\lambda$  and  $F_{i,\lambda} = F_i 1_\lambda$ .

**Lemma 4.7.1.** *Let  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ ,  $i \in [1, n]$  and let  $\lambda = \text{ro } A$ . The following multiplication rules hold:*

$$E_i e_A = \begin{cases} e_{A+X_{i,p}} & \text{if } \lambda_{i+1} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda_{i+1} = 0; \end{cases}$$

where  $p$  is such that  $A_{i+1,p} > 0$  and  $A_{i+1,j} = 0$  for  $j > p$ . Also

$$F_i e_A = \begin{cases} e_{A-X_{i,p}} & \text{if } \lambda_i > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda_i = 0; \end{cases}$$

where  $p$  is such that  $A_{i,p} > 0$  and  $A_{i,j} = 0$  for  $j < p$ .

Similar formulas for right multiplication by  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  are obtained by applying the transpose.

**Lemma 4.7.2.** *The following relations hold in  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  ( $n \geq 3$ ):*

$$E_i E_j - E_j E_i = 0$$

$$F_i F_j - F_j F_i = 0$$

unless  $|j - i| = 1$ .

$$E_i E_{i+1}^2 - E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} = 0$$

$$E_i^2 E_{i+1} - E_i E_{i+1} E_i = 0$$

$$F_{i+1} F_i^2 - F_i F_{i+1} F_i = 0$$

$$F_{i+1}^2 F_i - F_{i+1} F_i F_{i+1} = 0$$

$$E_i F_j - F_j E_i = 0$$

unless  $j = i$ .

$$E_i F_i - F_i E_i + \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i=0, \lambda_{i+1}>0} 1_\lambda - \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i>0, \lambda_{i+1}=0} 1_\lambda = 0.$$

## 4.8 – Chapter draft bin –

Define

$$\Pi = \left\{ L'' \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{co}B} : \varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L_0'' \subset \cdots \subset L_n'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0 \text{ and } \dim(L_0''/\varepsilon^N L_0) = -Nr + d_{-Nn,0}^-(A) + d_{-Nn,0}^-(B) \right\}.$$

**Lemma 4.8.1.**  *$\Pi$  is a projective algebraic variety, closed under the action of  $G_L$ .*

By choice of  $N$ , we have  $X_{A,B}^L \subset \Pi$ .

**Lemma 4.8.2.** *The group  $G_L/H$  is an irreducible algebraic group.*

*Proof.*  $\sigma \in G_L$  naturally induces an automorphism  $\bar{\sigma}$  of  $\varepsilon^{-N} L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$ , with inverse induced by  $\sigma^{-1}$ . Moreover, the natural map

$$G_L/H \rightarrow GL(\varepsilon^{-N} L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0)$$

is a group homomorphism. In fact, this homomorphism is injective: if  $\sigma = \tau$  on  $\varepsilon^{-N} L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$ , then  $\sigma\tau^{-1} = 1$  on  $\varepsilon^{-N} L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$  and so  $\sigma H = \tau H$ . Thus  $G_L/H$  is isomorphic to its image in  $GL(\varepsilon^{-N} L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0)$ . **this image is an algebraic group, then I need to deduce  $G_L/H$  is an algebraic group. First isomorphism theorem?**  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.3.** *Suppose  $(L, L', L''), (N, N', N'') \in \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_A \times \mathcal{O}_B)$ . Then there are  $\sigma, \tau \in G$ , with  $\tau \in G_{L'}$ , such that  $(N, N', N'') = \sigma(L, L', \tau L'')$ .*

*Proof.* There exist  $g, g' \in G$  such that  $(N, N') = g(L, L')$  and  $(N', N'') = g'(L', L'')$ . Then  $(N, N', N'') = g(L, L', g^{-1}g'L'')$ . Taking  $\sigma = g$  and  $\tau = g^{-1}g'$  gives the required result.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.4.** *Suppose  $X_{A,B}^L \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $X_{A,B}^L \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{co}B}$  is finite dimensional and irreducible.*

*Proof.* The map

$$G_L/H \times G_{L'}/H \rightarrow \Pi$$

has image  $X_{A,B}^L$ , so the closure of  $X_{A,B}^L$  in  $\Pi$  is irreducible **due to some properties of the above groups.**  $\square$

#### 4.8.1 locally closed orbits

**Proposition 4.8.5.** *Suppose  $X_{A,B}^L \neq \emptyset$ . The  $G_L$ -orbits in  $X_{A,B}^L$  are locally closed.*

*Proof.* The  $G_L$  orbit of  $L'' \in X_{A,B}^L$  is the image of the map

$$G_L/H \rightarrow \Pi : g \mapsto gL''.$$

Justify why this image must be locally closed. □

**Proposition 4.8.6.** *Let  $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{F}$  and suppose  $X_{A,B}^L \neq \emptyset$ . There is a unique open  $G_L$ -orbit in  $X_{A,B}^L$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $X = X_{A,B}^L$ .  $X$  is irreducible and finite dimensional, using Lemma 4.8.4. We have

$$X = \bigcup_C O_C,$$

where the union is taken over the finite set  $\{C \in \Lambda_1(n, r) : O_C \subset X_{A,B}\}$ .

A proper, non-empty, closed subset of  $X$  has strictly smaller dimension than  $X$ , so there is  $C$  such that  $\overline{O_C} = X$ .  $O_C$  is locally closed, by Lemma 4.8.5, so it follows that  $O_C$  is open in  $\overline{O_C} = X$ .

Now suppose  $O_C$  is an open  $G_L$  orbit and let  $D \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ .  $O_D \subset X \setminus O_C$  and thus  $\overline{O_D} \subset X \setminus O_C$ . This shows  $O_D$  is not open in  $X$  and thus the claim is proven. □

#### 4.8.2 Associativity of the generic product

Given  $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  and  $L \in \mathcal{F}$  let

$$X_{A,B,C}^L = \{L''' \in \mathcal{F} : \exists(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B \text{ with } (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L'', L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C\}.$$

Note that  $X_{A,B,C}^L \subset \mathcal{F}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{co } C}$  and is non-empty only if  $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro } A}$ ,  $\text{co } A = \text{ro } B$  and  $\text{co } B = \text{ro } C$ .  $X_{A,B,C}^L$  consists of finitely many  $G_L$ -orbits. Using a similar argument to the existence of generic orbits we show that there is a unique generic orbit in  $X_{A,B,C}^L$ , which will establish associativity of the generic product. We now suppose  $X_{A,B,C}^L$  is non-empty and fix  $(L, L', L'', L''') \in \mathcal{F}^4$  with  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ ,  $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$  and  $(L'', L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C$ .

**Lemma 4.8.7.**  *$X_{A,B,C}^L$  is the image of the map*

$$\phi : G_L \times G_{L'} \times G_{L''} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} : (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto \alpha\beta\gamma L'''.$$

**Proposition 4.8.8.** *The closure  $\overline{X_{A,B,C}^L}$  of  $X_{A,B,C}^L$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is irreducible.*

**Proposition 4.8.9.** *There is a unique generic  $G_L$ -orbit in  $X_{A,B,C}^L$ .*

## Chapter 5

# A realisation of affine zero Schur algebras

We aim to prove the isomorphism theorem in the cases  $r < n$  and  $n \leq r < 2n$  separately. Below are crude versions of the statements we want to prove.

**Theorem 5.0.1.** *Assume  $r < n$ . The map  $\psi: \hat{G}(n, r) \rightarrow \hat{S}_0(n, r)$ , given by  $\psi(E_i) = E_i$ ,  $\psi(F_i) = F_i$  and  $\psi(1_\lambda) = 1_\lambda$ , is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* Below are some of the pieces: [1] The elements  $E_i, F_i, 1_\lambda$  generate  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ .

Provided  $r < n$ , any  $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  may be obtained from the diagonal matrix  $D_\lambda$  with  $\lambda = \text{ro } A$  by a sequence of transitions  $A \mapsto A \pm X_{i,p}$ .

[2] Give a complete set of generating relations for  $\hat{G}(n, r)$ . □

**Theorem 5.0.2.** *Assume  $n \leq r < 2n$ . There is a unique homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras  $\hat{\psi}: \hat{G}(n, r) \rightarrow \hat{S}_0(n, r)$  such that  $\hat{\psi}(R) = R$  and  $\hat{\psi} = \psi$  on the subalgebra of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  generated by the  $E_i, F_i$  and  $1_\lambda$ .  $\hat{\psi}$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras.*

### 5.1 Presentation of the generic algebra.

Recall that  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  denotes the set of compositions of  $r$  into  $n$  parts. That is,  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  is the set of tuples  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with each  $\lambda_i$  non-negative and  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = r$ . Given  $i \in [1, n]$ , let  $\varepsilon_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be the  $i$ -th elementary vector and let  $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$ . Then given  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$ , we have  $\lambda + \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$  provided  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$  and  $\lambda - \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$  provided  $\lambda_i > 0$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(n, r)$  be the quiver with set of vertices  $\Lambda_0(n, r)$  with arrows  $e_{i,\lambda}: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda + \alpha_i$  (if  $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ ) and  $f_{i,\lambda}: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda - \alpha_i$  (if  $\lambda_i > 0$ ). Thus there are no arrows between  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  unless  $\lambda = \mu \pm \alpha_i$  for some  $i \in [1, n]$ .

If  $n \geq 3$  then neighbouring vertices are connected by two arrows, one of each direction. In the case  $n = 2$ , neighbouring vertices are joined by four arrows, two of each direction. The  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$  denote the path  $\mathbb{Z}$  algebra of  $\Gamma$ . By construction of  $\Gamma$ , there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \rightarrow \hat{G}(n, r)$  with  $e_{i,\lambda} \mapsto E_{i,\lambda}$ ,  $f_{i,\lambda} \mapsto F_{i,\lambda}$  and  $k_\lambda = 1_\lambda$ . We aim to describe the image and kernel of the morphism to give a presentation of the generic algebra by a quiver with relations, when possible. In general, we should obtain a presentation of a subalgebra of the generic algebra consisting of the so-called aperiodic elements (c.f. [1]).

$A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$  is said to be aperiodic if for each  $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  there exists  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a_{i,i+l} = 0$ . Denote the set of aperiodic elements in  $\Lambda_1(n, r)$  by  $\Lambda_1(n, r)^{ap}$ . Note that  $\Lambda_1(n, r)^{ap} = \Lambda_1(n, r)$  if  $r < n$ .

**Proposition 5.1.1.** *The subalgebra of  $\hat{G}(n, r)$  generated by  $E_{i,\lambda}$ ,  $F_{i,\lambda}$  and  $1_\lambda$  has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)^{ap}\}$ , where  $\Lambda_1(n, r)^{ap} \subset \Lambda_1(n, r)$  is the set of aperiodic elements.*



## Chapter 6

# Further directions

[1] Investigate link between this generic product and the generic extension of representations. Shifting to the non-negative subalgebra to do computations purely in terms of generic extensions of quiver representations.

[2] Degenerate group algebras of symmetric groups: write down a presentation of the degenerate group algebras, with generators given by the transpositions, or 2-cycles. Type up the computations done for degenerate group algebras for  $S_3$  and  $S_4$ . Formulate propositions for the general case: the transpositions generate the degenerate group algebra; lemma: ‘these’ relations hold; these generators and relations give a presentation of the degenerate group algebras.

Terminology: deformed group algebra.

# Bibliography

- [1] George Lusztig. “Aperiodicity in quantum affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ ”. In: *Asian Journal of Mathematics* 3.1 (1999), pp. 147–178.