A geometric realisation of affine 0-Schur algebras.

Tom Crawley

September 30, 2019

Contents

1 Introduction													
2	The double flag variety approach to q-Schur algebras	4											
3	The cyclic flags approach to affine q-Schur algebras 3.1 Cyclic flags	5 6 7 8 8 9											
4	•	10 10 10 10 11 12 13 13											
5	5.1 Introducing the generic affine algebra	15 15 16 17 19 22 23											
6	6.1 Preliminary results	25 25 25 25 25 26 27											

		6.2.2]	Γh	e (ca	se	n	=	: 2	2.		•		•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2	, ,
7	Fur	ther di	ir	ec	tio	on	ıs																																2	٤
	7.1	Furthe	er	re	su	lts	s c	n	af	fi	ne	Z	ei	o	S	cł	ıu	r	al	ge	bı	a	S																2	ξ
	7.2	Deform	$\mathrm{m}\epsilon$	ed	gr	οι	ıp	al	lge	eb	ra	S	of	: : s	v	m	$_{ m m}$	et	ri	c :	$_{ m 2r}$	οι	ıp	S															2	3

Introduction

The double flag variety approach to q-Schur algebras

The cyclic flags approach to affine q-Schur algebras

Fix natural numbers n and r.

Definition 3.0.1 (compositions). A composition of r into n parts is an n-tuple $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ of non-negative integers whose sum equals r. Denote the set of compositions of r into n parts by $\Lambda_0(n,r)$.

Definition 3.0.2 (infinite periodic matrices). Let $\Lambda_1(n,r)$ be the set of matrices $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with integer entries $a_{i,j}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $a_{i,j} \geq 0$ for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- each row or column has only finitely many non-zero entries;
- the sum of the entries in any n consecutive rows or columns equals r;
- $a_{i-n,j-n} = a_{i,j}$ for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

These matrices are referred to as infinite periodic matrices.

Definition 3.0.3 (source and target). Given $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$, let ro A and co A be the compositions of r into n parts given by

ro
$$A = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{1,j}, \dots, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n,j}\right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{co} A = \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,n}\right).$$

 $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ is said to go from $\operatorname{co} A$ to $\operatorname{ro} A$.

Definition 3.0.4 (diagonal matrices). Given $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$, let $D_{\lambda} \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ be the matrix given by $(D_{\lambda})_{i,j} = 0$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \neq j$ and $(D_{\lambda})_{i,i} = \lambda_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$; where the indices are taken modulo n.

3.1 Cyclic flags

Fix $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let \mathbf{k} be a field. Let \mathcal{S} be the \mathbf{k} -algebra $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$ and let \mathcal{R} be the subalgebra generated by ε , so $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$. Let V be a free \mathcal{S} -module of rank r. Let G be the automorphism group of the \mathcal{S} -module V, so G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathcal{S})$. A lattice in V is a \mathcal{R} -submodule L of V with $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} L = V$. In particular, a lattice is an \mathcal{R} -submodule of V which is a free \mathcal{R} -module of rank r.

Lemma 3.1.1. Let L be a lattice in V. $L/\varepsilon L$ is a torsion \mathcal{R} -module, where ε acts as zero. $L/\varepsilon L$ is a free $\mathcal{R}/\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ -module of rank r; that is, $L/\varepsilon L$ is an r-dimensional \mathbf{k} -vector space.

Proof. L is a free \mathcal{R} -module of rank r, with $L \subset V$. Given an \mathcal{R} -basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ of L, $\{\varepsilon x_1, \ldots, \varepsilon x_r\}$ is an \mathcal{R} -basis of εL . Finally, the cosets $\{x_1 + \varepsilon L, \ldots, x_r + \varepsilon L\}$ give a basis for $L/\varepsilon L$ over $\mathcal{R}/\langle \varepsilon \rangle \cong \mathbf{k}$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(n,r)$ be the set of collections $(L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of lattices in V with $L_i \subset L_{i+1}$ and $\varepsilon L_i = L_{i-n}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. These collections of lattices in V are referred to as cyclic flags in V.

G acts on \mathcal{F} by $(g \cdot L)_i = g(L_i)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, given $g \in G$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}$. The G-orbits in \mathcal{F} are indexed by the set $\Lambda_0(n,r)$ of compositions of r into n parts: the G-orbit in \mathcal{F} corresponding to $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$ is

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} = \left\{ L \in \mathcal{F} : \dim \left(\frac{L_i}{L_{i-1}} \right) = \lambda_i \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Definition 3.1.1. The periodic characteristic matrix of a pair of cyclic flags $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ is the matrix $A(L, L') = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with entries

$$a_{i,j} = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_i \cap L'_{j-1} + L_{i-1} \cap L'_j} \right)$$

for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The diagonal action of G on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ has orbits indexed by the set $\Lambda_1(n,r)$ of infinite periodic matrices (see definition 3.0.2). The G-orbit corresponding to $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ is denoted \mathcal{O}_A and consists of those pairs $(L,L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ with periodic characteristic matrix A(L,L') equal to A.

Lemma 3.1.2. (alternative expression for characteristic matrix) Alternatively,

$$a_{i,j} = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right)$$

for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Set $U = L_i \cap L'_j$ and $U' = L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}$. Then $U + U' = L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j$ and $U \cap U' = L_i \cap L'_j \cap L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}$. Applying the isomorphism theorems, U + U'/U' is naturally isomorphic to $U/U \cap U'$ as a vector space. In particular,

$$\frac{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L_j'}{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L_{j-1}'} = \frac{L_i \cap L_j'}{L_{i-1} \cap L_j' + L_i \cap L_{j-1}'}$$

and thus the dimensions of these spaces are both equal to $a_{i,j}$.

Lemma 3.1.3 (transposing characteristic matrix). Given a pair of flags $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F}^2$, the matrices A(L, L') and A(L', L) are related by the transpose. In particular, $A(L, L')_{i,j} = A(L', L)_{j,i}$ for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By swapping the roles of i and j and swapping L and L' it is clear that $A(L, L')_{i,j}$ and $A(L', L)_{j,i}$ are both given by the dimension of the **k**-vector space

$$\frac{L_i \cap L_j'}{L_{i-1} \cap L_j' + L_i \cap L_{j-1}'},$$

for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.1.4 (a codimension formula). Given $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F}^2$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L'_j} \right) = \sum_{s \le i, t > j} a_{s,t},$$

where $A(L, L') = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. COMPLETE THIS PROOF

Lemma 3.1.5 (nested flags). Given $(L, L') \in \mathcal{F}^2$, $L' \subset L$ if and only if $A(L, L')_{i,j} = 0$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with i > j.

Proof. Suppose $L, L' \in \mathcal{F}$ with $L' \subset L$, meaning $L'_j \subset L_j$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for i > j, $L_i \cap L'_j = L'_j$, $L_{i-1} \cap L'_j = L'_j$ and $L_i \cap L'_{j-1}$, which shows

$$A(L, L')_{i,j} = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{L'_j}{L'_{j-1} + L'_j} \right) = 0$$

as required. Conversely, suppose A(L, L') is upper triangular, meaning $A(L, L')_{i,j} = 0$ when i > j. Using Lemma 3.1.4,

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{L_i'}{L_i' \cap L_i} \right) = \sum_{s>i,t \le i} a_{s,t} = 0,$$

so $L_i \cap L_i' = L_i'$ and thus $L_i' \subset L_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, as required.

Corollary 3.1.6 (diagonal orbits). Given $L, L' \in \mathcal{F}$, L = L' if and only if $A(L, L')_{i,j} = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{O}_{D_{\lambda}} = \{(L, L) \in \mathcal{F}^2 : L \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}\},\$$

for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$.

3.1.1 A product on orbits

Given $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$, define

$$Y_{A,B} = \{(L, L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^3 : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\},$$

$$X_{A,B} = \{(L,L'') \in \mathcal{F}^2 : \exists L' \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } (L,L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L',L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}.$$

If also $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{ro }A}$, define the L-slices of $Y_{A,B}$ and $X_{A,B}$ respectively as

$$Y_{A,B}^{L} = \{ (L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^2 : (L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B} \},$$
$$X_{A,B}^{L} = \{ L'' \in \mathcal{F} : (L, L'') \in X_{A,B} \}.$$

Observation 1. There are only finitely many G-orbits in $X_{A,B}$.

Lemma 3.1.7. Given
$$A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$$
, $X_{D_\lambda,A} = \mathcal{O}_A$ if $\lambda = \operatorname{ro} A$ and $X_{A,D_\lambda} = \mathcal{O}_A$ if $\lambda = \operatorname{co} A$.

Proof. Let $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ and set $\lambda = \text{ro } A$. $Y_{D_{\lambda},A}$ is the set of triples $(L,L',L'') \in \mathcal{F}^3$ with $(L,L') \in \mathcal{O}_{D_{\lambda}}$, thus L=L' by Corollary 3.1.6, and $(L',L'') \in \mathcal{O}_A$. $X_{D_{\lambda},A}$ is the projection of $Y_{D_{\lambda},A}$, which equals \mathcal{O}_A .

Similarly, if $\lambda = \operatorname{co} A$, $Y_{A,D_{\lambda}}$ is the set of triples $(L,L',L'') \in \mathcal{F}^3$ with $(L,L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and L'' = L', so $X_{A,D_{\lambda}}$ is exactly the orbit \mathcal{O}_B .

3.1.2 Triple products

Given $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$ and $\operatorname{co} B = \operatorname{ro} C$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{ro} A}$, there are spaces $X_{A,B,C}, Y_{A,B,C}$ and their respective L-slices, defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} Y_{A,B,C} &= \{ (L,L',L'',L''') \in \mathcal{F}^4 : (L,L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L',L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B \text{ and } (L'',L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C \}, \\ X_{A,B,C} &= \{ (L,L''') \in \mathcal{F}^2 : \exists (L',L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B \text{ with } (L,L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L'',L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C \}, \\ Y_{A,B,C}^L &= \{ (L',L'',L''') \in \mathcal{F}^3 : (L,L',L'',L''') \in Y_{A,B,C} \}, \\ X_{A,B,C}^L &= \{ L''' \in \mathcal{F} : (L,L''') \in X_{A,B,C} \}. \end{split}$$

3.2 Convolution algebras

Suppose **k** is a finite field and let q denote the number of elements of **k**. Consider the set S of G-invariant functions $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{Z}$ with constructible support. S is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with a basis consisting of the indicator functions of the G-orbits in $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$. Define an operation \star on S as follows: for each $f, g \in S$, $f \star g \in S$ is given by

$$(f \star g)(L, L'') = \sum_{L' \in \mathcal{F}} f(L, L')g(L', L''),$$

for $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$.

 $f \star g$ is well defined since the supports of f and g consist of finitely many G-orbits, so there are only finitely many $L' \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f(L, L')g(L', L'') \neq 0$, given $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$. $f \star g$ is constant on G-orbits and is supported on finitely many G-orbits, so $f \star g \in S$.

Lemma 3.2.1. The set S together with the operation \star is an associative \mathbb{Z} -algebra with identity element ι given by $\iota(L,L)=1$ and $\iota(L,L')=0$ for $L'\neq L$.

Proof. Given $f, g, h \in S$ and $(L, L''') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{split} ((f*g)\star h)(L,L''') &= \sum_{L''} (f\star g)(L,L'')h(L'',L''') \\ &= \sum_{L''} \sum_{L'} f(L,L')g(L',L'')h(L'',L''') \\ &= (f\star (g\star h))(L,L'''), \end{split}$$

thus \star is associative. ι is the multiplicative identity since

$$(\iota \star f)(L, L'') = \sum_{L'} \iota(L, L') f(L', L'') = f(L, L'')$$

and

$$(f \star \iota)(L, L'') = \sum_{L'} f(L, L')\iota(L', L'') = f(L, L''),$$

for each $f \in S$ and $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$.

Given $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$, let $e_A \in S$ denote the indicator function of the orbit \mathcal{O}_A . S is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)\}$. There exist $\gamma_{A,B,C;q} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $A,B,C \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ such that

$$e_A \star e_B = \sum_{C \in \Lambda_1(n,r)} \gamma_{A,B,C;q} e_C$$

for each $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$. Then

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{A,B,C;\mathbf{q}} &= (e_A \star e_B)(L,L'') \\ &= \sum_{L'} e_A(L,L') e_B(L',L'') \\ &= \#\{L': (L,L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L',L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}, \end{split}$$

for any $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{O}_C$.

3.3 Affine q-Schur algebras

There exist polynomials $\gamma_{A,B,C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ for $A,B,C \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ such that $\gamma_{A,B,C}(q) = \gamma_{A,B,C;q}$ for any prime power q, following [2, section 4]. The affine q-Schur algebra $\hat{S}_q(n,r)$ (defined in [ADD A REFERENCE]) is a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra which is a free $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module with basis $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)\}$ and with multiplication given by

$$e_A e_B = \sum_C \gamma_{A,B,C} e_C.$$

Given the existence of these 'universal polynomials' $\gamma_{A,B,C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, it follows from Lemma 3.2.1 that $\hat{S}_q(n,r)$ is an associative $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra with multiplicative identity given by

$$1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)} e_{D_\lambda}.$$

Quivers with relations for affine q-Schur algebras

4.1 Basic results and notation

4.1.1 Elementary matrices

If $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ denote the 'elementary matrix' with entries given by $(\mathcal{E}_{i,j})_{s,t} = 1$, for $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, whenever $(i, j) \sim (s, t)$ modulo (n, n) and all other entries are zero.

Given $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$, let $D_{\lambda} \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ denote the diagonal matrix with $r(D_{\lambda}) = c(D_{\lambda}) = \lambda$, as in Definition 3.0.4. That is,

$$D_{\lambda} = \lambda_1 \mathcal{E}_{1,1} + \dots + \lambda_n \mathcal{E}_{n,n}$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$, write $1_{\lambda} = e_{D_{\lambda}}$. The 1_{λ} are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in $\hat{S}_q(n,r)$ with $1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)} 1_{\lambda}$, as a result of Lemma 3.1.7.

Given $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, write $X_{i,j} = \mathcal{E}_{i,j} - \mathcal{E}_{i+1,j}$. By convention, $e_A = 0$ unless $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$. For $i \in [1,n]$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$, write

$$E_{i,\lambda} = e_{D_{\lambda} + X_{i,i+1}},$$

$$F_{i,\lambda} = e_{D_{\lambda} - X_{i,i}}.$$

Define

$$E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r): \lambda_{i+1} > 0} E_{i,\lambda}$$

$$F_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r): \lambda_i > 0} F_{i,\lambda}.$$

Observe that $E_{i,\lambda} = 0$ unless $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ and $F_{i,\lambda} = 0$ unless $\lambda_i > 0$. Also, $E_{i,\lambda} = E_i 1_{\lambda}$ and $F_{i,\lambda} = F_i 1_{\lambda}$.

4.1.2 Transpose involution

Lemma 4.1.1. Transposition gives a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -modules $\top : \hat{S}_q(n,r) \to \hat{S}_q(n,r)$ with $\top (e_A) = e_{A^\top}, \ \top \circ \top = 1$ and $\top (e_A e_B) = \top (e_B) \top (e_A)$.

Proof. Let $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ and let \mathbf{k} be a finite field with $q = \# \mathbf{k}$ elements. If $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{O}_C$ then $(L'', L) \in \mathcal{O}_{C^{\top}}$ and

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{A,B,C;\mathbf{q}} &= \# \{ L' : (L,L') \in \mathcal{O}_A \text{ and } (L',L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B \} \\ &= \# \{ L' : (L'',L') \in \mathcal{O}_{B^\top} \text{ and } (L',L) \in \mathcal{O}_{A^\top} \} \\ &= \gamma_{B^\top,A^\top,C^\top;\mathbf{q}} \end{split}$$

It then follows that $\top(e_A e_B) = \top(e_B) \top(e_A)$.

4.1.3 A multiplication rule

Lemma 4.1.2. *Let* $i \in [1, n]$ *and* $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$.

$$E_i e_A = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\sum_{j > p} a_{i,j}} [a_{i,p} + 1] e_{A + X_{i,p}}$$

and

$$F_i e_A = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\sum_{j < p} a_{i+1,j}} [a_{i+1,p} + 1] e_{A-X_{i,p}}.$$

Note that these formulas are still valid in the cases $E_i e_A = 0$ and $F_i e_A = 0$. There are similar formulas for right multiplication by E_i and F_i , which can be obtained by applying the transpose involution to the above formulas. The transpose relates the E_i , F_i and 1_{λ} in the following way: $T(E_{i,\lambda}) = F_{i,\lambda}$, $T(F_{i,\lambda}) = E_{i,\lambda-\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_{i+1}}$ and $T(1_{\lambda}) = 1_{\lambda}$. In particular, $T(E_i) = F_i$ and $T(F_i) = E_i$.

Corollary 4.1.3. Let $j \in [1, n]$ and $A \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$. Then

$$e_A F_j = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\sum_{i>p} a_{i,j}} [a_{p,j} + 1] e_{A+X_{j,p}^{\top}}$$

and

$$e_A E_j = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\sum_{i < p} a_{i,j+1}} [a_{p,j+1} + 1] e_{A-X_{j,p}^{\top}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} e_A F_j &= \top (E_j e_{A^\top}) \\ &= \top (\sum_p q^{\sum_{i>p} a_{i,j}} [a_{p,j} + 1] e_{A^\top + X_{j,p}}) \\ &= \sum_p q^{\sum_{i>p} a_{i,j}} [a_{p,j} + 1] e_{A + X_{j,p}^\top} \end{split}$$

$$e_{A}E_{j} = \top (F_{j}e_{A^{\top}})$$

$$= \top (\sum_{p} q^{\sum_{i < p} a_{i,j+1}} [a_{p,j+1} + 1] e_{A^{\top} - X_{j,p}})$$

$$= \sum_{p} q^{\sum_{i < p} a_{i,j+1}} [a_{p,j+1} + 1] e_{A - X_{j,p}^{\top}}$$

4.2 Relations

Note that $E_i^{r+1} = F_i^{r+1} = 0$ while

$$E_i^r = [r]_! e_{r\mathcal{E}_{i,i+1}}$$

and

$$F_i^r = [r]_! e_{r\mathcal{E}_{i+1}}.$$

Lemma 4.2.1 (quantum Serre relations: $n \geq 3$). Suppose $n \geq 3$. The following relations hold in $\hat{S}_q(n,r)$:

$$E_i E_j - E_j E_i = 0$$

$$F_i F_j - F_j F_i = 0$$

unless $j = i \pm 1$;

$$E_i E_{i+1}^2 - (1+q)E_{i+1}E_i E_{i+1} + q E_{i+1}^2 E_i = 0$$

$$E_i^2 E_{i+1} - (1+q)E_i E_{i+1}E_i + q E_{i+1}E_i^2 = 0$$

and

$$F_{i+1}F_i^2 - (1+q)F_iF_{i+1}F_i + qF_i^2F_{i+1} = 0$$

$$F_{i+1}^2F_i - (1+q)F_{i+1}F_iF_{i+1} + qF_iF_{i+1}^2 = 0.$$

Proof. Here we introduce temporary notation for the basis elements: Write $[A] = e_A$. Take $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$.

$$E_i E_{i+1}^2 1_{\lambda} = [2][D_{\lambda} + 2X_{i+1,i+2} + X_{i,i+2}] + [2][D_{\lambda} + 2X_{i+1,i+2} + X_{i,i+1}]$$

$$E_{i+1}E_{i}E_{i+1}1_{\lambda} = [D_{\lambda} + 2X_{i+1,i+2} + X_{i,i+1}] + [2][D_{\lambda} + 2X_{i+1,i+1} + X_{i,i+1}]$$

$$E_{i+1}^2 E_i 1_{\lambda} = [2][D_{\lambda} + 2X_{i+1,i+2} + X_{i,i+1}]$$

Then

$$(E_i E_{i+1}^2 - (1+q)E_{i+1}E_i E_{i+1} + q E_{i+1}^2 E_i)1_{\lambda} = 0,$$

for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$. The relation $E_i E_{i+1}^2 - (1+q) E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} + q E_{i+1}^2 E_i = 0$ then follows.

The relations between F_i and F_{i+1} may be obtained directly, as above, or by applying the transpose operator to the relations already derived: note that the two sets of relations are related by swapping E_i and F_i and reversing the order of multiplication.

Lemma 4.2.2 (quantum Serre relations: n = 2). In the case n = 2, the quantum Serre relations will be of total degree 4. Look at the presentation of quantum groups for candidate relations. If that fails, brute force won't be too hard.

Lemma 4.2.3. $[E_i, F_j] = 0$ unless j = i.

$$E_i F_i - F_i E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)} ([\lambda_i] - [\lambda_{i+1}]) 1_{\lambda}.$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$, let $R_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda_1} \varepsilon_{0,1} + \cdots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_{n-1,n}$. Write $R = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)} R_{\lambda}$. Note $R_{\lambda} = R1_{\lambda}$. Given $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $A[m] \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ be given by $A[m]_{i,j} = a_{i,j+m}$ and let $A^{[m]}$ be given by $A^{[m]}_{i,j} = a_{i+m,j}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.2.4 (Shifting). If $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ then

$$Re_A = e_{A^{[\pm 1]}}$$

and

$$e_A R = e_{A_{[+1]}}$$
.

Conjugation by R gives an automorphism ρ of $\hat{S}_q(n,r)$ satisfying $\rho^n=1$.

4.3 quivers with relations

Denote by $\Lambda_0(n,r)$ the set of compositions of r into n parts. That is, $\Lambda_0(n,r)$ is the set of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with non-negative entries which sum to r. Let $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the ith elementary vector and write $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$ for each $i \in [1,n]$. Then $\lambda + \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$ if $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ and $\lambda - \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$ if $\lambda_i > 0$.

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(n,r)$ be the quiver with set of vertices $\Lambda_0(n,r)$, with the following arrows:

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$ and $i \in [1,n]$, there is an arrow $e_{i,\lambda} : \lambda \to \lambda + \alpha_i$ if $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ and there is an arrow $f_{i,\lambda} : \lambda \to \lambda - \alpha_i$ if $\lambda_i > 0$.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma$ the path $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebra of Γ . Thus $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module with a basis given by the set of paths in Γ , with multiplication given by the concatenation of paths. If p starts where q ends, the product pq is the path q followed by p. Write $e_{i,\lambda} = 0$ unless $\lambda, \lambda + \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$ and write $f_{i,\lambda} = 0$ unless $\lambda, \lambda - \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$.

By construction, there is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebras

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma \to \hat{S}_q(n,r)$$

given by

$$\phi(e_{i,\lambda}) = E_{i,\lambda}$$
$$\phi(f_{i,\lambda}) = F_{i,\lambda}$$
$$\phi(k_{\lambda}) = 1_{\lambda},$$

for $i \in [1, n]$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$.

The image of ϕ is the subalgebra of $\hat{S}_q(n,r)$ generated by E_i , F_i for $i \in [1,n]$ and 1_{λ} for $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$, since $E_{i,\lambda} = E_i 1_{\lambda}$ and $F_{i,\lambda} = F_i 1_{\lambda}$, while $E_i = \sum_{\lambda} E_{i,\lambda}$ and $F_i = \sum_{\lambda} F_{i,\lambda}$. In general ϕ is not surjective, so this does not always lead to a presentation of $\hat{S}_q(n,r)$.

4.3.1 Exceptional case n=2.

Describe the quiver.

Define an ideal of relations in the path algebra.

Write down the homomorphism from the bound quiver algebra to the q-Schur algebra.

4.3.2 Typical case n > 2.

Suppose $n \geq 3$. Then $\Gamma = \Gamma(n,r)$ has vertex set $\Lambda_0(n,r)$. RESUME HERE...

Define $e_i, f_i \in \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma(n,r)$ by

$$e_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)} e_{i,\lambda}$$

and

$$f_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)} f_{i,\lambda},$$

with the convention $e_{i,\lambda} = 0$ unless $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ and $f_{i,\lambda} = 0$ unless $\lambda_i > 0$. Let k_{λ} denote the constant path at vertex λ . $\{k_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)\}$ is a set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents in $\mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma(n,r)$.

Let $I(n,r) \subset \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma(n,r)$ be the ideal generated by the expressions

$$e_{i}e_{i+1}^{2} - (1+q)e_{i+1}e_{i}e_{i+1} + qe_{i+1}^{2}e_{i}$$

$$e_{i}^{2}e_{i+1} - (1+q)e_{i}e_{i+1}e_{i} + qe_{i+1}e_{i}^{2}$$

$$f_{i+1}f_{i}^{2} - (1+q)f_{i}f_{i+1}f_{i} + qf_{i}^{2}f_{i+1}$$

$$f_{i+1}^{2}f_{i} - (1+q)f_{i+1}f_{i}f_{i+1} + qf_{i}f_{i+1}^{2}$$

$$e_{i}f_{j} - f_{j}e_{i} - \delta_{i,j} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}(n,r)} ([\lambda_{i}] - [\lambda_{i+1}])k_{\lambda}$$

Recall that a relation is a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -linear combination of paths with common start and end vertices. The relations involving paths $\lambda \to \mu$ are given by $1_{\mu} \exp 1_{\lambda}$, for each of the above expressions.

Lemma 4.3.1. There is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -algebras

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{Z}[q]\Gamma(n,r)/I(n,r) \to \hat{S}_q(n,r)$$

given by

$$\phi(e_{i,\lambda}) = E_{i,\lambda}$$
$$\phi(f_{i,\lambda}) = F_{i,\lambda}$$
$$\phi(k_{\lambda}) = 1_{\lambda}.$$

A generic affine algebra

5.1 Introducing the generic affine algebra

Assume $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ and fix $n, r \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{S} be the \mathbf{k} -algebra $\mathbf{k}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$ and let \mathcal{R} be the subalgebra generated by ε , namely $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]$. Let V be a free \mathcal{S} -module of rank r and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(n, r)$ be the set of n-periodic cyclic flags in V; so \mathcal{F} consists of collections $L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of \mathcal{R} -lattices in V with $L_i \subset L_{i+1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon L_i = L_{i-n}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let G be the group of S-module automorphisms of V. Thus G is isomorphic to $GL_r(S)$. G acts on F with orbits $\{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)\}$, where $\Lambda_0(n,r)$ is the set of compositions of r into n parts, as in Definition 3.0.1.

The diagonal action of G on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ has orbits $\{\mathcal{O}_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)\}$, where \mathcal{O}_A consists of those pairs of flags with periodic characteristic matrix equal to A. Definitions of the periodic characteristic matrix and the set $\Lambda_1(n,r)$ are given in Definition 3.1.1 and Definition 3.0.2 respectively. In particular, the periodic characteristic matrix of a pair $(L,L') \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ is the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ matrix $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, with

$$a_{i,j} = \dim \left(\frac{L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} \cap L'_j + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right)$$

for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5.1.1 De-linearisation

Recall that ro, co: $\Lambda_1(n,r) \to \Lambda_0(n,r)$ are given by

$$\operatorname{ro} A = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{1,j}, \dots, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n,j}\right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{co} A = \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,n}\right)$$

for each $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$.

There has to be a better way to phrase this. Logically, the main result of this chapter will be 'there exists a category with objects $\Lambda_0(n,r)$; morphisms $\Lambda_1(n,r)$; composition *', then finally the generic affine algebra is defined as the \mathbb{Z} -algebra of this category.

Given $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$, write $A: \operatorname{co} A \to \operatorname{ro} A$. The purpose of this chapter is to define a category with objects $\Lambda_0(n,r)$ and morphisms $\Lambda_1(n,r)$; where $\operatorname{Hom}(\lambda,\mu) = \{A \in \Lambda_1(n,r) : \operatorname{ro} A = \mu, \operatorname{co} A = \lambda\}$. Given $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ let $\Lambda_1(n,r)_{A,B}$ be the set of $C \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ such that there exist $L, L', L'' \in \mathcal{F}$ with $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$, $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$ and $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{O}_C$. It will be shown that $\Lambda_1(n,r)$ admits a partial order \leq such that, given $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ with $\operatorname{ro} B = \operatorname{co} A$, $\Lambda_1(n,r)_{A,B}$ has a maximum element A * B. It will be shown that * is associative, leading to the construction of a category with the described properties.

The generic affine Schur algebra G(n,r) will then be a \mathbb{Z} -algebra defined as a linearisation of this category. It will be shown that $\hat{G}(n,r)$ gives a realisation of the affine 0-Schur algebra $\hat{S}_0(n,r)$ when r < n. It is expected that a more refined presentation of the generic algebra and the 0-Schur algebra will allow the conditions on the parameters to be relaxed slightly: the r = n case is approachable, which may extend to the case r < 2n.

5.2 A partial order

Given $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define a map $d_{i,j}$ on $\Lambda_1(n,r)$ by setting

$$d_{i,j}A = \sum_{s \le i, t > j} a_{s,t}$$

for each $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$.

Lemma 5.2.1. Let $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$, with $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and write $d_{i,j} = d_{i,j}A$ for $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$d_{i,j} - d_{i-1,j} = \sum_{t>j} a_{i,t}$$

and

$$d_{i,j} - d_{i,j-1} = -\sum_{s \le i} a_{s,j}.$$

Proof. Let $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$d_{i,j} - d_{i-1,j} = \sum_{s \le i, t > j} a_{s,t} - \sum_{s \le i-1, t > j} a_{s,t} = \sum_{t > j} a_{i,t}.$$

Similarly,

$$d_{i,j} - d_{i,j-1} = \sum_{s \le i, t > j} a_{s,t} - \sum_{s \le i, t > j-1} a_{s,t} = -\sum_{s \le i} a_{s,j}.$$

Lemma 5.2.2. Let $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$, with $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and write $d_{i,j} = d_{i,j}A$ for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$a_{i,j} = d_{i,j-1} - d_{i-1,j-1} + d_{i-1,j} - d_{i,j}$$

for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 5.2.1,

$$a_{i,j} = \sum_{t>j-1} a_{i,t} - \sum_{t>j} a_{i,t}$$
$$= (d_{i,j-1} - d_{i-1,j-1}) - (d_{i,j} - d_{i-1,j}).$$

16

Alternatively,

$$a_{i,j} = \sum_{s \le i} a_{s,j} - \sum_{s \le i-1} a_{s,j}$$
$$= -(d_{i,j} - d_{i,j-1}) + (d_{i-1,j} - d_{i-1,j-1}).$$

Lemma 5.2.3. The relation \leq on $\Lambda_1(n,r)$, defined by $A \leq B$ if and only if $d_{i,j}A \leq d_{i,j}B$ for all $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a partial order.

Proof. It is clear that \leq is reflexive and transitive, so it remains to see \leq is antisymmetric. Suppose $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ with $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$. Then $d_{i,j}A = d_{i,j}B$ for each $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$, which shows A = B as a result of Lemma 5.2.2.

The partial order on $\Lambda_1(n,r)$ induces a partial order on the set of G-orbits in $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$, such that $\mathcal{O}_A \leq \mathcal{O}_B$ if and only if $A \leq B$. The following lemma is rephrased from Lemma 3.1.4 and gives some geometric significance to the partial order on $\Lambda_1(n,r)$.

Lemma 5.2.4. Let $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ and take $(L,L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Then

$$d_{i,j}A = \dim\left(\frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L_j'}\right)$$

for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. This is a rephrasing of Lemma 3.1.4.

Remark 1. It is thought* that the partial order on $\Lambda_1(n,r)$ is compatible with the degeneration order (or closure order) on G-orbits in $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$. In particular, it is hoped that $A \leq B$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_A \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_B}$.

5.3 Preliminary results

Suppose $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with co A = ro B. Recall the notation

$$Y_{A,B} = \{(L, L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^3 : (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A, (L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B\}$$

and

$$X_{A,B} = \{(L, L'') \in \mathcal{F}^2 : \exists L' \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } (L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}\}.$$

 $X_{A,B}$ is the image of $Y_{A,B}$ under the projection onto the first and last components.

Lemma 5.3.1. There is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$$

 $whenever \ (L,L'') \in X_{A,B}.$

Proof. There exist $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\varepsilon^{N_1}L_0 \subset L_0' \subset \varepsilon^{-N_1}L_0$$

and

$$\varepsilon^{N_2}L_0'\subset L_0''\subset \varepsilon^{-N_2}L_0',$$

whenever $(L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}$. Then, for $(L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}$,

$$L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N_2} L_0' \subset \varepsilon^{-(N_1+N_2)} L_0$$

and

$$\varepsilon^{N_1+N_2}L_0\subset \varepsilon^{N_2}L_0'\subset L_0''$$
.

In particular, taking $N = N_1 + N_2$, we have

$$\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$$

whenever $(L, L'') \in X_{A,B}$.

Lemma 5.3.2. Suppose $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\varepsilon^{N_1} L_0 \subset L_0 \subset \varepsilon^{-N_1} L_0$ and $\varepsilon^{N_2} L_0' \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N_2} L_0'$ whenever $(L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}$ and let $N = N_1 + N_2$. Then

$$\dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{L_0''}\right) = d_{nN_1,0}(A) + d_{nN_2,0}(B)$$

and

$$\dim\left(\frac{L_0''}{\varepsilon^N L_0}\right) = 2Nr - d_{nN_1,0}(A) + d_{nN_2,0}(B),$$

whenever $(L, L'') \in X_{A,B}$.

Proof. Suppose $(L, L'') \in X_{A,B}$ and $L' \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $(L, L', L'') \in Y_{A,B}$. As in lemma 5.3.1, $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$, so

$$\dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{L_0''}\right) + \dim\left(\frac{L_0''}{\varepsilon^NL_0}\right) = \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{\varepsilon^NL_0}\right).$$

As a **k**-vector space, $\varepsilon^{-N}L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$ is isomorphic to $(L_0/\varepsilon L_0)^{2N}$, which has dimension 2Nr, so

$$\dim\left(\frac{L_0''}{\varepsilon^N L_0}\right) = 2Nr - \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L_0''}\right).$$

It remains to compute the codimension of L_0'' in $\varepsilon^{-N}L_0$. Note $L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N_2}L_0' \subset \varepsilon^{-N}L_0$, so

$$\dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon - NL_0}{L_0''}\right) = \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{\varepsilon^{-N_2}L_0'}\right) + \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N_2}L_0'}{L_0''}\right).$$

$$\dim \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{\varepsilon^{-N_2}L'_0}\right) = \dim \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N_1}L_0}{L'_0}\right)$$

$$= \dim \left(\frac{L_{nN_1}}{L_{nN_1} \cap L'_0}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{s \le nN_1, t > 0} A_{s,t}$$

$$= d_{nN_1,0}(A).$$

$$\dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N_2}L'_0}{L''_0}\right) = \dim\left(\frac{L'_{nN_2}}{L'_{nN_2} \cap L''_0}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{s \le nN_2, t > 0} B_{s,t}$$
$$= d_{nN_2,0}(B).$$

5.3.1 A quasi-projective variety

Fix $L \in \mathcal{F}$. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n, r)$, define

$$\Pi_{N,\lambda} = \{ L'' \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} : \varepsilon^{N} L_0 \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0 \}.$$

and

$$\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a = \left\{ L'' \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} : \varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^N L_0, \dim \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N} L_0}{L_0''} \right) = a \right\}.$$

 $\Pi_{N,\lambda}$ is the (disjoint) union of the $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, we will see $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$ is empty whenever a > 2Nr.

Lemma 5.3.3. Let $N, a \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$. Then $\Pi^a_{N,\lambda}$ is nonempty exactly when $0 \le a \le 2Nr$.

Proof. Suppose $L'' \in \Pi_{N,\lambda}$. By definition, $\varepsilon^{-N}L_0 \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N}L_0$, which shows

$$\dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{L_0''}\right) \le \dim\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-N}L_0}{\varepsilon^NL_0}\right) = 2Nr.$$

Therefore, $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$ is empty unless $a \leq 2Nr$.

Now assume $0 \le a \le 2Nr$. We may choose an ε -invariant subspace W' of $W = \varepsilon^{-N} L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$ of codimension a. W' lifts to give a \mathcal{R} -module, say L_0'' , with $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$ and with $\dim(\varepsilon^{-N} L_0/L_0'') = \dim(W/W') = a$. Similarly, a flag of type λ in $L_0''/\varepsilon L_0''$ lifts to give \mathcal{R} -modules $(L_{-n+1}'', \ldots, L_0'')$ with

$$\varepsilon L_0'' \subset L_{-n+1}'' \subset \cdots \subset L_{-1}'' \subset L_0'' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$$

and such that the dimensions of successive quotients are given by $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, a$, from left to right. Thus, $(L''_{-n+1}, \ldots, L''_0)$ extends by periodicity to give an element of $\Pi^a_{N,\lambda}$, as desired.

Lemma 5.3.4. Given $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \le a \le 2Nr$, $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$ is a quasi-projective variety.

Proof. Let $W = \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0 / \varepsilon^N L_0$ and let

$$X = \left\{ W_1 \le \dots \le W_n \le W : \dim\left(\frac{W}{W_n}\right) = a, \dim\left(\frac{W_i}{W_{i-1}}\right) = \lambda_i \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, n \right\}.$$

X is known to be a projective variety [CITATION NEEDED]

Let X' be the subset of X consisting of those (W_1, \ldots, W_n) , where each W_i is ε -invariant and $\varepsilon W_n \leq W_1$. X' is a closed subset of X, though is not necessarily irreducible.

The correspondence between the set of \mathcal{R} -submodules of $\varepsilon^{-(1+N)}L_0$ which contain $\varepsilon^N L_0$ and the set of \mathcal{R} -submodules of $\varepsilon^{-(1+N)}L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$ gives a pair of mutually inverse maps $\Pi^a_{N,\lambda} \leftrightarrow X'$.

– the idea that is relevant to the proof is that inclusion relations $L_i \subset L_{i+1}$ describe a closed set in a product of grassmanians. Unsure here – Is it true that irreducible components of X' are projective varieties. In this case, should the statement be that $\Pi_{N,\lambda}^a$ is a projective algebraic set, rather that a quasi projective variety?

Lemma 5.3.5. Given $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with ro $B = \operatorname{co} A$ and $(L, L', L'') \in \mathcal{F}^3$ with $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$,

$$X_{A.B}^L = G_L G_{L'} L''.$$

Proof. $X_{A,B}^L$ is the image of $Y_{A,B}^L$ under the forgetful map $(N', N'') \mapsto N''$. If $\alpha \in G_L$ and $\beta \in G_{L'}$ then $(L, \alpha L, \alpha \beta L'') \in Y_{A,B}$ since $(L, \alpha L') = \alpha(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and $(\alpha L', \alpha \beta L'') = \alpha \beta(\beta^{-1}L', L'') = \alpha \beta(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$. Consequently, $G_L G_{L'} L'' \in X_{A,B}^L$.

For the reverse inclusion, if $(N', N'') \in Y_{A,B}^L$ then $(L, N') \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and $(N', N'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$, so there exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$ such that $(L, N') = \sigma_1(L, L')$ and $(N', N'') \in \sigma_2(N', N'')$. Then $(L, N', N'') = (L, \sigma_1 L', \sigma_1(\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2)L'')$ with $\sigma_1 \in G_L$ and $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 \in G_{L'}$. Thus $X_{A,B}^L = G_L G_{L'} L''$.

Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$H_N = \left\{ h \in G_L : h = 1 \text{ on } \frac{\varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0}{\varepsilon^N L_0} \right\}.$$

Explicitly, the condition h=1 on $\varepsilon^{-(1+N)}L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$ means: $h(x)+\varepsilon^N L_0=x+\varepsilon^N L_0$ for $x\in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)}L_0$. Observe that $H_{N+1}\subset H_N$ for $N\in\mathbb{N}$ since $h(x)+\varepsilon^N L_0=x+\varepsilon^N L_0$ whenever $x\in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)}L_0$.

Lemma 5.3.6. H_N is a normal subgroup in G_L , for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. $H_N \subset G_L$ by definition. Suppose $h, h' \in H_N$ and let $x \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)}L_0$. $h'(x) \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)}L_0$ as $h' \in G_L$, so $hh'(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = h'(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = x + \varepsilon^N L_0$, which shows $hh' \in H_N$. $h(x) - x \in \varepsilon^N L_0$, so $h^{-1}(x) - x = -h^{-1}(h(x) - x) \in \varepsilon^N L_0$. $h^{-1} \in H_N$, so H_N is a subgroup of G_L .

so $h^{-1}(x) - x = -h^{-1}(h(x) - x) \in \varepsilon^N L_0$. $h^{-1} \in H_N$, so H_N is a subgroup of G_L . Let $g \in G_L$. $hg^{-1}(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = g^{-1}(x)$ as $g^{-1}(x) \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0$, so $ghg^{-1}(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = gg^{-1}(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = x + \varepsilon^N L_0$. Thus $ghg^{-1} \in H_N$, which proves H_N is a normal subgroup in G_L .

The H_N form a descending chain of normal subgroups in G_L : $\cdots \subset H_1 \subset H_0 \subset G_L \subset G$.

Lemma 5.3.7. G_L/H_N is an irreducible algebraic group for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. See the discussion in [2][section 4]. Should be able to give an explicit presentation of G_L/H_N in terms of the block structure.

 $\sigma \in G_L$ induces an automorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ of $\varepsilon^{-N}L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$, with inverse induced by σ^{-1} . Moreover, the natural map

$$G_L/H \to GL(\varepsilon^{-N}L_0/\varepsilon^NL_0)$$

is a group homomorphism. In fact, this homomorphism is injective: if $\sigma = \tau$ on $\varepsilon^{-N} L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$, then $\sigma \tau^{-1} = 1$ on $\varepsilon^{-N} L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$ and so $\sigma H = \tau H$. Thus G_L/H is isomorphic to its image in $\mathrm{GL}_{(\varepsilon)}(\varepsilon)^{-N} L_0/\varepsilon^N L_0$.

Lemma 5.3.8. There is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_N \subset G_{L'}$. Consequently, $H_{N'} \subset G_{L'}$ whenever $N' \geq N$.

Proof. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L_0' \subset \varepsilon^{-N} L_0$. Then

$$\varepsilon^N L_0 \subset L_0' \subset L_1' \subset \cdots \subset L_n' \subset \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0.$$

Let $h \in H_N$. $h(x) + \varepsilon^N L_0 = x + \varepsilon^N L_0$ for $x \in \varepsilon^{-(1+N)} L_0$, so $h(L_i') \subset L_i'$ for i = 0, 1, ..., n. Moreover, h^{-1} stabilises L_i' , so $h(L_i') = L_i'$ for i = 0, 1, ..., n and therefore for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This shows $h \in G_{L_i'}$ as required, so $H_N \subset G_{L_i'}$.

Note that H_N is generally not a normal subgroup of $G_{L'}$, though the space of (right) cosets of H_N in $G_{L'}$ will still be irreducible. ADD AN EXAMPLE

Lemma 5.3.9. $G_{L'}/H_N$ is irreducible, provided $H_N \subset G_{L'}$.

Proof. Needs a proof.

Lemma 5.3.10. Given $L \in \mathcal{F}$, the G_L -orbits in \mathcal{F} are locally closed.

Proof. Look at proposition 8.3 "Closed Orbits" in [1], which shows that the orbits under an algebraic group action are locally closed. \Box

Lemma 5.3.11. Given $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{ro} A}$, $X_{A,B}^L$ is an irreducible topological space.

Proof. Write up this proof properly - this is only a sketch. There is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large that $X_{A,B}^L$ is contained in $\Pi_{N,\text{co }B}$, using Lemma 5.3.1. Suppose $(L,L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$, then $X_{A,B}^L = G_L X_B^{L'}$. G_L acts on $\Pi_{N,\lambda}$ through a quotient G_L/H which is an irreducible algebraic group, as a result of Lemma 5.3.7. $X_B^{L'}$ is an irreducible subspace of $\Pi_{N,\lambda}$. $X_{A,B}^L$ is the image of an irreducible subspace of $\Pi_{N,\lambda}$ under the action of a connected algebraic group, so $X_{A,B}^L$ is irreducible. \square

Proposition 5.3.12. Given $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{ro} A}$, there is a unique open G_L -orbit in $X_{A,B}^L$.

Proof. $X_{A,B}^L$ consists of finitely many G_L -orbits and is an irreducible topological space, by Lemma 5.3.11. Consequently, X_C^L is dense in $X_{A,B}^L$ for some $C \in \Lambda_1(n,r)_{A,B}$. Lemma 5.3.10 shows that X_C^L is locally closed in $X_{A,B}^L$, so X_C^L is open in $\overline{X_C^L} = X_{A,B}^L$. Irreducibility of $X_{A,B}^L$ shows that there is a unique open G_L -orbit, since two non-empty open sets in $X_{A,B}^L$ intersect non-trivially, thus any two open G_L orbits in $X_{A,B}^L$ coincide.

5.4 Existence of a maximum

Lemma 5.4.1. Given $A, A' \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with ro $A = \operatorname{ro} A'$ and $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{co} A'$, $A' \leq A$ if and only if $X_{A'}^L \subset \overline{X_A^L}$ for any $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{ro} A}$.

Proof. ADD PROOF

Proposition 5.4.2. Given $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$, $\Lambda_1(n,r)_{A,B}$ has a maximum element

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{F}_{ro\,A}$. $X_{A,B}^L$ is irreducible by Lemma 5.3.11 and is the union of finitely many G_L -orbits, namely

$$X_{A,B}^{L} = \bigcup_{C \in \Lambda_{1}(n,r)_{A,B}} X_{C}^{L}.$$

This shows that X_C^L is dense in $X_{A,B}^L$ for some $C \in \Lambda_1(n,r)_{A,B}$. Lemma 5.3.10 shows that the G_L -orbits in $X_{A,B}^L$ are locally closed, so a dense G_L -orbit is open in $X_{A,B}^L$. Lemma 5.4.1 shows that the characteristic matrix of the dense G_L -orbit is a maximum in $\Lambda_1(n,r)_{A,B}$.

5.5 Associativity

Lemma 5.5.1. Given $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with $\operatorname{ro} C = \operatorname{co} B$, $\operatorname{ro} B = \operatorname{co} A$ and a tuple of flags $(L, L', L'', L''') \in \mathcal{F}^4$ with $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$, $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$ and $(L'', L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C$,

$$X_{A,B,C}^{L} = G_{L}G_{L'}G_{L''}L'''.$$

Proof. Given $\alpha \in G_L$, $\beta \in G_{L'}$ and $\gamma \in G_{L''}$, $(L, \alpha L', \alpha \beta L'', \alpha \beta \gamma L''') \in Y_{A,B,C}$ since $(L, \alpha L') = \alpha(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_A$, $(\alpha L', \alpha \beta L'') = \alpha \beta(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_B$ and $(\alpha \beta L'', \alpha \beta \gamma L''') = \alpha \beta \gamma(L'', L''') \in \mathcal{O}_C$. This shows $G_L G_{L'} G_{L''} L''' \in X_{A,B,C}^L$.

 $X_{A,B,C}^L$ is the image of $Y_{A,B,C}^L$ under the forgetful map $(N',N'',N''')\mapsto N'''$. Given $(N',N'',N''')\in Y_{A,B,C}^L$, there exist $\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3\in G$ such that $(L,N')=\sigma_1(L,L'),\ (N',N'')=\sigma_2(L',L'')$ and $(N'',N''')=\sigma_3(L'',L''')$; then $N'=\sigma_1L'=\sigma_2L',\ N''=\sigma_2L''=\sigma_3L''$ and $N'''=\sigma_3L'''$. Thus

$$(L,N',N'',N''')=(L,\sigma_1L',\sigma_1(\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2)L'',\sigma_1(\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2)(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3)L''')$$

where $\sigma_1 \in G_L$, $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 \in G_{L'}$ and $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3 \in G_{L''}$.

Lemma 5.5.2. Given $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$ and $\operatorname{co} B = \operatorname{ro} C$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{ro} A}, Y_{A,B,C}^L$ is an irreducible topological space.

Proof – to be written.

Corollary 5.5.3. Given $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$ and $\operatorname{co} B = \operatorname{ro} C$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{ro} A}$, $X_{A,B,C}^L$ is an irreducible topological space

Proof. $X_{A,B,C}^L$ is the image of $Y_{A,B,C}^L$ under the forgetful map $(L',L'',L''') \mapsto L'''$, which is continuous. Lemma 5.5.2 shows that $Y_{A,B,C}^L$ is irreducible, so it follows $X_{A,B,C}^L$ is irreducible.

Lemma 5.5.4. Given $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$ and $\operatorname{co} B = \operatorname{ro} C$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{ro} A}$, $X_{A*B,C}^L$ and $X_{A,B*C}^L$ are open and dense in $X_{A,B,C}^L$.

 $Proof-to\ be\ written.$

Proposition 5.5.5. Given $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$ and $\operatorname{co} B = \operatorname{ro} C$, (A * B) * C = A * (B * C).

Proof 1. Take $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$ and $\operatorname{co} B = \operatorname{ro} C$ and fix $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{ro} A}$. $X_{A,B,C}^L$ is irreducible, by Lemma 5.5.3, and is the union of finitely many disjoint locally closed subsets, namely

$$X_{A,B,C}^L = \bigcup_{D \in \Lambda_1(n,r)_{A,B,C}} X_D^L.$$

Therefore, exactly one of the X_D^L is open and dense in $X_{A,B,C}^L$. $X_{A*B,C}^L$ is open and dense in $X_{A,B,C}^L$, by Lemma 5.5.4. It then follows that the maximum G_L -orbit $X_{(A*B)*C}^L$ in $X_{A*B,C}^L$ is open and dense in $X_{A,B,C}^L$. Similarly, $X_{A*(B*C)}^L$ is open and dense in $X_{A,B*C}^L$ which is in turn open and dense in $X_{A,B,C}^L$. $X_{(A*B)*C}^L$ and $X_{A*(B*C)}^L$ are both a single orbit for the action of G_L and intersect non-trivially since $X_{A,B,C}^L$ is irreducible, therefore $X_{(A*B)*C}^L = X_{A*(B*C)}^L$, which means (A*B)*C = A*(B*C).

Proof 2. Take $A, B, C \in \Lambda_1(n, r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B$ and $\operatorname{co} B = \operatorname{ro} C$ and fix $L \in \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{ro} A}$. Let $f \colon Y_{A,B,C}^L \to X_{A,B,C}^L$ be the restriction of the forgetful map $(L', L'', L''') \mapsto L'''$. $Y_{A,B,C}^L$ is an irreducible topological space, by 5.5.2.

$$f^{-1}X_{A*B,C}^L = \left\{ (L',L'',L''') \in Y_{A,B,C}^L : \dim\left(\frac{L_i}{L_i \cap L_j''}\right) \text{ is maximal, for each } i,j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is open in $Y_{A,B,C}^L$ since $f^{-1}X_{A*B,C}^L$ is given by finitely many open conditions.

 $X_{(A*B)*C}^L$ is open in $X_{A*B,C}^L$, so $f^{-1}X_{(A*B)*C}^L$ is open in $f^{-1}X_{A*B,C}^L$, which is open in $Y_{A,B,C}^L$, so $f^{-1}X_{(A*B)*C}^L$ is open and dense in $Y_{A,B,C}^L$.

$$f^{-1}X_{A,B*C}^L = \left\{ (L',L'',L''') \in Y_{A,B,C}^L : \dim\left(\frac{L_i'}{L_i' \cap L_j'''}\right) \text{ is maximal, for each } i,j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is open in $Y_{A,B,C}^L$, being determined by finitely many open conditions.

 $X_{A*(B*C)}^L$ is open in $X_{A,B*C}^L$, so $f^{-1}X_{A*(B*C)}^L$ is open in $f^{-1}X_{A,B*C}^L$, which is open in $Y_{A,B,C}^L$, so $f^{-1}X_{A*(B*C)}^L$ is open in $Y_{A,B,C}^L$. Then $f^{-1}X_{(A*B)*C}^L$ and $f^{-1}X_{A*(B*C)}^L$ are both nonempty and open in the irreducible space $Y_{A,B,C}^L$, so $f^{-1}X_{(A*B)*C}^L \cap X_{A*(B*C)}^L$ is non-empty. It follows that the G_L -orbits $X_{(A*B)*C}^L$ and $X_{A*(B*C)}^L$ coincide and thus (A*B)*C = A*(B*C).

5.6 The generic algebra

Lemma 5.6.1. Given $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$ and $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$, $D_{\lambda} * A = A$ if ro $A = \lambda$ and $A * D_{\lambda} = A$ if co $A = \lambda$.

Proof. Lemma 3.1.7 shows that $\Lambda_1(n,r)_{D_{\lambda},A}=\{A\}$ if $\lambda=\operatorname{ro} A$ and $\Lambda_1(n,r)_{A,D_{\lambda}}=\{A\}$ if $\lambda=\operatorname{co} A$, which proves the result.

Theorem 5.6.2. The following constitutes a small category: the set of objects is $\Lambda_0(n,r)$ and the set of morphisms is $\Lambda_1(n,r)$. Given compositions $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$, the morphisms with source λ and target μ are those matrices $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \lambda$ and $\operatorname{ro} A = \mu$. Given $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$ and $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ with $B: \lambda \to \mu$ and $A: \mu \to \nu$ the composition is $A*B: \lambda \to \nu$.

Proof. Proposition 5.4.2 shows that the composition is well defined while Proposition 5.5.5 establishes associativity of the composition. Lemma 5.6.1 shows that $D_{\lambda} : \lambda \to \lambda$ is the identity morphism for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$. Thus $(\Lambda_0(n,r), \Lambda_1(n,r), \text{co, ro, *})$ is a category.

Write $\mathcal{G}(n,r)$ to denote this so-called 'generic category'.

Example 1. The objects in $\mathcal{G}(2,2)$ are compositions of 2 into 2 parts, namely (0,2), (1,1) and (2,0). The set of morphisms from λ to μ is the set of infinite periodic matrices $A \in \Lambda_1(2,2)$ with $\operatorname{co} A = \lambda$ and $\operatorname{ro} A = \mu$, which is a countably infinite set for any pair of compositions $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_0(2,2)$.

Definition 5.6.1 (Generic algebra). The generic affine algebra $\hat{G}(n,r)$ is the category \mathbb{Z} -algebra of $\mathcal{G}(n,r)$. In particular, $\hat{G}(n,r)$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)\}$ and with associative multiplication given by

$$e_A * e_B = \begin{cases} e_{A*B} & \text{if } \operatorname{co} A = \operatorname{ro} B \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{co} A \neq \operatorname{ro} B. \end{cases}$$

The multiplicative identity in $\hat{G}(n,r)$ is

$$1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)} e_{D_\lambda}.$$

A realisation of affine zero Schur algebras

We aim to prove the isomorphism theorem in the cases r < n and $n \le r < 2n$ separately. Below are crude versions of the statements we want to prove.

Theorem 6.0.1. Assume r < n. The map $\psi : \hat{G}(n,r) \to \hat{S}_0(n,r)$, given by $\psi(E_i) = E_i$, $\psi(F_i) = F_i$ and $\psi(1_{\lambda}) = 1_{\lambda}$, is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras.

Theorem 6.0.2. Assume $n \leq r < 2n$. There is a unique homomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras $\hat{\psi} \colon \hat{G}(n,r) \to \hat{S}_0(n,r)$ such that $\hat{\psi}(R) = R$ and $\hat{\psi} = \psi$ on the subalgebra of $\hat{G}(n,r)$ generated by the E_i , F_i and 1_{λ} . $\hat{\psi}$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras.

6.1 Preliminary results

6.1.1 Elementary basis elements

Give notation for the elementary basis elements $E_{i,\lambda}$, $F_{i,\lambda}$ and 1_{λ} .

6.1.2 Transpose involution

Lemma 6.1.1. The \mathbb{Z} -module automorphism \top of $\hat{G}(n,r)$ given by $e_A \mapsto e_{A^{\top}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -algebra antihomomorphism: that is,

$$e_{A^\top} * e_{B^\top} = e_B * e_A$$

for each $A, B \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$. Moreover, $\top(E_{i,\lambda}) = F_{i,\lambda+\alpha_i}$, $\top(F_{i,\lambda}) = E_{i,\lambda-\alpha_i}$ and $\top(1_{\lambda}) = 1_{\lambda}$, for permissible $(i,\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \Lambda_0(n,r)$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 4.1.1. It must also be shown that the transpose operation on $\Lambda_1(n,r)$ is order preserving.

6.1.3 Multiplication rules

Write

$$E_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r): \lambda_{i+1} > 0} E_{i,\lambda}$$
$$F_i = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r): \lambda_i > 0} F_{i,\lambda}.$$

Then $E_{i,\lambda} = E_i 1_{\lambda}$ and $F_{i,\lambda} = F_i 1_{\lambda}$.

Lemma 6.1.2. Let $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$, $i \in [1,n]$ and let $\lambda = \text{ro } A$. The following multiplication rules hold:

$$E_i e_A = \begin{cases} e_{A+X_{i,p}} & \text{if } \lambda_{i+1} > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda_{i+1} = 0; \end{cases}$$

where p is such that $A_{i+1,p} > 0$ and $A_{i+1,j} = 0$ for j > p. Also

$$F_i e_A = \begin{cases} e_{A-X_{i,p}} & \text{if } \lambda_i > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda_i = 0; \end{cases}$$

where p is such that $A_{i,p} > 0$ and $A_{i,j} = 0$ for j < p.

Similar formulas for right multiplication by E_i and F_i are obtained by applying the transpose. Add formulas for right multiplication by E_i and F_i .

6.2 Presentation of the generic algebra.

Recall that $\Lambda_0(n,r)$ denotes the set of compositions of r into n parts. That is, $\Lambda_0(n,r)$ is the set of tuples $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with each λ_i non-negative and $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = r$. Given $i \in [1,n]$, let $\varepsilon_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the i-th elementary vector and let $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$. Then given $\lambda \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$, we have $\lambda + \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$ provided $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$ and $\lambda - \alpha_i \in \Lambda_0(n,r)$ provided $\lambda_i > 0$. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(n,r)$ be the quiver with set of vertices $\Lambda_0(n,r)$ with arrows $e_{i,\lambda} \colon \lambda \to \lambda + \alpha_i$ (if $\lambda_{i+1} > 0$) and $f_{i,\lambda} \colon \lambda \to \lambda - \alpha_i$ (if $\lambda_i > 0$). Thus there are no arrows between λ and μ unless $\lambda = \mu \pm \alpha_i$ for some $i \in [1,n]$.

If $n \geq 3$ then neighbouring vertices are connected by two arrows, one of each direction. In the case n = 2, neighbouring vertices are joined by four arrows, two of each direction. The $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ denote the path \mathbb{Z} algebra of Γ . By construction of Γ , there is a \mathbb{Z} -algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \to \hat{G}(n,r)$ with $e_{i,\lambda} \mapsto E_{i,\lambda}$, $f_{i,\lambda} \mapsto F_{i,\lambda}$ and $k_{\lambda} = 1_{\lambda}$. We aim to describe the image and kernel of the morphism to give a presentation of the generic algebra by a quiver with relations, when possible. In general, we should obtain a presentation of a subalgebra of the generic algebra consisting of the so-called aperiodic elements (c.f. [2]).

Definition 6.2.1. (aperiodicity) $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ is aperiodic if for each $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_{i,i+l} = 0$. Denote the set of aperiodic elements in $\Lambda_1(n,r)$ by $\Lambda_1(n,r)^{ap}$. Note that $\Lambda_1(n,r)^{ap} = \Lambda_1(n,r)$ if r < n. Linear combinations of the basis elements corresponding to aperiodic matrices are also said to be aperiodic - if A is aperiodic, we say e_A is aperiodic.

Lemma 6.2.1. If $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ is aperiodic, then $E_i * e_A$ and $F_i * e_A$ are aperiodic.

Proof. Suppose $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ is aperiodic and $E_i * A \neq 0$. There is $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_{i+1,l} > 0$ and $a_{i+1,l'} = 0$ for l' > l. Then $E_i * e_A = e_{A+\mathcal{E}_{i,l}-\mathcal{E}_{i+1,l}}$, from Lemma 6.1.2. FINISH THIS PROOF

Lemma 6.2.2. If $A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)$ is aperiodic, then e_A may be obtained from $1_{co A}$ by finitely many applications of E_i and F_i for $i \in [1, n]$.

Proposition 6.2.3. The subalgebra of $\hat{G}(n,r)$ generated by $E_{i,\lambda}$, $F_{i,\lambda}$ and 1_{λ} has \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{e_A : A \in \Lambda_1(n,r)^{ap}\}$, where $\Lambda_1(n,r)^{ap} \subset \Lambda_1(n,r)$ is the set of aperiodic elements, as in Definition 6.2.1.

Proof. Combining Lemma 6.2.1 and Lemma 6.2.2 proves the result.

6.2.1 The case $n \ge 3$.

Lemma 6.2.4. The following relations hold in $\hat{G}(n,r)$ $(n \geq 3)$:

$$E_i E_j - E_j E_i = 0$$

$$F_i F_i - F_i F_i = 0$$

unless |j - i| = 1.

$$E_{i}E_{i+1}^{2} - E_{i+1}E_{i}E_{i+1} = 0$$

$$E_{i}^{2}E_{i+1} - E_{i}E_{i+1}E_{i} = 0$$

$$F_{i+1}F_{i}^{2} - F_{i}F_{i+1}F_{i} = 0$$

$$F_{i+1}^{2}F_{i} - F_{i+1}F_{i}F_{i+1} = 0$$

$$E_i F_i - F_i E_i = 0$$

unless j = i.

$$E_i Fi - F_i E_i + \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i = 0, \lambda_{i+1} > 0} 1_{\lambda} - \sum_{\lambda: \lambda_i > 0, \lambda_{i+1} = 0} 1_{\lambda} = 0.$$

6.2.2 The case n = 2.

In this case, the quiver $\Gamma(2,r)$ has vertices $\Lambda_0(2,r) = \{(0,r), (1,r-1), \dots, (r,0)\}$; adjacent vertices are connected by two pairs of arrows with opposite orientation: (e_1, f_1) and (e_2, f_2) . The relations arising from $\hat{G}(2,r)$ are of a more complicated form - in particular, the serre relations of total degree 3 will not hold in this case - so this case will be treated separately and at a later date.

Further directions

7.1 Further results on affine zero Schur algebras

[1] Investigate link between this generic product and the generic extension of representations. Shifting to the non-negative subalgebra to do computations purely in terms of generic extensions of quiver representations.

7.2 Deformed group algebras of symmetric groups

[2] Degenerate group algebras of symmetric groups: write down a presentation of the degenerate group algebras, with generators given by the transpositions, or 2-cycles. Type up the computations done for degenerate group algebras for S_3 and S_4 . Formulate propositions for the general case: the transpositions generate the degenerate group algebra; lemma: 'these' relations hold; these generators and relations give a presentation of the degenerate group algebras.

Terminology: deformed group algebra.

Bibliography

- $[1]\ \ \ J.$ Humphreys. $Linear\ Algebraic\ Groups.$ Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [2] George Lusztig. "Aperiodicity in quantum affine gln". In: Asian Journal of Mathematics 3.1 (1999), pp. 147–178.