CAN304 Assessment 1: Group Project

Weight:

This assignment contributes 20% to the overall assessment of CAN304.

Deadline

Presentation videos and slides: Sunday, 8 May 2022, 23:59

Report and code: Sunday, 15 May 2020, 23:59

Tasks:

In this group project, students are going to do a project related to computer systems security. They have to choose a topic and then study the state-of-the-art work done related to that topic. Identify the shortcoming of the existing work and propose an enhanced security solution. The project should be done in groups with a maximum of 6 students per group and minimum 4 students.

In particular, each group should

- 1. Realize a prototype and elaborate the complexity of implementation;
- 2. Write a report in IEEE conference paper style A4 (normally 4 to 8 pages excluding Appendices). The report is expected to include the following parts:
 - a. Introduction, motivation and background
 - b. Literature survey
 - c. Problem identification
 - d. Proposed solution and novelty
 - e. Implementation and testing
 - f. Conclusion
 - g. Reference
- 3. Give an oral presentation that includes a project demonstration using picture or video components.

Submission:

Each group should submit to Learning Mall:

- A presentation slides (PDF) + video (mp4, <=7 minutes, < 100M) by Sunday, 8 May
 2022, 23:59
- A report (PDF) + code with corresponding guide/tutorial (ZIP) by Sunday, 15 May
 2022, 23:59

The live Q&A for each group will be arranged in one of the following 6 sessions. Details of the arrangement will be released via Learning Mall before 1 May 2022.

- Session 1: 18:00 19:00, Thursday, 12 May 2022
- Session 2: 13:00 14:00, Friday, 13 May 2022
- Session 3: 18:00 19:00, Thursday, 19 May 2022

```
 Session 4: 13:00 – 16:00, Friday, 20 May 2022
 Session 5: 18:00 – 19:00, Thursday, 26 May 2022
```

• Session 6: 13:00 – 16:00, Friday, 27 May 2022

Late Submission Policy: 5% of the total marks available for the assessment shall be deducted from the assessment mark for each working day after the submission date, up to a maximum of five working days.

Potential Topics

Any relevant area of computer systems security is ok. Areas include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Network security
 - o Intrusion and anomaly detection and prevention
 - Network infrastructure security
 - o Denial-of-service attacks and countermeasures
 - Wireless security
- Applications of cryptography
 - o Analysis of deployed cryptography and cryptographic protocols
 - o Cryptographic implementation analysis
 - New cryptographic protocols with real-world applications
- System security
 - Web security
 - Mobile systems security
 - Cloud computing security
- Security analysis
 - Malware analysis
 - Analysis of network and security protocols
 - Attacks with novel insights, techniques, or results
 - Forensics and diagnostics for security
 - Automated security analysis of hardware designs and implementation
- Machine learning security and privacy
- Privacy-enhancing technologies and anonymity

Marking scheme:

Total points: 100 (contribute 20% to the overall assessment)

Marking Criteria	Item	
Design and implementation (40)	Design (20)	
	Implementation (20)	
Quality of report (40)	Coverage and level of detail (10)	
	Conclusion (10)	
	Structure (5)	
	References and citations (5)	
	Formatting (5)	
	Coherence, fluency, succinctness (5)	

Presentation (20)	Content (10)
	Presentation skills (5)
	Questions and answers (5)

The above marking scheme is for all the groups. Individuals will be marked as following:

- Each group should describe individual's contributions and weighting.
- Normally, individual contribution should be nearly equal in a group, and each member in the same group will have the same mark.
- Any contribution exception occurred should let the module leader know, and the individual mark could be adjusted in terms of the contribution, e.g., the contribution discrepancy is larger than 10%, or one group member quits during the semester.
- No one student can be given less than 0 or more than 100 points.

In the template for IEEE conference paper, there is a section "ACKNOWLEDGMENT". Change the heading into "MEMBERS CONTRIBUTIONS" and describe individual's contributions in the section.

Marking Guideline

Total points: 100 (contribute 20% to the overall assessment)

Marking	Item	Observables/ Considerations	Grading
Criteria			Reference
Design and	Design (20)	The algorithm/design can	40%~49% is pass
implementation		address the problem.	
(40)	Implementation	Modern	60%~69% is
	(20)	tools/equipment/software are used in the implementation.	good
		It would be possible for	70%~79% is
		another person to re-produce	distinction
		what was investigated in this	
		study (repeatability).	80%~100% is
Quality of	Coverage and	All necessary parts (i.e.,	high distinction
report (40)	level of detail (10)	introduction, motivation,	
		background, literature review,	
		problem statement, system	
		design, implementation, and	
		testing) are presented in detail.	
	Conclusion (10)	Conclusions are drawn about	
		each question or hypothesis.	
		The analysis is presented	
		clearly, and the interpretation	
		of results is covered in	
		sufficient detail.	
		The limitations on conclusions	
		are specified.	
		The suggested future work is	
		justified.	

	C+m., a+ /5\	Cood love at lasted and	
	Structure (5)	Good layout, logical sequence.	
	References and	Use of references, citations	
	citations (5)	(based on the recommended	
	F (F)	bibliographic system).	
	Formatting (5)	Use of headers.	
		The diagrams are clearly	
		labeled and referred to in the	
		text.	
	Coherence,	Proper use of English including	
	fluency,	grammar, vocabulary, sentence	
	succinctness (5)	structure, paragraphs and	
		tenses	
Presentation	Content (10)	Clear motivation and problem	
(20)		statement with a good	
		comprehension of the work's	
		relationship to the field of	
		study.	
		Good understanding and	
		knowledge of the research,	
		theory, concepts and opinion	
		related to the topic.	
		Demonstrates progress related	
		to project objectives.	
		Demonstrate problem solving,	
		analytical and critical thinking	
		skills.	
	Presentation skills	Communication is effective. It	
	(5)	should be audible and at an	
		appropriate pace.	
		Visual aids are used	
		appropriately.	
		Any slides used have a clear	
		layout and an appropriate use of visual effects.	
		Figures/data are well	
		presented.	
	0	Presentation is well timed.	
	Questions and	Demonstrates a good	
	answers (5)	understanding of the	
		questions.	
		Appropriate timing to provide	
		responses.	
		Response demonstrates good	
		Response demonstrates good understanding of the subject	
		understanding of the subject	