

Coursework (2) for *Introductory Lectures on Optimization*

Xiaoyu Wang
3220104364

October 26, 2024

Exercise 1. For the function $f(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, please write down the zeroth-order Taylor expansion with an integral remainder term.

Solution of Exercise 1: The answer is as follows:

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 = (x_1 - x_{01}, x_2 - x_{02}, \dots, x_n - x_{0n})^T \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m^T$$

when $m = 1$, we get the zeroth-order Taylor expansion with an integral remainder term:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \int_0^1 (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)))^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) dt$$

when $m > 1$, we get the zeroth-order Taylor expansion with an integral remainder term:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \int_0^1 J_f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) dt$$

in the expression:

$$J_f(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial t_1} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial t_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial t_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

□

Exercise 2. Please write down the definition of the p -norm for a n -dimensional real vector.

Solution of Exercise 2: The p -norm of a n -dimensional real vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is defined as:

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

□

Exercise 3. Please write down the definition of the matrix norms induced by vector p -norms.

Solution of Exercise 3: The matrix norm induced by a vector p -norm is defined as:

$$\|A\|_p = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_p}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_p}$$

□

Exercise 4. Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. Proof that A is positive semidefinite if and only if all eigenvalues of A are nonnegative. Moreover, A is positive definite if and only if all eigenvalues of A are positive.

Proof of Exercise 4: Proof:

1. Positive Semidefinite Condition:

(a) If A is positive semidefinite, then all eigenvalues of A are nonnegative.

$\because A$ is positive semidefinite

$\therefore \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} \geq 0$ for any nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let λ be anyone of arbitrary eigenvalue of A , and v (nonzero vector) be the corresponding eigenvector, that is:

$$Av = \lambda v$$

$$\therefore v^T Av = \lambda v^T v = \lambda \|v\|^2 \geq 0$$

$$\because \|v\|^2 > 0$$

$$\therefore \lambda \geq 0$$

(b) If all eigenvalues of A are nonnegative, then A is positive semidefinite.

Since A is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix.

A can be diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix P :

$$A = P \Lambda P^{-1}$$

where Λ is a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues of A and P is an orthogonal matrix $P^{-1} = P^T$.

$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A , and $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$

For any nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have:

$$\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T P \Lambda P^{-1} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T P \Lambda P^T \mathbf{x}$$

we set $\mathbf{y} = P^T \mathbf{x}$.

$$\mathbf{x}^T P \Lambda P^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^T \Lambda \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2$$

Since $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\therefore \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 \geq 0$$

Therefore, A is positive semidefinite.

2. Positive Definite Condition:

If we replace ≥ 0 with > 0 in the above proof, we can get the proof of the positive definite condition.

□

Exercise 5. Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and upper bounded. Show that f must be a constant function.

Proof of Exercise 5: Proof:

Since f is upper bounded, there exists a constant M such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \leq M \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

.

Since f is convex, $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$f(\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}) \leq \lambda f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \lambda) f(\mathbf{y})$$

We assume f is not a constant function, then there exists $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) \neq f(\mathbf{y})$.

To simplify the proof, we assume $f(\mathbf{x}) > f(\mathbf{y})$, we set $\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{x} - (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}}{\lambda}$, so we get:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda f\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}}{\lambda}\right) + (1 - \lambda) f(\mathbf{y})$$

$$\therefore f\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}}{\lambda}\right) \geq \frac{f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})}{\lambda} + f(\mathbf{y})$$

$$\therefore \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})}{\lambda} + f(\mathbf{y}) = +\infty$$

$$\therefore f\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}}{\lambda}\right) \geq +\infty > M$$

This is a contradiction to the assumption that f is upper bounded.

So, f must be a constant function.

□