Introductory Lectures on Optimization

Foundations of Smooth Optimization (3)

Hui Qian qianhui@zju.edu.cn

College of Computer Science, Zhejiang University

October 9, 2024

Outline

- 1 Strongly Convex Functions
 - Definition of The Strongly Convex and The Class $\mathcal{S}^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$
 - Property of Strongly Convex Function
 - Equivalent Definitions
 - Examples
- 2 Smooth and Strongly Convex Functions
 - The Class $\mathcal{S}^{1,1}_{\mu,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$
 - Property of Smooth and Strongly Convex Function
- 3 Conclusion
- 4 Reference

Part I Strongly Convex Function

Definition of The Strongly Convex and The Class $\mathcal{S}^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

We are looking for a restriction of the functional class $\mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for which we can guarantee a reasonable rate of convergence to a unique solution of the minimization problem.

$$\min_{oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(oldsymbol{x}), \qquad f \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Let us try to make this non-degeneracy assumption global. Namely, let us assume that there exists some constant $\mu > 0$ such that for any \bar{x} with $\nabla f(\bar{x}) = 0$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$f(x) \ge f(\bar{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|x - \bar{x}\|^2.$$

Definition of The Strongly Convex and The Class $\mathcal{S}^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Definition 29

A continuously differentiable function f(x) is called strongly convex on \mathbb{R}^n (notation $f \in \mathcal{S}^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)$) if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mu \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2.$$
 (23)

Constant μ is called the convexity parameter of f_\circ

Remark. $S^p_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with p=1. See Lemma 1.2.3 of Nesterov [2003] for geometric interpretation of strongly convex function.

Property of Strongly Convex Function

Theorem 30

If $f \in \mathcal{S}^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = 0$, then

$$f(x) \ge f(x^*) + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|x - x^*\|^2$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Since $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, in view of inequality (23), for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^*), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mu \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|^2$$

= $f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \frac{1}{2} \mu \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|^2$.

Property of Strongly Convex Function

The following result justifies the addition of strongly convex functions.

Lemma 31

If $f_1 \in \mathcal{S}^1_{\mu_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f_2 \in \mathcal{S}^1_{\mu_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, then

$$f = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 \in \mathcal{S}^1_{\alpha \mu_1 + \beta \mu_2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$f_1(oldsymbol{y}) \geq f_1(oldsymbol{x}) + \langle
abla f_1(oldsymbol{x}), \ oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
angle + rac{1}{2} \mu_1 \left\| oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
ight\|^2, \ f_2(oldsymbol{y}) \geq f_2(oldsymbol{x}) + \langle
abla f_2(oldsymbol{x}), \ oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
angle + rac{1}{2} \mu_2 \left\| oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
ight\|^2.$$

It remains to add these equations multiplied respectively by α and β .



Property of Strongly Convex Function

Remark.

Note that the class $S_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with $\mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore addition of a convex function to a strongly convex function gives a strongly convex function with the same convexity parameter.

Theorem 32 (Theorem 2.1.10)

If $f \in \mathcal{S}^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for any \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{y} from \mathbb{R}^n we have

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \le f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}), \ \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2,$$
 (24)

$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \le \frac{1}{\mu} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2.$$
 (25)

Proof. Let us fix some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the function

$$\phi(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}) - \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_{u}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$

Remark. Consider a linear function $f(y) = \langle a, y \rangle$. If

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}), \ \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mu \| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \|^2$$

hold, then we have

$$egin{aligned} \langle oldsymbol{a}, \, oldsymbol{y}
angle \geq \langle oldsymbol{a}, \, oldsymbol{x}
angle + \langle oldsymbol{a}, \, oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
angle + rac{1}{2} \mu \, \|oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x} \|^2 \,. \end{aligned}$$

$$= \langle oldsymbol{a}, \, oldsymbol{y}
angle + rac{1}{2} \mu \, \|oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x} \|^2 \,.$$

Thus, $\mu = 0$, and $\langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{y}) = f(\boldsymbol{y}) - \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}), \, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{1}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}), \, \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^{2}$$
(24)

Proof. (Continued) Since $\nabla \phi(x) = 0$, in view of (23) for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have that

$$\begin{split} \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \min_{\boldsymbol{v}} \phi(\boldsymbol{v}) \geq \min_{\boldsymbol{v}} \left[\phi(\boldsymbol{y}) + \langle \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mu \left\| \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{y} \right\|^2 \right] \\ &= \phi(\boldsymbol{y}) - \frac{1}{2\mu} \left\| \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{y}) \right\|^2 \end{split}$$

and that is exactly (24). $(\nabla \phi(y) + \mu(v - y) = 0$, thus $v^* = y - \frac{\nabla \phi(y)}{\mu}$)

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \le f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|^2,$$
 (24)

$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \le \frac{1}{\mu} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2.$$
 (25)

Proof. (Continued) Adding two copies of (24) with x and y interchanged we get (25).



Equivalent Definitions

Theorem 33

Let f be continuously differentiable. Both conditions below, holding for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, are equivalent to inclusion $\mathcal{S}^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge \mu \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2,$$
 (26)

$$\alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}) \ge f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y}) + \alpha(1 - \alpha)\frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^{2}.$$
(27)

Equivalent Definitions

Finally, the second-order characterization of the class $\mathcal{S}^2_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is as follows.

Theorem 34

Two times continuously differentiable function f belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^2_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{x}) \succeq \mu I_n. \tag{28}$$

Proof. Apply (26):

$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge \mu \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2.$$
 (26)



Equivalent Definitions

Remark. From (26), we have $\langle \nabla f(x+\tau s) - \nabla f(x), \tau s \rangle \ge \mu \|\tau s\|^2$, and therefore

$$\frac{\left\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x} + \tau \boldsymbol{s}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}), \; \tau \boldsymbol{s} \right\rangle}{\tau^2 \left\| \boldsymbol{s} \right\|^2} \geq \mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\left\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x} + \tau \boldsymbol{s}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}), \; \boldsymbol{s} \right\rangle}{\tau \left\| \boldsymbol{s} \right\|^2} \geq \mu.$$

As $\tau \to 0$, the above relation holds. Since

$$\frac{\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x} + \tau \boldsymbol{s}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\tau} \to \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{s}, \quad \text{we obtain } \frac{\langle \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{s}, \ \boldsymbol{s} \rangle}{\|\boldsymbol{s}\|^2} \ge \mu.$$

That implies

$$\langle \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{s}, \ \boldsymbol{s} \rangle \ge \mu \|\boldsymbol{s}\|^2 = \langle \mu I_n \boldsymbol{s}, \ \boldsymbol{s} \rangle.$$

Examples

Example 35 (Example 2.1.1)

- \mathbf{I} $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ belong to $\mathcal{S}_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = I_n$.
- 2 Let symmetric matrix A satisfy the condition: $\mu I_n \leq A \leq LI_n$. Then

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \alpha + \langle \boldsymbol{a}, \, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle A\boldsymbol{x}, \, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

since
$$\nabla^2 f(x) = A$$
.

Other examples can be obtained as a sum of convex and strongly convex functions.

Part II Smooth and Strongly Convex Function

The Class $\mathcal{S}^{1,1}_{\mu,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

For us the most interesting functional class is $\mathcal{S}^{1,1}_{\mu,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This class is described by the following inequalities:

$$\left| \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \right| \ge \mu \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2,$$
 (see 26)

and

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \le L \|x - y\|$$
. (see Def. of Lipschitz Continumous)

or (Lipschitz and convex)

$$\left| \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \right| \le L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^{2},$$
(see 18)

The value $Q_f = \frac{L}{\mu} \ge 1$ is called the condition number of function f.

Class $\mathcal{S}^{1,1}_{\mu,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Remark. (1) See definition of strongly convex function:

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mu \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2.$$
 (23)

We have

$$rac{\mu}{2} \|oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}\|^2 \leq f(oldsymbol{y}) - f(oldsymbol{x}) - \langle
abla f(oldsymbol{x}), \ oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
angle.$$

By

$$|f(\boldsymbol{y}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}), \ \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle| \le \frac{L}{2} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}\|^2$$

of Lemma 1.2.3 of Nesterov [2003], we have

$$f(y) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle \le \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|^2.$$

Class
$$\mathcal{S}^{1,1}_{\mu,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Remark. (2) Also, for $f \in \mathcal{S}^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\left[\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \right] \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \| \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}) \|^{2}.$$
(25)

And in view of the equivalent definition of $\mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2 \le \left[\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \right]. \tag{17}$$

Theorem 36 (Theorem 2.1.12)

If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{1,1}_{\mu,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for any $oldsymbol{x}, oldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge \frac{\mu L}{\mu + L} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2 + \frac{1}{\mu + L} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2.$$
(29)

Proof.

Denote
$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2}\mu \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$$
. Then $\nabla \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \mu \mathbf{x}$.

Proof. (Continued.) We check $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{L-\mu}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

$$\begin{split} &\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) - \phi(\boldsymbol{z}) - \langle \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{z}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} \rangle \\ &= f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2}\mu \left\| \boldsymbol{x} \right\|^2 - (f(\boldsymbol{z}) - \frac{1}{2}\mu \left\| \boldsymbol{z} \right\|^2) - \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{z}) - \mu \boldsymbol{z}, \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} \rangle \\ &= \underbrace{f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{z}) - \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{z}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} \rangle}_{\leq \frac{L}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} \right\|^2} - \frac{\mu}{2} \underbrace{\left\{ \left\| \boldsymbol{x} \right\|^2 - \left\| \boldsymbol{z} \right\|^2 + 2\langle \boldsymbol{z}, \ \boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \right\}}_{= \left\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} \right\|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{L}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} \right\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} \right\|^2 = \frac{L - \mu}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z} \right\|^2. \end{split}$$

$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge \frac{\mu L}{\mu + L} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2 + \frac{1}{\mu + L} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2.$$
 (29)

Proof. (Continued.) If $\mu = L$ then (29) is proved (half of (26) plus half of (17))

$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2$$
 (17)

$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge \mu \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2,$$
 (26)

Property of Smooth and Strongly Convex Function

$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \, \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge \frac{\mu L}{\mu + L} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2 + \frac{1}{\mu + L} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2.$$
(29)
$$\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \, \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2$$

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2}\mu \|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2$$

Proof. (Continued) If $\mu < L$, then by (17), we have

$$\langle \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge \frac{1}{L - \mu} \|\nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{y})\|^2$$
 (30)

and that is exactly (29).



Remark. Consider the left side of (30). We have

$$\langle \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle = \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle - \mu \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2.$$

The right side of (30) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{L-\mu} \left\{ \left\| \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}) \right\|^2 + \mu^2 \left\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \right\|^2 - 2\mu \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \right\}.$$

Thus from (30), we have

$$rac{L+\mu}{L-\mu}\langle
abla f(oldsymbol{x}) -
abla f(oldsymbol{y}), \ oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}
angle \geq rac{\mu L}{L-\mu} \left\| oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}
ight\|^2 + rac{1}{L-\mu} \left\|
abla f(oldsymbol{x}) -
abla f(oldsymbol{y})
ight\|^2.$$

Part III Conclusion

Upper Bounds on Functional Components

- Lipschitz Continuity: $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
 - Zeroth-order Condition:

$$|f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{y})| \le L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|$$

■ First-order Condition:

$$\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\| \le L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|$$

• *p*-order Condition:

$$\|\nabla^p f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla^p f(\boldsymbol{y})\|_* \le L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|, (p \ge 2)$$

Lower Bounds on Functional Components

- Convexity: $\forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in [0, 1],$
 - Zeroth-order Condition:

$$\alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}) - f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y}) \ge 0.$$

■ First-order Condition:

$$D_f(m{x},m{y}) riangleq f(m{y}) - \{f(m{x}) + \langle
abla f(m{x}), \ m{y} - m{x}
angle \} \geq 0$$
 and $\langle
abla f(m{x}) -
abla f(m{y}), \ m{x} - m{y}
angle \geq 0.$

Second-order Condition:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0.$$

Lower Bounds on Functional Components

- Strong Convexity (SC): $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
 - Zeroth-order Condition:

$$\alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}) - f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y}) \ge \alpha(1 - \alpha)\frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2.$$

■ First-order Condition:

$$D_f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \geq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2$$
, and $\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}), \ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \geq \mu \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2$.

Second-order Condition:

$$\nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{x}) \succeq \mu I_n.$$

Other Lower Bounds on Functional Components

- Weak Strong Convexity (WSC): $D_f(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{y}) \geq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}^* \boldsymbol{x}\|^2, \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- Restricted Secant Inequality (RSI): $\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}), \, \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^* \rangle \ge \mu \| \boldsymbol{x}^* \boldsymbol{x} \|^2, \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$
- Polyak-Łojaciewicz (PL): $\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})\|^2 \ge \mu(f(\boldsymbol{x}) f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)), \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- Error Bounds (EB): $\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})\| \ge \mu \|\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^*\|, \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- Quadratic Growth (QG): $f(x) f(x^*) \ge \frac{\mu}{2} ||x^* x||^2, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- $\bullet (SC) \to (WSC) \to (RSI) \to (EB) \equiv (PL) \to (QG)$
- If f is convex, (RSI) \equiv (EB) \equiv (PL) \equiv (QG)

I

Theorem 37

 μ -Strongly convex functions are μ -PŁ.

Proof.

Suppose that f is μ -strongly convex, then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$f(oldsymbol{y}) \geq f(oldsymbol{x}) + \langle
abla f(oldsymbol{x}), \ oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
angle + rac{\mu}{2} \|oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}\|^2.$$

Thus, we have

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{y}} \left\{ f(\boldsymbol{y}) \right\} \geq \min_{\boldsymbol{y}} \left\{ f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}), \; \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \right\|^2 \right\}.$$

$$f(oldsymbol{y}) \geq f(oldsymbol{x}) + \langle
abla f(oldsymbol{x}), \ oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
angle + rac{\mu}{2} \left\| oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
ight\|^2. \ rac{1}{2} \left\|
abla f(oldsymbol{x})
ight\|^2 \geq \mu(f(oldsymbol{x}) - f(oldsymbol{x}^*)).$$

Proof. (Continued.)

LHS is

$$\min_{m{y}} \left\{ f(m{y}) \right\} = \left\lfloor f(m{x}^*) \right\rfloor.$$

And the minimum of RHS can be solved by

$$\nabla RHS = \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \mu(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) = 0$$

$$egin{aligned} f(oldsymbol{y}) &\geq f(oldsymbol{x}) + \langle
abla f(oldsymbol{x}), \ oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
angle + rac{\mu}{2} \left\| oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
ight\|^2. \ & rac{1}{2} \left\|
abla f(oldsymbol{x})
ight\|^2 \geq \mu(f(oldsymbol{x}) - f(oldsymbol{x}^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (Continued.)

Thus, we have the optimal $\hat{y} = x - \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla f(x)$. Put back \hat{y} to RHS, we get

$$f(oldsymbol{x}) - rac{1}{\mu} \|
abla f(oldsymbol{x})\|^2 + rac{1}{2\mu} \|
abla f(oldsymbol{x})\|^2 = f(oldsymbol{x}) - rac{1}{2\mu} \|
abla f(oldsymbol{x})\|^2.$$

Overall, we arrive the result by LHS \geq RHS.

Example.


The function $f(x) = x_1^2$, where $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is convex. However, it is not strongly convex since

$$\nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2, 0 \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is semi-positve definition, but not postive definition.

The gradient of f(x) is $(2x_1, 0)^{\top}$, that implies one of the optimization pointer is $x^* = (0, 0)$. Thus set $\mu = 2$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}4\boldsymbol{x}_1^2 = 2\boldsymbol{x}_1^2 \ge \boldsymbol{x}_1^2 = 2(f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)).$$



References I

- Yurii Nesterov. *Introductory Lectures on Convex Optimization: A Basic Course*, volume 87. Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.
- Zhi-Quan Luo and Paul Tseng. Error bounds and convergence analysis of feasible descent methods: a general approach. *Annals of Operations Research*, 46(1):157–178, 1993.
- Hui Zhang and Wotao Yin. Gradient methods for convex minimization: better rates under weaker conditions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.4645*, 2013.
- Chenxin Ma, Rachael Tappenden, and Martin Takáč. Linear convergence of randomized feasible descent methods under the weak strong convexity assumption. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(1):8138–8161, 2016.
- Ion Necoara, Yu Nesterov, and Francois Glineur. Linear convergence of first order methods for non-strongly convex optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 175(1):69–107, 2019.

References II

- Mihai Anitescu. Degenerate nonlinear programming with a quadratic growth condition. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 10(4):1116–1135, 2000.
- Boris T Polyak. Gradient methods for the minimisation of functionals. *USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, 3(4):864–878, 1963.
- Stephen J Wright and Benjamin Recht. *Optimization for data analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- Stephen Boyd, Stephen P Boyd, and Lieven Vandenberghe. *Convex optimization*. Cambridge university press, 2004.
- Yurii Nesterov. Lectures on convex optimization, volume 137. Springer, 2018.

Thank You!

Email:qianhui@zju.edu.cn