# **Self & Peer Evaluations**

# Stat 184 Final Project

Jizhou Cheng

2024-12-19

# Team Member 1

### Who are you evaluating?

Jizhou Cheng

### **Evaluation Areas**

#### 1. Intellectual Contributions.

Understanding the project, doing the research, evaluating and interpreting data, creative efforts and problem solving insight, providing intellectual leadership for group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

I undertook the bulk of the project work, including data cleaning, generating visualizations of eye color, alignment, and gender distribution, and writing the bulk of the report.

### 2. Team Support.

Enthusiasm, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

I think I excel in team discussions, actively incorporate feedback from team members, and ensure that everyone is involved in the project.

#### 3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

The report chapters I wrote, the charts and presentation materials I produced were clearly structured and well aligned with the project objectives.

#### 4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

I always meet deadlines and work efficiently, ensuring projects are completed on time.

# 5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Overall, I am one of the core members of the project. I have worked hard to improve the quality of the project and should be considered an excellent team member.

Total Score (out of 50): 50

# **Team Member 2**

# Who are you evaluating?

Jiahao Li

#### **Evaluation Areas**

#### 1. Intellectual Contributions.

Understanding the project, doing the research, evaluating and interpreting data, creative efforts and problem solving insight, providing intellectual leadership for group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples: Jiahao Li was mainly responsible for conducting the Pearson's chisquare test, which provided important statistical support for the analysis. He also mainly revised and improved the ReadMe, which was crucial for the in-depth analysis of the project.

### 2. Team Support.

Enthusiasm, commitment to project, cooperation, respect for other persons' ideas, willingness to compromise as appropriate, receptiveness to constructive feedback.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Jiahao Li demonstrated a supportive attitude within the team, actively participated in discussions, accepted feedback, and provided constructive suggestions when needed.

#### 3. Communication Contributions.

Effectiveness of communication within team, quality of written work, contributions toward creation of presentation and other written work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Jiahao Li communicated clearly in discussions and often made critical suggestions, focusing on the statistical analysis aspects of the code.

### 4. Time Contributions.

Keeping team on task, meeting deadlines, following through on plans, attendance at team meetings, does equal share of work.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Jiahao Li completes assigned tasks on time and proactively offers constructive suggestions. His work focuses on specific areas rather than repetitive tasks.

# 5. Overall / Other Contributions

Score any additional contributions, general remarks, or overall impression of this person as a member of the group.

Score (out of 10): 10

Justification/Examples:

Jiahao Li was one of the core members of this mission and his work was very focused. He was an indispensable part of the project, provided key statistical expertise, and his work significantly enhanced the value of the analysis.

Total Score (out of 50): 50