

World Happiness Index: Exploring Key Determinants of National Happiness

Nguyen Ngo, Jenny Tong, Ava Walters

2025-12-07

Introduction

This report analyzes and examines the 2024 World Happiness Report data to understand how key factors, specifically life evaluation, economic prosperity (GDP) and governance (corruption perception), influence a country's happiness rankings. Our research questions are:

1. **Life Evaluation vs Rank:** How does the citizens' overall life satisfaction correlate with their country's happiness ranking?
2. **Economic Influence:** What is the relationship between GDP per capita and national happiness ranking?
3. **Governance Impact:** How does corruption perception affect happiness ranking?

This analysis employs Exploratory Data Analysis techniques to find different relationships in data and provide insights into what contribute to a nation's happiness index.

Data Provenance and Ethical Considerations

Data Source and Selection

The data for this analysis originates from the World Happiness Report 2024 dataset, which contains annual data from 2011 through 2024. For this study, we specifically extracted and analyzed the 2024 data subset only to examine the patterns in national happiness determinants. The complete dataset is publicly available as an Excel file titled "World Happiness Report Data Figure 2.1.xlsx" containing the "Data for Figure 2.1 (2011–2024)" sheet.

FAIR Principles

- **Find-able:** The data is publicly available through the World Happiness Report website.
- **Accessible:** Data is available in a Excel with clear documentation.
- **Inter-operable:** Uses standard variables and scales that are compatible with a social science research
- **Reusable:** Comes with a detailed methodology (a planning document) report

CARE Principles

- **Collective Benefit:** The data helps with the understanding of well-being for policy implementation.
- **Authority to Control:** The original data collected by Gallup World Poll, an ongoing global survey that tracks worldwide ongoing issues.
- **Responsibility:** Published with transparency that includes a methodology and limitations.

- **Ethics:** Using ethical research standards to uphold privacy protection.

Summary Statistics

This dataset includes 147 countries.

Table 1: Summary Statistics: World Happiness Report 2024 Dataset


| Dataset Overview | Value |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Total countries analyzed | 147 |
| Life evaluation score range | 1.36 to 7.74 |
| Average life evaluation score | 5.58 |
| Average GDP contribution | 1.32 |
| Average corruption perception | 0.15 |

Visualization

1. Life Evaluation vs Country Rank

| Rank Group | Number of Countries | Avg. Life Evaluation | Min | Max | STDEV |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| Top 20% | 30 | 6.96 | 6.63 | 7.74 | 0.30 |
| 20-40% | 29 | 6.34 | 6.03 | 6.61 | 0.17 |
| 40-60% | 29 | 5.82 | 5.49 | 6.01 | 0.16 |
| 60-80% | 29 | 4.94 | 4.42 | 5.41 | 0.30 |
| Bottom 20% | 30 | 3.85 | 1.36 | 4.39 | 0.63 |

Life Evaluation vs Country Rank (2024)




Interpretation: This analysis shows a strong positive relationship between a country’s average life evaluation score and its overall happiness ranking. Countries in the Top 20% have the highest life evaluation (around 6.90). Those in the Bottom 20% score much lower with a score of approximately 3.80. This pattern is consistent across all rank groups as shown in [?@tbl-life-evaluation](#). The scatter plot [?@fig-life-eval-vs-rank](#) displays a fitted line that matches the table shown. The upward-sloping line shows that life evaluation is a strong predictor of a country happiness rank.

2. GDP Contribution vs Country Rank

| Rank Group | Number of Countries | Avg. GDP Contribution | Min | Max | STDEV |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------|------|-------|
| Top 20% | 30 | 1.72 | 1.26 | 2.03 | 0.17 |
| 20-40% | 29 | 1.53 | 1.08 | 2.02 | 0.22 |
| 40-60% | 29 | 1.38 | 0.00 | 1.79 | 0.33 |
| 60-80% | 29 | 1.09 | 0.56 | 1.58 | 0.25 |
| Bottom 20% | 30 | 0.88 | 0.54 | 1.39 | 0.26 |


GDP Contribution vs Country Rank (2024)



Interpretation: The ?@tbl-gdp-rank and ?@fig-gdp-vs-rank show that as GDP and rank have a relatively strong, positive, linear relationship. This means that as GDP increases then so will rank. This means that countries that have higher GDP are more likely to have a higher rank and be more well off. If a country is struggling to create world wide markets, new goods, and build capital, than their government and people are going to struggle. This means the output of the country will be lower and thus rank will reflect this. You want your country to have a high GDP!

3. Corruption Perception vs Country Rank

| Rank Group | Number of Countries | Avg. Corruption | Min | Max | STDEV |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------|------|------|-------|
| Top 20% | 30 | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.50 | 0.14 |
| 20-40% | 29 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 0.11 |
| 40-60% | 29 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.08 |
| 60-80% | 29 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.07 |
| Bottom 20% | 30 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.06 |



Interpretation: Overall, both the `?@tbl-corruption-rank` and the `?@fig-corruption-vs-rank` for corruption perception vs country rank in the world happiness report indicates a positive relationship, as in, the higher score of corruption perception will correlate to a higher rank in the world happiness report. Specifically, it is visually apparent that countries with a lower happiness, those in the bottom 50%, almost all of them would have a lower corruption perception score, although it does seem like within these countries, a lower happiness would skew the corruption perception score higher. Among those in the top 25% of world happiness report, countries are fairly spread out in terms of their corruption perception score, however, similar to the lower 50% of the ranks, those with a higher rank are more likely to have a higher corruption perception score.

Discussion and Conclusions

Key Findings

1. **Life Evaluation:** Life evaluation is the one of the strongest predictor of a happiness rank. Countries with higher average life evaluation scores appear in higher happiness ranks. This forms an almost perfect linear relationship.
2. **Economic Foundation:** Higher GDP contribution strongly correlates to better happiness rankings. Wealthier countries tend to provide better living standards for their citizens. This supports the national well-being.
3. **Governance Importance:** Countries with higher corruption perception scores generally rank higher in happiness. This shows that trust in government is most likely linked to national well-being.

Limitations and Future Research

Limitations:

- **Data Constraints:** The dataset relies on a self reported survey by Gallup World Poll. This may include bias, uneven sampling, and even cultural differences in how people perceive satisfaction.

- **Variable Simplicity:** Factors like GDP, social support and governance are represented by a singular metric which could oversimplify what reality is like.
- **Correlation Causation:** The key metrics used above simply show the relationships with happiness rankings. They do not prove that there is a causation on higher happiness rankings.

Future Research:

- **Cultural Comparisons:** Examine how different culture groups may highlight the differences in how happiness is influenced.
- **Additional Key Metrics:** Other factors such as education access, healthcare access, political stability, etc. may influence happiness.

Author Contributions

1. Nguyen Ngo: Managed the GitHub repository, formatted and structured the report, led the data wrangling process, and rendered the full Quarto document. Created the summary statistics section and conducted the life evaluation analysis and interpretation. Handled technical coding and ensured the accuracy of the analytical components.
2. Jenny Tong: Imported the dataset and did a quality check to identify errors and inconsistencies. Ensured that the project fully met the assignment guidelines and contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the corruption perception section.
3. Ava Walters: Organized project files, maintained the README, and ensured the final report aligned with the GitHub outline. Led the group in deciding how to approach and analyze the data. Created the GDP figure and table and verified that all visualizations and results were correctly integrated.

Code Appendix