

STAT 534 - Lecture 24: Key

Modeling Point Patterns using NHPPs

- Statistical modeling depends on a sampling model for the data and the associated likelihood function.

- *Conditional on the number of points, $Num(D) = n$, the location density can be specified as:*

$$f(\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n | Num(D) = n) = \prod_i \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{s}_i)}{(\lambda(D))^n}$$

- Then considering the location and number of points simultaneously, *we have*

$$f(\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n, Num(D) = n) = \prod_i \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{s}_i)}{(\lambda(D))^n} \times (\lambda(D))^n \frac{\exp(-\lambda(D))}{n!}$$

- *Finally, the likelihood is*

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda(\mathbf{s}), \mathbf{s} \in D; \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n) = \prod_i \lambda(\mathbf{s}_i) \exp(-\lambda(D))$$

- Alternatively, consider a fine partition for D , then using the Poisson assumption, the likelihood is the product of the counts across the partitions.

$$\prod_l \exp(-\lambda(A_l)) (\lambda(A_l))^{Num(A_l)}$$

As the grid becomes finer, $Num(A_l) \in \{0, 1\}$.

- *The likelihood is a function of the entire intensity surface $\lambda(\mathbf{s})$. Hence, a functional description of $\lambda(\mathbf{s})$ is necessary.*
- **Discuss:** how could $\lambda(\mathbf{s})$ be obtained?
- *There are two general approaches for modeling $\lambda(\mathbf{s})$: parametric and non-parametric.*
- A simple, but “silly” example of a parametric form would be $\lambda(\mathbf{s}) = \sigma \lambda_0(\mathbf{s})$. *Realistically, $\lambda_0(\mathbf{s})$ would not be available.*
- Suppose remoted-sensed covariate information is available on a grid. How might this be used for constructing $\lambda(\mathbf{s})$? *Markov random field properties (Areal data) could be used.*
- In general a parametric function $\lambda(\mathbf{s}; \theta)$ could be specified, but it would required a richly specified class that would need to be non-negative. A common approach for this type of problem is to use a set of basis functions such that $\lambda(\mathbf{s}; \theta) = \sum_k a_k g_k(\mathbf{s})$.
- Sketch a set of basis functions to create a multimodal curve in 1D.

- Sometimes a trend surface (as a function of latitude and longitude) can be used to specify $\lambda(\mathbf{s})$
- The most common approach is to specify $\log(\lambda(\mathbf{s})) = X^t(\mathbf{s})\gamma$. In otherwords, spatial covariates are used in a regression model for the intensity surface.
- Specifying covariates still requires integrating $\int_D \exp(X^t(\mathbf{s})\gamma)d\mathbf{s}$, which is challenging as $X^t(\mathbf{s})$ is not often specified in a functional form.
- For a fine resolution, and assuming constant intensity, $\int_B \exp(X^t(\mathbf{s})\gamma)d\mathbf{s} = |B| \exp(X^t(\mathbf{s})\gamma)$
- Now, considering $\lambda(\mathbf{s})$ through the non-parametric lens, let
$$\lambda(\mathbf{s}) = g(X^t(\mathbf{s})\gamma)\lambda_0(\mathbf{s})$$
where $g() \geq 0$ and $\lambda_0(\mathbf{s})$ as the error process (with mean 1). This is referred to as a Cox process.
- Suppose $\lambda(\mathbf{s}) = \exp(Z(\mathbf{s}))$ where $Z(\mathbf{s})$ is a realization from a spatial Gaussian process with mean $X^t(\mathbf{s})\gamma$ and covariance function $\sigma^s\rho()$. Then this is referred to as a Log Gaussian Cox Process (LGCP).
- The LGCP provides a prior for $\lambda(\mathbf{s})$. This can be written as $X^t(\mathbf{s})\gamma + w(\mathbf{s})$ where $w(\mathbf{s}) = \log \lambda_0(\mathbf{s})$.

- **Discuss:** How to fit a model for a LGCP?
- In the parametric setting, *inference proceeds using $\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathbf{s}_\infty, \dots, \mathbf{s}_\backslash)$ in the normal manner.*
- In the non-parametric setting, $\lambda(\mathbf{s}) = \exp(Z(\mathbf{s}))$, where $Z(\mathbf{s}) = X^t(\mathbf{s})\gamma + w(\mathbf{s})$ is a realization of a GP (GP prior on $\log \lambda_D$)
- Thus priors are needed for the covariance function of $Z(\mathbf{s})$, likely σ^2 and ϕ .

-In general algorithms for this type of data are computationally intensive.

- Due to the challenges in modeling LGCP, the data is sometimes considered on a grid. Then the intensity for grid i could be estimated as:

$$\log \lambda_i = X_i^t \beta + \phi_i.$$

However, the results can be sensitive to the grid specification.