

# Conditional Autoregressive Models

## Gaussian Model

Suppose the full conditionals are specified as

$$Y_i|y_j, j \neq i \sim N\left(\sum_j b_{ij}y_j, \tau_i^2\right)$$

Then using Brooks' Lemma, the joint distribution is

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_n) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T D^{-1}(I - B)\mathbf{y}\right),$$

where  $B$  is a matrix with entries  $b_{ij}$  and  $D$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements  $D_{ii} = \tau_i^2$ .

The previous equation suggests a multivariate normal distribution, but  $D^{-1}(I - B)$  should be symmetric.

Symmetry requires

$$\frac{b_{ij}}{\tau_i^2} = \frac{b_{ji}}{\tau_j^2}, \quad \forall i, j$$

In general,  $B$  is not symmetric, but setting  $b_{ij} = w_{ij}/w_{i+}$  and  $\tau_i^2 = \tau^2/w_{i+}$  satisfies the symmetry assumptions (given that we assume  $W$  is symmetric)

Now the full conditional distribution can be written as

$$Y_i|y_j, j \neq i \sim N\left(\sum_j w_{ij}y_j/w_{i+}, \tau^2/w_{i+}\right)$$

Similarly the joint distribution is now

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_n) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau^2}\mathbf{y}^T(D_w - W)\mathbf{y}\right)$$

where  $D_w$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $(D_w)_{ii} = w_{i+}$

The joint distribution can also be re-written as

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_n) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau^2}\sum_{i \neq j} w_{ij}(y_i - y_j)^2\right)$$

However, both these formulations results in an improper distribution. This could be solved with a constraint, such as  $Y_i = 0$ .

The result is the joint distribution is improper, despite proper full conditional distributions. This model specification is often referred to as an *intrinsically autoregressive* model (IAR).

## IAR

The IAR cannot be used to model data directly, rather this is used a prior specification and attached to random effects specified at the second stage of the hierarchical model.

The impropriety can be remedied by defining a parameter  $\rho$  such that  $(D_w - W)$  becomes  $(D_w - \rho W)$  such that this matrix is nonsingular.

The parameter  $\rho$  can be considered an extra parameter in the CAR model.

With or without  $\rho$ ,  $p(\mathbf{y})$  (or the Bayesian posterior when the CAR specification is placed on the spatial random effects) is proper.

When using  $\rho$ , the full conditional becomes

$$Y_i | y_j, j \neq i \sim N \left( \rho \sum_j w_{ij} y_j / w_{i+}, \tau^2 / w_{i+} \right)$$

## Simultaneous Autoregression Model

Rather than specifying the distribution on  $\mathbf{Y}$ , as in the CAR specification, the distribution can be specified for  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  which induces a distribution for  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

Let  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \tilde{D})$ , where  $\tilde{D}$  is a diagonal matrix with elements  $(\tilde{D})_{ii} = \sigma_i^2$ .

Now  $Y_i = \sum_j b_{ij} Y_j + \epsilon_i$  or equivalently  $(I - B)\mathbf{Y} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ .

## SAR Model

If the matrix  $(I - B)$  is full rank, then

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, (I - B)^{-1} \tilde{D} ((I - B)^{-1})^T)$$

If  $\tilde{D} = \sigma^2 I$ , then  $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 [(I - B)(I - B)^T]^{-1})$

**Choosing B** There are two common approaches for choosing B

1.  $B = \rho W$ , where  $W$  is a contiguity matrix with entries 1 and 0. The parameter  $\rho$  is called the spatial autoregression parameter.
2.  $B = \alpha \tilde{W}$  where  $(\tilde{W})_{ij} = w_{ij}/w_{i+}$ . The  $\alpha$  parameter is called the spatial autocorrelation parameter.

SAR Models are often introduced in a regression context, where the residuals ( $\mathbf{U}$ ) follow a SAR model.

Let  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{Y} - X\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and then  $\mathbf{U} = B\mathbf{U} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  which results in

$$\mathbf{Y} = B\mathbf{Y} + (I - B)X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

Hence the model contains a spatial weighting of neighbors ( $B\mathbf{Y}$ ) and a regression component  $((I - B)X\boldsymbol{\beta})$ .

The SAR specification is not typically used in a GLM setting.

SAR models are well suited for maximum likelihood

Without specifying a hierarchical form, Bayesian sampling of random effects is more difficult than the CAR specification.