import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns import statsmodels.api as sm #define functions def showoutliers(df, column name = ""): iqr = df[column name].quantile(.75) - df[column name].quantile(.25) lowerbound = (df[column name].quantile(.25)) - iqr * 1.5 upperbound = (df[column name].quantile(.75)) + iqr * 1.5 lowerbound outliers = df[df[column name] < lowerbound]</pre> higherbound outliers = df[df[column name] > upperbound] outliers = pd.concat([lowerbound outliers, higherbound outliers]) return outliers def countoutliers(df, column name = ""): iqr = df[column name].quantile(.75) - df[column name].quantile(.25) lowerbound = (df[column name].quantile(.25)) - iqr * 1.5 upperbound = (df[column name].quantile(.75)) + iqr * 1.5 lowerbound outliers = df[df[column name] < lowerbound]</pre> higherbound outliers = df[df[column name] > upperbound] outliers = pd.concat([lowerbound outliers, higherbound outliers]) count = len(outliers) print(f'{column name} outlier count: {count}') #import dataset safety = pd.read_excel('Safety.xlsx') #remove newline from the column name safety.columns = safety.columns.str.replace(r'\n', ' ', regex = True) #display data safety.head() Percent Under 21 Fatal Accidents per 1000 0 13 2.962 1 12 0.708 2 0.885 3 12 1.652 2.091 4 11 **Dataset Properties** In [4]: #shape of dataset rows = safety.shape[0] cols = safety.shape[1]

REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Investigating the Relationship Between Fatal Accidents and Percentage of Drivers Under the Age of 21

As part of a study on transportation safety, the U.S. Department of Transportation collected data on the number of fatal accidents per 1000 licenses and the percentage of licensed drivers under the age of 21 in a sample of 42 cities. Data collected over a one-year period follow.

• Use regression analysis to investigate the relationship between the number of fatal accidents and the percentage of drivers under the

Background

age of 21.

Discuss your findings.

#import libraries import pandas as pd

Case Study Objectives

These data are contained in the file named Safety.

Part I: Exploratory Data Analysis

Import libraries, dataset, and define functions

What conclusion and recommendations can you derive from your analysis?

print(f'There are {rows} rows and {cols} columns in the dataset') There are 42 rows and 2 columns in the dataset #data types safety.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 42 entries, 0 to 41 Data columns (total 2 columns): # Column Non-Null Count Dtype 42 non-null 0 Percent Under 21 int64 1 Fatal Accidents per 1000 42 non-null float64 dtypes: float64(1), int64(1) memory usage: 800.0 bytes #missing values print('Number of missing values per column') safety.isnull().sum() Number of missing values per column Out[6]: Percent Under 21 Fatal Accidents per 1000 dtype: int64 #basic statistics safety.describe() Percent Under 21 Fatal Accidents per 1000 42.000000 42.000000 count 12.261905 1.922405 mean 3.131738 1.070990 std 8.000000 0.039000 min 25% 9.250000 1.017500 12.000000 50% 1.881000 **75**% 14.750000 2.810750 18.000000 4.100000 max

In [8]: #outliers countoutliers(safety, 'Percent Under 21') countoutliers(safety, 'Fatal Accidents per 1000') Percent Under 21 outlier count: 0 Fatal Accidents per 1000 outlier count: 0 **Data Visualization** Percent of drivers under the age of 21 In [9]: #create subplots fig, axes = plt.subplots(1,2, figsize = (12,6))#plot histogram sns.countplot(x = safety['Percent Under 21'], ax = axes.flat[0], color = '#00688B')axes.flat[0].set title('Count', fontsize = 13, y = 1.02) axes.flat[0].set_xlabel('') #plot empirical cumulative distribution sns.ecdfplot(x = safety['Percent Under 21'], ax = axes.flat[1], color = '#A3C1AD') axes.flat[1].set title('Cumulative Distribution', fontsize = 13, y = 1.02) axes.flat[1].set_xlabel('') #customize the plot plt.suptitle('Proportion of drivers under the age of 21', fontsize = 14, y = 1) plt.tight layout() sns.despine(ax = axes.flat[0])sns.despine(ax = axes.flat[1])#display plt.show() Proportion of drivers under the age of 21 Count Cumulative Distribution 1.0 6

0.8 5 Proportion count 0.4 2 0.2 1 0 0.0 11 12 13 14 15 17 10 18 The proportion for drivers under the age of 21 spans from 8% to 18% across the 42 cities. The most common proportion is 8% and is observed in 6 cities. In addition, based on the cumulative distribution plot, the proportion of drivers under the age of 21 in 80% of the cities is ranging from 8% to 15%. #create subplots fig, axes = plt.subplots(1,2, figsize = (12,6))#plot histogram sns.histplot(x = safety['Percent Under 21'], ax = axes.flat[0], kde = True) axes.flat[0].set_title('Histogram', fontsize = 13, y = 1.02) axes.flat[0].set_xlabel('') #plot empirical cumulative distribution sm.qqplot(safety['Percent Under 21'], line = '45', ax = axes.flat[1]) axes.flat[1].set_title('QQ Plot', fontsize = 13, y = 1.02) axes.flat[1].set_xlabel('') #customize the plot plt.suptitle('Proportion of drivers under the age of 21', fontsize = 14, y = 1) plt.tight_layout() sns.despine(ax = axes.flat[0])sns.despine(ax = axes.flat[1])#display plt.show()

Proportion of drivers under the age of 21 Histogram QQ Plot 17.5 10 15.0 12.5 8 Sample Quantiles 10.0 Count 7.5 4 5.0 2.5 2 0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 12.5 15.0 17.5 10.0 The histogram above does not approximate a bell curve, and is probably not on a normal distribution. The same is observed from the QQ Plot where the points are not aligned with the straight line which also suggets that the data is not normally distributed **Fatal Accidents per 1000** #create subplots fig, axes = plt.subplots(1,3, figsize = (14,5))#plot histogram sns.histplot(x = safety['Fatal Accidents per 1000'], ax = axes.flat[0], kde = True, bins = 20)axes.flat[0].set_title('Histogram', fontsize = 13, y = 1.02) axes.flat[0].set xlabel('') #plot empirical cumulative distribution sns.ecdfplot(x = safety['Fatal Accidents per 1000'], ax = axes.flat[1], color = '#C83200') axes.flat[1].set title('Cumulative Distribution', fontsize = 13, y = 1.02) axes.flat[1].set xlabel('') #plot empirical cumulative distribution sm.qqplot(safety['Fatal Accidents per 1000'], line = '45', ax = axes.flat[2]) axes.flat[2].set title('QQ Plot', fontsize = 13, y = 1.02) axes.flat[2].set_xlabel('') #customize the plot plt.suptitle('Fatal Accidents per 1000', fontsize = 14, y = 1) plt.tight_layout() sns.despine(ax = axes.flat[0])sns.despine(ax = axes.flat[1])sns.despine(ax = axes.flat[2])#display plt.show() Fatal Accidents per 1000

Cumulative Distribution

1.5

Fatal Accidents per 1000 ranges from 0 to 4, with more observation having less than 2 fatal accidents. The distirbution for Fatal Accidents per 1000 slightly resembles the bell curve. The points on the QQ Plot are also slightly closer to the line compared to that of

ax = sns.jointplot(x = 'Percent Under 21', y = 'Fatal Accidents per 1000', data = safety, kind = 'reg')

Fatal Accidents per 1000 and Percent Under 21

14

train x, test x, train y, test y = train test split(x,y, test size = test size, shuffle = False, random state

actual = actual.reset index(drop = True).rename(columns = {'Fatal Accidents per 1000':'Actual Fatal Accidents p

3.614

1.926

1.643

2.943

1.913

2.814

2.634

0.926

3.256

sns.scatterplot(x=test x['Percent Under 21'], y=test y['Fatal Accidents per 1000'], s = 100, alpha = .5)

sns.scatterplot(x=x test['Percent Under 21'], y=prediction['Predicted Fatal Accidents per 1000'], s = 100)

18

3.5

3.0

Actual and Predicted Values

Percent Under 21

Actual vs Predicted Values

To measure how well our model predicted the actual values, it is important to calculate the Coefficient of Determination or r-

sns.regplot(x=prediction['Predicted Fatal Accidents per 1000'], y=actual['Actual Fatal Accidents per 1000'])

The values predicted by the model are not far from the actual values of the test dataset.

Percent Under 21

Fatal Accidents per 1000 and Proportion of Driver Under Age of 21 has a strong positive linear relationship having a

As the percentage of drivers below the age of 21 increases, the number of fatal accidents also increases. But it cannot be inferred that having more drivers under the age of 21 will lead to more fatal accidents beacuse correlation does not imply causation and there are other

2.5

3.0 3.5

Sample Quantiles

1

0

-1

1.0

0.8

0.6

Proportion 0.4

0.2

r = safety['Percent Under 21'].corr(safety['Fatal Accidents per 1000'])

plt.suptitle('Fatal Accidents per 1000 and Percent Under 21', y = 1.03)

Histogram

5

4

1

the Percent Under 21 data.

Part II:Correlation

#plot the data

However, it is still clear that this data is also not normally distributed.

 $plt.text(-55,4,f"r = {r:.2f}", weight = 'semibold')$

#compute for correlation coefficient (r)

#annotate plot with r value

#other customizations ax.fig.set figwidth(13)

r = 0.84

correlation coefficient of .84

factors that may affect fatal accidents

#import sci-kit library

x = safety[['Percent Under 21']]

y = safety[['Fatal Accidents per 1000']]

#create LinearRegression model instance

Part III: Simple Linear Regression Model

from sklearn.linear model import LinearRegression from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

#display plt.show()

3.5

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

Create model

Define x and y

#define test size test size = .20

display(train x.shape) display(test x.shape)

reg = LinearRegression()

Predicted and actual values

#convert to dataframe

#fit the data to the model reg.fit(train_x, train_y)

prediction = reg.predict(test_x)

actual = pd.DataFrame(test y)

prediction = pd.DataFrame(prediction)

data = pd.concat([prediction, actual], axis = 1)

3.594243

1.247917

2.421080

3.007661

1.834499

2.714371

2.127789

0.954627

3.300952

Plot predicted and actual values

plt.subplots(figsize = (12,6))

x test = test x.reset index(drop=True)

plt.legend(['Actual', 'Predicted'])

10

Plot actual vs predicted values

plt.subplots(figsize = (12,6))

plt.title('Actual vs Predicted Values', y = 1.02)

1.5

suggests that our model's predictions has a high accuracy rate.

To further check the model results, presented below is the model summary.

OLS Regression Results

Least Squares

Thu, 28 Apr 2022

18:13:40

nonrobust

-4.298

9.767

Prob(JB): 0.945

Durbin-Watson: 1.725

Jarque-Bera (JB): 0.113

Cond. No.

Additional data might be needed, and should be collected, such as the:

• age group of drivers involved in the accident

level of difficulty in getting a license per city etc.

0.000

0.000

52.0

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

21, there is a corresponding .2871 increase in the fatality rate per 1000 licenses.

21 years of age. Perhaps a more stringent review of their application should also be implemented.

coef std err

0.372

0.029

42

OLS

2.0

R-squared:

F-statistic:

Prob (F-statistic): 3.79e-12

AIC:

Adj. R-squared:

Log-Likelihood:

t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]

-2.349

0.228

regression line differs from 0 and that our model performs better than the baseline model (mean)

-0.846

0.346

• The p-value of < .05 indicates that we have enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the slope of the

The regression analysis revealed that while the proportion of drivers under 21 is increasing, the number of fatal accident also

However, the data collected is not sufficient to identify the root-cause of the observed relationship. Therefore, the US Department of Transportation should conduct further analysis to determine what other factors contribute to the increasing fatal accidents in other cities.

The US Department of Transportation must also revisit the regulations concerning the process of granting a license to an individual below

Raising the minimum age required to have a license may also be considered depending on the results of the additional analysis.

• The r-squared is .70 which means that the Percent Under 21 explains 70% of the variability in Fatal Accidents per 1000 • The regression equation of y = -1.5974 + .2871x says that for every 1 unit increase in the proportion of drivers under the age of

0.705

0.697

95.40

-36.364

76.73

Predicted Fatal Accidents per 1000

Based on this chart, it can be observed that there is a strong correlation between the model's prediction and its actual values. This also

#create subplots

#customize

#display plt.show()

3.5

Actual Fatal Accidents per 1000

1.5

1.0

0.5

1.0

Part IV: Model summary

x = safety['Percent Under 21']

Dep. Variable: Fatal Accidents per 1000

y = safety['Fatal Accidents per 1000']

#create model summary

x = sm.add constant(x)

model.summary()

Model:

Method:

Date:

Time:

Df Model:

const -1.5974

0.2871

0.328

0.849

2.986

Skew: -0.127

No. Observations:

Covariance Type:

Percent Under 21

Prob(Omnibus):

Notes:

increases.

Omnibus:

Kurtosis:

Part V: Conclusions

Part VI: Recommendations

• cause of the accident,

• weather condition,

• time and day of the accident,

model = sm.OLS(y,x).fit()

#plot actual values

plt.title('Actual and Predicted Values', y = 1.02)

#create subplots

#add customize

#display plt.show()

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

squared.

In [19]:

Fatal Accidents per 1000

#plot actual values

#plot predicted values

Actual Predicted

Predicted Fatal Accidents per 1000 Actual Fatal Accidents per 1000

prediction = prediction.rename(columns = {0:'Predicted Fatal Accidents per 1000'})

Data split

(33, 1)(9, 1)Fit model

Out[16]: LinearRegression()

#predicted

#actual

data

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

In [14]:

Fatal Accidents per 1000

QQ Plot