

HW2-Exercise 3

Zining Fan(zf2234), Mutian Wang(mw3386), Siyuan Wang(sw3418)

3.1

a_i is the transition probability.

$a_1 = p(x_1 = 0 | x_0 = 0)$, two consecutive rainy days;

$a_2 = p(x_1 = 1 | x_0 = 0)$, a rainy day followed by a day with no rain;

$a_3 = p(x_1 = 0 | x_0 = 1)$, a day with no rain followed by a rainy day;

$a_4 = p(x_1 = 1 | x_0 = 1)$, two consecutive days with no rain.

3.2

From $\pi^T P = \pi^T$, $\pi^T 1_s = 1_s$, we could get that

$$\pi = \left[\frac{a_3}{a_2+a_3}, \frac{a_2}{a_2+a_3} \right].$$

So, the long term prob of observing a rainy day is $\frac{a_3}{a_2+a_3}$.

3.3

```
n<-nrow(CentralPark_July)-1
p00=0
p01=0
p10=0
p11=0
for (i in 2:n){
  if (CentralPark_July$X[i-1]==0 & CentralPark_July$X[i]==0) {
    p00=p00+1
  }
  if (CentralPark_July$X[i-1]==0 & CentralPark_July$X[i]==1) {
    p01=p01+1
  }
  if (CentralPark_July$X[i-1]==1 & CentralPark_July$X[i]==0) {
    p10=p10+1
  }
  if (CentralPark_July$X[i-1]==1 & CentralPark_July$X[i]==1) {
    p11=p11+1
  }
}
a1=p00/(p00+p01)
a2=p01/(p00+p01)
a3=p10/(p10+p11)
a4=p11/(p10+p11)
a1
```

```
## [1] 0.3089343
```

```
a2
```

```
## [1] 0.6910657
```

```
a3
```

```
## [1] 0.23314
```

a4

```
## [1] 0.76686
```

From the result, $\hat{a}_1 = 0.31$, $\hat{a}_2 = 0.69$, $\hat{a}_3 = 0.23$, $\hat{a}_4 = 0.76$.

3.4

1) $X_{t+1} = 1$

$$p(X_{t+1} = 1|X_t = 1) = a_4, p(1 - X_{t+1} = 0|X_t = 0) = a_1.$$

From the estimated values above, $\hat{a}_4 = 0.76$, $\hat{a}_1 = 0.30$, we could see that these two probabilities are significantly different.

2) $X_{t+1} = 0$

$$p(X_{t+1} = 0|X_t = 1) = a_3, p(1 - X_{t+1} = 1|X_t = 0) = a_2.$$

From the estimated values above, $\hat{a}_3 = 0.23$, $\hat{a}_2 = 0.69$, we could see that these two probabilities are still significantly different.

So we could make a summary that: the probabilities of $X_{t+1}|X_t = 1$ and $1 - X_{t+1}|X_t = 0$ are quite different.

3.5

To compare the first order model and the second order model, we build a hypothesis testing. H_0 : The first markov model fits the data better. H_1 : The second markov model fits the data better. In this question, we still focus on July.

1) we build a second order markov model for July.

```
CentralPark$X<- with(CentralPark, ifelse(CentralPark$PRCP>=1.5, 0, 1))
n<-nrow(CentralPark)-2
p000=0
p001=0
p010=0
p011=0
p100=0
p101=0
p110=0
p111=0
for (i in 3:n){
  if (CentralPark$X[i-2]==0 & CentralPark$X[i-1]==0 & CentralPark$X[i]==0) {
    p000=p000+1
  }
  if (CentralPark$X[i-2]==0 & CentralPark$X[i-1]==0 & CentralPark$X[i]==1) {
    p001=p001+1
  }
  if (CentralPark$X[i-2]==0 & CentralPark$X[i-1]==1 & CentralPark$X[i]==0) {
    p010=p010+1
  }
  if (CentralPark$X[i-2]==0 & CentralPark$X[i-1]==1 & CentralPark$X[i]==1) {
    p011=p011+1
  }
  if (CentralPark$X[i-2]==1 & CentralPark$X[i-1]==0 & CentralPark$X[i]==0) {
    p100=p100+1
  }
  if (CentralPark$X[i-2]==1 & CentralPark$X[i-1]==0 & CentralPark$X[i]==1) {
```

```

    p101=p101+1
  }
  if (CentralPark$X[i-2]==1 & CentralPark$X[i-1]==1 & CentralPark$X[i]==0) {
    p110=p110+1
  }
  if (CentralPark$X[i-2]==1 & CentralPark$X[i-1]==1 & CentralPark$X[i]==1) {
    p111=p111+1
  }
}

```

The transition matrix is

```

m=matrix(c(p000,p001,p010,p011,p100,p101,p110,p111),nrow = 4,dimnames =
          list(c('00','01','10','11'),c('0','1')))
m

```

```

##      0      1
## 00  934  2640
## 01  2640  4329
## 10  1253  5716
## 11  5716  20147

```

Under H_0 , the expected matrix is

```

##      0      1
## 00 1107.94  2160.39
## 01  822.02  1602.87
## 10 4808.61 17846.85
## 11 5366.13 19916.05

```

So, the likelihood hood ratio test statistic is 380. The p value is very samll. Thus, we reject H_0 , which means the second order morkov chain fits the data better.