# difference-in-difference-analysis

October 27, 2024

## 1 Difference-in-difference (DID) Analysis:

is a popular approach used to estimate the causal effects of interventions by comparing changes in outcomes over time between treatment and control groups. Difference-in-difference (DID) analysis is commonly used for numerical data in econometrics and quantitative research in the social sciences to estimate the effects of interventions or treatments by comparing changes in outcomes over time between treatment and control groups.

From the above picture we can understand that the difference between P2 and Q is our treatment effect or which we are callling difference in difference.

### 1.1 Example:

Suppose, in the 5th standard class there are 4 sections. We decided to give extra care to sections A and B. And we want to compare the scores of the students before we started and after we started. And also between the sections where students are getting extra care compared to not getting any extra care. For this we are ccreating a dummy data.

```
[5]: # Set seed for reproducibility
set.seed(8)

# Create sections A, B, C, D
sections <- c("A", "B", "C", "D")

# Create years between 2020 and 2023
years <- sample(2020:2023, 30, replace = TRUE)

# Sample sections randomly
sampled_sections <- sample(sections, 30, replace = TRUE)

# Generate random scores between 70 and 90
scores <- sample(70:90, 30, replace = TRUE)

# Create data frame
data <- data.frame(Section = sampled_sections, Year = years, Score = scores)</pre>
```

```
# Print the resulting data frame
print(data)
```

```
Section Year Score
1
          B 2023
                     72
2
          C 2023
                     77
3
          B 2022
                     73
4
          A 2021
                     88
5
          B 2022
                     76
          C 2023
6
                     90
7
          C 2022
                     85
8
          B 2021
                     90
9
          A 2022
                     70
10
          B 2021
                     73
11
          A 2020
                     76
12
          B 2020
                     72
         D 2021
13
                     87
14
          B 2022
                     71
          A 2022
15
                     86
16
          B 2021
                     71
17
          C 2021
                     81
18
          D 2023
                     88
19
          B 2022
                     84
20
          B 2023
                     81
          A 2023
21
                     84
22
          D 2021
                     71
23
          D 2020
                     80
24
          D 2020
                     89
          D 2023
25
                     78
26
          A 2021
                     85
27
          B 2022
                     75
28
          B 2023
                     85
29
          D 2022
                     78
30
          C 2022
                     89
```

Here we have sections, years and the scores of the students. We can say we start the intervention from 2021 and in sections A and B.

### 1.2 Analysis:

Now its time for analysis. Before doing did we will do some extra work to create new variables. We will assign 1 to those years from and after the intervention start, here is 2021. And assign 1 to those sections which gets intervention as extra care.

```
[6]: data$time = ifelse(data$Year >= 2021, 1, 0)

data$treated = ifelse(data$Section == "A" |data$Section == "B", 1, 0)
head(data)
```

		Section	Year	Score	$_{ m time}$	treated
		<chr $>$	<int $>$	<int $>$	<dbl $>$	<dbl $>$
A data.frame: $6 \times 5$	1	В	2023	72	1	1
	2	$\mathbf{C}$	2023	77	1	0
	3	В	2022	73	1	1
	4	A	2021	88	1	1
	5	В	2022	76	1	1
	6	С	2023	90	1	0

Now we will create the interaction which we will call did.

		Section	Year	Score	$_{ m time}$	treated	did
		<chr></chr>	<int $>$	<int $>$	<dbl $>$	<dbl $>$	<dbl $>$
A data.frame: $6 \times 6$	1	В	2023	72	1	1	1
	2	$\mathbf{C}$	2023	77	1	0	0
	3	В	2022	73	1	1	1
	4	A	2021	88	1	1	1
	5	В	2022	76	1	1	1
	6	$\mathbf{C}$	2023	90	1	0	0

After this we can do regression for esrtimaste the effects of did.

### Call:

lm(formula = Score ~ treated + time + did, data = data)

### Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -11.400 -5.175 0.300 5.450 11.000

#### Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) 4.684 18.040 3.19e-16 \*\*\* (Intercept) 84.500 treated -10.5006.624 -1.585 0.125 -2.100 time 5.131 -0.409 0.686 did 7.100 7.142 0.994 0.329

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\* 0.001 '\*\* 0.01 '\* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1

Residual standard error: 6.624 on 26 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.1397, Adjusted R-squared: 0.04044

F-statistic: 1.407 on 3 and 26 DF, p-value: 0.263

We can aslo estimate the DID estimator (using the multiplication method, no need to generate the interaction)

```
[9]: didreg = lm(Score ~ treated*time, data = data)
summary(didreg)
```

#### Call:

lm(formula = Score ~ treated \* time, data = data)

#### Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -11.400 -5.175 0.300 5.450 11.000

#### Coefficients:

```
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
               84.500
                           4.684 18.040 3.19e-16 ***
              -10.500
                           6.624 - 1.585
                                             0.125
treated
               -2.100
                           5.131 -0.409
                                             0.686
time
treated:time
                7.100
                           7.142
                                   0.994
                                             0.329
```

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 6.624 on 26 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.1397, Adjusted R-squared: 0.04044

F-statistic: 1.407 on 3 and 26 DF, p-value: 0.263

The coefficient for 'DID' is the differences-in-differences estimator which is 7.10 for (treated:time). This suggests the scores of students increased more in the treatment group (Section A and B) compared to the control group (C and D) after the intervention (extra care started from 2021). Since the p-value is 0.329 which is greater than 0.05, the effect is not significant at a 5% level of significance.