Practical: Population-Adjusted Indirect Comparisons with outstandR

University College London (UCL) 2025-05-19

Introduction

This practical session investigates the use of population-adjusted indirect treatment comparisons (ITCs).

When we want to compare two treatments, say A and B, we ideally use a head-to-head randomized controlled trial (RCT). However, such trials are not always available. Instead, we might have:

- 1. An RCT comparing A to a common comparator C (the AC trial), for which we have **Individual Patient Data (IPD)**.
- 2. An RCT comparing B to the same common comparator C (the BC trial), for which we only have **Aggregate Level Data (ALD)**, like summary statistics from a publication.

If the patient populations in the AC and BC trials differ in characteristics that modify the treatment effect (effect modifiers), a simple indirect comparison (A vs C minus B vs C) can be misleading. **Population adjustment methods** aim to correct for these differences, providing a more valid comparison of A vs B in a chosen target population (often the population of the BC trial).

We will use our {outstandR} R package which provides a suite of tools to perform these adjustments. In this practical, we will:

- 1. Simulate IPD and ALD for both binary and continuous outcomes.
- 2. Use {outstandR} to apply methods like Matching-Adjusted Indirect Comparison (MAIC) and G-computation.
- 3. Explore how to change the outcome scale for reporting.
- 4. Interpret the basic output from {outstandR}.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this practical, you will be able to:

- Understand the scenario requiring population adjustment.
- Prepare IPD and ALD in the format required by {outstandR}.
- Apply MAIC and G-computation methods for binary and continuous outcomes.
- Interpret and report results on different scales.

Part 0: Setup and Package Loading

First, we need to load the necessary R packages. If you haven't installed them, you'll need to do so. We have created the simcovariates package to use here for data generation which you'll need to install from GitHub. The outstandR package will also need to be installed.

```
# Ensure packages are installed:
#
# install.packages(c("dplyr", "tidyr", "boot", "copula", "rstanarm", "remotes"))
#
# remotes::install_github("n8thangreen/simcovariates") # For gen_data
# remotes::install_github("StatisticsHealthEconomics/outstandR")

library(outstandR)
library(simcovariates) # For gen_data
library(dplyr)
library(tidyr)
# library(rstanarm) # Loaded by outstandR if/when needed for Bayesian G-comp
# library(boot) # Loaded by outstandR if/when needed for MAIC
# For reproducibility of simulated data
set.seed(123)
```

Part 1: Data Simulation & Preparation - Binary Outcomes

We'll start with a scenario involving a binary outcome (e.g., treatment response: yes/no).

1.1 Simulation Parameters

We use parameters similar to the {outstandR} vignette to define our simulation. These control sample size, treatment effects, covariate effects, and population characteristics.

```
N <- 200
                     # Sample size per trial
# Active treatment vs. placebo allocation ratio (2:1 implies ~2/3 on active)
allocation <- 2/3
# Conditional log-OR for active treatment vs. common comparator C
b_{trt} < -\log(0.17)
# Conditional log-OR for each unit increase in prognostic variables (X3, X4)
b_X < -\log(0.5)
# Conditional log-OR for interaction term (treatment * effect modifier) for X1, X2
b_{EM} < -\log(0.67)
# Mean of prognostic factors (X3, X4) in AC trial
meanX_AC \leftarrow c(0.45, 0.45)
# Mean of prognostic factors (X3, X4) in BC trial (DIFFERENT from AC)
meanX_BC \leftarrow c(0.6, 0.6)
meanX_EM_AC <- c(0.45, 0.45) # Mean of effect modifiers (X1, X2) in AC trial
# Mean of effect modifiers (X1, X2) in BC trial (DIFFERENT from AC)
meanX EM BC <- c(0.6, 0.6)
sdX < -c(0.4, 0.4)
                              # Standard deviation of prognostic factors
sdX EM < -c(0.4, 0.4)
                             # Standard deviation of effect modifiers
corX <- 0.2
                              # Covariate correlation coefficient
b 0 < -0.6
                              # Baseline intercept coefficient on logit scale
```

Note

Effect Modifiers vs. Prognostic Variables:

- **Prognostic variables** (X3, X4 here) predict the outcome regardless of treatment. - **Effect modifiers** (X1, X2 here) change the magnitude or direction of the treatment effect. Differences in the distribution of effect modifiers between trials are a key reason for population adjustment.

1.2 Generate IPD for AC Trial (Binary Outcome)

We simulate Individual Patient Data (IPD) for a trial comparing treatments A and C.

Lets look at the generated data.

head(ipd_trial_bin)

```
Х2
                                ХЗ
           Х1
                                           X4 trt y
1 0.420906647 0.6501898 0.6817174 0.61434770
                                                A O
2 0.009771062 1.0476893 0.9321016 0.04336125
                                                A O
3 0.086942077 -0.4289788 0.3807218 0.18401299
                                                A O
4 -0.039661515 0.7256527 0.4987618 0.54389751
                                                A 1
5 0.585786267 0.2143042 0.2207665 0.64831303
                                                A O
  0.600816955 -0.3921163 -0.3156147 0.17139023
                                                A O
```

summary(ipd_trial_bin)

```
Х1
                        Х2
                                          ХЗ
                                                            Х4
Min.
       :-0.7022
                         :-0.7504
                                          :-0.7311
                                                             :-0.6038
                 Min.
                                    Min.
                                                     Min.
1st Qu.: 0.1864
                  1st Qu.: 0.2158
                                    1st Qu.: 0.1819
                                                      1st Qu.: 0.1820
Median : 0.4603
                  Median : 0.5231
                                    Median : 0.4557
                                                      Median : 0.4032
      : 0.4636
                                         : 0.4390
Mean
                  Mean
                       : 0.4723
                                    Mean
                                                      Mean
                                                            : 0.4289
3rd Qu.: 0.7043
                  3rd Qu.: 0.7245
                                    3rd Qu.: 0.7171
                                                      3rd Qu.: 0.6886
       : 1.5292
                                          : 1.6463
Max.
                  Max.
                         : 1.5804
                                                      Max.
                                                             : 1.3328
                                    Max.
trt
              у
C: 67
       Min.
             :0.00
```

```
A:133 1st Qu.:0.00

Median :0.00

Mean :0.32

3rd Qu.:1.00

Max. :1.00
```

The ipd_trial_bin dataframe contains patient-level data: covariates (X1-X4), treatment assignment (trt), and outcome (y).

1.3 Generate ALD for BC Trial (Binary Outcome)

For the BC trial (comparing B vs C), we only have Aggregate Level Data (ALD). We first simulate IPD for BC and then summarize it. The key here is that meanX_BC and meanX_EM_BC are different from the AC trial, creating a population imbalance.

```
# Simulate IPD for BC trial (using BC trial's covariate means)
BC_IPD_bin <- gen_data(N,
                       b_trt,
                       b_X,
                       b_EM,
                       b_0,
                       meanX_BC, # Using BC means
                       sdX,
                       meanX_EM_BC, # Using BC means
                       sdX EM,
                       corX,
                       allocation,
                       family = binomial("logit"))
BC_IPD_bin$trt <- factor(BC_IPD_bin$trt, labels = c("C", "B")) # 0=C, 1=B
# Now, aggregate BC_IPD_bin to create ald_trial_bin
# This mimics having only published summary statistics.
# Covariate summaries (mean, sd for X1-X4,
# assumed same across arms in BC trial for simplicity)
cov_summary_bin <- BC_IPD_bin %>%
  select(X1, X2, X3, X4) %>% # Select covariate columns
  summarise(across(everything(), list(mean = mean, sd = sd))) %>%
  pivot_longer(everything(), names_to = "stat_var", values_to = "value") %>%
  # 'stat_var' will be like "X1_mean", "X1_sd". We need to separate these.
```

```
separate(stat_var, into = c("variable", "statistic"), sep = "_") %>%
 # Covariate summaries are often reported for the overall trial population
 mutate(trt = NA_character_)
# Outcome summaries (number of events 'sum',
# mean proportion 'mean', sample size 'N' for y by trt)
outcome_summary_bin <- BC_IPD_bin %>%
 group by(trt) %>%
 summarise(
   sum_y = sum(y),
                      # Number of events
   mean_y = mean(y),  # Proportion of events
   N = n()
                       # Sample size in this arm
 ) %>%
 ungroup() %>%
 pivot_longer(cols = -trt, names_to = "stat_var", values_to = "value") %>%
 # 'stat_var' will be "sum_y", "mean_y", "N". We need to parse this.
 mutate(
   variable = case when(
     grepl("_y$", stat_var) ~ "y", # If it ends with _y, variable is y
     stat_var == "N" ~ NA_character_, # For N, variable can be NA
     TRUE ~ stat_var # Default
   ),
   statistic = case when(
     grepl("sum_", stat_var) ~ "sum",
     grepl("mean_", stat_var) ~ "mean",
     stat_var == "N" ~ "N",
     TRUE ~ stat_var # Default
   )
 ) %>%
 select(variable, statistic, value, trt)
# Combine covariate and outcome summaries for the final ALD structure
ald_trial_bin <- bind_rows(cov_summary_bin, outcome_summary_bin) %>%
 select(variable, statistic, value, trt)
```

Viewing the data,

```
print(as.data.frame(ald_trial_bin))
```

variable statistic value trt

1	X1	mean	0.5961081	<na></na>
2	X1	sd	0.4015645	<na></na>
3	Х2	mean	0.5779233	<na></na>
4	Х2	sd	0.3895705	<na></na>
5	ХЗ	mean	0.5799632	<na></na>
6	ХЗ	sd	0.3981054	<na></na>
7	Х4	mean	0.5944841	<na></na>
8	Х4	sd	0.4316603	<na></na>
9	у	sum	28.0000000	C
10	у	mean	0.4179104	C
11	<na></na>	N	67.0000000	C
12	У	sum	32.0000000	В
13	У	mean	0.2406015	В
14	<na></na>	N	133.0000000	В

The ald_trial_bin is in a 'long' format with columns: variable (e.g., "X1", "y"), statistic (e.g., "mean", "sd", "sum", "N"), value, and trt (treatment arm, or NA if overall). This is the format {outstandR} expects.

Part 2: Model Fitting - Binary Outcomes

Now we use {outstandR} to perform population adjustments. We'll compare treatment A (from AC trial IPD) with treatment B (from BC trial ALD), using C as the common anchor. The target population for comparison will be the BC trial population.

2.1 Define the Model Formula

The model formula specifies the relationship between the outcome (y), prognostic variables (X3, X4), treatment (trt), and effect modifiers (X1, X2). For a binary outcome with a logit link, the model is:

$$logit(p_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_X(X_3 + X_4) + [\beta_t + \beta_{EM}(X_1 + X_2)] I(t \neq C)$$

This translates to the R formula: y ~ X3 + X4 + trt + trt:X1 + trt:X2 (The intercept β_0 is implicit).

```
lin_form_bin <- as.formula("y ~ X3 + X4 + trt + trt:X1 + trt:X2")</pre>
```

2.2 Matching-Adjusted Indirect Comparison (MAIC)

MAIC reweights the IPD from the AC trial so that the mean covariate values of the effect modifiers match those of the BC trial population.

```
# MAIC involves bootstrapping, which can take a moment.
# The number of bootstrap replicates can sometimes be
# controlled in strategy_maic() for speed,
# e.g. n_boot = 100 for a quick check, but higher
# (e.g., 1000) is better for stable results.
# We'll use the default for now.
out_maic_bin <- outstandR(</pre>
  ipd_trial = ipd_trial_bin,
  ald_trial = ald_trial_bin,
  strategy = strategy maic(
    formula = lin_form_bin,
    family = binomial(link = "logit")
    # If your package allows, you might add:
    \# , n_{boot} = 200 \# for faster demo
  )
)
```

The MAIC results (default: Log-Odds Ratio scale):

```
print(out_maic_bin)
```

```
Object of class 'outstandR'
Model: binomial
Scale: log_odds
Common treatment: C
Individual patient data study: AC
Aggregate level data study: BC
Confidence interval level: 0.95
Contrasts:
# A tibble: 3 x 5
 Treatments Estimate Std.Error lower.0.95 upper.0.95
             <dbl>
                         <dbl>
                                    <dbl>
                                               <dbl>
1 AB
            -0.0495
                         0.226
                                   -0.981
                                               0.882
2 AC
             -0.868
                         0.123
                                   -1.56
                                              -0.179
```

```
3 BC -0.818 0.103 -1.45 -0.191
```

Absolute:

The output provides contrasts (e.g., A vs B) and absolute_effects in the target (BC) population. By default, for binomial(link="logit"), the effect measure is the log-odds ratio.

2.3 Changing the Outcome Scale (MAIC Example)

Often, we want results on a different scale, like log-relative risk or risk difference. The scale argument in outstandR() allows this.

```
out_maic_bin_lrr <- outstandR(
  ipd_trial = ipd_trial_bin,
  ald_trial = ald_trial_bin,
  strategy = strategy_maic(
    formula = lin_form_bin,
    family = binomial(link = "logit")
  ),
  scale = "log_relative_risk" # Key change!
)</pre>
```

The MAIC results on the log-relative risk scale,

```
print(out_maic_bin_lrr)
```

Object of class 'outstandR'

Model: binomial

Scale: log_relative_risk

Common treatment: C

Individual patient data study: AC Aggregate level data study: BC Confidence interval level: 0.95

Contrasts:

```
# A tibble: 3 x 5
 Treatments Estimate Std.Error lower.0.95 upper.0.95
 <chr>
              <dbl>
                      <dbl> <dbl>
                                           <dbl>
           -0.00612
1 AB
                      0.0951
                                -0.610
                                           0.598
2 AC
           -0.558
                      0.0505
                                -0.999
                                           -0.118
           -0.552
3 BC
                      0.0445
                                -0.966
                                           -0.139
```

Absolute:

Tip

Your Turn! Try getting MAIC results on the risk difference scale. Hint: scale = "risk_difference".

```
out_maic_bin_rd <- outstandR(
  ipd_trial = ipd_trial_bin,
  ald_trial = ald_trial_bin,
  strategy = strategy_maic(
    formula = lin_form_bin,
    family = binomial(link = "logit")
  ),
  scale = "risk_difference" # Key change!
)</pre>
```

The MAIC results on the risk difference scale,

```
print(out_maic_bin_rd)
```

Object of class 'outstandR'

Model: binomial

Scale: risk_difference
Common treatment: C

Individual patient data study: AC

```
Aggregate level data study: BC Confidence interval level: 0.95
```

Contrasts:

```
# A tibble: 3 x 5
```

```
Treatments Estimate Std.Error lower.0.95 upper.0.95
  <chr>
                <dbl>
                          <dbl>
                                     <dbl>
                                                 <dbl>
1 AB
              -0.0165
                        0.433
                                    -1.31
                                                1.27
2 AC
              -0.194
                        0.00684
                                    -0.356
                                               -0.0317
3 BC
              -0.177
                        0.426
                                    -1.46
                                                1.10
```

Absolute:

```
# A tibble: 2 x 5
```

2.4 Parametric G-computation with Maximum Likelihood (G-comp ML)

G-computation fits an outcome regression model to the IPD (AC trial) and then uses this model to predict outcomes for each patient as if they had received treatment A and as if they had received treatment C, but standardized to the covariate distribution of the target (BC) population.

```
out_gcomp_ml_bin <- outstandR(
  ipd_trial = ipd_trial_bin,
  ald_trial = ald_trial_bin,
  strategy = strategy_gcomp_ml(
    formula = lin_form_bin,
    family = binomial(link = "logit")
)</pre>
```

```
print(out_gcomp_ml_bin)
```

Object of class 'outstandR'

Model: binomial Scale: log_odds

```
Common treatment: C
```

Individual patient data study: AC Aggregate level data study: BC Confidence interval level: 0.95

Contrasts:

```
# A tibble: 3 x 5
```

	${\tt Treatments}$	${\tt Estimate}$	${\tt Std.Error}$	lower.0.95	upper.0.95
	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>
1	AB	-0.0774	0.230	-1.02	0.863
2	AC	-0.895	0.128	-1.60	-0.195
3	BC	-0.818	0.103	-1.45	-0.191

Absolute:

```
# A tibble: 2 x 5
```

Part 3: Adapting for Continuous Outcomes

What if our outcome is continuous, like change in blood pressure or a quality-of-life score? The principles are similar, but we need to adjust the data generation and model specification.

3.1 Simulate Continuous Data

We'll use family = gaussian("identity") for the gen_data function. We might also adjust some coefficients to be more sensible for a continuous scale.

```
# Adjust some parameters for a continuous outcome
b_0_cont <- 5  # Intercept on the continuous scale
b_trt_cont <- -1.5 # Mean difference for treatment A vs C
b_X_cont <- 0.5  # Effect of prognostic vars on continuous outcome

# Effect of effect modifiers on treatment effect (continuous)
b_EM_cont <- 0.3</pre>
```

3.1.1 IPD for AC Trial (Continuous)

```
X1 X2 X3 X4 trt y
1 0.3347920 1.25173997 0.8251443 0.3626829 A 5.287769
2 1.3518916 0.34102429 0.7105816 0.2199213 A 4.530242
3 0.8390412 0.15676647 0.6917419 0.3092831 A 3.491232
4 0.8418207 -0.17637220 -0.2343619 -0.5699550 A 5.199625
5 0.5758347 0.06594836 0.2838801 0.1641963 A 4.366868
6 -0.3415192 0.58383236 0.4612317 0.1143282 A 3.391340
```

```
summary(ipd_trial_cont$y)
```

```
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. 1.827 3.717 4.536 4.503 5.267 8.399
```

3.1.2 ALD for BC Trial (Continuous)

```
sdX,
                        meanX_EM_BC, # Using BC means
                        sdX_EM,
                        corX,
                        allocation,
                        family = gaussian("identity")) # Key change!
BC_IPD_cont$trt <- factor(BC_IPD_cont$trt, labels = c("C", "B"))</pre>
# Aggregate BC IPD cont for ALD
# Covariate summaries structure remains the same
cov_summary_cont <- BC_IPD_cont %>%
  select(X1, X2, X3, X4) %>%
  summarise(across(everything(), list(mean = mean, sd = sd))) %>%
  pivot_longer(everything(), names_to = "stat_var", values_to = "value") %>%
  separate(stat_var, into = c("variable", "statistic"), sep = "_") %>%
  mutate(trt = NA_character_)
# Outcome summaries for continuous data: mean, sd, N for y by trt
outcome_summary_cont <- BC_IPD_cont %>%
  group_by(trt) %>%
  summarise(
    mean_y = mean(y),  # Mean outcome
    sd_y = sd(y),
                       # Standard deviation of outcome
   N = n()
                        # Sample size
  ) %>%
  ungroup() %>%
  pivot_longer(cols = -trt, names_to = "stat_var", values_to = "value") %>%
  mutate(
    variable = case_when(
      grepl("_y$", stat_var) ~ "y",
      stat_var == "N" ~ NA_character_,
      TRUE ~ stat_var
    ),
    statistic = case_when(
      grepl("mean_", stat_var) ~ "mean",
      grepl("sd_", stat_var) ~ "sd", # Changed from sum to sd
      stat var == "N" ~ "N",
     TRUE ~ stat_var
    )
  ) %>%
  select(variable, statistic, value, trt)
```

```
ald_trial_cont <- bind_rows(cov_summary_cont, outcome_summary_cont) %>%
    select(variable, statistic, value, trt)
print(as.data.frame(ald_trial_cont))
```

```
variable statistic
                              value trt
         Х1
                         0.5941535 <NA>
1
                  mean
2
         Х1
                    sd
                         0.3856699 <NA>
3
         Х2
                  mean
                         0.5695096 <NA>
4
         Х2
                    sd
                         0.4234775 <NA>
5
         ХЗ
                         0.5642288 <NA>
                  mean
6
         ХЗ
                         0.3971081 <NA>
                    sd
7
         Х4
                         0.5739154 <NA>
                  mean
8
         Х4
                    sd
                        0.3957993 <NA>
9
                         5.3318057
                                       C
          У
                  mean
                                       C
10
                         0.8647773
          У
                    sd
                        67.0000000
                                       C
11
       < NA >
                     N
12
                         4.5914634
                                       В
          у
                  mean
13
                    sd
                         0.9994386
                                       В
          у
14
                     N 133.0000000
       <NA>
                                       В
```

3.2 Model Fitting for Continuous Outcomes

The model formula structure can remain the same if we assume linear relationships. The key change is in the family argument of the strategy function.

```
lin_form_cont <- as.formula("y ~ X3 + X4 + trt + trt:X1 + trt:X2")</pre>
```

Let's use G-computation ML as an example.

```
out_gcomp_ml_cont <- outstandR(
   ipd_trial = ipd_trial_cont,
   ald_trial = ald_trial_cont,
   strategy = strategy_gcomp_ml(
      formula = lin_form_cont,
      family = gaussian(link = "identity") # Key change!
   )
   # For Gaussian family, the default scale is typically
   # "mean_difference", # which is often what we want.
   # We could explicitly state: scale = "mean_difference"
)</pre>
```

print(out_gcomp_ml_cont)

Object of class 'outstandR'

Model: gaussian

Scale: mean_difference Common treatment: C

Individual patient data study: AC Aggregate level data study: BC Confidence interval level: 0.95

Contrasts:

A tibble: 3 x 5

Treatments Estimate Std.Error lower.0.95 upper.0.95 <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> 1 AB -0.557 0.0472 -0.982 -0.131 -1.30 2 AC 0.0285 -1.63-0.9663 BC -0.7400.0187 -1.01 -0.473

Absolute:

A tibble: 2 x 5



Your Turn! Try applying MAIC to the continuous outcome data. 1. Use family = gaussian(link = "identity") within strategy_maic(). 2. What scale would be appropriate if not the default? (e.g., "mean_difference")

```
# Solution for MAIC with continuous data:
out_maic_cont <- outstandR(
   ipd_trial = ipd_trial_cont,
   ald_trial = ald_trial_cont,
   strategy = strategy_maic(
      formula = lin_form_cont,
      family = gaussian(link = "identity")
   ),
   scale = "mean_difference"
)
print(out_maic_cont)</pre>
```

2.5 Other Methods

{outstandR} supports other methods. Here's how you might call them. These are set to eval=FALSE to save time in this practical.

• Simulated Treatment Comparison (STC): A conventional outcome regression approach.

```
out_stc_bin <- outstandR(
  ipd_trial = ipd_trial_bin,
  ald_trial = ald_trial_bin,
  strategy = strategy_stc(
    formula = lin_form_bin,
    family = binomial(link = "logit")
  )
)
print(out_stc_bin)</pre>
```

• Bayesian G-computation (G-comp Bayes): Similar to G-comp ML but uses Bayesian methods (e.g., MCMC via rstanarm), which can better propagate uncertainty but is computationally more intensive.

```
# This would require rstanarm and can be slow.
out_gcomp_stan_bin <- outstandR(
  ipd_trial = ipd_trial_bin,
  ald_trial = ald_trial_bin,
  strategy = strategy_gcomp_stan(
  formula = lin_form_bin,
  family = binomial(link = "logit")</pre>
```

```
# For a faster demo if options are passed through:
    # stan_args = list(iter = 500, chains = 2, refresh = 0)
)
print(out_gcomp_stan_bin)
```

• Multiple Imputation Marginalisation (MIM): Another approach for marginalization

```
out_mim_bin <- outstandR(
  ipd_trial = ipd_trial_bin,
  ald_trial = ald_trial_bin,
  strategy = strategy_mim(
    formula = lin_form_bin,
    family = binomial(link = "logit")
  )
)
print(out_mim_bin)</pre>
```

Part 4: Understanding Output & Wrap-up

Let's briefly revisit one of the binary outcome results to understand the structure of the {outstandR} output.

```
str(out_maic_bin)
```

```
List of 2
 $ contrasts:List of 3
  ..$ means
               :List of 3
  ....$ AB: num -0.0495
  ....$ AC: num -0.868
  .. ..$ BC: num -0.818
  ..$ variances:List of 3
  ....$ AB: num 0.226
  ...$ AC: num 0.123
  .. ..$ BC: num 0.103
  ..$ CI
              :List of 3
  .. ..$ AB: num [1:2] -0.981 0.882
  .. ..$ AC: num [1:2] -1.556 -0.179
  .. ..$ BC: num [1:2] -1.446 -0.191
 $ absolute :List of 2
```

```
..$ means
             :List of 2
 .. .. $ A: Named num 0.266
 .. .. - attr(*, "names")= chr "mean_A"
 .. .. $ C: Named num 0.461
 .. .. - attr(*, "names")= chr "mean C"
 ..$ variances:List of 2
 .. .. $ A: Named num 0.00183
 .. .. - attr(*, "names")= chr "mean_A"
 ....$ C: Named num 0.00431
 .. .. - attr(*, "names")= chr "mean_C"
- attr(*, "CI")= num 0.95
- attr(*, "ref_trt")= chr "C"
- attr(*, "scale")= chr "log_odds"
- attr(*, "model")= chr "binomial"
- attr(*, "class")= chr [1:2] "outstandR" "list"
```

The output object (here out_maic_bin) is a list containing:

- \$contrasts: This list provides the estimated treatment effects (e.g., mean difference, log-OR), their variances, and confidence intervals for each pairwise comparison, adjusted to the target population (BC trial).
- \$contrasts\$means\$AB: The estimated effect of A versus B. This is often the primary interest.
- \$contrasts\$means\$AC: The estimated effect of A versus C.
- \$contrasts\$means\$BC: The estimated effect of B versus C (usually derived directly from the ALD).
- \$absolute_effects: This list provides the estimated mean outcome for each treatment (A, B, C) in the target population. This can be useful for understanding the baseline and treated outcomes.

For example, to extract the estimated log-odds ratio for A vs. B and its variance:

```
log_or_AB <- out_maic_bin$contrasts$means$AB
variance_log_or_AB <- out_maic_bin$contrasts$variances$AB
cat(paste("Estimated Log-OR for A vs. B:", round(log_or_AB, 3), "\n"))
Estimated Log-OR for A vs. B: -0.05
cat(paste("Variance of Log-OR for A vs. B:", round(variance_log_or_AB, 3), "\n"))
Variance of Log-OR for A vs. B: 0.226</pre>
```

The vignette for {outstandR} (which this practical is based on) shows how to combine results from multiple methods into tables and forest plots for a comprehensive comparison. This is highly recommended for actual analyses.

Key Takeaways

- Population adjustment is crucial when comparing treatments indirectly using IPD and ALD from trials with different patient characteristics (especially different distributions of effect modifiers).
- The {outstandR} package provides a unified interface (outstandR() function) to apply various adjustment methods.
- You need to:
 - 1. Prepare your IPD (for the "anchor" trial, e.g., AC) and ALD (for the "comparator" trial, e.g., BC, which also serves as the target population).
 - 2. Define an appropriate model formula.
 - 3. Choose a strategy_*() function corresponding to the desired adjustment method (MAIC, STC, G-comp, etc.).
 - 4. Specify the outcome family (e.g., binomial(), gaussian()) within the strategy.
 - 5. Optionally, use the scale argument in outstandR() to transform results to a desired effect measure scale.
- The methods can be adapted for different outcome types (binary, continuous, count, time-to-event, though we only covered binary and continuous here).