Statistical Theory and Modeling (ST2601)

Lecture 7 - Point estimation and Maximum likelihood

Mattias Villani

Department of Statistics
Stockholm University

mattiasvillani.com @matvil @matvil mattiasvillani

Overview

- Maximum likelihood
- Sampling distributions
- Bias-variance trade-off
- Consistency
- Sufficiency

Probability vs Inference

- **Probability theory**: **given a distribution with parameter θ** what are the properties of random variables (data)?
  - $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$. Then: $\mathbb{E}(X) = \lambda$ and $\mathbb{V}(X) = \lambda$.
  - What is $\Pr(X > 4)$ for a given λ?
  - If $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ for a given λ, what is $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_n)$?
- **Inference/Learning**: **given observed data $x_1, \ldots, x_n$**, which distribution and parameter value θ generated the data?
  - **Point estimation** $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{x}$
  - **Uncertainty quantification**:
    - standard errors $\mathbb{S}(\hat{\lambda})$
    - confidence intervals
    - Bayesian posterior distributions 😍

Probability vs Inference

- **Probability theory**: Models and Parameters $\Longrightarrow$ Data.
- **Inference**: Data $\Longrightarrow$ Models and Parameters $\rightsquigarrow$ Reality
- Often described as (particularly in finite populations):
- **Probability theory**: Population $\Longrightarrow$ Sample
- **Inference**: Sample $\Longrightarrow$ Population



The big picture of Statistics



The likelihood function

- **Probability distribution** for the dataset: $p(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n|\theta)$.
- **Probability for the observed data** $p(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n|\theta)$.
- **Inference**: given observed data $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, what is a "good" value for θ?
- Good values for $\theta \iff$ high probability for the observed data.
- Bad values for $\theta \iff$ low probability for the observed data.
- Find parameter value θ that **maximizes** the **likelihood function**

$$p(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\theta)$$

Different notations for the likelihood function

- $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\theta)$ [My Bayesian 😘 preference]
- $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\theta)$ [L instead of p is just a smoke screen 😎]
- $L(\theta)$ [Hiding the data. Just sad. But convenient.]
- $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n; \theta)$ [Well, now we're just doing random symbols?]

Likelihood function - bit by bit

- eBay auction data with 1000 auctions for collectors' coins.
- We focus here on the number of bidders in the auctions.
- Count data: let's try a Poisson!

	BookVal	MinorBlem	MajorBlem	PowerSeller	IDSeller	Sealed	NegFeedback	ReservePriceFrac	NBidders	FinalPrice
1	18.95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.368865435356201	2	15.5
2	43.5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0.229885057471264	6	41
3	24.5	0	0	1	0	0	0	1.02	1	24.99
4	34.5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.721739130434783	1	24.9
5	99.5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.167236180904523	4	72.65



Likelihood function for the first observation y_1

- First data point: $y_1 = 2$.
- Probability of observing $y_1 = 2$ in the Poisson model?
- Poisson probability function:

$$p(Y_1 = y_1|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{y_1} e^{-\lambda}}{y_1!} = \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}}{2!}$$

- Let's try with $\lambda = 3$.
  - Mathematically:

$$p(Y_1 = 2|\lambda = 3) = \frac{3^2 e^{-3}}{2!} = 0.2240418$$

  - In R: `dpois(x = 2, lambda = 3)`
- For $\lambda = 2$:
  - Mathematically:

$$p(Y_1 = 2|\lambda = 2) = \frac{2^2 e^{-2}}{2!} = 0.2706706$$

  - In R: `dpois(x = 2, lambda = 2)`

Likelihood function for the first observation y_1

- So, $\lambda = 2$ gave a higher probability to the data $y_1 = 2$ compared to $\lambda = 3$.
- How about other λ values? Let's do them all!



Likelihood function for y_1 and y_2

- Data: $y_1 = 2$ and $y_2 = 6$.
- Likelihood function is the **joint probability**

$$p(Y_1 = 2, Y_2 = 6|\lambda) \overset{\text{indep}}{=} p(Y_1 = 2|\lambda) \cdot p(Y_2 = 6|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{y_1} e^{-\lambda}}{y_1!} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{y_2} e^{-\lambda}}{y_2!}$$

- For $\lambda = 2$

$$p(Y_1 = 2, Y_2 = 6|\lambda = 2) = \frac{2^2 e^{-2}}{2!} \cdot \frac{2^6 e^{-2}}{6!}$$

- Let R do the work

```
dpois(x = 2, lambda = 2)*dpois(x = 6, lambda = 2) = 0.003256114
```



Likelihood function for $y_1, \ldots, y_{10}$

- Likelihood function using first ten observations

$$p(Y_1 = y_1, \ldots, Y_{10} = y_{10}|\lambda) \overset{\text{indep}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{10} p(y_i|\lambda)$$



- **Likelihood function** for all $n = 1000$ observations

$$p(Y_1 = y_1, \ldots, Y_n = y_n|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i|\lambda)$$

- Product of 1000 probabilities is a tiny number. Let's do logs.

Log-likelihood function for two observations

- Log-Likelihood function using first two observations

$$\log p(Y_1 = 2, Y_2 = 6|\lambda) = \log p(Y_1 = 2|\lambda) + \log p(Y_2 = 6|\lambda)$$





- Note: since $\log$ is monotonically increasing transformation: the λ that maximizes the likelihood is the same λ that maximizes the log-likelihood.
- **Maximum likelihood estimator** of λ: the value of λ that maximizes the (log-)likehood function.

Log-likelihood function for all observations

- **Likelihood** for all n data points

$$L(\lambda) = p(Y_1 = y_1, \ldots, Y_n = y_n|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i|\lambda)$$

- **Log-likelihood** for all n data points

$$\ell(\lambda) = \log L(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(y_i|\lambda)$$

- Recall: logs turn product into sums. This will be useful.
- Likelihood for **iid Poisson model**

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} = \frac{\lambda^{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i)} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i!}$$

- Log-likelihood for **iid Poisson model**

$$\ell(\lambda) = \log L(\lambda) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i\right) \log \lambda - n\lambda - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i!\right)$$

Log-likelihood function for all observations

- Log-likelihood for **iid Poisson model**

$$\ell(\lambda) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i\right) \log \lambda - n\lambda - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i!\right)$$



The MLE in the iid Poisson model

- **Maximum likelihood** (**MLE**) of λ

$$\hat{\lambda}_{ML} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\lambda} \ell(\lambda)$$

- Finding a maximum of a function? Set first derivate to zero and solve for λ

$$\ell'(\lambda) = 0$$

- Check for (local) maximum by checking second derivative

$$\ell''(\hat{\lambda}_{ML}) < 0$$

- When $\ell'(\lambda) = 0$ cannot be solved mathematically. Use computer. More later!

The MLE in the iid Poisson model

- Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\lambda) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i\right) \log \lambda - n\lambda - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i!\right)$$

$$\ell'(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{\lambda} - n = 0$$

has solution

$$\hat{\lambda}_{ML} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n} = \bar{y}$$

- Second derivative shows that this indeed a (local) maximizer

$$\ell''(\lambda) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\ell'(\lambda) = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{\lambda^2} < 0$$

for all λ and therefore also at $\hat{\lambda}_{ML}$.

The MLE in the iid Exponential model

- Model

$$Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Expon}(\beta)$$

- Likelihood (note densities!)

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_i|\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-y_i/\beta} = \frac{1}{\beta^n} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}$$

- Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\beta) = \log L(\beta) = -n \log \beta - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$

$$\ell'(\beta) = -\frac{n}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = 0$$

$$-n + \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = 0$$

so

$$\hat{\beta}_{ML} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n} = \bar{y}$$

Sampling distribution of an estimator

- An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ depends on the sample

$$\hat{\theta}_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$$

- **Sampling distribution** of $\hat{\theta}$ tells us how $\hat{\theta}$ varies **from sample to sample**.
- **Confidence intervals** are based on this.
- **Asymptotic sampling distribution** for $\hat{\theta}_n$: what is the sampling distribution when n is large ($n \to \infty$).
- **Central limit theorem**: the asymptotic sampling distribution of the sample mean $\bar{X}_n$ is normal.

Bias-variance trade-off

Unbiased estimator

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$$



Bias

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$$

Mean square error (MSE)

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 = \mathbb{V}(\hat{\theta}) + \Big(\text{Bias}(\hat{\theta})\Big)^2$$



Consistency

- Law of large numbers

$$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$$

- An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is **consistent** for a population parameter θ if

$$\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta$$

  which means that for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\Pr(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| > \epsilon) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

- **Result**: An unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent if

$$\mathbb{V}(\hat{\theta}_n) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$