Multiple Comparison Procedures To A Control For AN(C)OVA Models

Statsomat.com

Contributors*

17 Juni 2021

Contents

Basic Information						
Model Information	9					
Descriptive Plots	:					
Dependent Variable						
Dependent Against Categorical Factors	Ę					
Dependent against Covariates	,					
Interaction Plot for Factors	8					
Analysis of variance	ç					
Effect of the separate expressions of the given variables (Parameter Estimates)	ć					
References	11					

^{*}Denise Welsch, Markus Neuhäuser, Viktoria Daum, Linda Müller, Damian Nink, Simone Schüttler, Daniela Wüller

Basic Information

Automatic statistics for the file:	
	File litter.csv
Your selection for the encoding: UTF-8 Your selection for the decimal character: . Observations (rows with at least one non-missing value): 74 Variables (columns with at least one non-missing value): 4 Variables considered continuous: 2	
	Variables considered continuous weight number
Variables considered categorical: 2	
	Variables considered categorical dose gesttime

Model Information


You defined the following linear model: weight~dose+gest time+number You are interested in the factor: dose

You are interested in pairwise comparisons to the control factor level: 0


Descriptive Plots

Dependent Variable

Histogram of weight




Boxplot of weight




Dependent Against Categorical Factors

Boxplot of weight ~ dose




Boxplot of weight ~ gesttime



Dependent against Covariates


Scatterplot of number



Interaction Plot for Factors

Note: The more parallel the lines, the less likely is the significance of the interaction of the factors.

Interaction Plot of dose and gesttime



Analysis of variance

Effect of the separate expressions of the given variables (Parameter Estimates)

Variable	Value	Std.Error	T.value	P.value	sign. level ¹	Significance at 5 percent error
(Intercept)	24.86	2.60	9.57	< 0.001	***	Intercept Significant.
dose1	1.93	0.77	2.50	0.015	*	Significant. A Difference between the effect of dose1 and its reference.
dose2	-1.06	0.81	-1.31	0.194		Not Significant. No difference between the effect of dose2 and its reference.
dose3	-0.34	0.86	-0.39	0.694		Not Significant. No difference between the effect of dose3 and its reference.
gesttime1	-3.52	0.94	-3.74	< 0.001	***	Significant. A Difference between the effect of gesttime1 and its reference.
gesttime2	-1.08	0.89	-1.22	0.227		Not Significant. No difference between the effect of gesttime2 and its reference.
gesttime3	-0.97	0.90	-1.08	0.286		Not Significant. No difference between the effect of gesttime3 and its reference.
number	0.51	0.20	2.59	0.012	*	Significant. A Difference between the effect of number and its reference.


¹ '***': sign. to 0.1% error. '**': sign. to 1% error. '*': sign. to 5% error. ' . ': sign. to 10% error. ' ': not sign. ' - ': no statement.


Anova Table (Type III tests)


```
Response: weight
```


Sum Sq Df F value Pr(>F)
(Intercept) 1406.03 1 91.4959 4.355e-14 ***
dose 100.40 3 2.1778 0.098906 .
gesttime 226.18 3 4.9062 0.003874 **
number 102.89 1 6.6954 0.011875 *
Residuals 1014.23 66

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1









Simultaneous Tests for General Linear Hypotheses

Multiple Comparisons of Means: Dunnett Contrasts

Fit: lm(formula = modelfunction, data = df_factorized)

Linear Hypotheses:

```
500 - 0 >= 0 -2.468
                         1.312 -1.881 0.0810 .
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Adjusted p values reported -- single-step method)
    Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Multiple Comparisons of Means: Dunnett Contrasts
Fit: lm(formula = modelfunction, data = df_factorized)
Quantile = 2.1121
95% family-wise confidence level
Linear Hypotheses:
            Estimate lwr
                             upr
5 - 0 >= 0 -2.9883
                        -Inf -0.2812
                        -Inf 0.5056
50 - 0 >= 0 -2.2729
500 - 0 >= 0 -2.4681
                        -Inf 0.3032
```

References

Fox, John, and Sanford Weisberg. 2019. An R Companion to Applied Regression. Third. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage. https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion/.

Gross, Juergen, and Uwe Ligges. 2015. Nortest: Tests for Normality. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nortest.

Madsen, Jacob H. 2018. DDoutlier: Distance & Density-Based Outlier Detection. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DDoutlier.

R Core Team. 2019. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.

Zeileis, Achim, and Torsten Hothorn. 2002. "Diagnostic Checking in Regression Relationships." R News 2 (3): 7–10. https://CRAN.R-project.org/doc/Rnews/.