

Multiple Comparison Procedures To A Control

For AN(C)OVA Models

Statsomat.com

Contributors*

28 Juni 2021

Contents

Basic Information	2
Model Information	3
Descriptive Plots	3
Dependent Variable	3
Dependent Against Categorical Factors	5
Interaction Plot for Factors	7
Multiple Comparisons of Means to a Control	10
Dunnet	10
References	10

*Denise Welsch, Markus Neuhäuser, Viktoria Daum, Linda Müller, Damian Nink, Simone Schüttler, Daniela Wüller

Basic Information

Automatic statistics for the file:

File
warpbreaks.csv

Your selection for the encoding: UTF-8

Your selection for the decimal character: .

Observations (rows with at least one non-missing value): 54

Variables (columns with at least one non-missing value): 3

Variables considered continuous: 1

Variables considered continuous
breaks

Variables considered categorical: 2

Variables considered categorical
wool
tension

Model Information

You defined the following linear model: $\text{breaks} \sim \text{wool} * \text{tension}$


You are interested in the factor: `tension`

You are interested in pairwise comparisons to the control factor level: `L`


Descriptive Plots

Dependent Variable


Histogram of breaks




Boxplot of breaks



Dependent Against Categorical Factors



Boxplot of breaks ~ tension



Interaction Plot for Factors

Note: The more parallel the lines, the less likely is the significance of the interaction of the factors.

Interaction Plot of wool and tension




Table 4: Parameter Estimates

Variable	Value	Std.Error	t.value	pvalue	sign. level ¹	Significance at 5 percent error
(Intercept)	28.15	1.49	18.91	<0.001	***	Intercept Significant.
wool1	2.89	1.49	1.94	0.058	.	Not Significant. No difference between the effect of wool1 and its reference.
tension1	8.24	2.11	3.91	<0.001	***	Significant. A Difference between the effect of tension1 and its reference.
tension2	-6.48	2.11	-3.08	0.003	**	Significant. A Difference between the effect of tension2 and its reference.
wool1:tension1	5.28	2.11	2.51	0.016	*	Interaction Significant. Effect wool1 vs. reference depends on tension1.
wool1:tension2	0.00	2.11	0.00	1		Interaction not Significant. Effect wool1 vs. reference don't depends on tension2.





¹ '***': sign. to 0.1% error. '**': sign. to 1% error. '*': sign. to 5% error. ' . ': sign. to 10% error. ' ': not sign. ' - ': no statement.

Anova Table (Type III tests)

Response: breaks

	Sum Sq	Df	F value	Pr(>F)
(Intercept)	42785	1	357.4672	< 2.2e-16 ***
wool	451	1	3.7653	0.0582130 .
tension	2034	2	8.4980	0.0006926 ***
wool:tension	1003	2	4.1891	0.0210442 *
Residuals	5745	48		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1



Multiple Comparisons of Means to a Control

Dunnet

Test whether the factor level L of the factor tension is less than the other levels. The Null Hypothesis is for example $H - L \geq 0$.

Table 5: Multiple Comparison: Dunnet Contrasts

Null Hypothesis	Value	Std.Error	T.value	P.value	Sign. level ¹	Significance at 5 percent Type I error
$H - L \geq 0$	-14.72	3.65	-4.04	<0.001	***	Significant. Level H of factor tension is significantly less than L ³
$M - L \geq 0$	-10.00	3.65	-2.74	0.008	**	Significant. Level M of factor tension is significantly less than L ³

¹ '***': sign. to 0.1% error. '**': sign. to 1% error. '*': sign. to 5% error. ' . ': sign. to 10% error. ' ': not sign. ' - ': no statement.

² H1 does not hold significantly.

³ H1 holds significantly.

Simultaneous Confidence Intervals which includes the true value of the difference between the reference level L and the other levels of tension

Table 6: Simoultaneous Confidence Intervals: Dunnet Contrasts

Null Hypothesis	Value	Lower bound	Upper bound	Interpretation
$H - L \geq 0$	-14.72	-Inf	-7.57	The interval (-Inf, -7.57) traps the true difference H-L with probability 95 percent. ¹
$M - L \geq 0$	-10.00	-Inf	-2.85	The interval (-Inf, -2.85) traps the true difference M-L with probability 95 percent. ¹

¹ Remark: Zero is not in the confidence interval.

² Remark: Zero is in the confidence interval.

References

- Fox, John, and Sanford Weisberg. 2019. *An R Companion to Applied Regression*. Third. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage. <https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion/>.
- Gross, Juergen, and Uwe Ligges. 2015. *Nortest: Tests for Normality*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nortest>.
- Madsen, Jacob H. 2018. *DDoutlier: Distance & Density-Based Outlier Detection*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DDoutlier>.
- R Core Team. 2019. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Zeileis, Achim, and Torsten Hothorn. 2002. "Diagnostic Checking in Regression Relationships." *R News* 2 (3): 7–10. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/doc/Rnews/>.