

1 The Language of Quantum Information Theory

1.1 Density Operators

We begin by stating the a common axiomatization of Quantum Mechanics (QM) based on Hilbert Spaces following [5], we choose it for mathematical simplicity; for alternatives see e.g. [36].

1. To each physical system \mathcal{S} there corresponds a Hilbert Space \mathcal{H} and states of the system are described by positive and unit trace operators. The Hilbert Space of a composite system made up of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' is given by the tensor product of the Hilbert spaces i.e. $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}'$.
2. To each dynamical variable there corresponds a self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} , called an observable, whose possible values are given by its eigenvalues.
3. Given a system in a state ρ and some observable A of it, the probability of measuring A and obtaining the result λ is given by $\text{Tr}[\rho P_\lambda]$ where P_λ is the eigen-projector into the subspace associated with λ . Furthermore the expectation value is $\text{Tr}[\rho A]$.
4. After a measurement with result λ the state of the system becomes $\frac{P_\lambda \rho P_\lambda}{\text{Tr}[P_\lambda \rho P_\lambda]}$.
5. The time evolution of the system in a time interval $(0, t)$ in which no measurement is done is given by some unitary operator U_t according to $\rho_t = U_t \rho U_t^\dagger$ where ρ is the state of the system at time $t = 0$.

Operators satisfying the properties required for a state are called ***Density Operators*** and in contrast to frameworks whose treatment of quantum states is merely as rays in \mathcal{H} , they describe statistical mixtures so imperfect state preparation can be handled. To see this consider the spectral resolution of some density operator:

$$\rho = \sum_n p_n |\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n| \tag{1-1}$$

by definition we have $p_n \geq 0, \sum_n p_n = 1$ any density operator can be seen as a convex sum of rays in \mathcal{H} (provided we identify each one with its associated projector $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$) and from it an

interpretation of ρ as an statistical mixture of rays is suggested: given a preparation process, there is a probability p_n for the system to be in the state $|\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n|$ after it, for this reason states of the form $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ are called **Pure** while those who are not we refer to as **Mixed**. This **Ensemble Interpretation** has serious conceptual challenges when one tries to use it outside a fixed preparation procedure due to the non-uniqueness of the decomposition into pure states [30], but is good enough for the purposes of the present work, for a comprehensive discussion of this topic the reader is referred to [39].

Bibliography

Bibliography

- [1] G. Adesso et al. “Optimal estimation of losses at the ultimate quantum limit with non-Gaussian states”. In: *Physical Review A* 79.4 (Apr. 23, 2009), p. 040305.
- [2] Francesco Albarelli et al. “Restoring Heisenberg scaling in noisy quantum metrology by monitoring the environment”. In: *Quantum* 2 (Dec. 3, 2018), p. 110.
- [3] Ulrik L Andersen et al. “30 years of squeezed light generation”. In: *Physica Scripta* 91.5 (May 1, 2016), p. 053001.
- [4] etal B. M. Escher. “Quantum Metrological Limits via a Variational Approach”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 109 (2012).
- [5] Leslie E. Ballentine. *Quantum Mechanics: A Modern Development (2nd Edition)*. World Scientific Publishing Company, Aug. 28, 2014. 739 pp.
- [6] Marco Barbieri. “Optical Quantum Metrology”. In: *PRX Quantum* 3.1 (Jan. 25, 2022), p. 010202.
- [7] Samuel L. Braunstein and Carlton M. Caves. “Statistical distance and the geometry of quantum states”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 72.22 (May 30, 1994), pp. 3439–3443.
- [8] Samuel L. Braunstein and Carlton M. Caves. “Statistical distance and the geometry of quantum states”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 72.22 (May 30, 1994), pp. 3439–3443.
- [9] Y. Cai et al. “Quantum enhanced measurement of an optical frequency comb”. In: *npj Quantum Information* 7.1 (May 26, 2021), pp. 1–8.
- [10] Alessandro Candeloro et al. “Quantum Probes for the Characterization of Nonlinear Media”. In: *Entropy* 23.10 (Oct. 2021), p. 1353.
- [11] Carlton M. Caves. “Quantum-mechanical noise in an interferometer”. In: *Physical Review D* 23.8 (Apr. 15, 1981), pp. 1693–1708.
- [12] T. M. Cover and Joy A. Thomas. *Elements of information theory*. Hoboken, N.J. : Wiley-Interscience, 2006.
- [13] Rafal Demkowicz-Dobrzanski, Jan Kolodynski, and Madalin Guta. “The elusive Heisenberg limit in quantum-enhanced metrology”. In: *Nature Communications* 3.1 (Sept. 18, 2012), p. 1063.
- [14] Lajos Diosi. *A Short Course in Quantum Information Theory: An Approach From Theoretical Physics*. Springer, June 2, 2011. 167 pp.

- [15] J. Eisert and M. M. Wolf. *Gaussian quantum channels*. May 20, 2005.
- [16] B. M. Escher, R. L. de Matos Filho, and L. Davidovich. “General framework for estimating the ultimate precision limit in noisy quantum-enhanced metrology”. In: *Nature Physics* 7.5 (May 2011), pp. 406–411.
- [17] Vittorio Giovannetti, Seth Lloyd, and Lorenzo Maccone. “Quantum Metrology”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 96.1 (Jan. 3, 2006), p. 010401.
- [18] Awni Hannun. *An Introduction to Fisher Information*. URL: <https://awni.github.io/intro-fisher-information/> (visited on 12/22/2022).
- [19] Alexander Holevo. *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory*. Pisa: Edizioni della Normale, 2011.
- [20] Zhang Jiang. “Quantum Fisher information for states in exponential form”. In: *Physical Review A* 89.3 (Mar. 31, 2014), p. 032128.
- [21] Lin Jiao et al. *Quantum metrology and its noisy effects*. July 15, 2023.
- [22] Bakmou Lahcen and Daoud Mohammed. *Advantages of quantum mechanics in the estimation theory*. Nov. 13, 2022.
- [23] Rodney Loudon. *The quantum theory of light*. 3. ed., reprinted. Oxford science publications. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press, 2010. 438 pp.
- [24] A. I. Lvovsky. “Squeezed light”. In: (2014).
- [25] Lorenzo Maccone and Alberto Ricciardi. “Squeezing metrology: a unified framework”. In: *Quantum* 4 (July 9, 2020), p. 292.
- [26] Francesco Albarelli Matteo A. C. Rossi and Matteo G. A. Paris. *Enhanced estimation of loss in the presence of Kerr nonlinearity*. 2016. URL: <https://journals.aps.org/prabstract/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.053805> (visited on 10/16/2023).
- [27] Alex Monras and Matteo G. A. Paris. “Optimal Quantum Estimation of Loss in Bosonic Channels”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 98.16 (Apr. 17, 2007), p. 160401.
- [28] Alex Monras and Matteo G. A. Paris. “Optimal quantum estimation of loss in bosonic channels”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 98.16 (Apr. 17, 2007), p. 160401.
- [29] Carlos Navarrete-Benlloch. *Introduction to Quantum Optics*. Mar. 20, 2022.
- [30] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition*. 2010. 702 pp.
- [31] Matteo G. A. Paris. *Quantum estimation for quantum technology*. Aug. 25, 2009.
- [32] Denes Petz and Catalin Ghinea. “Introduction to quantum Fisher information”. In: (Jan. 2011), pp. 261–281.
- [33] Luca Pezze’ and Augusto Smerzi. *Quantum theory of phase estimation*. Nov. 19, 2014.

- [34] Hänsch Theodor W. Picqué Nathalie. “Frequency comb spectroscopy”. In: *Nature Photonics* 13.3 (Mar. 2019), pp. 146–157.
- [35] S. Pirandola et al. “Advances in photonic quantum sensing”. In: *Nature Photonics* 12.12 (Dec. 2018), pp. 724–733.
- [36] Andrés F. Reyes-Lega. “Some aspects of operator algebras in quantum physics”. In: *Geometric, Algebraic and Topological Methods for Quantum Field Theory*. WORLD SCIENTIFIC, Sept. 20, 2015, pp. 1–74.
- [37] G. B. Rieker et al. “Frequency-comb-based remote sensing of greenhouse gases over kilometer air paths”. In: *Optica* 1.5 (Nov. 20, 2014), pp. 290–298.
- [38] Jader P Santos. “Quantum estimation theory”. In: ().
- [39] Maximilian A. Schlosshauer. *Decoherence: and the Quantum-To-Classical Transition*. Springer Science & Business Media, July 28, 2007. 428 pp.
- [40] Alessio Serafini. *Quantum Continuous Variables: A Primer of Theoretical Methods*. 1st ed. Boca Raton, FL : CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, [2017] |: CRC Press, July 20, 2017.
- [41] James P. Sethna. *Statistical Mechanics: Entropy, Order Parameters, and Complexity: Second Edition*. Oxford University Press, Jan. 26, 2021. 493 pp.
- [42] Jasminder S. Sidhu and Pieter Kok. “A Geometric Perspective on Quantum Parameter Estimation”. In: *AVS Quantum Science* 2.1 (Feb. 1, 2020), p. 014701.
- [43] Jasminder S. Sidhu and Pieter Kok. “Geometric perspective on quantum parameter estimation”. In: *AVS Quantum Science* 2.1 (Feb. 11, 2020), p. 014701.
- [44] Géza Tóth and Iagoba Apellaniz. “Quantum metrology from a quantum information science perspective”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 47.42 (Oct. 2014), p. 424006.
- [45] S. P. Walborn et al. “Quantum-enhanced sensing from hyperentanglement”. In: *Physical Review A* 97.1 (Jan. 12, 2018), p. 010301.
- [46] Daniel F. Walls and Gerard J. Milburn. *Quantum optics*. 2. ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 2008. 425 pp.
- [47] J. Wang, L. Davidovich, and G. S. Agarwal. “Quantum sensing of open systems: Estimation of damping constants and temperature”. In: *Physical Review Research* 2.3 (Sept. 10, 2020), p. 033389.
- [48] Howard M. Wiseman and Gerard J. Milburn. *Quantum Measurement and Control*. Cambridge University Press, 2010. 477 pp.
- [49] Xu Yu et al. “Maximal quantum Fisher information for phase estimation without initial parity”. In: *Optics Express* 26.13 (June 25, 2018), p. 16292.

-
- [50] Xu Yu et al. “Maximal Quantum Fisher Information in a Mach-Zehnder Interferometer without initial parity”. arXiv.org. In: (Dec. 14, 2014).