


BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 4

**RCV R1 — Winner
November 2022**


Winner
ENGARDIO — 26 — 50.9%
MAR — 21 — 49.1%
No data



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 4

**RCV R2 — Winner
November 2022**


Winner
ENGARDIO — 28 — 50.9%
MAR — 19 — 49.1%
No data



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 4

RCV Total Votes — GORDON MAR
Baseline = 49.1%
November 2022


Candidate strength
85%-100%
73%-85%
61%-73%
49%-61%
0-49%
No data



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 4

RCV Total Votes — JOEL ENGARDIO
Baseline = 50.9%
November 2022


Candidate strength
87%-100%
75%-87%
63%-75%
51%-63%
0-51%
No data



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 4

**RCV Rank Average — GORDON MAR
November 2022**


Avg rank (lower=better)
1.0-1.5
1.5-2.5
2.5-3.5
>3.5
No data



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 4


RCV Rank Average — JOEL ENGARDIO
November 2022

Avg rank (lower=better)
1.0-1.5
1.5-2.5
2.5-3.5
>3.5
No data



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6


**RCV R1 — Winner
November 2022**



Winner
DORSEY — 25 — 50.8%
MAHOGANY — 15 — 43.7%
JACKSON — 0 — 3.3%
COOPER — 1 — 2.2%
No data

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6

RCV R2 — Winner
November 2022



Winner
MAHOGANY — 25 — 31.3%
DORSEY — 10 — 28.7%
JACKSON — 5 — 23.9%
COOPER — 1 — 16.1%
No data

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6

RCV Total Votes — CHERELLE JACKSON
Baseline = 3.3%
November 2022


Candidate strength
39%-100%
27%-39%
15%-27%
3%-15%
0-3%
No data



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6

RCV Total Votes — HONEY MAHOGANY
Baseline = 43.7%
November 2022


Candidate strength
80%-100%
68%-80%
56%-68%
44%-56%
0-44%
No data



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6

RCV Total Votes — MATT DORSEY
Baseline = 50.8%
November 2022

Candidate strength
87%-100%
75%-87%
63%-75%
51%-63%
0-51%
No data



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6



RCV Total Votes — MS BILLIE COOPER
Baseline = 2.2%
November 2022

Candidate strength
38%-100%
26%-38%
14%-26%
2%-14%
0-2%
No data





BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6

**RCV Rank Average — CHERELLE JACKSON
November 2022**




BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6

**RCV Rank Average — HONEY MAHOGANY
November 2022**





BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6

RCV Rank Average — MATT DORSEY
November 2022



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 6


**RCV Rank Average — MS BILLIE COOPER
November 2022**



DISTRICT ATTORNEY

**RCV R1 — Winner
November 2022**


Winner
JENKINS — 365 — 46.0%
HAMASAKI — 144 — 37.3%
VERONESE — 0 — 12.3%
CHENIER — 3 — 4.3%
No data



DISTRICT ATTORNEY

**RCV R2 — Winner
November 2022**


Winner
VERONESE — 480 — 40.0%
HAMASAKI — 25 — 26.1%
JENKINS — 3 — 20.3%
CHENIER — 4 — 13.6%
No data



DISTRICT ATTORNEY

RCV Total Votes — BROOKE JENKINS
Baseline = 46.0%
November 2022


Candidate strength
82%-100%
70%-82%
58%-70%
46%-58%
0-46%
No data



DISTRICT ATTORNEY

RCV Total Votes — JOE ALIOTO VERONESE
Baseline = 12.3%
November 2022


Candidate strength
48%-100%
36%-48%
24%-36%
12%-24%
0-12%
No data



DISTRICT ATTORNEY

RCV Total Votes — JOHN HAMASAKI
Baseline = 37.3%
November 2022


Candidate strength
73%-100%
61%-73%
49%-61%
37%-49%
0-37%
No data



DISTRICT ATTORNEY

RCV Total Votes — MAURICE CHENIER
Baseline = 4.3%
November 2022


Candidate strength
40%-100%
28%-40%
16%-28%
4%-16%
0-4%
No data



DISTRICT ATTORNEY

**RCV Rank Average — BROOKE JENKINS
November 2022**


Avg rank (lower=better)
1.0-1.5
1.5-2.5
2.5-3.5
>3.5
No data




DISTRICT ATTORNEY

RCV Rank Average — JOE ALIOTO VERONESE November 2022


Avg rank (lower=better)
1.0-1.5
1.5-2.5
2.5-3.5
>3.5
No data






DISTRICT ATTORNEY


RCV Rank Average — JOHN HAMASAKI
November 2022






DISTRICT ATTORNEY

RCV Rank Average — MAURICE CHENIER
November 2022



Measure A
November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 65.1%


YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



Measure B


**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 74.6%**

YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data




Measure C
November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 67.4%

YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data




Measure D
November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 49.2%

YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data





Measure E
November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 46.1%

YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



Measure F


**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 82.6%**



Measure G

**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 77.8%**


YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



Measure H


**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 71.2%**

YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data




Measure I
November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 34.9%

YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



Measure J
November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 63.1%


YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



Measure L

**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 71.8%**


YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



Measure L

**November 2022
Threshold $66\frac{2}{3}\%$
Citywide Average = 71.8%**


YES share
0-47%
47%-57%
57%-67%
67%-77%
77%-87%
87%-100%
No data



Measure M

November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 54.5%


YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



Measure N

**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 74.7%**


YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



Measure O

**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 36.7%**


YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



MEMBER, BoE

**Winner
November 2022**


Winner	
WEISSMAN-WARD — 366	—
—	21.9%
MOTAMEDI — 5	— 19.3%
FISHER — 106	— 17.7%
HSU — 29	— 17.1%
LOPEZ — 4	— 13.1%
FLESHMAN — 0	— 10.8%
No data	



MEMBER, BoE

Strength vs District Avg
ALIDA FISHER — 17.7%
November 2022


Candidate strength
30%-100%
18%-30%
6%-18%
0-6%
No data



MEMBER, BoE

**Strength vs District Avg
ANN HSU — 17.1%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
29%-100%
17%-29%
5%-17%
0-5%
No data



MEMBER, BoE

**Strength vs District Avg
GABRIELA LOPEZ — 13.1%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
25%-100%
13%-25%
1%-13%
0-1%
No data



MEMBER, BoE

**Strength vs District Avg
KAREN FLESHMAN — 10.8%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
23%-100%
11%-23%
0%-11%
0-0%
No data



MEMBER, BoE

Strength vs District Avg
LAINIE MOTAMEDI — 19.3%
November 2022


Candidate strength
31%-100%
19%-31%
7%-19%
0-7%
No data



MEMBER, BoE



Strength vs District Avg
LISA WEISSMAN-WARD — 21.9%
November 2022

Candidate strength
34%-100%
22%-34%
10%-22%
0-10%
No data



, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (remainder of the current term ending January 8, 2023)


**Winner
November 2022**



, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (remainder of the current term ending **January 8, 2022**)

**Strength vs District Avg
ADOLFO VELASQUEZ — 31.0%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
43%-100%
19%-31%
0-19%
No data



, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (remainder of the current term ending **January 8, 2022**)

**Strength vs District Avg
DANIEL LANDRY — 12.7%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
25%-100%
1%-13%
0-1%
No data



, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (remainder of the current term ending **January 8, 2022**)

**Strength vs District Avg
MURRELL GREEN — 56.2%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
68%-100%
44%-56%
0-44%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

Winner
November 2022


Winner
MARTINEZ — 258 — 14.8%
CHUNG — 76 — 13.8%
SOLOMON — 26 — 13.8%
RIZZO — 55 — 11.2%
DAVILA — 17 — 10.6%
SELBY — 22 — 10.1%
YEE — 48 — 9.1%
HURABIELL — 4 — 6.6%
WALKER — 4 — 5.8%
ZENG — 0 — 4.3%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

**Strength vs District Avg
ANITA MARTINEZ — 14.8%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
27%-100%
11%-27%
3%-15%
0-3%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

**Strength vs District Avg
BRIGITTE DAVILA — 10.6%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
23%-100%
11%-23%
0%-11%
0-0%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

**Strength vs District Avg
JASON CHUYUAN ZENG — 4.3%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
16%-100%
14%-16%
0%-4%
0-0%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

Strength vs District Avg
JILL YEE — 9.1%
November 2022


Candidate strength
21%-100%
19% - 21%
0%-9%
0-0%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

Strength vs District Avg
JOHN RIZZO — 11.2%
November 2022


Candidate strength
23%-100%
11%-23%
0%-11%
0-0%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

**Strength vs District Avg
MARIE HURABIELL — 6.6%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
19%-100%
11%-19%
0%-7%
0-0%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

**Strength vs District Avg
SUSAN SOLOMON — 13.8%
November 2022**


Candidate strength
26%-100%
11%-26%
2%-14%
0-2%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

Strength vs District Avg
THEA SELBY — 10.1%
November 2022


Candidate strength
22%-100%
11%-22%
0%-10%
0-0%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)

Strength vs District Avg
VICK CHUNG — 13.8%
November 2022


Candidate strength
26%-100%
11%-26%
2%-14%
0-2%
No data



MEMBER, COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD (term ending January 8, 2027)



Strength vs District Avg
WILLIAM WALKER — 5.8%
November 2022

Candidate strength
18%-100%
10%-18%
0%-6%
0-0%
No data



PROPOSITION 1


**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 89.5%**



PROPOSITION 26



**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 38.1%**

YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



PROPOSITION 27


**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 18.1%**



PROPOSITION 28

**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 79.2%**


YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



PROPOSITION 29

**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 39.1%**


YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



PROPOSITION 30

**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 65.5%**

YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data



PROPOSITION 31

**November 2022
Threshold 50%
Citywide Average = 79.0%**

YES share
0-30%
30%-40%
40%-50%
50%-60%
60%-70%
70%-100%
No data

