

Class 4: Logical Formulas

Schedule

Problem Set 1 is due **Friday at 6:29pm**.

I will not be able to hold my normal office hours on Monday.

Next week, we will cover the rest of Chapter 3 (Satisfiability and Quantifiers).

Notes and Questions

Well-Ordering Principle Proof

Odd Summation. (Problem 2.12) Prove that for all $n > 0$, the sum of the first n odd numbers is n^2 .

Notations

Mathematics and other domains often use many symbols to mean the same thing. Section 3.2 of the book gives some common notations, but there are others in common use.

| English | Logic | C, Java, Rust | Python |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------|
| $P \text{ IMPLIES } Q$ | $P \implies Q \text{ or } P \longrightarrow Q$ | - | - |
| $\text{NOT}(P)$ | $\neg P \text{ or } \bar{P}$ | !p | not p |
| $P \text{ AND } Q$ | $P \wedge Q$ | p && q | p and q |
| $P \text{ OR } Q$ | $P \vee Q$ | P Q | p or q |
| $P \text{ XOR } Q$ | $P \oplus Q$ | p ^ q (bitwise) or p != q | p ^ q |

For what values in Java or C are $p \wedge q$ and $p \neq q$ both valid, but have different meanings?

Logical Formulas

| P | $\text{NOT}(P)$ | _____ |
|----------|-----------------|-------|
| T | F | |
| F | T | |

How many one-input Boolean operators are there? How many do we need to produce them all?

| P | Q | $P \wedge Q$ | $P \vee Q$ | $P \Rightarrow Q$ | _____ | $P \oplus Q$ |
|----------|----------|--------------|------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|
| T | T | T | T | | T | F |
| T | F | | T | | F | T |
| F | T | | T | | F | T |
| F | F | | F | | T | F |

How many two-input Boolean operators are there?

De Morgan's Laws:

$$\neg(P \wedge Q) \equiv (\neg P) \vee (\neg Q) \quad \neg(P \vee Q) \equiv (\neg P) \wedge (\neg Q)$$

How can these be written without the \neg in front?

Prove that it is possible to make all two-input Boolean operators using just NOT and any *odd* two-input operator. (An operator is *odd*, if the number of outputs that are **True** are odd.)

Definition: valid. A logical formula is *valid* if there is no way to make it **false**. That is, no matter what truth values its variables have, it is always **true**. (Another name for this is a *tautology*.)

Definition: satisfiable. A logical formula is *satisfiable* if there is *some* way to make it **true**. That is, there is at least one assignment of truth value to its variables that makes the formula true.

For each of the formulas below, determine if it is *valid* and if it is *satisfiable*.

1. $(P \vee \neg P)$
2. $(P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \vee Q)$
3. $((P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)) \vee (P \text{ XOR } Q)$