

$$\text{pow}(\mathbb{N}_m) = \text{pow}(\mathbb{N}_{m-1}) \cup \bigcup_{S \in \text{pow}(\mathbb{N}_{m-1})} (S \cup \underline{\hspace{2cm}})$$

The size of this set is $2|\text{pow}(\mathbb{N}_{m-1})|$ since it contains two sets for each set in $\text{pow}(\mathbb{N}_{m-1})$ (the original one, and the one with $m - 1$ added, which we know is a new set since $m - 1 \notin \mathbb{N}_{m-1}$).

– Since $P(m - 1)$, we know $|\text{pow}(\mathbb{N}_{m-1})| = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$. The size of the new set, $|\text{pow}(\mathbb{N}_m)| = 2 \cdot 2^{m-1} = 2^m$. This means that $P(m)$ holds.

5. Hence, C must be empty. We reached a contradiction using the well-ordering principle by showing the $P(m)$ holds for $m \in C$, which must mean the C is empty. If there are no counter-examples, then $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Induction Principle

Let P be a predicated on \mathbb{N} . If

- $P(0)$ is true, and
- $P(n) \implies P(n + 1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

then

- $P(m)$ is true for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Template for Induction Proofs

1. State, “We prove by induction.”
2. Define a predicate, $P(n)$. This is the *induction hypothesis*. Our goal is to show that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
3. Prove $P(0)$ is true. (*base case* or *basis step*.)
4. Prove that $P(n) \implies P(n + 1)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (*induction step*)
5. Conclude that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction.

How is the method of *proof by induction principle* similar to and different from *proof by well-ordering principle*?

Induction Practice

Prove the non-negative integers are well ordered by the induction principle.

Prove the power set size property, $|\text{pow}(A)| = 2^{|A|}$, by induction.