

ESTIMAREA PARAMETRILOR

Prin alegerea modelului:

- forma functionala specificata
- existenta unor parametri necunoscuti

"Model parametric"

$$P_\theta \circ X^{-1}, \theta \in \Theta \subseteq R^k, k \geq 1$$

$$X : \Omega \longrightarrow S, \text{ v.a., } S = A \text{ sau } S = R$$

Presupunem modelul "corect": valoarea adevarata, necunoscuta $\theta_0 \in \Theta$.

Observatiile X_1, \dots, X_n v.a.i.i.r. $P_\theta \circ X^{-1}$

Spatiul de selectie n -dimensional $\left(S^n, \mathcal{S}^n, \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P_\theta \circ X_i^{-1} \right)$

$$\left(A^n, (\mathcal{P}(A))^n, \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P_\theta \circ X_i^{-1} \right)$$

$$\left(R^n, \mathcal{B}^n, \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P_\theta \circ X_i^{-1} \right)$$

Definitie:

Fie o functie masurabila $\hat{\theta} : S^n \longrightarrow \Theta$. Atunci $\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ se numeste estimator al parametrului θ .

$$\Omega \xrightarrow{(X_1, \dots, X_n)} S^n \xrightarrow{\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \Theta$$

Pentru datele statistice (x_1, \dots, x_n) , valoarea $\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ se numeste estimatie a lui θ .

Notatii (presupunand ca toate mediile de mai jos exista):

$$\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)'$$

$$\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k)'$$

$$M_\theta(\hat{\theta}) = \left(M_\theta(\hat{\theta}_1), \dots, M_\theta(\hat{\theta}_k) \right)'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}) &= \left\| cov_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_i, \hat{\theta}_j) \right\|_{i,j=1,\dots,k} \\
&= \left\| M_{\theta} \left((\hat{\theta}_i - M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_i)) (\hat{\theta}_j - M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_j)) \right) \right\|_{i,j=1,\dots,k} \\
&\text{Pentru } k=1, \quad M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}), D_{\theta}^2(\hat{\theta})
\end{aligned}$$

Definitii:

- $\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ este estimator nedeplasat daca

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \theta, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

- $\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ este estimator nedeplasat, de dispersie minima (ENDM) daca este nedeplasat si pentru orice alt estimator nedeplasat $g(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ matricea

$$Cov_{\theta}(g, g) - Cov_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta})$$

este semipozitiv definita, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$.

Comentariu:

Pentru $k=1$, $\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ este ENDM daca

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$D_{\theta}^2(\hat{\theta}) \leq D_{\theta}^2(g), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

pentru orice alt estimator nedeplasat $g(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

DEPLASAREA estimatorului $\hat{\theta}$

$$Bias(\hat{\theta}) = M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$$

EROAREA MEDIE PATRATICA a estimatorului $\hat{\theta}$

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 = D_{\theta}^2(\hat{\theta}) + (Bias(\hat{\theta}))^2$$

Definitie:

Fie un sir de observatii i.i.r., $(X_n)_n$ si fie $(\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n))_n$.

Spunem ca $\hat{\theta}$ este un estimator consistent daca

$$\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow{P_{\theta}} \theta \quad \text{pentru } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

"Estimatori buni" \iff nedeplasati, ENDM, consistenti.

Metode:

- metoda momentelor
- metoda verosimilitatii maxime (maximum likelihood)
- metoda celor mai mici patrate (least squares)
- metoda lui Bayes

METODA MOMENTELOR

utila cand semnificatia lui θ este direct legata de
momentele lui X

Momentele lui X (presupunem ca exista)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_r &= M(X^r), \quad r \in N^* \\ \mu_1 &= M(X)\end{aligned}$$

Momentele centrate ale lui X (presupunem ca exista)

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mu}_r &= M((X - \mu_1)^r), \quad r \in N^* \\ \overline{\mu}_2 &= D^2(X)\end{aligned}$$

Pentru observatiile i.i.d. X_1, \dots, X_n , definim momentele
de selectie

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mu}_r &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^r, \quad r \in N^* \\ \widehat{\mu}_1 &= \overline{X}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\overline{\mu}}_r &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^r, \quad r \in N^* \\ \widehat{D^2(X)} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2\end{aligned}$$

Proprietatea 1

$$M(\widehat{\mu_r}) = \mu_r \quad (\text{estimator nedeplasat})$$

$$M(\widehat{D^2(X)}) = \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot D^2(X) \quad (\text{estimator deplasat})$$

Demonstratie:

$$M(\widehat{\mu_r}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n M(X_i^r) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n\mu_r = \mu_r$$

$$M(\overline{X}) = M(X)$$

$$D^2(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n D^2(X_i) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot nD^2(X) = \frac{1}{n} D^2(X)$$

$$\widehat{D^2(X)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n ((X_i - M(X)) - (\overline{X} - M(X)))^2 =$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - M(X))^2 - n(\overline{X} - M(X))^2 \right\}$$

$$M(\widehat{D^2(X)}) = \frac{1}{n} \{nD^2(X) - nD^2(\overline{X})\} = \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot D^2(X)$$

■

Un estimator nedeplasat pentru $D^2(X)$ este

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \widehat{D^2(X)}$$

Cat poate sa fie dispersia unor estimatori nedeplasati?

TEOREMA RAO - CRAMER (pentru $k = 1$)

Fie modelul $P_\theta \circ X^{-1}$, avand densitatea de repartitie

$$f(x, \theta), \quad x \in R,$$

cu $\theta \in \Theta \subseteq R$.

Fie observatiile i.i.r. X_1, \dots, X_n si notam densitatea de repartitie a vectorului (X_1, \dots, X_n) cu

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta)$$

Fie $\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ un estimator nedeplasat pentru θ .

Presupunem verificate urmatoarele conditii de regularitate:

- Θ este multime deschisa;
- $f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$ derivabila in raport cu θ pe Θ oricare ar fi (x_1, \dots, x_n) , cu derivata integrabila pe R^n ;
- Pentru orice θ , au loc egalitatile

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{R^n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n = \int_{R^n} \frac{\partial f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta} dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{R^n} \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \int_{R^n} \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \frac{\partial f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta} dx_1 \dots dx_n \end{aligned}$$

- Exista "informatia Fisher"

$$M_\theta \left(\frac{\partial \ln f(X_1, \dots, X_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \stackrel{notat}{=} i_n(\theta) > 0$$

Atunci are loc inegalitatea

$$D_\theta^2(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{i_n(\theta)}, \quad \theta \in \Theta$$

Egalitatea are loc daca si numai daca exista o constanta A , independenta de (x_1, \dots, x_n) , asa incat

$$A \cdot (\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \theta) = \frac{\partial f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta}, \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Demonstratie:

Notam

$$Y = \frac{\partial \ln f(X_1, \dots, X_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$

Avem

$$\begin{aligned} M_\theta(Y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)} \cdot \frac{\partial f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$M_\theta(Y^2) = i_n(\theta)$$

Utilizam inegalitatea integrala a lui Schwartz,

$$(M(|UV|))^2 \leq M(|U|^2) \cdot M(|V|^2),$$

pentru $U = \hat{\theta} - \theta$ si $V = Y - M_\theta(Y)$.

Obtinem

$$\left(\text{cov}_\theta(\hat{\theta}, Y) \right)^2 \leq D_\theta^2(\hat{\theta}) \cdot i_n(\theta)$$

Dar

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_\theta(\hat{\theta}, Y) &= M_\theta(\hat{\theta} \cdot Y) - M_\theta(\hat{\theta}) \cdot M_\theta(Y) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \frac{1}{f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)} \cdot \frac{\partial f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n \right) = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Rezulta

$$1 \leq D_\theta^2(\hat{\theta}) \cdot i_n(\theta).$$

O c.n.s. pentru a obtine egalitate in inegalitatea Schwartz este sa existe o constanta A, independenta de (x_1, \dots, x_n) , asa incat

$$A \cdot (\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \theta) = \frac{\partial f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta}, \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

■

Remarca:

$$i_n(\theta) = n \cdot i_1(\theta)$$

Demonstratie:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln f(X_1, \dots, X_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \ln f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \\ i_n(\theta) &= M_\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \ln f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n M_\theta \left(\frac{\partial \ln f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} M_\theta \left(\frac{\partial \ln f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \ln f(X_j; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) = \\ &= n \cdot i_1(\theta) + 2 \sum_{i < j} M_\theta \left(\frac{\partial \ln f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) \cdot M_\theta \left(\frac{\partial \ln f(X_j; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) = n \cdot i_1(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Definitie

Un estimator nedeplasat $\hat{\theta}$ pentru care

$$D_{\hat{\theta}}^2(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n \cdot i_1(\theta)}$$

se numeste estimator eficient.

EXEMPLU

Modelul: Repartitia Exponentiala $Expo(\theta), \theta \in (0, \infty)$

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\theta}\right), & x \in [0, \infty) \\ 0, & x \in (-\infty, 0) \end{cases}$$

Semnificatia parametrului

$$M_\theta(X) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\infty x \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\theta}\right) dx = \theta$$

Spatiul de selectie n -dimensional

$$\left([0, \infty)^n, (\mathcal{B}_{[0, \infty)})^n, \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P_\theta \circ X_i^{-1} \right)$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right), & x_i \in [0, \infty), \forall i \\ 0, & \text{in rest} \end{cases}$$

Aplicam Metoda Momentelor

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \bar{X}, \\ M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) &= \theta, \forall \theta \end{aligned}$$

Dispersia estimatorului

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\theta}^2(\hat{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n D_{\theta}^2(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot D_{\theta}^2(X) \\ D_{\theta}^2(X) &= \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^{\infty} x^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\theta}\right) dx - \theta^2 = \theta^2 \\ D_{\theta}^2(\hat{\theta}) &= \frac{\theta^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Informatia Fisher

$$\begin{aligned} i_1(\theta) &= M_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial \ln f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 = M_{\theta} \left(\frac{1}{\theta^2} (X - \theta) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^4} \cdot D_{\theta}^2(X) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \\ i_n(\theta) &= n \cdot i_1(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Marginea Rao Cramer

$$\frac{1}{i_n(\theta)} = \frac{\theta^2}{n} = D_{\theta}^2(\hat{\theta})$$

Deci $\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \bar{X}$ este estimator eficient al lui θ .

TEOREMA RAO - CRAMER (pentru $k > 1$)

Fie modelul $P_{\theta} \circ X^{-1}$, avand densitatea de repartitie

$$f(x, \theta), \quad x \in R,$$

cu $\theta \in \Theta \subseteq R^k, k > 1$.

Fie observatiile i.i.r. X_1, \dots, X_n si notam densitatea de repartitie a vectorului (X_1, \dots, X_n) cu

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta)$$

Fie

$$\widehat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \left(\widehat{\theta}_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), \dots, \widehat{\theta}_k(X_1, \dots, X_n) \right)'$$

un estimator nedeplasat pentru $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)'$.

Presupunem verificate urmatoarele conditii de regularitate:

- Θ este multime deschisa;
- $f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$ derivabila partial in raport cu θ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, oricare ar fi (x_1, \dots, x_n) , cu derivatele partiale integrabile pe R^n ;
- Pentru orice θ , au loc egalitatile

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \int_{R^n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n = \int_{R^n} \frac{\partial f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta_i} dx_1 \dots dx_n, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \int_{R^n} \widehat{\theta}_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \int_{R^n} \widehat{\theta}_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \frac{\partial f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta_i} dx_1 \dots dx_n, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k \end{aligned}$$

- Exista si este pozitiv definita "matricea information-ala Fisher"

$$\left\| M_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial \ln f(X_1, \dots, X_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \ln f(X_1, \dots, X_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta_j} \right) \right\|_{i,j=1, \dots, k} \stackrel{notat}{=} I_n(\theta)$$

Atunci matricea

$$Cov_{\theta}(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{\theta}) - I_n^{-1}(\theta)$$

este semipozitiv definita.

Remarca:

$$I_n(\theta) = n \cdot I_1(\theta)$$

METODA VEROSIMILITATII MAXIME

Fie modelul

$$P_\theta \circ X^{-1} = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in A} p(x; \theta) \cdot \delta_{\{x\}}, & \text{caz discret} \\ \text{sau} \\ f(x; \theta) \cdot l, \quad x \in R, & \text{caz continuu} \end{cases}$$

Fie X_1, \dots, X_n observatii i.i.r. si (S^n, \mathcal{S}^n) spatiul n -dimensional al valorilor de selectie.

Definitii

- Pentru datele statistice $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S^n$, functia de verosimilitate este definita prin

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \begin{cases} p(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; \theta), & \text{caz discret} \\ \text{sau} \\ f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta), & \text{caz continuu} \end{cases}$$

- Fie functia masurabila $\hat{\theta}: S^n \rightarrow \Theta$. Functia $\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ se numeste estimator de verosimilitate maxima (E.V.M.) daca, pentru orice (x_1, \dots, x_n) , valoarea $\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ este solutia problemei de optimizare

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$$

sau a problemei echivalente

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \ln L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$$

Notatie: $\hat{\theta}_{VM}$ (Maximum Likelihood Estimator)

Comentariu:

In cazul discret,

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = P_\theta(X_i = x_i, i = 1, \dots, n)$$

$\hat{\theta}_{VM}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ este acea valoare a parametrului θ care face da datele statistice (x_1, \dots, x_n) sa fie cel mai verosimile.

APLICATIA 1

E.V.M. pentru parametrul θ al repartiției $B(1, \theta)$

Modelul

$$P_\theta \circ X^{-1} = \sum_{x=0}^1 \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x} \cdot \delta_{\{x\}}, \quad \theta \in (0, 1)$$

Datele statistice

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$$

Funcția de verosimilitate

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i} = \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

Construcția EVM

$$\ln L = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \ln \theta + \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \cdot \ln (1-\theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{1}{1-\theta} \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2} \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2} \Big|_{\bar{x}} = -\frac{n}{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})} < 0$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{VM}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}$$

Proprietățile EVM: vom stabili repartiția exactă a estimatorului, vom cerceta nedeplasarea și vom calcula eroarea medie pătratică.

Repartitia lui $\hat{\theta}_{VM}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

Propozitie

Fie variabilele aleatoare independente $Y_i \sim B(r_i, \theta), i = 1, 2$.
Atunci $Y_1 + Y_2 \sim B(r_1 + r_2, \theta)$

Rezulta

$$n \cdot \hat{\theta}_{VM}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim B(n, \theta)$$

Eroarea medie patratica pentru $\hat{\theta}_{VM}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

$$M_{\theta}(n \cdot \hat{\theta}_{VM}) = n\theta$$

$$D_{\theta}^2(n \cdot \hat{\theta}_{VM}) = n\theta(1 - \theta)$$

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{VM}) = \theta \quad (\text{nedeplasare})$$

$$D_{\theta}^2(\hat{\theta}_{VM}) = \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{n}$$

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{VM} - \theta)^2 = \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{n}$$

APLICATIA 2

E.V.M. pentru parametrul θ al repartitiei Uniforme
 $U(0, \theta)$

Modelul

$$P_{\theta} \circ X^{-1} = f(x; \theta) \cdot l$$

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta}, & x \in [0, \theta] \\ 0, & \text{in rest} \end{cases}, \quad \theta \in (0, \infty)$$

$$F_X(y) = P_{\theta}(Y < y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y < 0 \\ \frac{y}{\theta}, & y \in [0, \theta] \\ 1, & y > \theta \end{cases}$$

$$M_{\theta}(X) = \int_0^{\theta} \frac{x}{\theta} dx = \frac{\theta}{2}$$

$$D_{\theta}^2(X) = \int_0^{\theta} \frac{x^2}{\theta} dx - \frac{\theta^2}{4} = \frac{\theta^2}{12}$$

Datele statistice

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \theta]^n$$

Funcția de verosimilitate

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n}, & x_i \in [0, \theta], \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{in rest} \end{cases}$$

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n}, & 0 \leq \max_i x_i \leq \theta \\ 0, & \theta < \max_i x_i \end{cases}$$

Construcția EVM

$$\max_{\theta \in (0, \infty)} L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \frac{1}{\left(\max_i x_i\right)^n}$$

se atinge pentru

$$\hat{\theta}_{VM}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \max_i x_i \stackrel{\text{notat}}{=} x_{(n)}$$

E.V.M. este

$$\hat{\theta}_{VM}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \max_i X_i \stackrel{\text{notat}}{=} X_{(n)}$$

Repartitia lui $\hat{\theta}_{VM}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

$$F_{\hat{\theta}_{VM}}(y) = F_{X_{(n)}}(y) = P_{\theta}(X_{(n)} < y) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{\theta}(X_i < y) = (F_X(y))^n$$

$$F_{\hat{\theta}_{VM}}(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y < 0 \\ \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^n, & y \in [0, \theta] \\ 1, & y > \theta \end{cases}$$

$$f_{\hat{\theta}_{VM}}(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^{n-1}, & y \in [0, \theta] \\ 0, & \text{in rest} \end{cases}$$

Eroarea medie patratică a lui $\hat{\theta}_{VM}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{VM}) = \int_0^{\theta} y \cdot \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^{n-1} dy = \frac{n}{n+1} \cdot \theta$$

$$Bias\left(\hat{\theta}_{VM}\right) = \frac{n}{n+1} \cdot \theta - \theta = -\frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \theta$$

$$M_{\theta}\left(\hat{\theta}_{VM}\right)^2 = \int_0^{\theta} y^2 \cdot \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^{n-1} dy = \frac{n}{n+2} \cdot \theta^2$$

$$D_{\theta}^2\left(\hat{\theta}_{VM}\right) = \frac{n}{n+2} \cdot \theta^2 - \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \cdot \theta^2 = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \cdot \theta^2$$

$$M_{\theta}\left(\hat{\theta}_{VM} - \theta\right)^2 = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \cdot \theta^2 + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \cdot \theta^2 = \frac{2\theta^2}{(n+1)(n+2)}$$

Construim un estimator nedeplasat

$$\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{n+1}{n} \cdot \hat{\theta}_{VM}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$$

$$D_{\theta}^2(\hat{\theta}) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \cdot \theta^2 = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}$$

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}$$

Comparam cei doi estimatori

$$\frac{M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{VM} - \theta)^2}{M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2} = \frac{2n}{n+1} > 1, \quad n > 1$$

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 < M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{VM} - \theta)^2$$

APLICATIA 3

E.V.M. pentru parametrul $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ al repartitiei
Normale $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Modelul

$$P_\theta \circ X^{-1} = f(x; \mu, \sigma^2) \cdot l$$
$$f(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2 \right\}$$

$$M_\theta(X) = \mu$$
$$D_\theta^2(X) = \sigma^2$$

Datele statistice

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$$

Funcția de verosimilitate

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\}$$

Construcția EVM

$$\ln L = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \mu^2} = -\frac{n}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} = \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

Sistemul de verosimilitate maxima

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^2} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = 0 \\ -n\sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}$$

$$\widehat{\sigma^2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \mu^2} \big|_{(\hat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma^2})} = -\frac{n}{\widehat{\sigma^2}} < 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma^2} \big|_{(\hat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma^2})} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} \big|_{(\hat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma^2})} = -\frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\widehat{\sigma^2})^2} < 0$$

Rezulta ca $(\hat{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \widehat{\sigma^2}(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ este punct de maxim pentru $\ln L$, iar EVM este

$$(\hat{\mu}_{VM}, \widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM})(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \left(\bar{X}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right)$$

Pentru a stabili repartitia lui $(\hat{\mu}_{VM}, \widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM})$ avem nevoie de "definitia constructiva" a repartitiei CHI Patrat

Repartitia $Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$

Repartitia $\chi^2(r)$

Definitie

Variabila aleatoare x are o repartitie $Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$, $\alpha, \theta \in (0, \infty)$, daca are densitatea de repartitie

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} y^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{\theta}\right), & y \geq 0 \\ 0, & y < 0 \end{cases}$$

Reamintim

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$$

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = (\alpha-1)\Gamma(\alpha-1)$$

$$\Gamma(r) = (r-1)!, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

$$M(Y) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} y^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{y}{\theta}\right) dy = \frac{\theta^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\theta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} = \theta \alpha$$

$$M(Y^2) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} y^{\alpha+1} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{\theta}\right) dy = \frac{\theta^{\alpha+2} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\theta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} = \theta^2 \alpha (\alpha+1)$$

$$D^2(Y) = \theta^2 \alpha (\alpha+1) - \theta^2 \alpha^2 = \theta^2 \alpha$$

$$\varphi_Y(t) = M(e^{itY}) = \frac{1}{\theta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - it\right)^{-\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) = (1 - it\theta)^{-\alpha}$$

Proprietatea 2

Fie variabilele aleatoare independente $Y_i \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_i, \theta), i = 1, 2$. Atunci $Y_1 + Y_2 \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \theta)$

Demonstratie

$$\varphi_{Y_1+Y_2}(t) = \varphi_{Y_1}(t) \cdot \varphi_{Y_2}(t) = (1 - it\theta)^{-\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$$

Definitie

Repartitia $\text{Gamma}(\frac{r}{2}, 2)$, cu $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ se numeste repartitia CHI Patrat cu r grade de libertate, avand densitatea de repartitie

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{2^{r/2} \Gamma(\frac{r}{2})} y^{\frac{r}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{2}\right), \quad y \geq 0$$

$$M(Y) = r$$

$$D^2(Y) = 2r$$

Proprietatea 3

Fie X_1, \dots, X_r variabile aleatoare independente, identic repartizate Normal $N(0, 1)$. Atunci

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i^2$$

este repartizata $\chi^2(r)$.

Demonstratie:

$$P(X_1^2 < z) = \begin{cases} 0, & z < 0 \\ P(|X_1| < \sqrt{z}), & z \geq 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0, & z < 0 \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\sqrt{z}} e^{-x^2/2} dx, & z \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f_{X_1^2}(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & z < 0 \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-z/2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}}, & z \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f_{X_1^2}(z) = \frac{1}{2^{1/2}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \cdot z^{\frac{1}{2}-1} \cdot e^{-z/2}, \quad z \geq 0$$

Adica X_1^2 este repartizata $\chi^2(1) = \text{Gamma}(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$.

Avem X_1^2, \dots, X_r^2 variabile aleatoare independente, identic repartizate $\text{Gamma}(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$. Rezulta

$$\sum_{i=1}^r X_i^2 \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{r}{2}, 2\right) = \chi^2(r).$$

■

Proprietatea 4

Fie Y_1, \dots, Y_n variabile aleatoare independente, identic repartizate Normal $N(0, 1)$ si fie

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$$
$$H = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

Atunci $\bar{Y} \sim N(0, \frac{1}{n})$, $H \sim \chi^2(n-1)$, iar \bar{Y} si H sunt variabile aleatoare independente.

Demonstratie:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim N(0, n) \implies \bar{Y} \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Notam

$$\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)'$$

Vectorul aleator \mathbf{Y} are (prin definitie) o repartitie normala n -dimensionala, $N(n; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$, cu

$$M(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)'$$

$$Cov(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}) = \|cov(Y_i, Y_j)\|_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = \mathbf{I}$$

Consideram transformarea liniara

$$\mathbf{Z} = A \cdot \mathbf{Y}$$

cu

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 \cdot 2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1 \cdot 2}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 3}} & \frac{-2}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 3}} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-1)n}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-1)n}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-1)n}} & \dots & \frac{-(n-1)}{\sqrt{(n-1)n}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \dots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Avem $A \cdot A' = \mathbf{I}$.

Vectorul aleator $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)'$ are o repartitie normala n -dimensionala, cu

$$M(\mathbf{Z}) = A \cdot M(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$Cov(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) = M(\mathbf{Z} \cdot \mathbf{Z}') = (A \cdot \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y}' \cdot A') = A \cdot Cov(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}) \cdot A' = A \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot A' = \mathbf{I}$$

Componentele lui \mathbf{Z} sunt variabile aleatoare independente, identic repartizate $N(0, 1)$. Observam ca:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2 = \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Y}' \cdot A' \cdot A \cdot \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2$$

Dar

$$Z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = \sqrt{n} \cdot \bar{Y}.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Z_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - Z_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - n(\bar{Y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = H$$

Deci

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z_n,$$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Z_i^2$$

Rezulta ca \bar{Y} si H sunt variabile aleatoare independente si $H \sim \chi^2(n-1)$.
■

Revenim la problema repartitiei E.V.M.

$$(\hat{\mu}_{VM}, \hat{\sigma}_{VM}^2)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \left(\bar{X}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right)$$

Proprietatea 5

Fie X_1, \dots, X_n variabile aleatoare independente, identic repartizate $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ si fie $(\hat{\mu}_{VM}, \hat{\sigma}_{VM}^2)$ E.V.M. construit mai sus. Atunci

$$\hat{\mu}_{VM} = \bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right),$$

$$\frac{n}{\sigma^2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{VM}^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1)$$

si cele doua componente ale E.V.M. sunt independente.

Demonstratie:

Aplicam Proprietatea 4 pentru

$$Y_i = \frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma}$$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma} - \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \frac{n}{\sigma^2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{VM}^2$$

Rezulta ca $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma}$ are repartitia $N(0, \frac{1}{n})$, adica \bar{X} are repartitia $N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$, iar $\frac{n}{\sigma^2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{VM}^2$ are repartitia $\chi^2(n-1)$.

Independenta celor doua componente ale E.V.M. rezulta tot din proprietatea 4.
■

EROARILE MEDII PATRATICE ALE COMPONENTELOR E.V.M. $(\widehat{\mu}_{VM}, \widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM})$

$$\begin{aligned}M_{\theta}(\overline{X}) &= \mu \\Bias(\overline{X}) &= 0 \\D_{\theta}^2(\overline{X}) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\M_{\theta}(\overline{X} - \mu)^2 &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}M_{\theta}(\widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM}) &= \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2 \\Bias(\widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM}) &= \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 = -\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\D_{\theta}^2(\widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM}) &= \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2}\sigma^4 \\M_{\theta}(\widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM} - \sigma^2)^2 &= \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2}\sigma^4 + \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} = \frac{2n-1}{n^2}\sigma^4\end{aligned}$$

Putem construi un estimator nedeplasat pentru σ^2 :

$$\begin{aligned}S^2 &= \frac{n}{n-1}\widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM} = \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2 \\ \frac{n-1}{\sigma^2}S^2 &\sim \chi^2(n-1)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}M_{\theta}(S^2) &= \sigma^2 \\Bias(S^2) &= 0 \\D_{\theta}^2(S^2) &= \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} \\M_{\theta}(S^2 - \sigma^2)^2 &= \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}\end{aligned}$$

Observam ca, desi S^2 este un estimator nedeplasat pentru σ^2 , eroarea sa medie patratica este mai mare decat cea a lui $\widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM}$:

$$\frac{M_{\theta}(\widehat{\sigma^2}_{VM} - \sigma^2)^2}{M_{\theta}(S^2 - \sigma^2)^2} = \frac{(2n-1)(n-1)}{2n^2} < 1$$

METODA CELOR MAI MICI PATRATE

Se adreseaza estimarii parametrilor "MODELELOR
LINIARE"

MODELUL LINIAR n -DIMENSIONAL, CU OBSERVATII INDEPENDENTE

Fie un sir de variabile aleatoare independente, neidentice repartizate, de forma

$$X_i = M_\theta(X_i) + Z_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

unde:

- $\{Z_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ sunt v.a. indep, identic repartizate, cu $M_\theta(Z_i) = 0, D_\theta^2(Z_i) = \sigma^2, \forall i$
- $M_\theta(X_i) = y_i' \theta = \sum_{j=1}^k y_{ij} \theta_j, i = 1, 2, \dots$
- $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)' \in \Theta \subseteq R^k, k \geq 1$

Observam primele n variabile ale sirului, $n > k$, si notam

$$\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)'$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)'$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \|y_{ij}\|_{i=1, \dots, n; j=1, \dots, k}$$

Definitie:

Secventa de n variabile aleatoare independente, neidentice repartizate, de forma

$$X_i = \mathbf{y}_i' \theta + Z_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

se numeste model liniar n -dimensional, cu observatii independente.

Are loc scrierea matriceala

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y} \theta + \mathbf{Z}$$

Exemplu:

- X = cresterea lunara in greutate la copilul de 12 - 18 luni

Cresterea in greutate depinde de regimul alimentar administrat (ratia zilnica de proteine, ratia zilnica de glucide, ratia zilnica de lipide)

- "regim alimentar" $= (y_1, y_2, y_3)'$ va fi specificat (cunoscut) pt fiecare copil luat in studiu

$$X = y_1\theta_1 + y_2\theta_2 + y_3\theta_3 + Z$$

- parametrul necunoscut $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)'$ exprima influenta fiecarui principiu nutritiv asupra cresterii in greutate
- n copii sunt inclusi in studiu in mod independent unul de altul si se dau $y_i = (y_{i1}, y_{i2}, y_{i3})', i = 1, \dots, n$
- se inregistreaza cresterile in greutate din luna in care are loc studiul, (x_1, \dots, x_n)
- se estimeaza θ

Proprietati ale modelului

$$M_\theta(\mathbf{Z}) = (M_\theta(Z_1), \dots, M_\theta(Z_n))' = (0, \dots, 0)' = \mathbf{0}$$

$$Cov_\theta(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) = \|cov_\theta(Z_i, Z_j)\|_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = \sigma^2 \cdot \mathbf{I}$$

$$M_\theta(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{Y}\theta + M_\theta(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Y}\theta$$

$$Cov_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) = Cov_\theta(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) = \sigma^2 \cdot \mathbf{I}$$

Definitii:

Modelul liniar n -dimensional $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Y}\theta + \mathbf{Z}$ se numeste nesingular daca rangul matricii \mathbf{Y} este maximal,

$$rang(\mathbf{Y}) = k$$

Modelul liniar n -dimensional $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Y}\theta + \mathbf{Z}$ se numeste ortogonal daca coloanele lui \mathbf{Y} sunt vectori ortogonali din R^n .

Modelul liniar n -dimensional $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Y}\theta + \mathbf{z}$ se numeste normal daca variabilele aleatoare indep, id. repartizate Z_1, \dots, Z_n au repartitie normala, $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Fie $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$ datele statistice observate.
Suma abaterilor patratic (Sum of Squares)

$$SS(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mathbf{y}'_i \theta)^2 = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}\theta)' (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}\theta) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}\theta\|^2$$

Definitie

Estimatorul $\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ se numeste estimator prin metoda celor mai mici patratic (Least Squares Estimator, (L.S.E.)) daca, pentru orice $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$, valoarea $\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ se obtine ca solutie a problemei de optimizare

$$\inf_{\theta \in \Theta} SS(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$$

Estimatorul se noteaza $\hat{\theta}_{LS}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

Fie $SS(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$. Sistemul

$$\frac{\partial SS}{\partial \theta} = \mathbf{0}$$

se numeste sistemul de ecuatii normale. Explicit, sistemul liniar se scrie:

$$\mathbf{Y}'(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}\theta) = \mathbf{0}$$

sau

$$\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y}\theta = \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{x}$$

Proprietatea 6 (existenta L.S.E.)

Un estimator $\hat{\theta}$ este L.S.E, $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_{LS}$, daca si numai daca, pentru orice $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$, valoarea $\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ este solutia sistemului de ecuatii normale $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y}\theta = \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{x}$.

Demonstratie:

Fie $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$ arbitrar fixat.

$$\inf_{\theta \in \Theta} SS(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \Leftrightarrow \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}\theta\|^2$$

Fie \mathcal{L} spatiul liniar generat de coloanele liniar independente ale lui \mathbf{Y} (subspatiu liniar al lui R^n).

Solutia problemei

$$\inf_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{L}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|^2$$

este

$$\mathbf{z}^* = pr_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{x})$$

Atunci,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\theta}_{LS}(\mathbf{x}) &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{Y}\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = pr_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow \\ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) &\perp \mathcal{L} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{Y}'(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

■

Proprietatea 7 (L.S.E. este cel mai bun estimator liniar nedeplasat al lui θ)

Fie modelul liniar n -dimensional cu observatii independente $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}\theta + \mathbf{Z}$.

Presupunem modelul nesingular ($rang(\mathbf{Y}) = k < n$).

Atunci sistemul de ecuatii normale are solutia unica

$$\hat{\theta}_{LS}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{x},$$

si estimatorul $\hat{\theta}_{LS}(\mathbf{x})$ verifica urmatoarele proprietati:

- este nedeplasat,

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{LS}(\mathbf{X})) = \theta, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta,$$

- pentru orice estimator \mathbf{g} liniar, nedeplasat al lui θ , matricea

$$Cov_{\theta}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}) - Cov_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{LS}, \hat{\theta}_{LS})$$

este semipozitiv definita, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$.

Demonstratie:

Cum $rang(\mathbf{Y}) = k$, rezulta $rang(\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y}) = k$, deci $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y}\theta = \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{x}$ este sistem Cramer, cu solutia unica $\hat{\theta}_{LS}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{x}$.

$$M_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{LS}) = (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}' M_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}' \mathbf{Y} \theta = \theta, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\begin{aligned} Cov_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{LS}, \hat{\theta}_{LS}) &= (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}' Cov_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{Y} (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} = \\ &= (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}' \cdot \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{Y} (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Fie $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}) = R\mathbf{X}$ un estimator liniar, nedeplasat pentru θ . Conditia de nedeplasare revine la

$$M_{\theta}(\mathbf{g}) = \theta, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta,$$

respectiv la

$$R\mathbf{Y}\theta = \theta, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta,$$

adica $R\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{I}$.

$$Cov_{\theta}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}) = R \cdot Cov_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) \cdot R' = \sigma^2 R R'$$

$$Cov_{\theta}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}) - Cov_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{LS}, \hat{\theta}_{LS}) = \sigma^2 R R' - \sigma^2 (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1}$$

Folosind relatia $R\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{I}$ obtinem

$$Cov_{\theta}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}) - Cov_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{LS}, \hat{\theta}_{LS}) = \sigma^2 \left(R - (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}' \right) \left(R - (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}' \right)'$$

Notam $\Gamma = R - (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}'$ si obtinem

$$\mathbf{z}' \left(Cov_{\theta}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}) - Cov_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{LS}, \hat{\theta}_{LS}) \right) \mathbf{z} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{z}' \Gamma \Gamma' \mathbf{z} = \sigma^2 (\Gamma' \mathbf{z})' (\Gamma' \mathbf{z}) \geq 0$$

■

- valorile observate: $x_i, i = 1, \dots, n$
- predictorii (fitted values): $\hat{x}_i = \mathbf{y}_i' \hat{\theta}_{LS}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$
- reziduuri (residuals) $x_i - \hat{x}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$

Definim variabila aleatoare "Suma reziduurilor patratice"

$$SS_{rezid} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i - \mathbf{y}_i' \hat{\theta}_{LS} \right)^2 = \left\| \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y} \hat{\theta}_{LS} \right\|^2$$

Proprietatea 8

Fie modelul liniar n -dimensional cu observatii independente $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Y}\theta + \mathbf{Z}$.

Presupunem modelul nesarituar si normal. Atunci

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot SS_{rezid} \sim \chi^2(n-k)$$

Demonstratie:

Fie \mathcal{L} spatiul liniar generat de coloanele liniar independente ale lui \mathbf{Y} .

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{L} &= rang \mathbf{Y} = k \\ \dim \mathcal{L}^\perp &= n - k \end{aligned}$$

Fie $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ o baza ortonormata pentru \mathcal{L}^\perp .

Pentru $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$, avem $\mathbf{Y}\hat{\theta}_{LS}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{L}$, $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}\hat{\theta}_{LS}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{L}^\perp$. Putem scrie

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}\hat{\theta}_{LS}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i=k+1}^n \mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{x} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot SS_{rezid} &= \sum_{i=k+1}^n \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{x}}{\sigma} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Dar $\{\frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{X}, i = k+1, \dots, n\}$ sunt var. al. independente, identic repartizate $N(0,1)$ caci:

- sunt combinatii liniare de componentele normal repartizate ale lui $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)'$ si

$$M_\theta \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{X} \right) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{Y} \theta = 0, \quad i = k+1, \dots, n$$

$$\begin{aligned} cov_\theta \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{X}, \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{u}_j' \mathbf{X} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{u}_i' Cov_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{u}_j = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{u}_i' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{u}_j = \delta_{ij}, \\ i, j &= k+1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

- fiind var al necorelate, identic repartizate normal, $N(0,1)$, sunt si independente.

Rezulta

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{x}}{\sigma} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2(n-k)$$

■