A Bounded Verification Tool for Java

Stefan Koppier

January 31, 2019

Introduction

Contents

1	Pha	ses of	the Tool													7
	1.1	Lexing	and Parsing									 				7
	1.2	Analys	is									 				7
		1.2.1	Syntax Tran	sformation								 				7
		1.2.2	Control Flor	w Analysis								 				7
		1.2.3	Reachability	Analysis								 				8
2	CPr	rover														ę
	2.1	Proper	ties									 				ć
3	Tra	nslatio	n of Java to	o C												11
			ive data type									 				11

Chapter 1

Phases of the Tool

- 1.1 Lexing and Parsing
- 1.2 Analysis
- 1.2.1 Syntax Transformation
- 1.2.2 Control Flow Analysis

We perform the Control Flow Analysis (CFA) in a way similar to that described by Nielson et al. [1].

We define the CFA as:

$$init([x=e]^l) = l$$

$$init([S_1]; [S_2]) = init(S_1)$$

$$init([\mathbf{assert}\ e\ g]^l) = l$$

$$init([\mathbf{assume}\ e\ g]^l) = l$$

$$init([\mathbf{break}\ x]^l) = l$$

$$init([\mathbf{continue}\ x]^l) = l$$

$$init(\mathbf{if}\ ([e]^l)\ \{S\}) = l$$

$$init(\mathbf{if}\ ([e]^l)\ \{S\}) = l$$

$$init(\mathbf{while}\ ([e]^l)\ \{S\}) = l$$

$$init(\mathbf{for}\ (;\ [e]^l;\ \dots)\ \{S\}) = l$$

$$init(\mathbf{for}\ ([i]^{l'};\ [e]^l;\ \dots)\ \{S\}) = l'$$

```
final([x=e]^l) = \{l\}
                                          final([S_1]; [S_2]) = final(S_2)
                                      final([\mathbf{assert}\ e\ g]^l) = \{l\}
                                    final([assume \ e \ g]^l) = \{l\}
                                        final([\mathbf{break}\ x]^l) = \{l\}
                                    final([\mathbf{continue}\ x]^l) = \{l\}
                                       final(\mathbf{if}([e]^l)\{S\}) = final(S)
                       final(\mathbf{if}([e]^l) \{S_1\} \mathbf{else} \{S_2\}) = final(S_1) \cup final(S_2)
                                final(\mathbf{while}([e]^l)\{S\}) = \{l\} \cup breaks_0(S)
                       final(\mathbf{for}\ (\dots;\ [e]^l;\ \dots)\ \{S\}) = \{l\} \cup breaks_0(S)
                           final(x : \mathbf{while}([e]^l) \{S\}) = \{l\} \cup breaks_x(S)
                  final(x : \mathbf{for} (\dots; [e]^l; \dots) \{S\}) = \{l\} \cup breaks_x(S)
                      flow([x=e]^l) = \emptyset
           flow([S]; [\mathbf{break} \ x]^l) = flow(S)
       flow([S]; [continue x]^l) = flow(S)
                   flow([S_1]; [S_2]) = flow(S_1) \cup flow(S_2) \cup \{(l, init(S_2) \mid \in final(S_1))\}
               flow([\mathbf{assert}\ e\ g]^l) = \emptyset
             flow([\mathbf{assume}\ e\ g]^l) = \emptyset
                  flow([\mathbf{break}\ x]^l) = \emptyset
             flow([\mathbf{continue}\ x]^l) = \emptyset
                flow(\mathbf{if}([e]^l) \{S\}) = flow(S) \cup (l, init(S))
flow(\mathbf{if}([e]^l) \{S_1\} \mathbf{else} \{S_2\}) = flow(S_1) \cup flow(S_2) \cup (l, init(S_1)) \cup (l, init(S_2))
          flow(\mathbf{while}([e]^l) \{S\}) = todo
    flow(\mathbf{for}\ (;\ [e]^l;\ \dots)\ \{S\}) = todo
flow(\mathbf{for}([i]^{l'}; [e]^{l}; \dots) \{S\}) = todo
```

1.2.3 Reachability Analysis

Chapter 2

CProver

2.1 Properties

array bounds test pointer test division by zero test arithmetic over- and underflow test shift greater than bit-width test floating-point for +/-Inf test floating-point for NaN test user assertions test

Chapter 3

Translation of Java to C

3.1 Primitive data types

Table 3.1: Equivalence of primitive Java data types.

Type	Description	C equivalent
boolean	true or false	_Bool
char	16-bit Unicode value	
byte	8-bit signed integral value	_int8
short	16-bit signed integral value	int16
int	32-bit signed integral value	$_$ int 32
long	64-bit integral value	int64
float	IEEE 754 64-bit floating point value	float
double	IEEE 754 32-bit floating point value	double

Bibliography

[1] Flemming Nielson, Hanne R Nielson, and Chris Hankin. *Principles of program analysis*. Springer, 2015.