## **Analysis and critique of existing SRS**

## 1) Two positive and two negative aspects of the specification

- Positives:
- \* Requests with specified priorities are forwarded in a separate section.
- \* Abbreviations and defined terminology are placed at the beginning, which enables it the user to familiarize himself with the content of each subsequent paragraph in advance.
- Negative:
- \* The Logical Database Requirements section is missing and therefore the service is shortened for availability of information files.
- \* The verification section is missing ( Verification: This section provides the verification approaches and methods planned to qualify the software. ) that is, this section provides verification approaches and verification methods for software qualification.

## 2) Six problems and suggestions for changes

- \*The software shall display a list of all therapies stored in the system Therapies panel.
- The request lacks additional information. It is also ambiguous
- "display" occurs which specifies that a screen is being used which should not be the case.
- Suggested change: The software shall report in the Therapies panel a list of all therapies owned bu all the patients that belong to the current user physical therapist, which are stored in the system.
- \*The software shall display the software version number
- Does not pass the "What vs. How"
- Suggested change: The software shall report the software version number.
- \*The software shall insert the contents of the clipboard when "Paste" is clicked, and if content was placed into clipboard by a cut operation, The software shall remove the cut content from the original location.
- Do not specify multiple requests and use long and unclear sentences.
- Suggested change:

- 1. The software shall insert the contents of the clipboard when "Paste" is clicked.
- 2. The software shall remove the cut content from the original location when cut operation is used.
- \*The software will rely on the Microsoft .Net Framework and needs version 2.0 or greater to function properly.
- Instead of explaining how the software will achieve a given goal, we should state what the software is qualified to do in relation to that purpose.
- Suggested change: The software will need specific versions to function properly.
- \* The user shall provide a name for the task.
- -The software should prompt the user to enter a name for the given task.
- Suggested change: The software shall ask the user to provide a name for the task.
- \* The user shall provide an optional time limit for the task.
- \* As in the previous one, the software should ask the user to enter time for the given task.
- \* Suggested change: The software shall ask the user to provide an optional time limit for the task.

## 3) Procedure used during document inspection

- "What vs. How". The request should specify what it needs the software to do to achieve a certain goal, not how to do it do that.
- "Do not make multiple requirements". Requirements should be listed in short and clear sentences, without using the words: and, or, with, also