# Reflections on Bayesian Spam Filtering

- Tutorial nr.10 of CS2013 is based on Rosen, 6<sup>th</sup>
   Ed., Chapter 6 & exercises
- The following notes discuss why the Bayesian approach was useful in this case, and what might have been done differently

# Bayesian Spam filtering

- Task: predict whether a given email is spam
- But what does that mean?
  - » an unseen email?
  - » an email in a specific corpus?
- The standard distinction in statistics between
  - » a sample space (the entire "population" of interest)
  - » a particular sample

# Bayesian Spam filtering

- Task: predict whether a given email is spam
- First: focus on one word w (e.g., w = "friend")
- Define P(E) = prob that a random email contains w at least once
- Define P(S) = prob that a random email is spam
- Task: estimate P(S|E)
  - » I read the word "friend" in an email. Is this spam?

- One task: You have a large corpus C of emails.
   Your task is to estimate P(S|E) over C
  - » Variant task: estimate P(S|E) for an unseen email
- Assume all emails in your corpus have been marked as Good (G, no spam) or Bad (B, spam)
  - » Variant assumption: you have only had resources to mark a part of the corpus
- Direct approach: compute P(S|E) using frequency:  $|C \cap \text{contain } w| / |C|$ 
  - » have to compute a different set for each w
  - » C may not be representative (i.e., may not tell you much about an unseen email message)

- Promising approach: use Bayes' Theorem P(S|E) = P(E|S) \* P(S) / P(E)
- Data:

```
|C| = 1,000,000

|G| = 20,000, |B| = 10,000 (only a small part of C!)

|G \cap \text{contain } w| = 50

|B \cap \text{contain } w| = 2,500
```

 Let's first use the approach suggested in the Practical, then explore alternatives

```
P(S|E) = P(E|S) * P(S) / P(E)
  |C| = 1,000,000,000
   |B| = 20,000, |G| = 10,000 (only a small part!)
   |G \cap contain w| = 50
   |B \cap contain w| = 2,500
P(E|S) = 2,500 / 20,000 = 0.125
Assume P(S) = 0.1 (why not compute P(S)=
  20,000/30,000 = 0.66?
How to estimate P(E)?
```

```
P(S|E) = P(E|S) * P(S) / P(E)
  |C| = 1,000,000,000
   |B| = 20,000, |G| = 10,000 (only a small part!)
   |G \cap contain w| = 50
   |B \cap contain w| = 2,500
P(E|S) = 2,500 / 20,000 = 0.125
Assume P(S) = 0.1
How to estimate P(E)?
(Assume we do not know |C \cap contain w|)
```

How to estimate 
$$P(E)$$
?

 $P(E) = Marginalisation = P(E,S) + P(E,not-S) = ProductRule = P(S)*P(E|S) + P(not-S)*P(E|not-S) = (0.1*0.125) + (0.9*50/10,000) =$ 

0.0125+(0.9\*0.005) = 0.0125+0.0045=0.0170

P(E|S) = 0.125

P(S) = 0.1

We can now estimate P(S|E) using Bayes' Theorem: P(S|E) = P(E|S) \* P(S) / P(E)

$$P(E|S) = 0.125$$

$$P(S) = 0.1$$

$$P(E) = 0.0170$$

Prediction: P(S|E) =

0.125\*0.1 / 0.0170 = approx =

0.735 (Given the threshold used in the Tutorial, the message is not classified as Spam)

$$P(S|E) = P(E|S) * P(S) / P(E)$$

Some alternatives:

- 1. (see Practical) You know nothing about P(S).
- $\rightarrow$  S is Boolean, hence estimate P(S)=0.5

This is higher than our 0.1, hence you'd be overestimating the probability P(S|E)

P(S|E) = P(E|S) \* P(S) / P(E)

Some alternatives:

- 2. You're able to obtain P(E) from  $C \cap$  contain w
- → Great! If C is large and representative enough, this may give you a better assessment of P(E) (based on much more data than the estimate above, which estimated P(E|S) and P(E|not-S) on the basis of only 20,000 and 10,000 messages)

$$P(S|E) = P(E|S) * P(S) / P(E)$$

Some alternatives:

- 3. You have the resources to look at more words
- → Great! This can give you a much more reliable estimate

## using k words instead of 1!

Def:  $P(E_i)$  is Prob that word i occurs in an email (etc.) Assume all  $P(E_i)$  are independent of each other (etc.)

$$P(S|w_1,w_2,...,w_k) = \prod_{i=1..k} P(E_i|S) * P(S) / \prod_{i=1..k} P(E_i) = (\prod_{i=1..k} P(E_i|S * P(S)) + \prod_{i=1..k} P(E_i|not-S)*P(not-S))$$

(As in the Tutorial, this may be simplified if further assumptions are made)

$$P(S|E) = P(E|S) * P(S) / P(E)$$

#### Other alternatives:

- synonyms: if "friend" indicates spam, then maybe "soul mate" too?
- sequences of n words
   (Rosen: compare "enhance performance" vs
   "operatic performance")
- take into account how often a word occurs
- etc.

# Spam filtering

- Another example of Bayesian reasoning
  - » used for constructing a classifier (much like mushroom classification in the Lectures)
- Spammers second-guess spam filters, by adding "good" text to their messages (harvested from real non-spam messages, newspapers, etc.)
- Spam filters second-guess spammers doing this ...
- A weapons race!