

Modern Programing Languages

Introduction to Haskell (4)

Wamberto Vasconcelos
w.w.vasconcelos@abdn.ac.uk

2016–2075

Plan of Lecture

- ① Algebraic Types in Haskell
- ② Modules in Haskell
- ③ Abstract Data Types in Haskell
- ④ Summary of the Properties of Haskell
- ⑤ Assorted Info

Algebraic Types in Haskell (1)

- The Haskell type system supports all the complex types provided by other, more traditional, languages:

Conventional Type	Haskell Type
named types	type synonyms
arrays	lists
strings	list of char
records	tuples or algebraic types
enumerated types	algebraic types
variant records	algebraic types
pointer-based structures	algebraic types

Algebraic Types in Haskell (2)

- An **algebraic type definition** is:

```
data TypeName = Constr1 | Constr2 | ... | Constrn
```

- Type names and constructors must **begin** with **capital letters**.
- The **simplest form** of algebraic type consists of a set of **alternative constructors**. This defines an **enumerated type**, e.g.,

```
data Temp      = Cold | Hot
data Season    = Spring | Summer | Autumn | Winter
```

- To define functions over such types we use **pattern matching**. For example:

```
weather :: Season -> Temp
weather Summer = Hot
weather _      = Cold   -- don't care pattern
```

Algebraic Types in Haskell (3)

- Each base type `Int`, `Float`, `Bool` and `Char` has its own equality, ordering, enumeration and show/read **functionalities** (**classes**).
- When we introduce a **new type** we might expect these classes.
- These classes can be **supplied by the system** if we ask for them.
- To inform Haskell, we introduce **deriving**:

```
data Season = Spring | Summer | Autumn | Winter  
            deriving (Eq,Ord,Enum,Show,Read)
```
- The order is that in which constructors were listed **in the definition**.
For example, `Spring < Summer < Autumn < Winter`
- We can then use expression of the form `[Spring..Autumn]`

Algebraic Types in Haskell (4)

- Constructors also provide an **explicit label** for elements of the type.
- We can also use other **existing types**, such as `Int`, `Bool`, etc:

```
data People = Person Name Age
type Name = [Char]
type Age = Int
```

- Some example of elements of type `People` are:

```
Person "Mary Poppins" 34
Person "Sommerset Maugham" 54
```

Algebraic Types in Haskell (5)

- Functions over algebraic types are defined using **pattern matching**. For instance,

```
showPerson :: People -> String
showPerson (Person st n) =
    st ++ " age: " ++ show n
```

- Given the definition above, then we have:

```
showPerson (Person "Dillbert" 34)
  ~> "Dillbert age: 34"
```

- **N.B.:** When defining functions over algebraic types, it is important to ensure that cases for **all** constructors have been defined.

Algebraic Types in Haskell (6)

- The **general form** of the **algebraic type definitions** is:

```
data TypeName =  
    Constructor1 Type[1,0] ... Type[1,k1] |  
    Constructor2 Type[2,0] ... Type[2,k2] |  
    Constructorn Type[n,0] ... Type[1,kn]
```

- Algebraic types **are more than** “fancy types”!!
- Algebraic types can be used **recursively** to define more complex data structures such as **trees**.

Algebraic Types in Haskell (7)

- For instance, an algebraic type for **mathematical expressions**:

```
data Expr =  
    Value Int | Add Expr Expr | Sub Expr Expr
```

- The **expression** $(2 + 3) - 4$ is **represented** in type `Expr` as:

```
Sub (Add (Value 2) (Value 3)) (Value 4)
```

- Again, we use **pattern matching** to **manipulate values** of this type.
- A simple **evaluator** for the expressions above is:

```
eval :: Expr -> Int  
eval (Value v)    = v  
eval (Add e1 e2) = (eval e1) + (eval e2)  
eval (Sub e1 e2) = (eval e1) - (eval e2)
```

Algebraic Types in Haskell (8)

- Algebraic types become even **more powerful** when **combined** with **polymorphic type variables**. Example – different **binary trees**:

```
data Tree a = Nil | Node a (Tree a) (Tree a)
    deriving (Eq,Ord,Show)
type IntTree = Tree Int
type MyTree = Tree ([Char], Int)
```

- We can then use **pattern matching** to define **general functions** over these structures:

```
countNodes :: Tree a -> Int
countNodes Nil = 0
countNodes (Node _ left right)
    = (countNodes left) + (countNodes right) + 1
```

Modules in Haskell (1)

- A **module** consists on a **number of definitions** (types, functions, etc.).
- A module has a precisely defined **interface**, describing what it **exports** (that is, offers to users).
- Other modules **import** definitions that are on offer.
- Advantages:
 - A large system can be broken up and developed **independently**;
 - The system can be **compiled separately** (more efficient);
 - Encourages **reuse**;

Modules in Haskell (2)

- Each module is named via a **header**:

```
module Ant where
data Ants  = ...
anteater x = ...
```

- To **import** a module:

```
module Bee where
import Ant
beeKeeper = ...
```

- **All visible definitions** from module **Ant** can be used in **Bee**.
- We can **control** what is to be exported, though:

```
module Bee (beeKeeper, anteater) where ...
```

Abstract Data Types in Haskell (1)

- **Abstract Data Types** (ADT's) are well known in software engineering as a mechanism for **hiding** the implementation of **complex data types**.
- For example, suppose we wish to implement a **stack** data type. We would need to support the following operations:
 - **create** a new (empty) stack;
 - **add** a new **item** to the top of a stack (**push**);
 - **remove** the **top element** from the stack (**pop**);

Abstract Data Types in Haskell (2)

- Users of ADTs do not need to know how operations are implemented.
- All that they need to know is the **name of the operations** and the **argument values** that they require, that is, the **interface** or **signature** of the data type.
- In Haskell ADT's are defined as **modules**:

```
module Stack
( Stack,
  emptyStack,    -- Stack
  push,          -- Int -> Stack -> Stack
  pop,           -- Stack -> Stack
) where ...
```

- Only the items **in brackets** are **visible**.

Abstract Data Types in Haskell (3)

- This is all that any user of the ADT `Stack` need be told.
- However, the `implementor` of the ADT must next state how the stack will be represented and manipulated:

```
module Stack (Stack,emptyStack,push,pop) where
data Stack = MyStack [Int]
emptyStack :: Stack
emptyStack = MyStack []
push :: Int -> Stack -> Stack
push x (MyStack xs) = (MyStack xs++[x])
pop :: Stack -> Stack
pop (MyStack []) = (MyStack [])
pop (MyStack (x:xs)) = (MyStack xs)
```

Abstract Data Types in Haskell (4)

- As implementors of the ADT, we are **free to change** the **definitions** of these functions, or even the underlying **representation** of the data type, **without affecting** the other programs which make use of it...
- **providing we keep the same signature and meaning for each function!!**

Summary of the Properties of Haskell

- Haskell is a **declarative**, **high-level** language.
- Haskell is **lazily** evaluated – we can compute with **infinite data structures** in **finite time and space**.
- Haskell provides a **rich**, but **high-level**, set of **data types**. This separates computation over complex structures from the dangers of direct pointer manipulation and memory management.
- Haskell supports **polymorphic functions**. This results in highly generic and reusable programs.
- Haskell supports **higher-order programming**. This means that we can capture commonly used idioms and abstractions for easy reuse, and more concise programs.