

1. SS model $\dot{x}(t) = Ax + bu; y = Cx$; Laplace transform $\rightarrow sX(s) - x(0) = AX(s) + bU(s) \rightarrow X(s) = (sI - A)^{-1}x(0) + (sI - A)^{-1}bU(s)$ Inverse Laplace transform $\rightarrow x(t) = e^{At}x(0) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau$; transfer function to time domain (look up table)

2. Discrete Sys $-x(k+1) = \Phi x(k) + \Gamma u(k); y = Cx$; where $\Phi = e^{Ah}; \Gamma = (\int_0^h e^{Av}dv)B$; Assume $h = 1$, to find e^A , we need to let $f(t) = e^{At}$, then $F(s) = L[f(t)] = (sI - A)^{-1}$, from the table do inverse L.T get $f(t)$, let $t = h = 1$, to get $\phi = e^A = f(1)$. $\Gamma = A^{-1}[e^A - I]B$
 shift operator: $qf(k) = f(k+1); q^{-1}f(k) = f(k-1); z^2Y(z) \rightarrow y(k+2)$ For z domain, steady state gain is $H(1)$. With $H(z) = c(zI - \Phi)^{-1}\Gamma = \frac{Q(z)}{P(z)}$, the characteristic poly is $P(z) = \det(zI - \Phi)$, which gives the poles z_1, z_2 , if $|z_1|$ and $|z_2|$ both < 1 , then stable, single $z = 1$ marginal stable, multiple $\rightarrow unstable$

3. Analysis of Discrete Time System Discrete.SS to IO model: $x(k+1) = \Phi x(k) + \Gamma u(k); y(k) = cx(k)$. Z.T: $zX(z) - zx(0) = \Phi X(z) + \Gamma U(z); (zI - \Phi)X(z) = zx(0) + \Gamma U(z); \rightarrow X(z) = (zI - \Phi)^{-1}zx(0) + (zI - \Phi)^{-1}\Gamma U(z)$ and $Y(z) = cX(z) = c(zI - \Phi)^{-1}zx(0) + c(zI - \Phi)^{-1}\Gamma U(z)$; T.F: $H(z) = c(zI - \Phi)^{-1}\Gamma$

① Stability: for $\frac{1}{z^2+a_1z+a_2}$ Using Jury's Stability Test yields $1 - a_2^2 > 0; \frac{(1-a_2)[(1+a_2)^2-a_1^2]}{1+a_2} > 0 \rightarrow -1 < a_2 < 1; a_2 > -1 + a_1; a_2 > -1 - a_1$; ② Controllability: $W_c = [\Gamma \quad \Phi\Gamma \quad \Phi^2\Gamma \quad \dots \quad \Phi^{n-1}\Gamma]$ is NONSINGULAR (full rank). ③ Observability: $W_o = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ C\Phi \\ C\Phi^2 \end{bmatrix}$

4. Pole Placement for State Space Model ① For a **deadbeat controller**, the desired poles are all zero. $A_m(z) = z^n$. $W_c = [\Gamma \quad \Phi\Gamma], L = [0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 1]W_c^{-1}A_m(\Phi) = [0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 1]W_c^{-1}\Phi^2$. The deadbeat controller is $u(k) = -Lx(k)$.

② For a **deadbeat observer**, the desired poles are all zero. $A_o(z) = z^n$. The observer can be expressed as $\hat{x}(k+1) = \Phi\hat{x}(k) + \Gamma u(k) + K(y(k) - \hat{y}(k)); \hat{y} = C\hat{x}(k); e(k+1) = \Phi e(k) - KCe(k) = (\Phi - KC)e(k)$; $W_o = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ C\Phi \end{bmatrix}$; $K = A_o(\Phi)W_o^{-1}[0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 1]^T = \Phi^2W_o^{-1}[0 \quad 1]$; The deadbeat observer is $u(k) = -L\hat{x}(k)$;

③ Disturbance Rejection: Augmented state vector: $z(k) = \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ w(k) \end{bmatrix}$ $z(k+1) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi & \Phi_{fw} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} z(k) + \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u(k)$, Choose $u(k) = -Lx(k) - L_w w(k)$. yields S.S model: $x(k+1) = (\Phi - \Gamma L)x(k) + (\Phi_{fw} - \Gamma L_w)w(k); (zI - (\Phi - \Gamma L))X(z) = (\Phi_{fw} - \Gamma L_w)W(z); H_{xw}(z) = (zI - (\Phi - \Gamma L))^{-1}(\Phi_{fw} - \Gamma L_w)$; to reject constant disturbance, make $H_{xw}(1) = 0$ to get L_w .

④ **Deadbeat observer to estimate both states and disturbance + feedback controller to eliminate disturbance.** Define the augmented states: $z(k) = \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ w(k) \end{bmatrix}$, then the states space model turns to $z(k+1) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi & \Phi_{fw} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} z(k) + \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u(k)$; $y(k) = [C \quad 0]z(k)$. Where $\Phi_z = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi & \Phi_{fw} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$. $\Gamma_z = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $C_z = [C \quad 0]$. The augmented observer is described as $\hat{z}(k+1) = \Phi_z \hat{z}(k) + \Gamma_z u(k) + K(y - \hat{y}), \hat{y} = C_z \hat{z}(k)$. $W_o = \begin{bmatrix} C_z \\ C_z \Phi_z \end{bmatrix}$ The characteristic polynomial is $f(z) = z^3$, The feedback gain K is $K = \Phi_z^3 W_o^{-1} [0 \quad 0 \quad 1]^T$; Then, to eliminate disturbance, $u(k) = -Lx(k) - L_w w(k)$, $H_w(z) = C(zI - (\Phi - \Gamma L))^{-1}(\Phi_{fw} - \Gamma L_w)$; to reject constant disturbance, make $H_w(1) = 0$ to get L_w . The state feedback controller is $u(k) = -L(k) - L_w \hat{w}(k)$.

5. Pole Placement for IO Model No need observer in transfer function way. Goal is $G(z) = \frac{T(z)B(z)}{A(z)R(z) + S(z)B(z)} = \frac{B_m(z)A_o(z)B(z)}{A_m(z)A_o(z)B(z)} = \frac{B_m(z)}{A_m(z)}$. $A_{cl}(z) = A_m(z)A_o(z)$ Reference model: $\frac{B_m(z)}{A_m(z)}$. System: $\frac{B(z)}{A(z)}$. If the order of the plant is n , $Deg A_{cl} = 2n - 1; Deg S = n - 1; Deg R = n - 1$; ① Design $R(z)$. If $B_m(z)$ not contain B_z , then need to cancel zero. If need to cancel out zeros: $R(z)$ must contain the zero polynomial $B(z)$. Disturbance rejection: R must contain $(z-1)$ for constant disturbance. **Conditions** $Deg(R) \geq Deg(S)$ ② Design R and S by solving $AR + BS = A_{cl}$ ③ Choose T or H_{ff} at the final stage. $H_{fb} = \frac{S(z)}{R(z)}$; $H_{ff} = \frac{B_m(z)}{B(z)R(z)}$. The two-degree of freedom controller $U(z) = -H_{fb}(z)Y(z) + H_{ff}(z)U_c(z)$; choose $T(z): G(z) = \frac{RB}{AR + SB} \frac{T}{R} = \frac{T(z)B(z)}{A(z)R(z) + S(z)B(z)}$. To make the steady-state is one, requires $G(1) = 1$. **Tracking** ① Perfect Tracking. $B(z)$ is stable. ② One-step-ahead controller: derive $u(k)$ (maybe need to shift), let $y(k+1) = r(k+1)$, $u(k)$ is the controller.

6. Kalman Filter
 $K_f(k) = P(k|k-1)C^T(CP(k|k-1)C^T + R_2)^{-1}$
 $K(k) = Ak_f(k) = (AP(k|k-1)C^T)(CP(k|k-1)C^T + R_2)^{-1}$
 $\hat{x}(k|k) = A\hat{x}(k|k-1) + Bu(k) = \hat{x}(k|k-1) + K_f(k)(y(k) - C\hat{x}(k|k-1))$
 $\hat{x}(k+1|k) = A\hat{x}(k|k-1) + Bu(k) + K(k)(y(k) - C\hat{x}(k|k-1))$
 $P(k|k) = P(k|k-1) - P(k|k-1)C^T(CP(k|k-1)C^T + R_2)^{-1}CP(k|k-1)$
 $P(k+1|k) = AP(k|k)A^T + R_1 = AP(k|k-1)A^T - K(k)(CP(k|k-1)C^T + R_2)K^T(k) + R_1$
 additional: $P(k+1|k) = E\{[x(k+1) - \hat{x}(k+1|k)][x(k+1) - \hat{x}(k+1|k)]^T\}$; $P(k|k) = E\{[x(k) - \hat{x}(k|k)][x(k) - \hat{x}(k|k)]^T\}$

7. Model Predictive Control
 $x_p(k+1) = A_p x_p(k) + B_p u(k); y(k) = C_p x_p(k)$.

Augmented state - spacemodel: $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_p(k+1) \\ y(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_p & o_p^T \\ C_p A_p & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_p(k) \\ y(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_p \\ C_p B_p \end{bmatrix} \Delta u(k); y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ o_p & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_p(k) \\ y(k) \end{bmatrix}$
 $R_s = \bar{R}_s r(k) = [1 \quad 1 \quad 1]^T \times 1 = [1 \quad 1 \quad 1]^T$
 $F = \begin{bmatrix} CA \\ CA^2 \\ CA^3 \\ \vdots \\ CA^{N_p} \end{bmatrix} \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} CA^0 B & CA^1 B & \dots & CA^{N_p-2} B & CA^{N_p-1} B \\ CA^1 B & CA^2 B & \dots & CA^{N_p-1} B & CA^{N_p} B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ CA^{N_p-2} B & CA^{N_p-1} B & \dots & CA^{N_p} B & CA^{N_p+1} B \\ CA^{N_p-1} B & CA^{N_p} B & \dots & CA^{N_p+1} B & CA^{N_p+2} B \end{bmatrix} K_r = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} (\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R})^{-1} \Phi^T \bar{R}_s$
 $K_{mpc} = K_r = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} (\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R})^{-1} \Phi^T F; \bar{R}_s = [1 \quad 1 \quad \dots \quad 1]^T; R_s = \bar{R}_s r(k) = [1 \quad 1 \quad 1]^T \times 1 = [1 \quad 1 \quad 1]^T$
 $\bar{R} = r_w I_{N_c \times N_c}$, is a diagonal matrix of weights (r_w). $\Delta u(k) = K_r r(k) - K_{mpc} x(k)$

8. MPC with Constraint $\begin{bmatrix} -I \\ -C_2 \\ -C_2 \\ -\Phi \end{bmatrix} \Delta U \leq \begin{bmatrix} -\Delta U_{max}^{min} \\ -U_{max}^{min} + C_1 u(k-1) \\ U_{max}^{max} - C_1 u(k-1) \\ -Y_{min}^{min} + Fx(k) \\ Y_{max}^{max} - Fx(k) \end{bmatrix} C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Q1. For deadbeat controller, the desired poles are all zero. Thus, the closed-loop characteristic equation is $A_m(z) = z^2.W_c = [\Gamma \quad \Phi\Gamma]$, according Ackermann’s formula, $L = [0 \quad 1]W_c^{-1}A_m(\Phi) = [0 \quad 1]W_c^{-1}\Phi^2$.The deadbeat controller is $u(k) = -Lx(k)$. For a **deadbeat observer**, the desired poles are all zero. $A_o(z) = z^2$.The observer can be expressed as $\hat{x}(k + 1) = \Phi\hat{x}(k) + \Gamma u(k) + K(y(k) - \hat{y}(k)); \hat{y} = C\hat{x}(k); e(k + 1) = \Phi e(k) - KCe(k) = (\Phi - KC)e(k); W_o = \begin{bmatrix} C_{\Phi} \end{bmatrix} . ; K = A_o(\Phi)W_o^{-1}[0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 1]^T = \Phi^2W_o^{-1}[0 \quad 1]$;The controller is $u(k) = -L\hat{x}(k)$;**Q2.controller** $R(q)u(k) = T(q)u_c(k) - S(q)y(k)Apply Z.T. \rightarrow U(z) = \frac{T(z)}{R(z)}U_c(z) - \frac{S(z)}{R(z)}Y(z)$

2rd order system: rise time: $t_r = \frac{1}{\omega_n}8$,settling time: $t_r = \frac{4.6}{\xi\omega_n}$, overshoot $e^{\frac{-\pi\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}}$.8s 5 overshoot, $H(z) = \frac{0.67}{s^2+1.15s+0.67}$

Example 8

Consider the plant

$$\begin{aligned}x_p(k+1) &= A_px_p(k) + B_pu_p(k) \\ y(k) &= C_px_p(k)\end{aligned}$$

where $A_p = 1, B_p = 1, C_p = 1$ and the augmented plant

$$\begin{aligned}x(k+1) &= Ax(k) + B\Delta u(k) \\ y(k) &= Cx(k)\end{aligned}$$

which according to (2.7)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(k) \\ x_2(k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_p(k) \\ y(k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_p(k) - x_p(k-1) \\ C_px_p(k) \end{bmatrix}$$

and (2.5)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $x_p(k) = y(k) = 0$ for $k \leq 0$ and $u(k) = 0$ for $k < 0$.

Consider the MPC with $r_w = 5, N_c = 1, N_p = 2$ and

$$r(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } k \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

(a) Formulate a quadratic programming problem with constraint $\begin{bmatrix} y(k+1) \\ y(k+2) \end{bmatrix} \leq Y^{max}$.

Equations (2.21), (2.26), (2.17) and (2.18) give

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R} &= r_w I_{N_c} = 5 \\ R_s &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ F &= \begin{bmatrix} CA \\ CA^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Phi &= \begin{bmatrix} CB \\ CAB \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Equations (2.21), (2.26), (2.17) and (2.18) give

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R} &= r_w I_{N_c \times N_c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ R_k &= \overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}}^{N_p} r(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 15 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix} \\ F &= \begin{bmatrix} CA \\ CA^2 \\ CA^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Phi &= \begin{bmatrix} CB & 0 \\ CAB & CB \\ C^2AB & CAB \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

The quadratic programming problem (3.13) with constraint (3.14) can be formulated as minimizing

$$\begin{aligned}J &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta U^T H \Delta U + \Delta U^T f \\ M\Delta U &\leq \gamma \\ \text{Substituting for } H, f, M, \gamma \text{ and } \Delta U \text{ gives} \\ J &= 5\Delta u(k)^2 + (5x_1(k) + 3x_2(k) - 3)\Delta u(k)\end{aligned}$$

with constraints

$$\Delta u(k) \leq Y^{max} - x_1(k) - x_2(k) \quad (3.20)$$

$$\Delta u(k) \leq \frac{1}{2}(Y^{max} - 2x_1(k) - x_2(k)) \quad (3.21)$$

(b) Given $u(0) = y(0) = \Delta u(0) = 0, x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $Y^{max} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$. Find $x(k), \Delta u(k)$ and $y(k)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 9$. \square

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dJ}{d\Delta u(k)} &= 10\Delta u(k) + (5x_1(k) + 3x_2(k) - 3) = 0 \\ \Delta u(k) &= \frac{3 - 5x_1(k) - 3x_2(k)}{10}\end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$\underline{k=0}$

Equation (3.22) gives $\Delta u(0) = 0.3$. Constraints (3.20) gives $\Delta u(0) \leq 1$ and (3.21) $\Delta u(0) \leq 0.5$. The minimum J is obtained from the minimum of $\Delta u(0) = 0.3, 1, 0.5$. Hence $\Delta u(0) = 0.3$. See Figure 3.1.

$\underline{k=1}$

$$x(1) = Ax(0) + B\Delta u(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Equation (3.22) gives $\Delta u(1) = 0.06$. Constraints (3.20) give $\Delta u(1) \leq 0.4$ and (3.21) $\Delta u(0) \leq 0.05$. The minimum J is obtained from the minimum of $\Delta u(1) = 0.06, 0.4, 0.05$. Hence $\Delta u(1) = 0.05$.

$$\frac{Y(z)}{R(z)} = C[zI - (A - BK_{mpc})]^{-1}BK_r$$

Example 9

A driverless car of mass 1000 kg moving at 10 m/s detected a boy running acrossing the road at the traffic light 15 m ahead although the signal was green for vehicle and red for pedestrian. A MPC with $r_w = 1, N_c = 2, N_p = 3$ was used to compute the braking signal given that the maximum braking force is 5000 N. \square

(a) Formulate a quadratic programming problem to compute the force.

Consider the Newton Law

$$\begin{aligned}\text{force} &= \text{mass} \times \ddot{y} \\ \text{force} &= \ddot{y} \\ \text{mass} &= \ddot{y} \\ \Delta u &= \ddot{y}\end{aligned}$$

where g is distance and $\Delta u = \frac{\text{force}}{\text{mass}}$. We will compute Δu . The braking force can be obtained from $\text{force} = \text{mass} \times \Delta u$.

Note: There are already 2 integrators in the process. Therefore we will not introduce an integrator into the controller.

We introduce y as the state x_1 and \dot{y} as the state x_2 . The state-space representation is then

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \Delta u \\ y &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Discretize by approximating the derivative using the forward difference with sampling interval h gives

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1(k+1)-x_1(k)}{h} \\ \frac{x_2(k+1)-x_2(k)}{h} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(k) \\ x_2(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \Delta u(k) \\ y(k) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(k) \\ x_2(k) \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Let $h = 1$ and rearranging gives

$$\begin{aligned}x(k+1) &= Ax(k) + B\Delta u \\ y(k) &= Cx(k)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$x(k) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(k) \\ x_2(k) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Formulate a quadratic programming problem with constraints

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix} y(k+1) \\ y(k+2) \\ y(k+3) \end{bmatrix} &\leq Y^{max} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \Delta u(k) \\ \Delta u(k+1) \end{bmatrix} &\geq \Delta U^{min} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}K_r &= \overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}}^{N_p} (\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R})^{-1} \Phi^T \bar{R}_s \\ K_{mpc} &= K_r = \overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}}^{N_p} (\Phi^T \Phi + \bar{R})^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

(d) Find $\Delta u(k)$ and $u(k)$ using K_r and K_{mpc} and compare with the results in (a).

Using (2.29)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u(0) &= K_r r(0) - K_{mpc} x(0) = 4.9819 \\ u(0) &= u(-1) + \Delta u(0) = 4.9819 \\ x(1) &= (A - BK_{mpc})x(0) + BK_r r(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4982 \\ 0.4982 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Delta u(1) &= K_r r(1) - K_{mpc} x(1) = -0.4575 \\ u(1) &= u(0) + \Delta u(1) = 4.5244 \\ x(2) &= (A - BK_{mpc})x(1) + BK_r r(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3528 \\ 0.8510 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Delta u(2) &= K_r r(2) - K_{mpc} x(2) = -1.352 \\ u(2) &= u(1) + \Delta u(2) = 3.1724\end{aligned}$$

The quadratic programming problem (3.13) with constraint (3.14) can be formulated as minimizing

$$\begin{aligned}J &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta U^T H \Delta U + \Delta U^T f \\ M\Delta U &\leq \gamma\end{aligned}$$

Substituting for H, f, M, γ and ΔU gives

$$J = 3\Delta u(k)^2 + [2\Delta u(k+1) + f_1]\Delta u(k) + [\Delta u(k+1) + f_2]\Delta u(k+1) \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\text{IE1: } \Delta u(k) &\geq -5 & (3.24) \\ \text{IE2: } \Delta u(k+1) &\geq -5 & (3.25) \\ \text{IE3: } 0 &\leq 15 - x_1(k) - x_2(k) & (3.26) \\ \text{IE4: } \Delta u(k) &\leq 15 - x_1(k) - 2x_2(k) & (3.27) \\ \text{IE5: } 2\Delta u(k) + \Delta u(k+1) &\leq 15 - x_1(k) - 3x_2(k) & (3.28)\end{aligned}$$

(b) Find $\Delta u(k)$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$ graphically. \square

From (3.23)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u(k) &= -\frac{2\Delta u(k+1) + f_1}{6} \\ &\quad \pm \frac{\sqrt{[2\Delta u(k+1) + f_1]^2 - 12[f_1\Delta u(k+1) + f_2]\Delta u(k+1) - J}}{6}\end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

$\underline{k=0}$

$$\begin{aligned}x(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} \\ f_1 &= 3x_1(0) + 8x_2(0) - 45 = 3(0) + 8(10) - 45 = 35 \\ f_2 &= x_1(0) + 3x_2(0) - 15 = 0 + 3(10) - 15 = 15\end{aligned}$$

The constraints (3.24), (3.25), (3.27) $\Delta u(0) \leq -5$ and (3.28) $\Delta u(0) \leq -0.5\Delta u(1) - 7.5$ are superimposed on the $J = -100$ and -102 contours (3.29) in Figure 3.4 which also shows that the minimum $J = -100$ is at $\Delta u(0) = -5$.

$\underline{k=1}$

$$\begin{aligned}x(1) &= Ax(0) + B\Delta u(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (-5) = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \\ f_1 &= 3x_1(1) + 8x_2(1) - 45 = 3(10) + 8(5) - 45 = 25 \\ f_2 &= x_1(1) + 3x_2(1) - 15 = 10 + 3(5) - 15 = 10\end{aligned}$$

The constraints (3.24), (3.25), (3.27) $\Delta u(1) \leq -5$, (3.27) $\Delta u(1) \leq -0.5\Delta u(2) - 5$ is superimposed on the $J = -50$ and -52 contours (3.29) in Figure 3.5 which also shows that the minimum $J = -50$ is at $\Delta u(1) = -5$. The constraints (3.24) and (3.27) are the tangents to the $J = -50$ contour.

$\underline{k=2}$

$$\begin{aligned}x(2) &= Ax(1) + B\Delta u(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (-5) = \begin{bmatrix} 15 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ f_1 &= 3x_1(1) + 8x_2(1) - 45 = 3(15) + 8(0) - 45 = 0 \\ f_2 &= x_1(1) + 3x_2(1) - 15 = 15 + 3(0) - 15 = 0\end{aligned}$$

The constraints (3.24), (3.25), (3.27) $\Delta u(2) \leq 0$, (3.27) $\Delta u(2) \leq -0.5\Delta u(3)$ is superimposed on the $J = 0$ and 1 contours (3.29) in Figure 3.6 which also shows that the minimum $J = 0$ is at $\Delta u(2) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}h(t) &= -q(t) + u(t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{R}h(t) + u(t)\end{aligned}$$

where the area $A = 10 \text{ m}^2$ and constant $R = 0.5 \text{ s/m}^2$. \square

(a) Define the height $h(t)$ as the state $x_p(t)$ and output $y(t)$, obtain the discretized state-space model with sampling interval of 1 s. \square

The state-space model is then

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_p(t) &= -\frac{1}{AR}x_p(t) + \frac{1}{A}u(t) \\ y(t) &= x_p(t)\end{aligned}$$

Discretize by approximating the derivative using the forward difference with sampling interval h gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{x_p(k+1) - x_p(k)}{h} &= -\frac{1}{AR}x_p(k) + \frac{1}{A}u(k) \\ y(k) &= x_p(k)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the values of A, R and h gives

$$\begin{aligned}x_p(k+1) &= a_px_p(k) + b_pu(k) \\ y(k) &= c_px_p(k)\end{aligned}$$

where $a_p = 0.8, b_p = 0.1, c_p = 1$.

(b) Find $\Delta U(k), \Delta u(k), u(k)$ and $y(k)$ of the MPC with integrator control system for $k = 0, 1, 2$. It is given that $r_w = 0.01, N_p = 3$ and $N_c = 2, x_p(k) = y(k) = 0$, for $k \leq 0, u(k) = 0$ for $k < 0$, and

$$r(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } k \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0 \\ 0.8 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ B &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \\ C &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ R_s &= \bar{R}_s r(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \times 1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \\ F &= \begin{bmatrix} CA \\ CA^2 \\ CA^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 1 \\ 1.44 & 1 \\ 1.952 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Phi &= \begin{bmatrix} CB & 0 \\ CAB & CB \\ CA^2B & CAB \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0.18 & 0.1 \\ 0.244 & 0.18 \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{R} &= r_w I_{N_c \times N_c} = 0.01 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

(d) Find $\Delta u(k)$ and $u(k)$ using K_r and K_{mpc} and compare with the results in (a).

Using (2.29)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u(0) &= K_r r(0) - K_{mpc} x(0) = 4.9819 \\ u(0) &= u(-1) + \Delta u(0) = 4.9819 \\ x(1) &= (A - BK_{mpc})x(0) + BK_r r(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4982 \\ 0.4982 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Delta u(1) &= K_r r(1) - K_{mpc} x(1) = -0.4575 \\ u(1) &= u(0) + \Delta u(1) = 4.5244 \\ x(2) &= (A - BK_{mpc})x(1) + BK_r r(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3528 \\ 0.8510 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Delta u(2) &= K_r r(2) - K_{mpc} x(2) = -1.352 \\ u(2) &= u(1) + \Delta u(2) = 3.1724\end{aligned}$$

How to check the stability without solving the equation?

JURY'S STABILITY TEST: $A(z) = \mathbf{a}_n z^n + \mathbf{a}_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + \mathbf{a}_0 = 0$

Get the coefficients: $\mathbf{a}_0 \quad \mathbf{a}_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_n$

Reverse the order: $\mathbf{a}_n \quad \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_0 \quad \times \frac{\mathbf{a}_n}{\mathbf{a}_0}$

Eliminate the last element \mathbf{a}_n $\mathbf{a}_0^{n-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_1^{n-1} \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^{n-1}$

By elementary row operation $\times \frac{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}}{\mathbf{a}_0^{n-1}}$

Repeat the process $\mathbf{a}_0^{n-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_1^{n-2} \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_n^{n-1}$

Stop when there is only one element left \mathbf{a}_0^n

The system is stable if all the first elements

$\mathbf{a}_0 \quad \mathbf{a}_0^{n-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_0^{n-2} \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_0^n$ **are positive!**