Exercise 2.4.5 (Modified, with hints!): Suppose $x_1 = 2$ and define

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \right).$$

1. Show that $x_n \ge 0$ for all n.

Solution:

We will proceed by induction on n. Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_n \geq 0$$
.

Recall that by definition we know that,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \right).$$

Through some algebra we get,

$$x_n \ge 0$$

$$x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \ge 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \right) \ge 0$$

$$x_{n+1} \ge 0.$$

Thus we have shown through induction that $x_n \ge 0$ for all values of n.

2. Show that if a > 0 then $a + \frac{1}{a} \ge 2$. Hint: $(a - 1)^2 \ge 0$. [Your proof should highlight the part where you use the hypothesis a > 0.]

Solution:

Suppose some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that a > 0. Note that the square of any real number is zero or positive, thus we get that,

$$(a-1)^2 \ge 0.$$

Through some algebra, and the fact that, a > 0 we get,

$$(a-1)^{2} \ge 0,$$

$$a^{2} - 2a + 1 \ge 0,$$

$$a^{2} + 1 \ge 2a,$$

$$a + \frac{1}{a} \ge 2.$$

Note that in the last step we can divide by a since a > 0 and for the same reason the direction of the inequality stays the same.

3. Show that if $b \neq 0$ then $b^2 + 4/b^2 \geq 4$. Hint: Use the previous item!

Solution:

Suppose some $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $b \neq 0$. Again note that the square of any real number is either zero or positive, thus we get,

$$(b^2 - 2)^2 > 0$$
.

Through some algebra and the fact that $b \neq 0$ we get,

$$(b^{2}-2)^{2} \ge 0,$$

$$b^{4}-4b^{2}+4 \ge 0,$$

$$b^{4}+4 \ge 4b^{2},$$

$$b^{2}+4/b^{2} \ge 4.$$

Note that in the last step we can divide by b^2 since $b \neq 0$ and since $b^2 > 0$ the direction of the inequality is unchanged.

4. Show that $x_n^2 \ge 2$ for all *n*. Hint: Use the previous item!

Solution:

Note that $2^2 = 4 \ge 2$. We will proceed by induction on n. Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_n^2 \ge 2$$
.

Now recall the definition of x_{n+1} ,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \right).$$

Squaring it, using the previous result, and the induction hypothesis we get,

$$x_{n+1}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{n} + \frac{2}{x_{n}} \right)^{2},$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(x_{n}^{2} + \frac{4}{x_{n}^{2}} + 4 \right),$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} (4+4),$$

$$= 2.$$

Note that since $x_n^2 \ge 2$ we know that $x_n \ne 0$ and therefore by the previous problem we know that,

$$(x_n^2 + \frac{4}{x_n^2}) \ge 4.$$

Thus by induction we have show that $x_n^2 \ge 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

5. Show that $x_n \ge x_{n+1}$ for all n. Hint: Use the previous item!

Solution:

Suppose that, $x_n^2 \ge 2$ and $x_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Through some algebra we get,

October 6, 2020

$$x_n^2 \ge 2,$$

$$0 \ge \frac{2 - x_n^2}{2x_n},$$

$$0 \ge \frac{1}{x_n} - \frac{x_n}{2},$$

$$0 \ge \frac{1}{x_n} + \frac{x_n}{2} - x_n,$$

$$0 \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{x_n} + x_n\right) - x_n,$$

$$x_n \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{x_n} + x_n\right),$$

$$x_n \ge x_{n+1}.$$

Note that step 2 of the algebra relies on the fact that $x_n > 0$, and the last step is a substitution by definition. Thus we have shown that $x_n \ge x_{n+1}$.

6. Show that the sequence converges to a limit L.

Solution:

In step one we showed that the sequence a_n is bounded below by 0 and in the previous step we demonstrated that the sequence is monotone decreasing. Thus by the Monotone Convergence Theorem the sequence (a_n) must converge to some limit L.

7. Show that $L \neq 0$. Hint: If $x_n \to 0$ then $x_n^2 \to 0$.

Solution:

Suppose to the contrary that L = 0. Hence $x_n \to 0$. By the Algebraic Limit Theorem, consider computing $\lim_{n \to \infty} (x_n^2)$,

$$\lim(x_n^2) = \lim(x_n) \lim(x_n) = L^2 = 0$$

Therefore by the definition of convergence we know that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$|a_n^2 - 0| = |a_n^2| = a_n^2 < \epsilon.$$

However we have shown previously that $a_n^2 \ge 2$ contradicting the convergence. Thus it must be the case that $L \ne 0$.

8. Show that $L^2 = 2$. Hint: $\lim x_{n+1} = \lim x_n$.

Solution:

Suppose that $\lim x_n = L$. Consider taking the limit of our definition of x_{n+1} ,

$$\lim x_{n+1} = \lim \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \right).$$

Note that by the fact that $\lim x_{n+1} = \lim x_n$, and the Algebreic Limit Theorem we can simplify the equation above,

$$\lim x_{n+1} = \lim \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \right),$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \lim \left(x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \right),$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lim x_n + 2 \lim \frac{1}{x_n} \right),$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left(L + \frac{2}{L} \right),$$

$$L = \frac{L}{2} + \frac{1}{L},$$

$$2L = L + \frac{2}{L},$$

$$L = \frac{2}{L},$$

$$L^2 = 2.$$

Exercise 2.5.5: Assume (a_n) is a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence of (a_n) converges to the same limit $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that (a_n) must converge to a.

Proof. Let (a_n) be a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence of (a_n) converges to the same limit $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose to the contrary that (a_n) does not converge to a. Hence there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ so than for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an $n \geq N$ where,

$$|a_n - a| \ge \epsilon$$
.

Consider a subsequence (a_{i_j}) which satisfies the previous inequality. Note that by Theorem 2.5.2 it must converge to the same limit as (a_n) and by definition that limit is not a, Note that a_{i_j} converges to a and also does not converge to a.

Exercise 2.5.6: Use a similar strategy to the on in Example 2.5.3 to show that $\lim b^{1/n}$ exists for all $b \ge 0$ and find the value of the limit. (The results of 2.3.1 may be assumed.)

Proof. Suppose the sequence $b_n = b^{1/n}$ and let $b \ge 0$. First consider where b < 1, and note that in this case the sequence is bounded below by 1 since for all b < 1,

$$b < 1,$$
 $b^{1/n} < 1^{1/n} = 1.$

Now we will show that when b < 1, the sequence b_n is monotone increasing for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, through induction on n. Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$b^{1/n} > b^{1/(n-1)}$$
.

Using some algebra on our induction hypothesis we get,

$$b^{1/n} \ge b^{1/(n-1)},$$

$$b^{1/(n+1)-1/(n)}b^{1/n} \ge b^{1/(n+1)-1/(n)}b^{1/(n-1)},$$

$$b^{1/(n+1)} \ge b^{(n^2-1)/(n-1)(n+1)(n)},$$

$$b^{1/(n+1)} \ge b^{1/n}.$$

Thus the sequence is monotone increasing when b < 1. By the Monotone Convergence Theorem, we know that when b < 1 the sequence b_n must converge to some limit L.

Now consider the case where $b \ge 1$, clearly the sequence wound then be bounded below by 1 by a similar argument. Now through a similar induction argument we know that when $b \ge 1$, the sequence b_n is monotone decreasing for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (replace the \ge above with a \le). Therefore by the Monotone Convergence Theorem we know that when $b \ge 1$ the sequence b_n must converge to some limit L. Thus $\lim b^{1/n}$ exists for all $b \ge 0$.

Now consider the subsequence, b_{2n} which has the same limit,

$$L = \lim b_{2n} = \lim b^{1/(n)} \lim b^{1/(n)} = L^2.$$

Therefore it follows that in order to satisfy the equation, L = 1,0 thus when $b_n \neq 0$ we know that L = 1.

Exercise 2.5.7: Extend the result proved in Example 2.5.3 to the case where |b| < 1; that is, show that $\lim(b^n) = 0$ if and only if -1 < b < 1.

Proof. Suppose that for 0 < b < 1, the sequence $b_n = b^n$ converges to $\lim(b^n) = 0$. By the definition of convergence, we know that for 0 < b < 1, and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge N$,

$$|b_n - 0| = |b^n - 0| = |b^n| = b^n < \epsilon.$$

Now consider the intermediate step,

$$|b^n| = |b|^n < \epsilon.$$

Note that values of -1 < b < 1 arrive at the same convergence.

Suppose the sequence $b_n = b^n$ when -1 < b < 1. Note that for values of $b \in (-1, 1)$ we know that the sequence returns the following,

$$-|b|^n \le b^n \le |b|^n.$$

Taking the limit of the inequality, simplifying with the Algebraic Limit Theorem, and substituting the result from Example 2.5.3 we get,

$$\lim(-|b|^n) \le \lim(b^n) \le \lim(|b|^n),$$

-\lim(b^n) \le b^n \le \lim(b^n),
$$0 \le b^n \le 0.$$

Thus by Squeeze Theorem it follows that $\lim b_n = 0$.

Exercise 2.6.2: Give an example of each of the following, or argue that such a request is impossible.

1. A Cauchy sequence that is not monotone.

Solution:

Consider the alternating sequence,

$$x_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n^2}.$$

The sequence converges and therefore it must be Cauchy, however it is clearly not monotone.

2. A Cauchy sequence with an unbounded subsequence.

Solution:

From the Cauchy Criterion we know that all Cauchy sequences are convergent, and any subsequence of a convergent sequence is also convergent.

3. A divergent monotone sequence with a Cauchy subsequence.

Solution:

Suppose divergent monotone sequence a_n with a Cauchy subsequence a_{n_i} where $\lim a_{n_i} = L$. let $a_j \in a_n$. Now consider the element a_{n_j} ; the jth term of the Cauchy subsequence, since a_n is monotone(WLOG increasing) it must be the case that $a_j \le a_{n_j}$. Recall that $a_j \le a_{n_j} \le L$ thus a_n is bounded above by L and by MCT is convergent.

4. An unbounded sequence containing a subsequence that is Cauchy.

Solution:

Consider the following sequence,

$$a_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^2} & \text{n is even} \\ n & \text{n is odd} \end{cases}$$

The sequence is unbounded however the subsequence of even index is convergent and is therefore Cauchy.

Exercise 2.6.7 (b): Use the Cauchy Criterion to prove the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, and demonstrate where the archimedean property is implicitly required.

Proof. Suppose that a sequence a_n is bounded. Since a_n is bounded there must exist an M>0 such that, $|a_n|\leq M$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Bisecting the interval [-M,M] into two closed intervals [-M,0] and [0,M]. It must be the case that at least one of those intervals contains an infinite number of terms of the sequence a_n , we will name that interval l_1 . Performing the same operation again of splitting the interval in 2 on l_1 to define l_2 and so forth until l_n . We define a subsequence a_{n_i} where, $a_{n_i} \in l_i$. By construction we know that, for all $j>m\in\mathbb{N}$

$$|a_{n_j}-a_{n_m}|<\frac{2M}{2^m}.$$

Now we will show that,

$$\frac{2M}{2^n}$$

is convergent. Recall that in Supplemental Exercise 2 from HW4, we used the Archimedean Property to prove that,

October 6, 2020

$$\lim \frac{1}{2^n} = 0.$$

Therefore by the Algebraic Limit Theorem we know that,

$$\lim \frac{2M}{2^n} = 2M(0) = 0.$$

Therefore by the definition of convergence, for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ where for all $m \geq N$

$$\frac{2M}{2^m}<\epsilon.$$

Thus it follows that,

$$|a_{n_j} - a_{n_m}| < \frac{2M}{2^m},$$

$$\leq \frac{2M}{2^N},$$

$$\leq \epsilon$$

Therefore the subsequence a_{n_i} is Cauchy and by the Cauchy Criterion must converge. \Box