

1. Find (with proof) a function in  $\mathcal{R}[a, b]$  that is not a uniform limit of step functions.

*Proof.* Consider  $\chi_\Delta$  and suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists a sequence of functions  $f_n \in \text{Step}[0, 1]$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow \chi_\Delta$  uniformly. Note that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N$  such that for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  it follows that,

$$|f_N(x) - \chi_\Delta(x)| < \epsilon.$$

Since  $f_N$  is a step function with a finite step partition  $\mathcal{P}$ , and since  $\Delta$  is uncountable, there exists an  $x \in \Delta$  such that  $x \in I$  where  $I$  is an open interval in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $y \in I$  such that  $y \neq x$ . Now there are two cases which each lead to a contradiction

Suppose  $y \in \Delta$  then since  $\Delta$  is nowhere dense there exists a  $z \in I$  such that  $z \notin \Delta$ . Then by uniform continuity we get the following,

$$|\chi_\Delta(x) - f_N(x)| < \epsilon,$$

$$|f_N(z) - \chi_\Delta(z)| < \epsilon.$$

Since  $x, z \in I$  it follows that  $f_N(z) = f_N(x)$  and therefore the triangle inequality it follows that,

$$|\chi_\Delta(x) - \chi_\Delta(z)| \leq |\chi_\Delta(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(z) - \chi_\Delta(z)| < 2\epsilon.$$

This is clearly a contradiction as  $|\chi_\Delta(x) - \chi_\Delta(z)| = 1$ .

Now suppose  $y \notin \Delta$  and note that since  $x, y \in I$ , the same argument to get a contradiction.

□

2. Suppose  $\ell : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ . Show that  $\ell$  is countably additive if and only if  $\ell$  is finitely additive and countably subadditive.

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\ell : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is countably additive. Clearly  $\ell$  is also finitely additive, simply consider your countable collection to be some disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$  and the rest to be the emptyset.

To show that  $\ell$  is countably subadditive let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that  $A_i$  are not necessarily disjoint. Construct another collection of sets,  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $B_i = A_i \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} A_k\right)$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . We have proven that  $B_k \subseteq A_k$  and  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$ , for all  $n$ . Therefore countable additivity and monotonicity it follows that,

$$\ell\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_k\right) = \ell\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \ell(B_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \ell(A_k).$$

□

*Proof.* ( $\leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $\ell : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is finitely additive and countably subadditive. Now consider a disjoint collection of sets  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ . By countable subadditivity we know,

$$\ell\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \ell(A_i).$$

Note that for each  $n$  we know that  $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  and therefore by monotonicity it follows that,

$$\ell(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) \leq \ell(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i).$$

Since our sets  $A_i$  are disjoint, finite additivity for all  $n$  it follows that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell(A_i) = \ell(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) \leq \ell(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ell(A_i) \leq \ell(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i).$$

So finally we can conclude that,

$$\ell(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ell(A_i).$$

□

**3. Carothers 16.4** Given any subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and any  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ , show that  $m^*(E + h) = m^*(E)$ , where  $E + h = \{x + h : x \in E\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a measuring cover for  $E$ . Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(I_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(I_n + h). \quad (1)$$

We want to show that  $\{I_n + h\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a measuring cover for  $E + h$  since by (1) we get that  $m^*(E) \leq m^*(E + h)$ , and by symmetry we conclude that  $m^*(E + h) = m^*(E)$ .

To do that we need to show that  $E + h \subseteq \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n + h$ . Let  $x \in E + h$ , then by definition  $x - h \in E$  and since  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a measuring cover for  $E$ , there exists an  $I_N \in \{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $x - h \in I_N$ , and therefore  $x \in I_N + h$ . So  $x \in \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n + h$  and thus  $E + h \subseteq \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n + h$ .

□

**4. Carothers 16.12** Prove that  $m^*(E) = \inf\{m^*(U) : U \text{ is open and } E \subset U\}$ .

*Proof.* First note that by monotonicity we know immediately that,

$$m^*(E) \leq \inf\{m^*(U) : U \text{ is open and } E \subset U\}.$$

Now we must establish,

$$m^*(E) \geq \inf\{m^*(U) : U \text{ is open and } E \subset U\}. \quad (2)$$

Consider the case where  $m^*(E) < \infty$  (otherwise the result is trivial) and note that to demonstrate (2) we must find a sequence of open sets  $U_n$  such that for a given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N$  where for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$m^*(U_n) \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By definition of outer measure there exists a sequence of measuring covers of  $E$ , sequenced by  $n$ , denoted  $\{I_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  for which there exists an  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  it follows that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \ell(I_{i,n}) \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon.$$

By our definition in class of a measuring cover each  $I_{i,n}$  is an open interval, and further it follows if  $J_n = \cup_{i=1}^\infty I_{i,n}$ , then  $J_n$  is open,  $E \subseteq J_n$ , and  $m^*(J_n) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \ell(I_{i,n})$ . Thus,

$$m^*(J_n) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \ell(I_{i,n}) \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon.$$

Having demonstrated a sequence of open sets  $(J_n)$  with our desired quality (2), we can conclude that  $m^*(E) = \inf\{m^*(U) : U \text{ is open and } E \subset U\}$ .

□

**5. Carothers 16.16** If  $m^*(E) = 0$ , show that  $m^*(E \cup A) = m^*(A) = m^*(A \setminus E)$  for any  $A$ .

*Proof.* Note that by monotonicity, since  $A \cup E \subseteq A \subseteq A \setminus E$  we know that  $m^*(A \cup E) \geq m^*(A) \geq m^*(A \setminus E)$ . By countable subadditivity it follows that,

$$m^*(A \cup E) \leq m^*(A) + m^*(E) = m^*(A).$$

Since  $A = (A \cap E) \cup (A \cap E^c)$ , by countable subadditivity we also get,

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \cap E^c) \leq m^*(E) + m^*(A \cap E^c) = m^*(A \cap E^c).$$

Therefore  $m^*(E \cup A) = m^*(A) = m^*(A \setminus E)$ .

□

**6. Carothers 16.22** Let  $E = \cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ . Show that  $m^*(E) = 0$  if and only if  $m^*(E_n) = 0$  for every  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E = \cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$  and suppose  $m^*(E) = 0$ . Since  $E_n \subseteq E$  it follows by monotonicity that  $m^*(E_n) \leq m^*(E) = 0$  and thus  $m^*(E_n) = 0$  for every  $n$ . □

*Proof.* Let  $E = \cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$  and suppose  $m^*(E_n) = 0$  for every  $n$ . By countable subadditivity it follows that,

$$m^*(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty m^*(E_i) = 0.$$

Thus  $m^*(E)$ .

□

**7. Carothers 16.24** Given a subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , prove that there is a  $G_\delta$ -set  $G$ , containing  $E$  such that  $m^*(G) = m^*(E)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and consider the case with  $m^*(E) < \infty$  (otherwise let  $G = \mathbb{R}$  and the result follows). By Problem 4 there exists a sequence of open sets  $U_n$  with  $E \subset U_n$ , such that  $m^*(U_n) \rightarrow m^*(E)$ . Now let  $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  and note that clearly  $E \subset G$ . By monotonicity  $m^*(G) = \inf\{m^*(U) : U \text{ is open and } E \subset U\}$  and by Problem 4  $m^*(G) = m^*(E)$ . □

**8. Carothers 16.25** Suppose that  $m^*(E) > 0$ . Give  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , show that there exists an open interval  $I$  such that  $m^*(E \cap I) > \alpha m^*(I)$ . [Hint: It is enough to consider the case  $m^*(E) < \infty$ . Now suppose that the conclusion fails.]

*Proof.* Let  $m^*(E) > 0$  and suppose there exists an  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that for every open interval  $I$ ,  $m^*(E \cap I) \leq \alpha \ell(I)$ . Now let  $\epsilon > 0$  and note that there exists a measuring cover  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon.$$

Now it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) &\leq \alpha (m^*(E) + \epsilon) \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha \ell(I_n) &\leq \alpha (m^*(E) + \epsilon) \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha m^*(E \cap I_n) &\leq \alpha (m^*(E) + \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \cap I_n)$ , by countable subadditivity it follows that,

$$m^*(E) \leq \alpha (m^*(E) + \epsilon).$$

Further we find that,

$$0 < m^*(E)(1 - \alpha) \leq \alpha \epsilon. \quad (3)$$

However clearly an  $\epsilon$  can be chosen such that the (3) does not hold.

□

**9. Carothers 16.28** Fix  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and repeat our "middle thirds" construction of the Cantor set except that now, at the  $n$ th stage, each of the  $2^{n-1}$  open intervals we discard from  $[0, 1]$  is to have length  $(1 - \alpha)3^{-n}$ . (We still want to remove each open interval from the 'middle' of a closed interval in the current level- it is important that the closed intervals that remain turn out to be nested.) The limit of this process, a set that we will name  $\Delta_\alpha$ , is called the generalized Cantor set and is very much like the ordinary Cantor set. Note that  $\Delta_\alpha$  is uncountable, compact, nowhere dense, and so on but has nonzero outer measure. Indeed check that  $m^*(\Delta_\alpha) = \alpha$ . (See Chapter two for an example.) [Hint: you only need upper estimates for  $m^*(\Delta_\alpha)$  and  $m^*(\Delta_\alpha^c)$ ]

*Proof.* By definition we know that  $\Delta_\alpha^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$  where  $J_n$  is itself the union of 'middle thirds' taken from the  $n$ th step in the set recurrence relation definition of  $\Delta_\alpha$ . Note that each  $J_n$  is a union of  $2^{n-1}$  disjoint intervals of length  $(1 - \alpha)3^{-n}$ , and therefore by countable subadditivity we conclude that,

$$m^*(\Delta_\alpha^c) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(J_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha)2^{n-1}3^{-n} = \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n-1} = 1 - \alpha < 1.$$

Since  $[0, 1] = \Delta_\alpha^c \cup \Delta_\alpha$  by countable subadditivity we also know that,

$$m^*([0, 1]) \leq m^*(\Delta_\alpha^c) + m^*(\Delta_\alpha)$$

Clearly since  $m^*([0, 1]) = 1$  and  $m^*(\Delta_\alpha^c) < 1$  it must be the case that  $m^*(\Delta_\alpha) > 0$  as desired. □