Methods

ESS data

The data were acquired from the ESS. Then, the relevant variables were selected and cleaned, including removal of missing values and transformation of round to corresponding year. After the data cleaning, the opinion metrics were calculated, and a separate PCA was performed for each round and country. The loadings and explained variance metrics derived from the first principal component were then considered for further interpretation.

The data were obtained from the website of the European Social Survey Data Portal (ESS Data Portal, 2024) using the inbuilt datafile builder wizard tool which allows to specific selection of the variables, rounds, and countries of interest and extracts the resulting data set as a CSV file. The data for our analysis comprised the following 39 European countries Albania, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czechia, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Kosovo, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Montenegro, Netherlands, North Macedonia, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, Ukraine and The United Kingdom. The data surveyed by the ESS are on a typical Likert scale. This type of scale measures attitudes, opinions, or perceptions and provides a range of options for respondents to choose from. It often ranges from strongly disagree (0) to neutral (5) to strongly agree (10), with shades in between (e.g., Jamieson, 2004). The Likert scale is often used in social science in order to quantify subjective data such as attitudes and satisfaction levels (Journal of Social Sciences, 2022). The focus on was on three variables related to opinions about migration, which ranged from 0 to 10. Thus, 0 would indicate strong resentment, 5 a neutral sentiment, and 10 a strong approval of immigration. Other values encoding questionnaire answers such as 77 = ‘Refusal’, 88 = ‘Don’t know’ and 99 = ‘No answer’ were present in the data as well. Those values were re-labeled as missing data points and subsequently removed them from the data set. The three relevant variables were *imwbcnt*(‘Immigrants make country worse (0) or better (10) place to live’), *imueclt*(‘Country's cultural life is undermined (0) or enriched (10) by immigrants’), and *imgbeco*(‘Immigration is bad (0) or good (10) for country's economy’).

Weights are crucial in survey data as they help to ensure that the survey results accurately reflect the population being studied. Surveys aim to gather information from a sample of the population, but it's often difficult to get a perfectly representative sample. Some groups within the population may be over-represented or under-represented in the sample due to factors like sampling design and responsiveness (some people chosen for the survey don't participate). Weights adjust the data to compensate for these imbalances, giving more influence to the responses of under-represented groups and less influence to the responses of over-represented groups (Pfeffermann, 1996; Ciol et al., 2006). The ESS provides several survey weight variables. The analysis weight (variable name *anweight*)corrects for differential selection probabilities within each country as specified by sample design, for nonresponse, for noncoverage, and for sampling error related to the four post-stratification variables, and takes into account differences in population size across countries. It is constructed by first deriving the design weight, then applying a post-stratification adjustment, and then a population size adjustment. Starting from Round 9, *anweight* is provided in the integrated data file (ESS weighting variables, 2024). For data from earlier ESS rounds, *anweight* was derived by multiplying *pspwght* with *pweight* (Guide to Using Weights and Sample Design Indicators with ESS Data, 2024)

The data were analysed using the R programming language in version 4.3.2 (R Core Team, 2023). PCA was done using the inbuilt stats (R Core Team, 2023) package. Data manipulation was done using the dplyr (Wickham et al., 2023) and the glue (Hester & Bryan, 2024) packages. The 2-letter country codes were transformed to the full country names and vice versa using the countrycode (Arel-Bundock, Enevoldsen & Yetman, 2018) package. Missing data were handled using the naniar package (Tierney & Cook, 2023). Visualizations were created using the ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016) and the ggrepel (Slowikowsi, 2024) packages and composed using the Patchwork (Pedersen, 2024) package.

Shiny web app

**Polarization metrics**

Non-neutrality

The fraction of individuals who do not use the central (neutral) answer option (five on the eleven-point scale from zero to ten). This assesses polarization in a very basic sense by counting the fraction of people who do not take a neutral stance. For public opinion on immigration increased non-neutrality means that more individuals take a decision to either lean towards acceptance or objection instead of being impartial. Most polarized in that sense would be a society without any impartial opinions. It captures loss of central mass.

Average deviation from neutrality

where

Shows resemblance to the concept of group polarization in psychology: How far is the average attitude away from the scale’s midpoint? This is operationalized by capturing the absolute value of the distance of the mean of the opinion distribution. For public opinion on immigration an increasing average deviation from neutrality means that individuals become either increasingly accepting or increasingly rejecting in their views. Most polarized in that sense would be a society where everyone has an extreme stance, be it "0" or "10". It also relates to the concept of group polarization from social psychology as it captures a shift of opinion positions “toward a more extreme point” (cf. Sunstein, 2003, p. 81). However, the most polarized society in this notion is also consensual in its extremity, and thus least polarized in the following notion.

Dispersion

Is measured by its mean absolute deviation. This is a good basic measure of polarization for typical survey response distributions on bounded scales (0-10 in the ESS). The maximum dispersion is when half of the individuals are on both extremes while the minimal dispersion appears for any consensus with all individuals having the same response. This measure is exactly as proposed by Bramson et al. (2016).
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Assessed by the absolute difference of group means of the moderate accepting group and the moderate opposing group, as described in Bramson et al. (2016). Lorenz (2017) analysed the typical characteristics of ESS opinion distributions and pointed to the existence of five endogenous groups in ESS opinion distributions: The extreme left, the moderate left, the neutrals, the moderate right & the extreme right. Per item, we operationalize similar groups, consisting of: The "full-on acceptors" (individuals with opinion 0), the "moderate accepting group" (individuals with opinion 1 - 4), the "neutrals" (individuals with opinion 5), the "moderate opposing group (individuals with opinion 6 - 9) & the "full-on opponents" (individuals with opinion 10).

The factor normalizes the measure to range from 0 to 1 (0 = maximally similar, 1 = maximally divergent). Starting from here, p1 to p4 and p6 to p9 MUST be present, because those are the moderate groups

Moderate group consensus
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Based on the mean absolute deviation (MAD) of the two moderate groups. In contrast to dispersion, which we assess as MAD of the entire opinion distribution, the measurement of group consensus increases with decreasing dispersion in the two groups.

Moderate size parity

The relative size of the smaller group of moderates compared to the larger group. Hereby the mass of the smaller group is divided by the mass of the larger group. A size parity of 1 indicates equal size of moderate groups and thereby the maximum possible polarization in the sense of parity. This is a simplified version of the size parity measure proposed by Bramson et al. (2016). For public opinion on immigration, increasing moderate size parity means that moderately accepting views and moderately opposing views become more similar in numbers. Most polarized in that sense would be a society where both groups are of equal size.

Multi-dimensional approach from Stefano's capstone project

* Short descriptions using PCA, linear regression etc.

- The main idea is to create the correlation matrix using the weights, resulting in the weighted correlation matrix based on which we do the PCA