

# Insurance Charges Data Analysis

Final Data Analysis Project - Sekolah Data Pacmann

**By : Stefanus Yudi Irwan**  
**Date : Thursday 6<sup>th</sup> October 2022**

1. Introduction
2. Dataset
3. Descriptive Statistic Analysis
4. Categorical Variable Analysis
5. Continuous Variable Analysis
6. Correlation Variable Analysis
7. Hypothesis Testing
8. Analysis Conclusion

# Introduction

---

- Insurance companies need to take into account **every aspect of the client's data** to determine the **proper price** of the client's **insurance payment**.
- This insurance payment from the clients will be needed to **pay for health bills** to the **healthcare facility** (ex. hospital, clinics).
- Supervisors of a new insurance agency need help to know how is the **user's condition related** to the **insurance price**. He has some data regarding the user's condition and the insurance price in some regions.

# Dataset

---



- Dataset comprises **7 columns** and **1338 rows**
- There are **3 categorical data**: Gender, Smoker, and Region
- There are **4 numerical data**: Age, BMI, Children, and Charges

	age	sex	bmi	children	smoker	region	charges
0	19	female	27.900	0	yes	southwest	16884.92400
1	18	male	33.770	1	no	southeast	1725.55230
2	28	male	33.000	3	no	southeast	4449.46200
3	33	male	22.705	0	no	northwest	21984.47061
4	32	male	28.880	0	no	northwest	3866.85520
5	31	female	25.740	0	no	southeast	3756.62160

# Descriptive Statistics Analysis

---

# Analysis on Age





## Insight.

- Insurance user age ranged **from 25 – 51 years old**.
- Smoker** and **gender** variable are not affecting **age central tendencies**.
- The average age of females is larger than the average age of males by **one year**.
- Smoker people **proportion** is **less than** non-smoker people proportion.




# Analysis on BMI




## Insight.

- BMI of Insurance users is ranged **from 24.62 – 36.46**.
- **Smoker** and **gender** variable are not affecting **BMI central tendencies**.
- The mean of insurance users lies in **BMI Obese** category.




Source : [www.cdc.gov](http://www.cdc.gov)




## Insight.

- **81,7%** of insurance users is having a BMI of **overweight to extremely obese**. this is the cause why the BMI **average of males, females, smokers, or non-smokers** has an **obese value**.




## Charge Distribution for Smoker and Gender



## Insight.

- Overall insurance charges value is around **\$4363 - \$14401**.
- Smoker insurance charges are **more expensive** than non-smoker insurance charges.
- The central tendency of smoker insurance charge value is absolutely larger than **\$18000**, whereas the central tendency of non-smoker insurance charge is less than **\$10000**.
- For non-smoker people, Female insurance charges are more expensive than male insurance charges, but the difference is not larger than **\$1000**.

## Overweight to Extremely Obese Charge for Smoker and Non-Smoker



### Insight.

- despite of BMI value, smoker insurance charges is still **more expensive** than non-smoker insurance charges.

# Descriptive Statistic Analysis Summary


---

Insurance users come mainly from **productive people**, with age values ranging from **25 to 51 years old**, with an **average** value of **39-40 years old**. The unique part, is those productive people have an **average** value of **BMI** around **30** which lies in the category of **Obese**. After further analysis, I found that **87,1%** of the insurance user is having **BMI from Overweight to Extremely Obese**. This insurance user also covers people who smoke and don't smoke. Because of their habits, the insurance charge of those people will vary, the **most expensive** group of insurance user charges comes from those who **smoke**. The insurance charges for smokers are much more expensive than for non-smokers. The charges values for **smokers are larger than \$18000** while charges for **non-smokers are less than \$10000**.


# Categorical Variables Analysis

---


User Proportion by Region



Gender Proportion by Region





Smoker Proportion by Region



## Insight.

- **Northwest, southwest, and northeast** has the same proportion of user, bar plot showed that the value is 24.2% - 24.3%, whereas the **southeast** has the largest proportion at **27.2%**.
- Every region nearly has a **50:50** female to male ratio, except the **southeast** with a difference of **1%** in gender proportion.
- In **every region** proportion of **non-smokers** is **larger** than the proportion of **smokers**. The ratio of smokers to non-smokers is around **1:4**.
- **Southeast** has the largest proportion of smoker compared to other regions, whereas **northwest and southwest** has the **same head count of smokers**.

# Region Analysis




## Insight.

- Distribution charge from every region shaping similar shape, **positively skewed distribution**.
- North East became the most expensive charges with median **\$10058**, and southwest became the cheapest charges with median **\$8799**.




# Smoker and Gender Analysis


Proportion of Gender in Non Smoker People



Proportion of Smoker and Non Smoker



Proportion of Male & Female in Smoker People



## Insight.

- Smoker proportion is much smaller than non-smoker proportion, **20.5% compare to 79.5%**.
- In the smoker group, the male has the largest proportion **58%** compared to female **42%**.
- In non-smoker group, female has the largest proportion **51.4%** compared to male **48.6%**.

# Categorical Variable Analysis Summary


---

Every region has a nearly equal amount proportion of insurance users, **24.2-24.3%**, a slight difference exists in the southeast region with a proportion of **27,2%** of all insurance users. Every region also has a nearly equal amount of gender proportion between male and female, **50:50**, a slight difference also exists in the southeast region with a proportion difference of **1%** between male and female. The data confirm that the proportion of smoker people is less than non-smoker people, the ratio of the smoker and non-smokers in every region is around **1:4**. Within smoker people, the male proportion is larger than female, 58% compared to 42%, while in non-smoker people, the female proportion is larger than male, 51.4% compared to 48,6%. The northeast region became the region with the relatively expensive amount of insurance charge of around **\$100508**, whereas the southwest region become the cheapest region with an insurance charge of around **\$8799**.

# Continuous Variables Analysis

---

# Smoker Probability Charge Analysis



## Insight.

- It is **very possible** for **smokers** to get insurance charges **more than \$16700**, the probability is **0.93**. And it is **rare** that **smokers** will get insurance **charges below \$16700**, the probability is just **0.07**.
- it is **rare** for **non smokers** to have an insurance charge for **more than \$16700**. But it is **common** for **non-smoker** to have insurance charges below **\$16700**, the probability is **0.92**.


# BMI Probability Charge Analysis



## Insight.

- It is more possible for people with **BMI  $\geq 25$  (Overweight to Extremely Obese)** to get the insurance charge **below \$16,700** because the probability is **0.74**.
- Also It is more possible for people with **BMI  $< 25$  (underweight to normal)** to get an insurance charge **below \$16,700** because the probability is **0.79**.

# Smoker and BMI Probability Charge Analysis



## Insight.

- **smoking** really increases the probability of a person getting an insurance charge for **more than \$16700**.
- **overweight** also increases the probability to get an insurance charge for **more than \$16700** but **not as strong as smoking**.

# Continuous Variable Analysis Summary

---

The **probability of a smoker** getting an insurance charge of **more than \$16700** is very large, **more than 0.7**, without taking into account his/her BMI factor. **BMI Factor** also contributes to the expensiveness of insurance charges, but the effect is **not as strong** as if he/she **smokes**. For smokers, the difference of probability between a normal BMI smoker, and with overweight BMI smoker is **0.27**, while for non-smokers the difference of probability between a normal BMI smoker, and with overweight BMI smoker is just **0.02**, this difference is very significant, thus smoking is a great factor affecting the insurance charges.


# Correlation Analysis

---




# Smoker Correlation Analysis

Pair plot of Charges, Children, BMI, and Age Data from Smoker



Smoker Correlation Map




## Insight.

- BMI and Charge has a strong correlation, **0.81**.
- there are positive weak correlation, **0.37**, between age and charges, but notice there are pattern in the scatter plot

# Smoker Correlation Analysis


Plot BMI vs charges of smoker



## Insight.

- For smoker if the **BMI** of insurance user increase by **one value**, the **charges** will increase by **\$1466**



# Smoker Correlation Analysis



## Insight.

- After separation using **BMI = 30** we could see that the **correlation value** from age and charge **increased to 0.7**, this is a **strong correlation**. This could mean that there are indeed a relationship between **age and charges**.

# Smoker Correlation Analysis




## Insight.


- For smokers with BMI > 30, if the age was **increased** by **one value**, there will be an **increase in insurance charges** by **\$480**. With charges ranged from **\$32500 to \$50000**.
- For smokers with BMI ≤ 30, if the age was **increased** by **one value**, there will be an **increase in insurance charges** by **\$513**. With charges ranged from **\$12500 to 32500**. This finding confirms analysis before, people who smoke and have a high BMI tend to have an expensive insurance charge.

# Non Smoker Correlation Analysis

Pair plot of Charges, Children, BMI, and Age Data from Non Smoker




Non Smoker Correlation Map



## Insight.

- there are positive strong correlation between **age** vs **charge** for non-smoker, with a correlation value of **0.63**

# Non Smoker Correlation Analysis



## Insight.

- For non-smokers, if the **age** increased by **one value**, there will be an increase in charge by **\$70 dollars**, this is relatively a low value to smoker data. With charges value ranged from around **\$1000 to \$16000**.
- Compared to the smoker linear regression minimum value, non smoker indeed has cheaper insurance charge.

# Correlation Analysis Summary

---


There is a correlation between smokers, non-smokers, BMI values, and age of insurance users to insurance charges that they have. Correlation analysis shows that people who smoke and have a high value of BMI tend to have an expensive insurance charge, the minimum charge value for them is **\$32500** and the value will increase by **\$480** dollars if the insurance user gets older. Smoker with middle to low-value BMI will have relatively cheaper insurance charges than smokers with high BMI values, the minimum charge for them is **\$12500** and the value will increase by **\$513** dollars if the insurance user gets older. Non-smokers, compared to smokers, have really cheap charges, their minimum value is around **\$1000** and the charges will increase by just **\$70** dollars if they get older. Then we could know that smoking and BMI really affects the expense of insurance charge.

# Hypothesis Testing

---




# Smoker's charges are higher than non-smoker's



$$H_0 : \mu_{Charge|Smoker} \leq \mu_{Charge|Non-Smoker}$$
$$H_1 : \mu_{Charge|Smoker} > \mu_{Charge|Non-Smoker}$$

## 💡 Insight.

- **Reject  $H_0$** , hence in a population of insurance users, we are 95% confident that smoker's insurance charges are higher than non-smoker insurance charges




$$H_0 : \mu_{Charge|BMI>25} \leq \mu_{Charge|BMI \leq 25}$$

$$H_1 : \mu_{Charge|BMI>25} > \mu_{Charge|BMI \leq 25}$$

## Insight.

- **Reject H0**, hence in a population of insurance users, we are 95% confident that users with BMI more than 25 will have more expensive charges than users with BMI less than 25.


# Male and Female BMI is similar



$$H_0 : \mu_{BMI|Male} \neq \mu_{BMI|Female}$$
$$H_1 : \mu_{BMI|Male} = \mu_{BMI|Female}$$

## 💡 Insight.

- **Fail to Reject H0.** Hence we are 95% confident that there is not enough data/evidence to prove that in a population of insurance users Male BMI is similar to Female BMI.



$$H_0 : \mu_{Charge|Male} \leq \mu_{Charge|Female}$$
$$H_1 : \mu_{Charge|Male} > \mu_{Charge|Female}$$

## Insight.

- **Reject  $H_0$** , hence in a population of insurance users, we are 95% confident that male insurance charges are higher than female insurance charges.

# Conclusion

---

# Analysis Summary

---

There are **several factors** that affect insurance user charges determination like **smoking, BMI value, age, gender, region, and the number of children in a family**. Of those factors, the most **key factor** to determine **how expensive** insurance charges will users have is the **smoking habit**. Analysis shows that people who smoke will get insurance charges higher than people who don't smoke, the **charges for smokers are around \$18750** for smokers with **relatively a good value of BMI**, and around **\$40000** for smokers with a **bad value of BMI**. Whereas insurance charges for **non-smokers** are just around **\$4000**. **BMI** also becomes the **second most contributing factor for insurance charge determination**, but the BMI contribution to charges determination is **larger in smoker people**. The analysis also shows that **as people get older**, the insurance **charge is getting expensive**, this age factor is also different in smokers and non-smokers people. The rest of the factor such as **gender, region, and the number of children** in a family does contribute to the determination of insurance charge but the value is **very small** compared to smoking, BMI, and age factor.

# Reference

---

- Probability and Statistics for Engineers and Scientist, Ronal E. Walpole et. All
- Pacmann : Intro to Probability Learning Module
- [Exploratory and Data Analysis Document](#)

Thank You







# CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

Has Been Awarded To

**Stefanus Yudi Irwan**

for successfully completing in class

**Introduction to Data & Introduction to Visualization**

*August 06, 2022 - October 09, 2022*



**Adityo Sanjaya**  
CEO Pacmann

SIGNATURE: 10/10/2022



Verifikasi Sertifikat  
<https://sertifikat.pacmann.ai/a0bDYvcVzIIIDph0>



# CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

Has Been Awarded To

**Stefanus Yudi Irwan**

for successfully completing in class

**Introduction to Probability - I & Introduction to Probability**

**II**

*August 06, 2022 - October 09, 2022*



**Adityo Sanjaya**  
CEO Pacmann

SIGNATURE: 10/10/2022



Verifikasi Sertifikat  
<https://sertifikat.pacmann.ai/NL2rNOI2AOi0aaa>

## Reach me ! For discussion



[My-Email](#)



[My-LinkedIn](#)

## Project Code and Repository



[Project-Notebook](#)



[Project-Repository](#)