1.4 True or False: "If ab is a unit, then a, b are units"?

This statement is false.

Show the following for any ring R:

- a. If  $a^n$  is a unit in R, then a is a unit in R.
- b. If a is left-invertible and not a right 0-divisor, then a is a unit in R.
- c. If R is a domain, then R is Dedekind-finite.

Let R be any ring.

Solution.

a. Let  $a \in R$  and assume that  $a^n$  is a unit in R. Then, there is a  $b \in R$  such that  $a^n b = ba^n = 1$ . We have that  $a^n b = (aa^{n-1})b = a(a^{n-1})b$  and  $ba^n = b(a^{n-1}a) = (ba^{n-1})a$ . Whence  $a(a^{n-1}b) = (ba^{n-1})a = 1$ . Therefore, a is a unit in R.

b. Let  $a \in R$  and assume that a is left invertible and not a right 0-divisor. So,  $a \neq 0$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that ba = 1, and for all  $c \in R \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $ca \neq 0$ . Now consider (1 - ab)a. By distribution, we get

$$(a - ab)a = a - (ab)a = a - a(ba) = a - a = 0$$

Since a is not a right zero divisor, we have that 1 - ab = 0. Hence, ab = 1, That is a is a unit in R.

c. Assume that R is a domain and let  $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that ab = 1. Now, consider a(1 - ba). This yields a - a(ba) = a - (ab)a = a - a = 0. Since R is a domain and  $a \neq 0$ , we have that 1 - ba = 0. That is 1 = ba. Thus, R is Dedekind-finite as desired.

1.5 Give an example of an element x in a ring R such that  $Rx \subseteq xR$ .

Solution.

Consider the ring of upper triangular  $2 \times 2$  real matricies. So,  $m \in R$  has the form  $m = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a, b, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now consider  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R$ . Then

$$Rx = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) | a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

and

$$xR = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2a & 2b \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) | a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Clearly, we have that  $Rx \subseteq xR$  as desired.

1.9 Show that for any ring R, the center of the matrix ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  consists of the diagonal matrices  $r \cdot I_n$ , where r belongs to the center of R.

Solution.

Let 
$$R$$
 be any ring and let  $r \in Z(R)$ . Let  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$  Hence,

$$(r \cdot I_n)a = \begin{pmatrix} ra_{11} & \cdots & ra_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ ra_{n1} & \cdots & ra_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $r, a_{ij} \in R$  for  $1 \le i, j \le n$  and  $r \in Z(R)$ ,  $ra_{ij} = a_{ij}r$  for all  $a_{ij}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} ra_{11} & \cdots & ra_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ ra_{n1} & \cdots & ra_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}r & \cdots & a_{1n}r \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}r & \cdots & a_{nn}r \end{pmatrix} = a(r \cdot I_n)$$

Since  $a \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$  was arbitrary, we have that  $(r \cdot I_n) \in Z(\mathbb{M}_n(R))$  where  $r \in Z(R)$  as desired.

- 1.11 Let R be a ring possibly without an identity. An element  $e \in R$  is called a left (resp. right) identity for R if ea = a(resp.ae = a) for every  $a \in R$ .
  - (a) Show that a left identity for R need not be a right identity.
  - (b) Show that if R has a unique left identity e, then e is also a right identity.

(Hint.For(b),consider(e + ae - a)c for arbitrary  $a, c \in R$ .)

Solution.

Let R be as above.

a. Consider the ring of  $2 \times 2$  real matricies with 0's in the bottom row. That is, the ring  $R = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} | a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } c, d = 0 \}$ . Further consider the element  $el = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R$ . Now, let  $m \in R$ . So,

$$el \cdot m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

However,

$$m \cdot el = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

Since  $m \in R$  was arbitrary, we have that el is a left identity, but not a right identity.

b. Let  $e \in R$  be the unique left identity for R. Let  $a, c \in R$  and consider (e + ae - a)c. By distribution, we have that

$$(e + ae - a)c = ec + (ae)c - ac = c + a(ec) - ac = c + ac - ac = c$$

Since we have that ec = (e + ae - a)c and that e is the unique left identity for R, e = e + ae - a. Hence, ae - a = 0 and thus ae = a. Therefore, e is also a right identity for R as desired.

1.17 Let x, y be elements in a ring R such that Rx = Ry. Show that there exists a right R-module isomorphism  $f: xR \to yR$  such that f(x) = y.

## Solution.

Let x, y, and R be as given. Consider the mapping  $\phi: xR \to yR$  defined by  $\phi(xr) = yr$  for all  $r \in R$ . Now,  $\phi(xr + xr') = \phi(x(r + r'))$  since xR is a right R-module. Whence,  $\phi(x(r + r')) = y(r + r') = yr + yr' = \phi(xr) + \phi(xr')$  since yR is also a right R module. Now, let  $r' \in R$ . So,  $\phi(xr)r' = (yr)r' = y(rr') = \phi(x(rr')) = \phi((xr)r')$  since R is a ring and xR, yR are right R-modules. Therefore,  $\phi$  is a right R-module homomorphism. Now, let let  $xr, xr' \in xR$  and assume that  $\phi(xr) = \phi(xr')$ . That is yr = yr'. Now, let  $\bar{r} \in R$  So,  $\bar{r}(yr) = \bar{r}(yr')$ . By associativity,  $(\bar{r}y)r = (\bar{r}y)r'$ . Since Rx = Ry and  $\bar{r} \in R$ , we have that  $(\bar{r}x)r = (\bar{r}x)r'$ . Thus, xr = xr' and  $\phi$  is 1 - 1. Now, let  $yr \in yR$  be arbitrary. We see that  $\phi(xr) = yr$ , and so  $\phi$  is also onto. It follows that  $\phi$  is a right R-module isomorphism. Clearly, if r = 1 the identity of R, then we have that  $\phi(x) = y$  where  $\phi: xR \to yR$  is an isomorphism.

## 1. Let R be a ring and

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \stackrel{\phi_1}{\longrightarrow} M_2 \stackrel{\phi_2}{\longrightarrow} M_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

a short exact sequence of left R-modules. Show that if  $M_2$  is noetherian, then so are  $M_1$  and  $M_3$ .

## Solution.

Let R and the above sequence be as given. Assume that  $M_2$  is noetherian. Let  $K = \ker(\phi_2)$ . We have that  $K \subseteq M_2$  and since  $M_2$  is noetheriean, so to is  $M_2/K$ . Since the sequence is short exact, we know that  $\phi_2$  is onto, and so  $\phi_2(M_2) = M_3$ . Now, by the Fundamental Homomorphism Theorem, we have that  $M_2/K \cong \phi_2(M_2) = M_3$ , and so  $M_3$  is also noetherian. Now, let  $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \ldots$  be an ascending chain of left R-submodules of  $M_1$ . So,  $\phi_1(N_1) \subset \phi_1(N_2) \subset \ldots$  is an ascending chain of left R-submodules of  $M_2$ . Since  $M_2$  is noetherian, we have that  $\phi_1(N_1) \subset \phi_1(N_2) \subset \ldots$  satisfies the ascending chain condition. That is for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we have that  $\phi_1(N_n) = \phi_1(N_{n+1}) = \ldots$  Since the above sequence is short exact, we have that  $\phi_1$  is 1-1. Thus,  $N_n = N_{n+1} = \ldots$  Whence,  $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \ldots$  also satisfis the ascending chain condition. Therefore,  $M_1$  is also noetherian.

2. Let R be a ring and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Show that if  $\mathbb{M}_n(R) \mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is artinian, then so is RR.

## Solution.

Let R and n be as above. Assume that  $\mathbb{M}_{n(R)}\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$  is artinian. So, let  $N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \ldots$  be a descending chain of submodules of R. Then,  $\mathbb{M}_{n}(N_1) \supseteq \mathbb{M}_{n}(N_2) \supseteq \ldots$  is a descending chain of submodules of  $\mathbb{M}_{n(R)}\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$ . Since  $\mathbb{M}_{n(R)}\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$  is artinian, we have that  $\mathbb{M}_{n}(N_m) = \mathbb{M}_{n}(N_{m+1}) = \ldots$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Clearly,  $I_n$  the  $n \times n$  identity matrix is an element of  $\mathbb{M}_{n}(N_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Hence, let  $x_m \in N_m$ . Then  $x_m \cdot I_n \in \mathbb{M}_{n}(N_m)$  and  $x_m \cdot I_n \in \mathbb{M}_{n}(N_{m+i})$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  since  $\mathbb{M}_{n}(N_m) = \mathbb{M}_{n}(N_{m+1}) = \ldots$ . Therefore, we have that  $x_m \in N_{m+i}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since  $x_m$  was arbitrary, we have that  $N_m = N_{m+1} = \ldots$ . Thus, the descending chain of submodules of R,  $N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \ldots$ , satisfies the descending chain condition. That is, R is artinian as desired.

3. Let R be any ring and M a left R-module that is both artinian and noetherian. Prove that for any R-module homomorphism  $\phi: M \to M$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\phi^n(M) \cap \ker(\phi^n) = \{0\}$ .

Solution.

Let R and M be as given. Let  $\phi: M \to M$  be an R-module homomorphism. Consider the ascending chain

$$\phi(M) \subset \phi^2(M) \subset \phi^3(M) \subset \dots$$

and the descending chain

$$\phi(M) \supset \phi^2(M) \supset \phi^3(M) \supset \dots$$

Since  $\phi$  is an endomorphism,  $\phi^i(M) \in M$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since M is noetherian, we have that

$$\phi(M) \subset \phi^2(M) \subset \phi^3(M) \subset \ldots \subset \phi^l(M) = \phi^{l+1}(M) = \ldots$$

for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and since M is also artinian,

$$\phi(M) \supset \phi^2(M) \supset \phi^3(M) \supset \ldots \supset \phi^m(M) = \phi^{m+1}(M) = \ldots$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Now set  $n = \max\{l, m\}$ . Then,  $\phi^n(M) = \phi^{n+1}(M) = \ldots$  satisfies both the ascending and descending chain conditions on M. Clearly  $0 \in \phi^n(M) \cap \ker(\phi^n)$  since  $\phi$  is an endomorphism. Now, let  $x \in \phi^n(M) \cap \ker(\phi^n)$ . That is,  $\phi^n(x) = 0$  and there exists  $y \in M$  such that  $\phi^n(y) = x$ . So,  $\phi^{n+1}(y) = \phi(x)$  and  $\phi^{n+2}(y) = \phi^2(x)$ . Continuing this process of composing with  $\phi$  on both sides, we see that  $\phi^{2n}(y) = \phi^n(x) = 0$ . Whence, x = 0. Since  $x \in \phi^n(M) \cap \ker(\phi^n)$  was arbitrary,  $\phi^n(M) \cap \ker(\phi^n) \subseteq \{0\}$ . Therefore,  $\phi^n(M) \cap \ker(\phi^n) = \{0\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  as desired.