

1. Suppose that  $M$  is a free left  $R$ -module, over a ring  $R$ , having a basis with  $n$  elements, as well as a basis with  $n + 1$  elements with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Show that  $M$  has a basis with  $m$  elements, for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  satisfying  $m \geq n$ .

*Solution.*

Let  $M$ ,  $R$ , and  $n$  be as given above. We will proceed by induction. By supposition,  $M$  has a basis with  $n$  elements. Now, assume that  $M$  has a basis with  $m \geq n$  elements. So, we need a basis for  $M$  with  $m + 1$  elements. By our other supposition,  $M$  has a basis with  $n + 1$  elements. Hence,  $M$  has a basis with  $m + 1$  elements. Therefore, by mathematical induction,  $M$  has a basis with  $m$  elements, for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  satisfying  $m \geq n$ .

2. 2. Give an example of a ring  $R$  and left  $R$ -modules  $M, N, L$  such that  $M \oplus L \cong N \oplus L$ , but  $M \not\cong N$ .

*Solution.*

Consider the ring  $\mathbb{R}$  and the left  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules  $\{0\}$ ,  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we have that  $\{0\} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ . Also,  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$  via the map  $\pi : \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\pi((a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = ((a_{2i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (a_{2i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ . Clearly,  $\pi$  is a module homomorphism. Also, we see that  $\ker(\pi) = \{0\}$  as 0 is the only element in  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\pi(0) = 0 = (0, 0)$ . Thus,  $\pi$  is 1-1. Also,  $\pi$  is onto since for any element in  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ , there are finitely many nonzero terms in each tuple. Since we have that  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{0\} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \cong \{0\} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ . However,  $\{0\} \not\cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ .

3. 3. Let  $R$  be a ring, and consider the following commuting diagram of left  $R$ -modules and  $R$ -module homomorphisms, where each row is a short exact sequence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & M_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & M_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \rho_1 \downarrow & & \rho_2 \downarrow & & \rho_3 \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & N_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & N_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & N_3 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

- (a) Show that if  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_3$  are  $1 - 1$ , then so is  $\rho_2$ .  
 (b) Show that if  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_3$  are onto, then so is  $\rho_2$ .

*Solution.*

Let the diagram be as given above.

(a) Assume that  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_3$  are  $1 - 1$ . Now, let  $m \in \ker(\rho_2)$ . Hence,  $\rho_2(m) = 0$ . Since the above diagram commutes, we have that  $(\rho_3(\phi_2(m))) = (\psi_2(\rho_2(m)))$ . Since  $\rho_2(m) = 0$  and  $\psi_2(0) = 0$  by  $\psi_2$  be an  $R$ -module homomorphism,  $(\rho_3(\phi_2(m))) = 0$ . By assumption  $\rho_3$  is  $1 - 1$ , and therefore,  $\ker(\rho_3) = \{0\}$ . That is,  $\phi_2(m) = 0$ . Since each row is a short exact sequence, we have that  $\phi_1(M_1) = \ker(\phi_2)$ . So,  $m \in \phi(M_1)$  means that there exists  $m' \in M_1$  such that  $\phi(m') = m$ . Again, since the given diagram commutes, we have that  $\psi_1(\rho_1(m')) = \rho_2(\phi_1(m'))$ . Since  $\phi(m') = m$  and  $\rho_2(m) = 0$ ,  $\psi_1(\rho_1(m')) = 0$ . We also know that  $\psi_1$  is  $1 - 1$  since the each row is a short exact sequence by Observation 8. Hence,  $\rho_1(m') = 0$  and since  $\rho_1$  is  $1 - 1$  by assumption,  $m' = 0$ . Thus,  $\phi_1(m') = \phi_1(0) = 0 = m$ . Hence,  $\ker(\rho_2) = \{0\}$  and so  $\rho_2$  is  $1 - 1$  as desired.

(b) Assume that  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_3$  are onto. Let  $z \in N_3$ . Since we assume that  $\rho_3$  is onto, there exists  $c \in M_3$  such that  $\rho_3(c) = z$ . Since each row is a short exact sequence, we have that  $\phi_2$  is also onto. Hence there exists  $b \in M_2$  such that  $\phi_2(b) = c$ . So,  $\rho_3(\phi_2(b)) = z$ . Since the diagram commutes, we have that  $\rho_3(\phi_2(b)) = \psi_2(\rho_2(b)) = z$ . Since each row is a short exact sequence, we also know that there exists  $y \in N_2$  such that  $\psi_2(y) = z$ . Now, let  $y' \in \ker(\psi_2)$ . Then, we have that  $y' \in \psi_1(N_1)$  since the bottom row is a short exact sequence. So, there exists  $x \in N_1$  such that  $\psi_1(x) = y'$ . Since we assume that  $\rho_1$  is onto, we have that there exists  $a \in M_1$  such that  $\rho_1(a) = x$ . So,  $\psi_1(\rho_1(a)) = y'$ . Again, since the diagram commutes, we have that  $\rho_2(\phi_1(a)) = \psi_1(\rho_1(a)) = y'$ . Thus, we have that  $\rho_2$  is also onto as desired.

4. 4. Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not projective as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

*Solution.*

Assume by way of contradiction that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is projective as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. By a fact from class, we know that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is projective if and only if  $\mathbb{Q}$  is free. So, let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $q, r \in S$ . So,  $q = \frac{x_1}{y_1}$  and  $r = \frac{x_2}{y_2}$  for some  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ . Now consider  $aq + br$ . If  $a = x_2 y_1$  and  $b = -x_1 y_2$ ,  $aq + br = x_2 y_1 \frac{x_1}{y_1} - x_1 y_2 \frac{x_2}{y_2} = x_1 x_2 - x_1 x_2 = 0$ . However, we have that  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ , so  $a, b \neq 0$ . Thus  $S$  is not linearly independent, and is hence not a basis for  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $S$  was arbitrary, we have that there is not basis for  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not free and is thus not projective as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

5. 5. Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $M_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) left  $R$ -modules. Show that if  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is projective, then each  $M_i$  is projective.

*Solution.*

Let  $R$  and  $M_i$  for  $i \in I$  be as given. Since  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is projective, then for any left  $R$ -modules  $N_1, N_2$ , any onto  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\phi : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ , and any  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\psi : \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow N_2$ , there exists an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\rho : \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow N_1$  such that  $\phi \circ \rho = \psi$  by part 3 of Theorem 10. Now consider  $\iota_i : M_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  the natural inclusion map. Then, for an arbitrary  $a \in M_i$ ,  $\iota_i(a) = b \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ . Now,  $\psi(\iota_i(a)) = \psi(b)$ . By our assumption,  $\psi(b) = \phi(\rho(b))$ . That is,  $\psi(\iota_i(a)) = \phi(\rho(\iota_i(a)))$ . So, we have each  $M_i$  is projective by considering  $\rho \circ \iota_i$  and  $\psi \circ \iota_i$ .

6. 6. Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $M_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) left  $R$ -modules. Show that if each  $M_i$  is projective, then  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is projective.

*Solution.*

Let  $R$  and  $M_i$  for  $i \in I$  be as stated. Since  $M_i$  is projective, then for any left  $R$ -modules  $N_1, N_2$ , any onto  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\phi : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ , and any  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\psi : M_i \rightarrow N_2$ , there exists an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\rho : M_i \rightarrow N_1$  such that  $\phi \circ \rho = \psi$  by part 3 of Theorem 10. Now consider  $\pi_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow M_i$  the natural projection map. Then, for an arbitrary  $a \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ ,  $\pi_i(a) = b \in M_i$ . Now,  $\psi(\pi_i(a)) = \psi(b)$ . By our assumption,  $\psi(b) = \phi(\rho(b))$ . That is,  $\psi(\pi_i(a)) = \phi(\rho(\pi_i(a)))$ . So, we have  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is projective by considering  $\rho \circ \pi_i$  and  $\psi \circ \pi_i$ .

7. 7. Show that if  $M$  is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, then for all  $m \in M$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $m' \in M$  such that  $nm' = m$ .

*Solution.*

Let  $M$  be an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and let  $m \in M$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Consider the map  $\psi : n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$  induced by  $n \mapsto m$ . Also consider the map  $\iota : n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  the natural inclusion map. Then  $\iota$  is injective. Hence, there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\rho$  such that  $\rho \circ \iota = \psi$  by part 3 of Theorem 11. Now, define  $m' = \rho(1)$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $n > 0$ . Then,  $n = 1 + \cdots + 1$ . Now,  $\psi(n) = \rho(\iota(n))$ . That is  $m = \rho(n)$  by definition of  $\psi$  and  $\iota$ . Whence,  $m = \rho(1 + \cdots + 1) = \rho(1) + \cdots + \rho(1)$  since  $\rho$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism. Therefore,  $m = m' + \cdots + m' = nm'$ . Thus, if  $M$  is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, then for all  $m \in M$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $m' \in M$  such that  $nm' = m$  as desired.

8. 8. Prove that no finite nonzero  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module can be injective.

*Solution.*

Assume by way of contradiction that there exists a finite nonzero  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module that is injective. Call said  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$ . Since  $M$  is finite and nonzero, we have that  $|M| = m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \geq 2$ . Now, let  $a \in M$  such that  $a \neq 0$ . Then,  $|\langle a \rangle| = n$  where  $n$  divides  $m$  and  $n > 1$ . So,  $na = 0$  by definition of the order of an element. Since we assume that  $M$  is injective, we have that every short exact sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\phi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} M_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

is split by Theorem 11. Since the sequence is short exact, we know that  $\phi_1$  is  $1 - 1$  by observation 8. Therefore,  $\ker(\phi_1) = \{0\}$ . Now,  $\phi_1(0) = \phi_1(na) = \phi_1(a + \cdots + a) = \phi_1(a) + \cdots + \phi_1(a) \neq 0$  since  $a \neq 0$  and  $\phi_1$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism, a contradiction. Thus, there are no finite nonzero  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules that are injective as desired.



9. 9. Prove that for any ring  $R$ , every left  $R$ -module is projective if and only if every left  $R$ -module is injective.

*Solution.*

Let  $R$  be a ring. Assume first that every left  $R$ -module is projective. Then, we have that every short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} M_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

is split for all left  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, M_3$ . So, if we fix the left  $R$ -module  $M_1$ , then the short exact sequence is still split for all left  $R$ -modules  $M_2, M_3$ . Since  $M_1$  is an arbitrary left  $R$ -module, we have that every left  $R$ -module is injective. Conversely, assume that every left  $R$ -module is injective. Hence we have that every short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} M_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

is split for all left  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, M_3$ . Now, fix the left  $R$ -module  $M_3$ . Whence every short exact sequence is still split for all left  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2$ . Since  $M_3$  is arbitrary as a left  $R$ -modules, we have that every left  $R$ -module is projective. Thus, every left  $R$ -module is projective if and only if every left  $R$ -module is injective.