

Building a Robust Geodemographic Segmentation Model

P12-Churn-Modelling : Lequel des clients est susceptible de quitter la banque ?

On a dummify les variables Gender et Geography vu qu'elles sont des variables catégorielles.

```
Model 1: Logit, using observations 1-10000
Dependent variable: Exited
Standard errors based on Hessian

      coefficient      std. error      z      p-value
-----
const          -3.92076        0.245354      -15.98      1.76e-057 ***
CreditScore    -0.000668329      0.000280345     -2.384      0.0171 **
Age            0.0727060        0.00257551      28.23      2.52e-175 ***
Tenure         -0.0159491        0.00935487     -1.705      0.0882 *
Balance        2.63707e-06        5.14213e-07      5.128      2.92e-07 ***
NumOfProducts -0.101523        0.0471342      -2.154      0.0312 **
HasCrCard      -0.0446764        0.0593395     -0.7529      0.4515
IsActiveMember -1.07544        0.0576856     -18.64      1.43e-077 ***
EstimatedSalary 4.80699e-07      4.73663e-07      1.015      0.3102
Female         0.528483        0.0544884      9.699      3.04e-022 ***
Spain         0.0352178        0.0706379      0.4986      0.6181
Germany        0.774714        0.0676740      11.45      2.41e-030 ***

Mean dependent var      0.203700      S.D. dependent var      0.402769
McFadden R-squared      0.153161      Adjusted R-squared      0.150787
Log-likelihood          -4280.678      Akaike criterion        8585.355
Schwarz criterion       8671.879      Hannan-Quinn            8614.643

Number of cases 'correctly predicted' = 8103 (81.0%)
f(beta'x) at mean of independent vars = 0.135
Likelihood ratio test: Chi-square(11) = 1548.43 [0.0000]

      Predicted
      0      1
Actual 0   7666   297
       1   1600   437

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 18 (Spain)
```

Apply Backward Elimination (see MLR)

P-value of Spain was Highest and (>5%), so I am deleting it. We get :

```
Model 2: Logit, using observations 1-10000
Dependent variable: Exited
Standard errors based on Hessian

      coefficient      std. error      z      p-value
-----
const          -3.91097        0.244526     -15.99      1.41e-057 ***
CreditScore    -0.000666615      0.000280294     -2.378      0.0174 **
Age            0.0727230        0.00257536      28.24      2.00e-175 ***
Tenure         -0.0159766        0.00935423     -1.708      0.0876 *
Balance        2.63733e-06        5.14201e-07      5.129      2.91e-07 ***
NumOfProducts -0.101288        0.0471276      -2.149      0.0316 **
HasCrCard      -0.0449303        0.0593378     -0.7572      0.4489
IsActiveMember -1.07519        0.0576828     -18.64      1.53e-077 ***
EstimatedSalary 4.81342e-07      4.73649e-07      1.016      0.3095
Female         0.528343        0.0544870      9.697      3.11e-022 ***
Germany        0.762937        0.0633614      12.04      2.16e-033 ***

Mean dependent var      0.203700      S.D. dependent var      0.402769
McFadden R-squared      0.153137      Adjusted R-squared      0.150961
Log-likelihood          -4280.802      Akaike criterion        8583.603
Schwarz criterion       8662.917      Hannan-Quinn            8610.451

Number of cases 'correctly predicted' = 8100 (81.0%)
f(beta'x) at mean of independent vars = 0.135
Likelihood ratio test: Chi-square(10) = 1548.18 [0.0000]

      Predicted
      0      1
Actual 0   7665   298
       1   1602   435

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 11 (HasCrCard)
```

P-value of HasCrCard was Highest and (>5%), so I am deleting it. We get:

Model 3: Logit, using observations 1-10000
 Dependent variable: Exited
 Standard errors based on Hessian

	coefficient	std. error	z	p-value	
const	-3.94435	0.240579	-16.40	2.07e-060	***
CreditScore	-0.000664033	0.000280270	-2.369	0.0178	**
Age	0.0727303	0.00257516	28.24	1.73e-175	***
Tenure	-0.0161505	0.00935127	-1.727	0.0842	*
Balance	2.64543e-06	5.14070e-07	5.146	2.66e-07	***
NumOfProducts	-0.101333	0.0471228	-2.150	0.0315	**
IsActiveMember	-1.07438	0.0576668	-18.63	1.81e-077	***
EstimatedSalary	4.81783e-07	4.73661e-07	1.017	0.3091	
Female	0.528489	0.0544853	9.700	3.02e-022	***
Germany	0.761879	0.0633445	12.03	2.55e-033	***
Mean dependent var	0.203700	S.D. dependent var	0.402769		
McFadden R-squared	0.153080	Adjusted R-squared	0.151102		
Log-likelihood	-4281.088	Akaike criterion	8582.175		
Schwarz criterion	8654.279	Hannan-Quinn	8606.582		

Number of cases 'correctly predicted' = 8111 (81.1%)
 f(beta'x) at mean of independent vars = 0.135
 Likelihood ratio test: Chi-square(9) = 1547.61 [0.0000]

		Predicted	
		0	1
Actual	0	7673	290
	1	1599	438

Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 13 (EstimatedSalary)

P-value of EstimatedSalary was Highest and (>5%), so I am deleting it. We get:

Model 4: Logit, using observations 1-10000
 Dependent variable: Exited
 Standard errors based on Hessian

	coefficient	std. error	z	p-value	
const	-3.89591	0.235717	-16.53	2.31e-061	***
CreditScore	-0.000666426	0.000280263	-2.378	0.0174	**
Age	0.0727016	0.00257462	28.24	2.01e-175	***
Tenure	-0.0159836	0.00934933	-1.710	0.0873	*
Balance	2.65326e-06	5.13979e-07	5.162	2.44e-07	***
NumOfProducts	-0.100475	0.0471176	-2.132	0.0330	**
IsActiveMember	-1.07509	0.0576636	-18.64	1.41e-077	***
Female	0.528981	0.0544804	9.710	2.74e-022	***
Germany	0.762059	0.0633400	12.03	2.43e-033	***
Mean dependent var	0.203700	S.D. dependent var	0.402769		
McFadden R-squared	0.152978	Adjusted R-squared	0.151197		
Log-likelihood	-4281.605	Akaike criterion	8581.210		
Schwarz criterion	8646.103	Hannan-Quinn	8603.176		

Number of cases 'correctly predicted' = 8115 (81.2%)
 f(beta'x) at mean of independent vars = 0.135
 Likelihood ratio test: Chi-square(8) = 1546.57 [0.0000]

		Predicted	
		0	1
Actual	0	7676	287
	1	1598	439

Remarque: la (R^2) augmente au fur a mesure, ce qui est prouve que les modèles prédictifs s'améliorent à fur à mesure. Cela signifie qu'on n'a pas retiré à tort une variable.

→ We have decided to stop at this step because all independent variables are significant.

Transformer les variables indépendantes .

On remplace la variable Balance par $\log_Balance = \ln(Balance+1)$. We get :

```
Model 5: Logit, using observations 1-10000
Dependent variable: Exited
Standard errors based on Hessian
```

	coefficient	std. error	z	p-value	
const	-3.91258	0.237164	-16.50	3.84e-061	***
CreditScore	-0.000674866	0.000280272	-2.408	0.0160	**
Age	0.0726550	0.00257451	28.22	3.24e-175	***
Tenure	-0.0158791	0.00934627	-1.699	0.0893	*
NumOfProducts	-0.0950198	0.0475374	-1.999	0.0456	**
IsActiveMember	-1.07578	0.0576458	-18.66	1.01e-077	***
Female	0.526721	0.0544591	9.672	3.97e-022	***
Germany	0.747595	0.0650515	11.49	1.44e-030	***
log_Balance	0.0690263	0.0139592	4.945	7.62e-07	***

Mean dependent var	0.203700	S.D. dependent var	0.402769
Mcfadden R-squared	0.152787	Adjusted R-squared	0.151006
Log-likelihood	-4282.570	Akaike criterion	8583.141
Schwarz criterion	8648.034	Hannan-Quinn	8605.107


```
Number of cases 'correctly predicted' = 8127 (81.3%)
f(beta'x) at mean of independent vars = 0.135
Likelihood ratio test: Chi-square(8) = 1544.64 [0.0000]
```

Predicted		
0 1		
Actual 0	7687	276
1	1597	440

On ajoute la variable $WealthAccumulation = Balance / Age$ au modèle précédent, we get :

```
Model 6: Logit, using observations 1-10000
Dependent variable: Exited
Standard errors based on Hessian
```

	coefficient	std. error	z	p-value	
const	-3.82758	0.248202	-15.42	1.18e-053	***
CreditScore	-0.000675560	0.000280329	-2.410	0.0160	**
Age	0.0706681	0.00309455	22.84	2.00e-115	***
Tenure	-0.0159252	0.00934677	-1.704	0.0884	*
NumOfProducts	-0.0955301	0.0475596	-2.009	0.0446	**
IsActiveMember	-1.07339	0.0576722	-18.61	2.57e-077	***
Female	0.525712	0.0544733	9.651	4.88e-022	***
Germany	0.746337	0.0651330	11.46	2.13e-030	***
log_Balance	0.0950938	0.0266187	3.572	0.0004	***
WealthAccumulati~	-4.33552e-05	3.77862e-05	-1.147	0.2512	

Mean dependent var	0.203700	S.D. dependent var	0.402769
Mcfadden R-squared	0.152918	Adjusted R-squared	0.150940
Log-likelihood	-4281.908	Akaike criterion	8583.815
Schwarz criterion	8655.919	Hannan-Quinn	8608.222


```
Number of cases 'correctly predicted' = 8123 (81.2%)
f(beta'x) at mean of independent vars = 0.135
Likelihood ratio test: Chi-square(9) = 1545.97 [0.0000]
```

Predicted		
0 1		
Actual 0	7684	279
1	1598	439


```
Excluding the constant, p-value was highest for variable 21 (WealthAccumulation)
```

Remarque : $WealthAccumulation$ n'est pas significative et il n'y pas d'amélioration du modèle (la diminution de R^2). Cela est peut-être dû au fait que $weilthAccumulation(Balance / Age)$ est lié a Age et Balance (i.e. existence de colinéarité entre $weilthAccumulation$, Age, $\log_Balance$).

Vérification de multi colinéarité en utilisant VIF.

Variance Inflation Factors	
Minimum possible value = 1.0	
Values > 10.0 may indicate a collinearity problem	
CreditScore	1.001
Age	1.450
NumOfProducts	1.152
IsActiveMember	1.011
Female	1.003
Germany	1.271
Tenure	1.001
Log_Balance	5.860
WealthAccumulation	5.722

VIF(j) = $1/(1 - R(j)^2)$, where $R(j)$ is the multiple correlation coefficient between variable j and the other independent variables

Le VIF de log_Balance et WealthAccumulation est supérieur aux autres variables, on décide alors de retirer log_Balance. We get :

Model 7: Logit, using observations 1-10000					
Dependent variable: Exited					
Standard errors based on Hessian					
	coefficient	std. error	z	p-value	
const	-3.93393	0.246385	-15.97	2.18e-057	***
CreditScore	-0.000671869	0.000280046	-2.399	0.0164	**
Age	0.0758300	0.00274955	27.58	1.98e-167	***
Tenure	-0.0158045	0.00934123	-1.692	0.0907	*
NumOfProducts	-0.121038	0.0471074	-2.569	0.0102	**
IsActiveMember	-1.07881	0.0576645	-18.71	4.23e-078	***
Female	0.526299	0.0544270	9.670	4.05e-022	***
Germany	0.808180	0.0629285	12.84	9.44e-038	***
WealthAccumulati~	7.07501e-05	1.94600e-05	3.636	0.0003	***
Mean dependent var	0.203700	S.D. dependent var	0.402769		
McFadden R-squared	0.151650	Adjusted R-squared	0.149869		
Log-likelihood	-4288.318	Akaike criterion	8594.635		
Schwarz criterion	8659.528	Hannan-Quinn	8616.601		
Number of cases 'correctly predicted' = 8121 (81.2%)					
f(beta'x) at mean of independent vars = 0.135					
Likelihood ratio test: Chi-square(8) = 1533.15 [0.0000]					
	Predicted				
	0	1			
Actual 0	7685	278			
1	1601	436			

En enlevant log_Balance, On obtient que WeilthAccumulation devient très significative et son coefficient est même devenu positif. Revérifions la multi colinéarité :

```

Variance Inflation Factors

Minimum possible value = 1.0
Values > 10.0 may indicate a collinearity problem

    CreditScore    1.001
      Age         1.115
  NumOfProducts  1.118
  IsActiveMember  1.011
    Female        1.003
    Germany       1.187
    Tenure        1.001
  WealthAccumulation 1.387

VIF(j) = 1/(1 - R(j)^2), where R(j) is the multiple correlation coefficient
between variable j and the other independent variables

```

Aucun signe de multi colinéarité flagrant.

On décide finalement pour être plus cohérent avec notre étude, nous allons enlever WealthAccumulation et garder log_Balance. We get :

```

Model 9: Logit, using observations 1-10000
Dependent variable: Exited
Standard errors based on Hessian

      coefficient      std. error      z      p-value
-----
const      -3.91258      0.237164     -16.50    3.84e-061 ***
CreditScore -0.000674866    0.000280272    -2.408    0.0160 **
Age         0.0726550      0.00257451     28.22    3.24e-175 ***
Tenure      -0.0158791      0.00934627     -1.699    0.0893 *
NumOfProducts -0.0950198      0.0475374     -1.999    0.0456 **
IsActiveMember -1.07578      0.0576458     -18.66    1.01e-077 ***
Female      0.526721      0.0544591      9.672    3.97e-022 ***
Germany     0.747595      0.0650515     11.49    1.44e-030 ***
log_Balance 0.0690263      0.0139592      4.945    7.62e-07 ***

Mean dependent var    0.203700    S.D. dependent var    0.402769
McFadden R-squared    0.152787    Adjusted R-squared    0.151006
Log-likelihood         -4282.570    Akaike criterion      8583.141
Schwarz criterion      8648.034    Hannan-Quinn          8605.107

Number of cases 'correctly predicted' = 8127 (81.3%)
f(beta*x) at mean of independent vars = 0.135
Likelihood ratio test: Chi-square(8) = 1544.64 [0.0000]

      Predicted
      0      1
Actual 0   7687   276
       1   1597   440

```

Vérification de multi colinéarité par la matrice de corrélation :

Regardons la matrice de corrélation des variables Age, log_Balance, WealthAccumulation, log_WA :

```

Correlation Coefficients, using the observations 1 - 10000
Two-tailed critical values for n = 10000: 5% 0.0196, 1% 0.0258

      Age      log_Balance  WealthAccumula~      log_WA
1.0000      0.0345      -0.2463      -0.0075 Age
      1.0000      0.8651      0.9984 log_Balance
      1.0000      0.8889 WealthAccumula~
      1.0000 log_WA

```

Astuce : Plus la valeur absolue des coefficients de la matrice(coeff) se rapproche de 1, plus cela montre la colinéarité entre les variables.

- Si $\text{coeff} > 0.9 \Rightarrow$ Très corrélées (Doit retirer une variable)
- Si $\text{coeff} > 0.7 \Rightarrow$ Très corrélées (recommande de faire qq chose)
- Si $0.3 \leq \text{coeff} < 0.5 \Rightarrow$ corrélation modérée (essayer d'enlever une variable pour voir)
- Si $0 < \text{coeff} < 0.3 \Rightarrow$ Faible corrélation (on laisse les variables)

Par exemple dans notre cas, on a :

- log_WA et log_Balance sont très corrélées(on retire une variable).
- WealthAccumulation et log_Balance sont très corrélées(on retire une variable).
- log_WA et WealthAccumulation sont très corrélées(on retire une variable).
- log_WA et Age ne sont pas très corrélées.
- log_Balance et Age ne sont pas très corrélées.
- WealthAccumulation et Age ne sont pas très corrélées.

Dans cette section nous avons appris:

1. Ce qu'est la segmentation géo-démographique
2. Comment construire un VRAI modèle de segmentation
3. Comment transformer des variables indépendantes
4. Comment créer des variables dérivées (nouvelles VIs)
5. L'intuition derrière les colinéarités
6. Comment vérifier les colinéarités en utilisant les VIFs
7. Comment lire une matrice de corrélation