Preregistration information for "Attitudes towards science"

Stephan Lewandowsky, Jan Woike, and Klaus Oberauer (stephan.lewandowsky@bristol.ac.uk)

I. Method

Qualtrics was contracted in June 2018 to deliver a quasi-representative sample of 1,000 U.S. residents. The Qualtrics soft quotas for recruitment were as follows:

Male	48.3
Female	51.7

11.30%
17.80%
17.30%
19.10%
16.50%
18.00%

Income	
Under \$15k	13
\$15k-\$25k	11.7
\$25k-\$35k	10.7
\$35k-\$50k	13.6
\$50k-\$75k	17.5
\$75k-\$100k	11.7
\$100k-\$150k	12.5
\$150k-\$200k	5
\$200k+	4.5

This was compared to U.S. census data and found to be in sufficient agreement

(https://www.census.gov/popclock/data_tables.php?component=pyramid and

https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/income-poverty/cps-hinc/hinc-06.html).

The exact survey used is appended on the subsequent pages.

Note the following:

- 1. Questions QC.1 through QG.5 were randomized for each participant.
- 2. Question QAC is an attention filter.
- 3. Questions Qage and Q4.2 taken together are attention filters (i.e. same response required to pass)

Consent Form

Study information and declaration of consent

Thank you very much for your interest in participating in our study "Attitudes Towards Society". This study is a research project of the Max Planck Institute (MPI) for Human Development, conducted by Jan K. Woike in collaboration with Stephan Lewandowsky (University of Bristol) and Klaus Oberauer (University of Zurich). The project was evaluated by the Institutional Review Board of the Max Planck Institute. By marking the checkboxes below, you agree that you have read the information presented and that you are willing to participate in the study.

1. Aim of the study

This study explores people's beliefs about a wide range of topics, ranging from scientific propositions to claims made in the media and on the internet. In addition, the survey is interested in participants' personal beliefs about the world, politics, religion, medicine, and issues confronting modern societies at the moment.

2. What will be done?

You will be asked to complete an internet survey consisting of around 40 questions. This should take no more than 20-30 minutes to complete at most. The questions target a wide range of issues, from your views on religion, to your evaluation of political values and your attitudes towards scientific findings.

3. Are there any risks involved?

No risks or discomforts are anticipated from taking part in this study.

4. What will happen to the information and data collected?

Your responses and data will be stored under a random number. We will NOT ask for your name, email address or contact information, but your IP address (and national code of your location, e.g. "US") might be saved. The only way to link your responses to your identity is through an arbitrary code number (we will not be able to make this link, only the panel provider has access to this information). This code number might be used to prevent participation in directly related studies in the future. For our analyses we will use a dataset that will not include the code number nor your IP address.

The survey data will be used solely for research purposes. The collected survey data may be shared with other researchers. At the end of the study, the data will be made "Open Access",

meaning that it will be stored in an online database so that it is publicly available to allow other scientists and members of the public to validate results and extend our analyses. This means that data could be passed on to third parties and we would not be able to control access. Any data that is passed on or published will be stripped of identifiers and location data (including IP address), so that it is impossible to link it to you.

5. Participation is voluntary

Participation in this study is entirely voluntary; you are free to withdraw your participation from this study at any time. If you do not want to continue further, you can simply close the browser window.

6. Consent

This document has informed you about participation in the survey "Attitudes Towards Society".

Contact Details:

Jan K. Woike (Max Planck Institute for Human Development) woike@mpib-berlin.mpg.de

Please indicate your consent below to proceed:

/06/2	018 Qualtrics Survey Software
0	I consent to participate and agree to the collection, storage, and use of my data as described above.
0	I do not consent to participate and wish to exit the survey
De	emographics
WI	hat is your age?
WI	hat is your gender?
\circ	Male
	Female
WI	hen did you learn English?
0	As an infant (English is my native language)
	In primary school
	As an adolescent
	As an adult
0	Less than 5 years ago
gro	estralian radio shock jock Alan Jones has called global warming a "hoax", implying that green oups want an "armageddon on the way" so "they'll get money." What are your views on this atement?
0	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree

Somewhat disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Liberal/conservatism

Please indicate the extent to which you feel positive or negative towards each issue. Scores of 0 indicate greater negativity, and scores of 100 indicate greater positivity. Scores of 50 indicate that you feel neutral about the issue.

very ne	egativ	e /e								very	positive	
0	1	0	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Welfare benefits												
Tax												
Traditional marriage												
Traditional values												
Military and national security												
Abortion												
Business												
Immigration												
Gun ownership												
The family unit												
Patriotism												
Fiscal responsibility												
Limited government												

These page timer metrics will not be displayed to the recipient.

Religion

First Click: 0 seconds

Last Click: 0 seconds

Page Submit: 0 seconds

Click Count: 0 clicks

Main Questionnaire

An economic system based on free markets unrestrained by government interference automatically works best to meet human needs.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

The free market system may be efficient for resource allocation but it is limited in its capacity to promote social justice.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

The preservation of the free market system is more important than localized environmental concerns

Strongly agree

	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
Fre	ee and unregulated markets pose important threats to sustainable development.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
The	e free market system is likely to promote unsustainable consumption.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
Ιbe	elieve that animals have changed over time by a process of evolution .
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
	Agree

\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
La	ccept evolution by natural selection as a well-supported scientific theory.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
Ιb	elieve that all species, including humans, have a common evolutionary origin.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Ιb	elieve that species were created individually and do not change over time.
	Strongly agree
	Agree

Somewhat agree

\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Ιb	elieve that the theory of evolution by natural selection is in crisis and about to be overturned.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Со	mplementary medicine can be dangerous in that it may prevent people getting proper treatment.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Со	mplementary medicine builds up the body's own defenses, so leading to a permanent cure.
	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree

	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Но	meopathy has been shown again and again to be ineffective as a cure for anything.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Co	implementary medicine has often saved the lives of patients who were already given up by
	nventional doctors.
COI	nventional doctors.
COI	Strongly agree Agree
COI	Strongly agree Agree
COI	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree
COI	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree
COI	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree
COI	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree
Coo	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree
Coo	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree Strongly disagree
Coo	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree strongly disagree

Neither agree nor disagree

\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
	n and women evolved to be different and these biological differences cannot be overcome by ucation.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Evo	olutionary history has predisposed men more strongly than women towards violence.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
	olutionary history has predisposed women more strongly than men towards being helpful and turing.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree

	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
All	human traits are the product of evolution and therefore resist change.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Th	ousands of years of evolution explain why differences between men and women are very difficult
	overcome.
	overcome.
to	overcome. Strongly agree
to	overcome. Strongly agree
to	overcome. Strongly agree Agree
to	Overcome. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree
to	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree
to	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree
	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree
	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree
	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree en are naturally stronger than women and those differences cannot be overcome by education.
	Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree en are naturally stronger than women and those differences cannot be overcome by education. Strongly agree

Somewhat disagree

	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
It is	s the in the nature of men to be physically aggressive more often than women.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Wc	omen are naturally more caring and socially supportive than men.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
All	human traits are part of our natural makeup and therefore very difficult to change.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree

Strongly disagree

Men and women are naturally different from each other and those differences are bound to stay, even if we try hard to overcome them.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Men and women are equally capable and powerful in all respects.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

All differences between men and women are created by society and can be eliminated if we change society.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree

Strongly disagree

Without the pressures of culture and society women would be as much in control as men.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

There are no biological or physical reasons that prevent a girl today to achieve as much as a boy.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

The categories "male" and "female" are primarily cultural inventions that have little basis in human nature.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Wł	nich of these is not an animal?
	Grasshopper
\bigcirc	Lion
\bigcirc	Cat
\bigcirc	Table
\bigcirc	Moose
	you agree with the following statement? "Religion gives me a great amount of comfort and curity in my life."
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
0	Strongly disagree
Ιb	elieve in God.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree

I believe in some kind of afterlife.

Strongly agree	
O Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	
Neither agree nor disag	gree
 Somewhat disagree 	
Disagree	
 Strongly disagree 	
I do not think religion can o	or should make claims about the natural world.
 Strongly agree 	
O Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	
Neither agree nor disag	gree
 Somewhat disagree 	
Disagree	
 Strongly disagree 	
I do not consider myself a	religious person.
 Strongly agree 	
O Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	
Neither agree nor disag	gree
 Somewhat disagree 	
Disagree	
 Strongly disagree 	
I believe that vaccines are	a safe and reliable way to help avert the spread of preventable disease

https://bristolexppsych.eu.qualtrics.com/ControlPanel/Ajax.php?action=GetSurveyPrintPreview

Strongly agree

 Agree
 Somewhat agree
Neither agree nor disagree
 Somewhat disagree
○ Disagree
 Strongly disagree
I believe that vaccines have negative side effects that outweigh the benefits of vaccination for children
 Strongly agree
O Agree
 Somewhat agree
Neither agree nor disagree
 Somewhat disagree
Disagree
 Strongly disagree
Vaccines are thoroughly tested in the laboratory and wouldn't be made available to the public unless it was known that they are safe
 Strongly agree
○ Agree
 Somewhat agree
Neither agree nor disagree
 Somewhat disagree
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree

The risk of vaccinations to maim and kill children outweighs their health benefits

Strongly agree

○ Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	
Neither agree nor disagree	
 Somewhat disagree 	
Disagree	
 Strongly disagree 	
Vaccinations are one of the most significant contrib	outions to public health
 Strongly agree 	
O Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	
Neither agree nor disagree	
 Somewhat disagree 	
Disagree	
 Strongly disagree 	
CRT	
A bat and a ball cost \$1.10 in total. The bat costs a dollar mo	ore than the ball. How much does the ball cost?
[cents]	
These page timer metrics will not be displayed	to the recipient.
First Click: 0 seconds	·
Last Click: 0 seconds	
Page Submit: 0 seconds	
Click Count: 0 clicks	
If it takes 5 machines 5 min to make 5 widgets, how long wo	ould it take 100 machines to make 100 widgets?
[minutes]	

These page timer metrics will not be displayed to the recipient. First Click: 0 seconds Last Click: 0 seconds Page Submit: 0 seconds Click Count: 0 clicks In a lake, there is a patch of lily pads. Every day, the patch doubles in size. If it takes 48 days for the patch to cover the entire lake, how long would it take for the patch to cover half of the lake? [days] These page timer metrics will not be displayed to the recipient. First Click: 0 seconds Last Click: 0 seconds Page Submit: 0 seconds Click Count: 0 clicks Non-selected Items (not in survey flow) Humans are the only living beings who are conscious. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

The Earth is the only planet in the universe that provides the conditions for intelligent life.

https://bristolexppsych.eu.qualtrics.com/ControlPanel/Ajax.php?action=GetSurveyPrintPreview

Strongly agree

Agree

 Somewhat agree 	
Neither agree nor disagree	
 Somewhat disagree 	
 Disagree 	
 Strongly disagree 	
Humans are inherently rational.	
 Strongly agree 	
O Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	
Neither agree nor disagree	
 Somewhat disagree 	
 Disagree 	
 Strongly disagree 	
Humans are just one of many million species of animals and we share most of our genes with other animals.	er
 Strongly agree 	
O Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	
Neither agree nor disagree	
 Somewhat disagree 	
 Disagree 	
 Strongly disagree 	
There is a natural hierarchy of life forms on Earth and humans are on the top of that hierarchy.	
 Strongly agree 	
O Agree	

Somewhat agree

	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
So	me problems are so big they can only be solved by all countries working together.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
l ei	njoy talking to people from all around the world
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Ev	ery human must have an allegiance to one country and one country only.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree

Neither agree nor disagree

ners.
es
!S
:S
es

Somewhat disagree

12/06/2018	Qualtrics Survey Software
Disagree	
Strongly disagree	
I would rather donate to a charity working in my	own country than to a charity that helps people in
poor developing countries.	
 Strongly agree 	
O Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	
 Neither agree nor disagree 	
 Somewhat disagree 	
Disagree	
Strongly disagree	
When people differ in intelligence, it is mostly d	ue to differences in their genetic endowment.
 Strongly agree 	
O Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	
 Neither agree nor disagree 	
 Somewhat disagree 	
Disagree	
 Strongly disagree 	
When a child is adopted into a new family, its in	telligence does not depend on the intelligence of its
birth parents	
 Strongly agree 	
○ Agree	
 Somewhat agree 	

Neither agree nor disagree

Somewhat disagree

06/20	Qualifics Survey Software
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Int	elligence is not inherited, it is acquired through education
\circ	Strongly agree
\circ	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
Αp	person's upbringing is more important to their intelligence than their genetic inheritance.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
	entical twins separated shortly after birth and reared in different family environments will end up ving similar intelligence
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

If you know the intelligence of parents, you can make a good guess about the intelligence of their children even if the children have been brought up by others.

children even if the children have been brought up by others.
 Strongly agree
O Agree
 Somewhat agree
Neither agree nor disagree
 Somewhat disagree
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree
With a rich stimulating environment every healthy child can grow up to be smart enough to learn an profession.
 Strongly agree
O Agree
 Somewhat agree
Neither agree nor disagree
 Somewhat disagree
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree
During the past year, how often have you experienced a feeling of religious reverence or devotion?
 Almost daily
Frequently
 Sometimes

Rarely

Never

How much influence would you say religion has on the way you choose to act and the way you choose to spend your time each day?

- No influence
- A small influence
- Some influence
- A fair amount of influence
- A large influence

When you have a serious personal problem how often do you take religious advice or teaching into consideration?

- Almost always
- Usually
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Which of the following best describes your practice of prayer or religious meditation?

- Prayer is a regular part of my daily life
- I usually pray in times of stress or need but rarely at any other time
- I pray only during formal ceremonies
- O Prayer has little importance in my life
- I never pray

Climate Items - NOT in survey flow

I believe that the climate is always changing and what we are currently observing is just natural fluctuation.

Strongly agree

Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree I believe that most of the warming over the last 50 years is due to the increase in greenhouse gas concentrations. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree I believe that the burning of fossil fuels over the last 50 years has caused serious damage to the planet's climate. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

Human CO2 emissions cause climate change.

Strongly agree

	Agree
	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
Hu	mans are too insignificant to have an appreciable impact on global temperature.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
Las	stScreen- NOT in survey flow
Tha	ank you for participating.
Itei	m Storage - NOT in survey flow
Ple	ase enter your MTurk-ID.
	add chief year in rank 15.

There is a problem with your location, it does not match your stated MTurk location or is not within an official US state.

Men are more willing to put themselves in danger to protect others. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree Men will always fight to have greater control in society than women. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree A woman will never be truly fulfilled in life if she doesn't have a committed, long-term relationship with a man. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Women are gifted with a higher emotional intelligence than men.. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree It is not a woman's place to supervise men, because they lack the necessary qualities. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree Disagree Strongly disagree If leadership positions are given out based on merit and potential, a large majority of leaders will certainly be men. Strongly agree Agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

It is	not natura	al when a	young	woman	decides	never to	become	a mother.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Powered by Qualtrics

II. Analysis plan

All participants delivered by Qualtrics and deemed by them to have passed their quality control checks will be included in the analysis. All those participants will have passed the attention filters and there are no further exclusion criteria, except to catch potential exclusion oversights (i.e., participants that were not eliminated by Qualtrics).

Emphasis during analysis will be on relating the principal constructs (Free market endorsement [all items QC]; accept evolution [QE]; accept complementary and alternative medicine [QF]; men & women evolved differently [QH]; men & women differ in nature [QI]; men and women are the same [QJ]; religiosity [QD]; vaccination attitudes [QG]; political attitudes [QB]) to each other using multivariate analyses.

Structural equation models will be used to examine the factor structure among constructs. Because the study is exploratory, the candidate models of the factor structure cannot be anticipated.

Pilot testing has confirmed that the constructs are identifiable using the items in this survey. Structural equation models have been fitted successfully to some of the constructs used here.

To illustrate, a script that analyzed one of the pilot studies is enclosed below:

```
#analyze qualtrics conservatism and gender, MTurk sample
rm(list=ls())
library(lattice)
library(tidyr)
library(plyr)
library(dplyr)
library(lme4)
library(lavaan)
#function to fit and print a measurement model
fitMM <- function (humspecmod) {</pre>
  fithumspec <- sem(humspecmod, data=rgpilot2)</pre>
  summary(fithumspec, standardized=TRUE, fit.measures=TRUE)
  parameterEstimates(fithumspec, standardized=TRUE)
  mod_ind <- modificationindices(fithumspec)</pre>
  print(head(mod_ind[order(mod_ind$mi, decreasing=TRUE), ], 10))
#function to create and run a single indicator model and return omega and so on
# indicators are provided in character vector
# pairwise correlations are optionally provided as a list of pairwise character
vectors
singleindmodel <- function(indicators,paircorrs,dat) {</pre>
 pcs <- paste(unlist(lapply(paircorrs,FUN=function(x) paste(x[1], "~~", x[2],</pre>
"\n"))),collapse=" ")
  SImod <- paste("factor =~", paste(indicators, collapse=" + "), "\n",
                  "phantfac <~", paste(paste("1*",indicators,sep=""), collapse=" +
"), "\n",
                 "factor ~~ 0*phantfac")
  fitSImod <- cfa(SImod, dat[,indicators], estimator="ML")</pre>
  ParSImod <- parameterEstimates(fitSImod, standardized=TRUE)</pre>
  LoadingsSImod <- ParSImod[1:length(indicators), "std.all"]</pre>
  ErrorvarSImod <- 1 - LoadingsSImod^2</pre>
  ImpliedCorrSImod <- lavTech(fitSImod, what='cor.lv')</pre>
```

```
OmegaSImod <- ImpliedCorrSImod[[1]][1,2]^2</pre>
                                                          #Squared correlation
between factor and phantom variable
  varSImod <- var(apply(dat[,indicators], MARGIN=1, FUN=mean)) #variance of
composite
  eSImod <- (1-OmegaSImod)*varSImod
                                            #error term of single indicator
  LbdSImod<- sqrt(varSImod-eSImod^2)</pre>
                                          #loading = variance minus error variance
 return(listN(OmegaSImod,eSImod,LbdSImod))
#http://stackoverflow.com/questions/21011672/automatically-add-variable-names-to-
elements-of-a-list
listN <- function(...){</pre>
  anonList <- list(...)</pre>
  names(anonList) <- as.character(substitute(list(...)))[-1]</pre>
  anonList
revscore <- function (x,mm) { #this reverse scores a scale for items of reversed
polarity
 return ((mm+1)-x)
                                #same as fixscore but to be conceptually clear it's
a separate function
fixscore <- function (x,mm) { #this fixes scale so SD=1 and SA=7 irrespective of
polarity
  return ((mm+1)-x)
setwd("xxx")
rgpilot <- read.csv("xxx.csv",header=TRUE,row.names=NULL)</pre>
#row 2&3 (with verbose names) manually deleted from Q file.
rgpilot2 <- rgpilot %>% filter(Qage == Q4.2) %>%
                     filter(QAC == 4) %>%
                                                        #table is not an animal
                     filter(Q_TotalDuration<1801) %>%
                                                        #30 minutes should be ample
                     select(contains("0")) %>%
                     select(-contains("Q_Tot"))
                                                         #drop the Q that ain't a q.
# first fix the Qualtrics-induced scale problems, so SD=1 and SA=7
rgpilot2 <- rgpilot2 %>% mutate_at(c(paste("QC.",c(1:5),sep=""),
                                    paste("QE.",c(1:5),sep=""),
                                    paste("QF.",c(1:5),sep=""),
                                    paste("QH.",c(1:5),sep=""),
                                    paste("QI.",c(1:5),sep=""),
                                    paste("QJ.",c(1:5),sep="")),fixscore,mm=14)
#reverse score such that polarity is:
# QC Free market endorsement
# QE accept evolution
# QF accept CAM
# QH men & women different evolved
# QI men & women different nature
# QJ men & women same
\label{eq:conditional_condition} \verb|rgpilot2| <- rgpilot2| %>% mutate_at(c("QC.2","QC.4","QC.5",
                                 "QE.4", "QE.5",
                                  "QF.1", "QF.3"), revscore, mm=7)
#now reverse score the sliders
rgpilot2 <- rgpilot2 %>% mutate_at(c("QB_2","QB_3","QB_4"),revscore,mm=100)
#correlations for slider variables
rgpilot2 %>% select(paste("QB_",c(2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11,12),sep="")) %>%
cor(use="complete.obs")
#explore structure of sliders
slider <- rgpilot2 %>% select(paste("QB_",c(2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11,12),sep=""))
```

```
sliderpc <- prcomp(slider)</pre>
plot(sliderpc)
print(sliderpc)
# compute pairwise correlations within each cluster
for (cluster in c("C", "E", "F", "H", "I", "J")) \{
  rgpilot2 %>% select(num_range(paste("Q",cluster,".",sep=""),1:5)) %>%
cor(use="complete.obs") %>% print
# now compute measurement models for all constructs so we can use single-indicator
models later
freemarketvars <- paste("QC.",c(1:5),sep="")</pre>
fmmod \leftarrow c("fm = ~ ",paste(freemarketvars,collapse=" + "),"QC.1 \sim QC.3") #pairwise
correlation p~.06
fitMM (fmmod)
evovars <- paste("QE.",c(1:5),sep="")</pre>
evomod <- c("evo =~ ",paste(evovars,collapse=" + "),"QE.2 ~~ QE.4")</pre>
fitMM (evomod)
camvars <- paste("QF.",c(1:5),sep="")</pre>
 \texttt{cammod} \leftarrow \bar{\texttt{c}(\texttt{"cam} = \texttt{-",paste(camvars,collapse="+"),"QF.2 \sim QF.4")} 
fitMM (cammod)
mwevovars <- paste("QH.",c(1:5),sep="")</pre>
mwevomod <- c("mwevo =\sim ",paste(mwevovars,collapse=" + "),"QH.2 \sim\sim QH.3")
fitMM (mwevomod)
mwnaturevars <- paste("QI.",c(1:5),sep="")</pre>
fitMM (mwnaturemod)
mwequalvars <- paste("QJ.",c(1:5),sep="")</pre>
mwequalmod <- c("mwequal =~ ",paste(mwequalvars,collapse=" + "),"QJ.4 ~~ QJ.5")
fitMM (mwequalmod)
```

Note that question numbers for the present survey may be different and that different models may be fit. However, the same approach to analysis will be followed.