

Classical Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Example 1. Let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$.

1. Are the columns of Q orthogonal? linearly independent?

2. Compute the 2-norm of each column of Q .

3. Compute $Q^T Q$.

The matrix Q of Example 1 is an example of an *orthogonal matrix*.

Definition 1. An orthogonal matrix is a square matrix whose columns are unit vectors and form an orthogonal set.

Theorem 2. If Q is orthogonal, then $Q^{-1} = Q^T$.

Thus, orthogonal matrices are super nice because they are easily inverted.

Theorem 3. If Q is an orthogonal $(n \times n)$ matrix, then for all vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|Q\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$.

Proof.

If you're thinking about Q as a transformation of a vector \mathbf{x} , Q is nice because it only rotates the vector; it does not stretch or compress it. Numerically, Q will not magnify errors.

A matrix Q with fewer columns than rows can have orthogonal unit vectors for columns. Sometimes such a Q is also called orthogonal, sometimes it is called orthonormal, and sometimes neither - no standard terminology. The only thing that's different is that $Q^T Q = I_n$ while $Q Q^T = I_m$, so they result in identity matrices of different sizes.

The QR Factorization

Every $m \times n$ matrix A that has linearly independent columns has a QR factorization. There are two versions of this factorization: “reduced” and “full.” In the reduced version, Q is also $m \times n$ and R is $m \times m$ upper triangular. In the full version, Q is extended to be an orthogonal $m \times m$ matrix and R is $m \times n$ upper triangular (we just add zeros below the reduced version). For solving the least squares problem, reduced QR is sufficient. When A is square, there is no difference between reduced and full QR .

Suppose $A = QR$. Then in least squares, we’re trying to minimize $\|\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}\|_2$, which equals $\|\mathbf{b} - QR\mathbf{x}\|_2$. Then by Theorem 3, we are minimizing $\|Q^T(\mathbf{b} - QR\mathbf{x})\|_2 = \|Q^T\mathbf{b} - Q^TQR\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|Q^T\mathbf{b} - R\mathbf{x}\|_2$. So we can solve the equation

$$R\mathbf{x} = Q^T\mathbf{b}$$

for a least squares solution using back substitution.

Note that this is just another matrix factorization, like LU and Cholesky. So it can be used to solve $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ when the system is consistent, too, though the method of computing QR that we’re about to cover is about three times as much work as solving using LU . So in practice it’s only used for least squares problems, and later, eigenvalue problems.

Classical Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Much like the LU factorization is a convenient way of storing the steps in Gaussian Elimination, the QR factorization stores the steps of the algorithm called Classical Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization (CGS). CGS is a method for orthogonalizing a set of linearly independent vectors.

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^m (so necessarily $n \leq m$). Typically these are the columns of A , the matrix to be factored. Then CGS is the following process.

for $j = 1, \dots, n$ do	▷ For each column
$\mathbf{y} = A_j$	▷ Let y be the new column to be orthogonalized
for $i = 1, \dots, j - 1$ do	▷ For each row above the current diagonal element
$r_{ij} = \mathbf{q}_i^T A_j$	▷ Compute the above-diagonal elements in R
$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} - r_{ij}\mathbf{q}_i$	▷ Update the column, now orthogonal to the preceding columns
$r_{jj} = \ \mathbf{y}\ _2$	▷ Compute the diagonal element in R
$\mathbf{q}_j = \frac{1}{r_{jj}}\mathbf{y}$	▷ Scale the result to be a unit vector

Example 2. Find the QR factorization of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Starting with an upper triangular matrix is a little bit of a silly example, as it's already R . But this gives us a good demonstration of the algorithm without the basically inevitable ugly fractions. Note that the columns of Q are the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Example 3. Find the reduced QR factorization of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Then use the factorization

to solve the least squares problem for $\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example 4. We previously looked at the Van der Monde matrix for a degree 6 polynomial, and found that using the normal equations we lost almost all of the accuracy. Using QR instead, the solution (which should be all 1's) comes out to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.999999997906637 \\ 1.000000004074936 \\ 0.999999996735643 \\ 1.000000001378255 \\ 0.99999999676420 \\ 1.00000000040063 \\ 0.99999999997955 \end{bmatrix}$$

It appears we have about 8 digits of accuracy, which while not perfect is certainly better than none!

Just to be clear, the direction of the columns of A are changing in this process. What's being preserved is the span of the columns, that is, $\text{col}(A)$. In reduced QR , $\text{col}(Q) = \text{col}(A)$. In full QR , $\text{col}(R) = \text{col}(A)$.