

Algorithm

First store all the tokens and their locations. This is done using the `hashMapVector()` function in `Document.java`, and adding a getter for this new field. Then calculate the proximity score for each document that was retrieved by the `retrieve()` function. The proximity score algorithm is as such.

- Proximity between 2 words is their distance in number of words.
- If the words are in reverse, multiply by twice the actual distance between the words in the query string.
- Given a query Q with a set of n tokens $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n\}$. Where q_i is the i -th token when reading the query from left to right, and a function $f(D, q_i)$ that maps from token to a set of locations in Document D .

for every document D :

maintain a set L

$L = \text{Union of } f(D, q_i) \text{ for each } q_i \text{ in } Q$

sort L in ascending order

Let n be the window size for L

Using a sliding window, calculate the proximity pair of adjacent words in window

Let S_i be the sum of said pairs in the window i

Let k_i be the number of unique words in the window i

Proximity score = $\min(S_i * 2^{(n-k_i)}/k_i \text{ for } i \text{ in } 1 \dots \text{num of windows})$

Retrieval score = vector score / proximity score

The algorithm encourages proximity of words by adding a multiplicative penalty to document with words that are out of order. It adds another penalty to documents that do not contain all of

the query tokens by dividing by the # of unique words and multiplying by $2^{\text{\# of missing words}}$. So a document with less of the query words would have a higher proximity and thus a lesser overall score. The algorithm takes $O(n)$ time and $O(n^2)$ space due to the token locations.

Analysis

- The baseline system had only 3 out of the top 10 documents that contained the query “real time” or “real-time” while my proximity system had 8 out of 10.
- For the query “mass spring system”, my proximity system had 3 relevant documents of the top 10 chosen with 2 of them at the top 2 while the baseline returned only 2 relevant documents ranked at the bottom half of the top 10.
- For the query “real world” the baseline system’s top 9 results were all pages with only Hello world in them. While all 10 of my results contained “real world” or “real-world”
- For the query “information technology” the baseline system had only 2 documents in its top 10 with the query in it while my proximity had all top 10 documents with the query in it.

How to run

```
java ir.vsr.InvertedIndex -html -proximity <path to corpora>
```