



# Architecture Principles

**FY26Q1**



# Business Principles

# Business Principle – Architect for Sustainable Futures



## Architect for Sustainable Futures

We will embed environmental, social, and economic sustainability into the full lifecycle of our architectural assets. Our goal is to create high-performing, resilient, and efficient infrastructure that actively reduces environmental impact, lowers operational costs, strengthens community engagement, and enhances long-term asset value. This is a core requirement for all architectural decisions.

### Rationale

- **Resilient Operations and Brand Leadership:** We proactively minimize our environmental footprint to build operational resilience against climate change and resource scarcity. This demonstrates market leadership, meets customer and investor expectations for environmental responsibility, and protects our brand reputation.
- **Enhanced Financial Performance:** We mandate sustainable design to drive down lifecycle operational costs, particularly in energy consumption and waste. This approach improves Total Cost of Ownership (TCO), increases the value and longevity of our technology assets, and unlocks potential access to green financing.
- **Positive Local Impact:** Our digital platforms and internal systems are integral to the communities we serve. We commit to architectures that enhance digital inclusion, promote ethical data use, and ensure our technological footprint contributes positively to society.
- **Future-Proofing our Portfolio:** We design technology and process architectures to be adaptable to future climate scenarios, evolving regulations, and changing market demands. This strategy minimizes technical debt, reduces future remediation costs, and ensures the long-term viability of our enterprise portfolio.

### Implications

- **Optimize the Full Technology Lifecycle:** We will enforce sustainable practices from procurement to disposal. This includes mandating energy-efficient hardware, preferring cloud providers committed to renewable energy, and ensuring all assets are managed through a responsible refurbishment or certified e-waste program.
- **Design for Efficiency and Longevity:** Our architectural standard is to create lean, adaptable, and long-lasting solutions. We will achieve this by designing modular systems that are easy to upgrade, while optimizing business processes and data models to eliminate waste and minimize the consumption of compute, storage, and energy resources.
- **Embed Sustainability in Governance and Investment:** We will integrate sustainability into our core decision-making and measurement frameworks. This involves making Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)—which includes future energy and disposal costs—a mandatory part of every investment case and tracking our performance against specific sustainability KPIs (e.g., PUE, waste reduction) within the Architecture Review Board.
- **Uphold Ethical and Social Responsibility:** We will ensure our digital solutions are built on a foundation of ethical principles. This requires proactively designing for data privacy and minimization in line with regulations like POPIA, and implementing formal reviews to ensure our use of AI and automation is fair, unbiased, and beneficial to the community.

# Business Principle - Architect for Total Cost Optimization



## Architect for Total Cost Optimization

All architectural decisions must be driven by a comprehensive analysis of the Total Cost of Ownership (TCO). We will balance initial investments with the full lifecycle costs of operation, support, and decommissioning to maximize the economic value and performance of our enterprise assets.

### Rationale

- **Improves Financial Predictability and Control:** By providing a complete, long-term view of all associated costs, we enable more accurate budgeting, prevent unforeseen expenses, and ensure financial commitments are fully understood.
- **Maximizes Return on Investment (ROI):** This approach ensures that technology investments are strategically aligned and justified, maximizes the use of existing assets, and drives down long-term operational costs, leading to greater overall value.
- **Increases Business Agility and Adaptability:** It forces a focus on flexible, adaptable platforms that can respond to market changes and technological advancements quickly, minimizing the risk and cost of future rework or upgrades.
- **Reduces Operational and Commercial Risk:** It actively mitigates financial risk by considering factors like vendor lock-in and operational stability, leading to more resilient systems with less downtime and lower maintenance costs.

### Implications

- **Mandate Holistic TCO Analysis for All Investments:** Architects must formally calculate and present the TCO for any significant project. This analysis must cover the entire lifecycle, from acquisition and implementation to operational support and eventual decommissioning, ensuring all financial commitments are visible upfront.
- **Systematically Evaluate Sourcing and Vendor Risk:** Every architectural decision must be supported by a clear evaluation of all sourcing options (e.g., cloud vs. on-premise, buy vs. build) and an explicit risk assessment of vendor lock-in, prioritizing solutions that offer flexibility and interoperability.
- **Prioritize Reuse Before Purchase:** A core duty of the architect is to maximize the value of existing enterprise assets. Therefore, investment in a new solution will only be approved after a formal justification has been made for why existing platforms or services cannot be reused or extended.
- **Integrate Business and Operational Costs into Design:** The TCO model must include the quantifiable business costs associated with technical decisions. This means estimating the financial impact of potential downtime, security vulnerabilities, or poor performance, making these non-functional requirements a key part of the economic analysis.

# Business Principle – Architect for Business Service Resiliency



## Business Service Resiliency

We will architect all critical business services to be resilient by design. Our standard is to guarantee continuous service delivery and maintain customer trust by embedding automated recovery, fault tolerance, and disaster recovery capabilities into our core applications, infrastructure, and operational processes.

### Rationale

- **Ensures Continuous Service Delivery:** Guarantees that critical business services remain available and performant for our customers and employees, minimizing the revenue and reputational impact of downtime.
- **Mitigates Operational and Financial Risk:** Proactively prepares the business for inevitable disruptions, reducing the financial impact of outages and limiting legal or regulatory exposure.
- **Achieves Strategic Alignment:** Ensures our IT systems directly support the broader business strategy of being a reliable and trusted service provider, turning operational stability into a key business enabler.
- **Maintains Regulatory Compliance:** Satisfies the stringent requirements of regulatory bodies (such as the SARB for financial services) regarding service continuity and data protection, which is essential for maintaining our license to operate.
- **Creates a Competitive Advantage:** Enables the organization to maintain operations and continue serving customers during widespread disruptions, creating a distinct advantage over competitors who may falter.

### Implications

- **Classify Services to Align Resiliency Investment:** Architects must tier all business services according to their criticality. The level of investment and the specific resiliency patterns applied (e.g., active-active, automated failover) must be directly justified by the service's tier and the business impact of its failure.
- **Embed Operability and Automation into Every Design:** All architectural designs are required to include detailed plans for monitoring, automated recovery, and disaster recovery. Architects must work with operations to ensure these plans are robust, tested regularly, and automated wherever possible to ensure rapid recovery.
- **Enforce Strict Resiliency Standards for Third Parties:** Architects are accountable for the resiliency of the end-to-end service. This includes formally assessing and approving the technical resilience and disaster recovery capabilities of all third-party vendors, ensuring their SLAs for uptime and recovery (RTO/RPO) meet our minimum standards.
- **Validate Resiliency Through Continuous Testing:** Resiliency must be proven, not just designed. Architects must ensure that plans for critical services include regular, automated failover testing and chaos engineering practices in a production-like environment to continuously verify that the system behaves as expected during a disruption.

# Business Principle - Appropriate and Adaptive Governance



## Appropriate and Adaptive Governance

Our governance framework will be both appropriate and adaptive. We will tailor oversight and controls based on the specific risk, scale, and value of each initiative. All governance mechanisms must be designed to evolve, ensuring they remain relevant and actively enable, rather than hinder, efficient delivery and innovation

### Rationale

- **Optimizes Risk Management:** Ensures that the level of governance oversight is directly proportional to the risk profile of an initiative, allowing for rigorous control where necessary and avoiding ineffective, one-size-fits-all mandates.
- **Improves Resource Allocation:** Directs the organization's time and resources—both in governance and delivery—towards the most critical and valuable projects, preventing waste on low-impact initiatives.
- **Increases Delivery Velocity:** Reduces bureaucracy and streamlines decision-making, particularly for lower-risk scenarios. This empowers teams, enhances innovation, and shortens the time-to-market for new capabilities.
- **Enables Flexibility and Responsiveness:** Creates a governance system that can adapt in real-time to changing project requirements, new technologies, or evolving business priorities, ensuring its continued relevance and effectiveness.
- **Strengthens Stakeholder Alignment:** Builds trust with delivery teams and business stakeholders by providing clarity, transparency, and a sensible balance between control and flexibility.

### Implications

- **Implement a Tiered, Risk-Based Framework:** Architects must classify every initiative into a governance tier based on its risk and value. This tier determines the specific level of oversight required, moving the organization away from a one-size-fits-all process to a more efficient, tailored approach.
- **Automate Compliance with 'Guardrails, Not Gates':** The default approach to governance is to provide automated guardrails within the delivery pipeline (e.g., automated security and standard checks). Manual reviews are the exception, reserved only for the most critical and high-risk projects, thereby empowering teams to innovate safely at speed.
- **Treat Governance as an Evolving, 'Living' System:** Governance is not static. Architects must implement feedback loops with delivery teams and conduct regular reviews of all policies and standards to ensure governance adapts over time and continues to enable, rather than block, the delivery of value.
- **Measure Governance Performance to Ensure It Adds Value:** The architecture team is accountable for the efficiency of the governance process itself. We will measure and report on key metrics, such as decision-making speed and team satisfaction, to prove that governance is accelerating, not hindering, business outcomes.



# Business Principle – Simplify Through Standardization



## Simplify Through Standardization

We will proactively simplify our technology and vendor portfolio to reduce cost and complexity. Our architectural standard is to converge on a minimal, approved set of technologies, platforms, and patterns to optimize investments, streamline support, and accelerate the delivery of business value.

### Rationale

- **Drives Economic Efficiency and Reduces TCO:** By eliminating redundant technologies and consolidating vendors, we lower costs across the board—from licensing and support contracts to operational maintenance and specialized skill requirements.
- **Reduces Complexity and Technical Debt:** A simplified, standardized portfolio is easier to understand, manage, and secure. This prevents solution sprawl and makes it faster and cheaper to develop, integrate, and retire applications.
- **Accelerates Delivery and Enhances Agility:** Standardizing on common platforms and reusable patterns allows teams to build and deploy new solutions faster. It creates a "paved road" for development, reducing the time spent on technology selection and integration.
- **Lowens Operational and Security Risk:** Fewer moving parts means a smaller attack surface to secure and monitor. A standardized environment reduces the likelihood of failures from complex interactions and ensures deeper expertise in the core technologies we use.

### Implications

- **Enforce a Standard Technology Reference Model (TRM):** Architects must govern a single, clear TRM. All solutions must conform to the approved set of technologies and platforms, with a formal exception process required for any deviation.
- **Default to Reusing Common Platforms:** The standard architectural approach is to reuse existing enterprise platforms and services first. A new technology or vendor will only be introduced after a rigorous justification proves that our current standards are insufficient.
- **Actively Manage the Application Portfolio:** Architects are accountable for the health of the application portfolio. They must participate in a continuous review process to identify and create roadmaps for eliminating redundant, outdated, and low-value applications.
- **Balance Standardization with Governed Innovation:** To stay current, architects will manage a formal "sandbox" process that allows teams to safely experiment with new technologies. This ensures we can explore innovative solutions without creating uncontrolled technology sprawl.

# Business Principle - Amplify Human Expertise



## Architect to Augment Work

We pair our talented people with intelligent technology. The goal is not to replace human expertise, but to augment it—enhancing the quality, speed, and impact of our work, and making our jobs more rewarding.

### Rationale

- **Unlocks Human Potential:** Frees our teams from repetitive, low-value tasks to focus on what humans do best: strategic thinking, creative problem-solving, and building meaningful customer and colleague relationships.
- **Drives Superior Decision-Making:** Equips our people with predictive insights and data-driven forecasts, allowing everyone to make faster, more confident decisions that are based on evidence, not just intuition.
- **Elevates Employee Experience and Growth:** Creates more strategic and less repetitive roles, which improves job satisfaction, helps retain top talent, and fosters a culture of continuous learning and high-impact, meaningful work.
- **Builds a More Resilient and Scalable Business:** Embeds operational logic and collective knowledge into our systems, which ensures process consistency, reduces dependency on single individuals, and makes the entire organization stronger.
- **Sharpens Our Competitive Advantage:** Enables the business to identify and act on opportunities faster than competitors—whether it's spotting an emerging market trend or optimizing pricing in real-time.

### Implications

- **Mandate Explainable, Human-in-the-Loop Systems:** All AI-augmented solutions must be designed for human interaction. This requires architectures to include an "explainability" layer so users can understand the AI's reasoning, and a formal "Levels of Autonomy" framework must be applied to ensure a human is always accountable for critical decisions.
- **Enforce a Standardized AI/ML Platform and 'Data-Ready' Design:** To accelerate development and ensure quality, architects must enforce the use of a central, approved AI/ML platform. Furthermore, all systems must be designed to produce clean, accessible, and high-quality data that is ready for consumption by our automation engines.
- **Tie Every Initiative to a Measurable Business Outcome:** Investment in this area will be strictly value-driven. Every project must begin with a clear Value Realization Plan that defines the specific business metric to be improved (e.g., decision speed, forecast accuracy), and the architecture must be instrumented to measure and report on this outcome.
- **Make Data Quality a Foundational Requirement:** High-quality insights require high-quality data. Architects must enforce data governance standards at the point of creation, ensuring all new systems produce data that is clean, well-organized, and readily accessible according to FAIR principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable).



# Business Principle - Enable Velocity and Scale with AI



## Enable Velocity and Scale with AI

We leverage AI to autonomously execute processes and power systems at a speed and volume that surpasses human capabilities. The goal is to unlock new levels of performance, efficiency, and market responsiveness by automating tasks where human intervention is not required, feasible, or value-adding.

### Rationale

- **Drives Unprecedented Velocity:** Empowers the business to operate, decide, and respond in real-time, drastically reducing cycle times for core processes from hours or days to minutes or seconds.
- **Unlocks Massive Scale:** Allows core processes to handle vast increases in volume without a proportional increase in resources, enabling the business to handle exponential growth in transactions, data, and customer interactions.
- **Optimizes Operational Cost:** Directly reduces operating expenses by automating high-volume, repetitive tasks. This minimizes the cost of manual labor, eliminates the financial impact of human error, and improves asset utilization.
- **Enhances Reliability and Consistency:** Guarantees that processes are executed with perfect consistency according to defined business logic, 24/7. This eliminates the potential for human error in routine tasks, leading to higher-quality outcomes.
- **Frees Up Human Potential:** By automating mundane and repetitive work, we liberate our talented people from low-value tasks, allowing them to focus on strategic thinking and creative problem-solving in direct support of our "Amplify Human Expertise" principle.
- **Enables New Strategic Capabilities:** Makes new business models and services possible, such as real-time personalization or entering markets that require a level of speed and data processing that is fundamentally beyond human capability.

### Implications

- **Mandate a Risk and Autonomy Framework:** Every AI initiative must be assessed against a formal framework to determine the appropriate level of human oversight. This dictates when to apply this principle versus the "Amplify Human Expertise" principle, based on the task's risk and complexity.
- **Require Robust Monitoring and Guardrails:** Autonomous systems must be deployed with comprehensive, real-time monitoring and alerting. Clear performance guardrails must be established to ensure systems are operating as intended and to detect anomalies instantly.
- **Design for Graceful Failure and Exception Handling:** All autonomous systems must have a clearly defined and tested pathway for handling exceptions they cannot process. This includes a seamless escalation process to a designated human expert or team.
- **Enforce Strict Data Governance:** The data used to train and operate autonomous systems must adhere to the highest standards of data quality, lineage, security, and governance to ensure the integrity of automated decisions.
- **Tie Every Initiative to a Measurable Outcome:** Every investment in automation must begin with a clear Value Realization Plan that defines the specific metrics to be improved (e.g., processing speed, cost per transaction, error rate). The system must be instrumented to measure and report on these outcomes.



# Data Principles

# Data Principle – Treat Data as a Strategic Asset



## Treat Data as a Strategic Asset

We will manage data with the same discipline and rigor as our other core assets, such as capital and people. Data is a strategic resource that must be actively governed, secured, and improved to fuel decision-making, optimize operations, and create new business value.

Rationale	Implications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Drives Business Value and Competitiveness:</b> High-quality, well-managed data is the foundation for superior analytics, effective AI, and insightful decision-making, which allows us to outperform competitors and create new revenue streams.</li><li>• <b>Enables Core Business Operations:</b> Our key business processes, from supply chain to customer service, are increasingly reliant on accurate and timely data. Treating it as an asset is essential for operational efficiency and success.</li><li>• <b>Mitigates Operational and Regulatory Risk:</b> Poor quality data—especially duplication and inconsistency—increases costs, leads to poor decisions, and creates security vulnerabilities. Proactive data management is critical for maintaining integrity and complying with data privacy regulations.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Assign Accountable Owners and Stewards:</b> Every critical data asset must have a formally appointed business owner and data steward who are held accountable for its quality, security, and lifecycle management.</li><li>• <b>Manage Data from a Single Source of Truth:</b> Architects must design systems to manage master data (like Customer and Product) from a single, authoritative source. Duplication is to be strictly avoided, and any replicated data must be read-only and formally justified.</li><li>• <b>Mandate Updates Through Services, Not Direct Access:</b> All updates to critical data must be executed through approved, application-provided services or APIs. Direct database access for modifications is prohibited to ensure integrity, security, and traceability.</li><li>• <b>Catalogue All Data and Measure Its Quality:</b> Every managed data asset must be documented in a central enterprise catalogue. Key data must have defined quality metrics (e.g., accuracy, completeness) that are continuously monitored to drive improvement.</li></ul>

# Data Principle – Risk-Based Information Security



## Risk-Based Information Security

Our investment in security controls will be directly proportional to the value and risk associated with our information assets. We will secure all information by default, applying progressively stronger measures based on a formal classification and risk assessment to ensure protection that is both effective and efficient.

Rationale	Implications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Protects Our Brand and Builds Customer Trust:</b> By safeguarding information from loss or unauthorized access, we protect Shoprite's reputation and maintain the trust that is essential for our relationships with customers, partners, and suppliers.</li><li>• <b>Ensures Legal and Regulatory Compliance:</b> Adherence to this principle is mandatory to comply with data protection laws like South Africa's POPI Act and the GDPR. This mitigates the severe financial and reputational penalties of non-compliance.</li><li>• <b>Optimizes Security Investment and Reduces Business Impact:</b> A risk-based approach ensures we focus our resources on protecting our most critical assets effectively, avoiding both under-investment in critical areas and over-investment in non-critical ones, thereby minimizing business impact in a cost-effective way.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Classify All Information First:</b> Before design work proceeds, architects and business owners must formally classify the information a solution will handle according to the enterprise security scheme. This classification dictates all subsequent security requirements.</li><li>• <b>Mandate Threat and Risk Assessments for Sensitive Data:</b> A formal threat and risk assessment is mandatory for any solution processing sensitive (e.g., Confidential or higher) information. The results of this assessment determine the required security controls.</li><li>• <b>Embed Security and Privacy into the Design:</b> Security and privacy are non-negotiable architectural requirements, not features. Architects must build in the necessary controls from the start, ensuring all solutions are secure and compliant by design.</li><li>• <b>Apply Proportional Investment and Controls:</b> Security measures must be proportional to the identified risk. Architects are accountable for selecting controls that are both effective in mitigating risk and efficient in terms of cost and performance impact.</li></ul>

# Data Principle – Establish a Common Business Vocabulary



## Common Business Vocabulary

We will collaboratively define, govern, and use a single, consistent vocabulary for all core business concepts. This shared language is mandatory for all business processes, data, and technology to eliminate ambiguity, improve communication, and enable seamless information sharing across the enterprise.

### Rationale

- **Enables Clear Communication and Understanding:** A shared vocabulary bridges the gap between business and technology teams, ensuring that requirements are understood correctly, reducing rework, and fostering more effective collaboration.
- **Ensures Consistency and Reduces Ambiguity:** Using standardized definitions for core concepts (like "customer" or "promotion") across all applications and processes eliminates confusion and ensures data can be reliably aggregated and compared.
- **Facilitates Frictionless Information Sharing:** When all applications speak the same language, it becomes radically simpler and cheaper to share data, integrate systems, and build new capabilities that rely on a consistent, enterprise-wide view of information.

### Implications

- **Define a Common Information Model:** Architects and the business must collaboratively define and govern a single, authoritative model for core business concepts (like Customer, Product), establishing a single source of truth for our business vocabulary.
- **Mandate Alignment in All Solutions:** All projects, processes, and applications must adhere to the standard definitions in the common information model. Redefining common terms within a project silo is prohibited without a formal exception.
- **Publish the Vocabulary in a Central, Accessible Repository:** The shared vocabulary and its models must be actively maintained and published in a central repository, making it easy for all business and technology teams to find and use the correct definitions.
- **Enforce Usage in All Architectural Work:** Architects are required to embed the standard vocabulary in all their design artifacts (e.g., diagrams, API contracts) and are responsible for enforcing its use during formal design and governance reviews.

# Data Principle – Enable Secure Data Sharing



## Enable Secure Data Sharing

We will treat data as a shared enterprise resource, accessible to all authorized users who need it to perform their duties. Our architectural standard is to provide secure, modern, and efficient mechanisms for data access, breaking down silos to enhance collaboration and decision-making across the organization.

### Rationale

- **Improves Decision-Making and Operational Efficiency:** Providing timely access to the right data allows our people to make better-informed decisions faster and streamlines business processes by eliminating the delays and rework caused by data silos.
- **Reduces Costs by Eliminating Redundancy:** Sharing data from a single source prevents the significant cost of storing and maintaining multiple, inconsistent copies of the same information across different applications.
- **Unlocks Value from Siloed Information:** By making data from across the enterprise accessible, we create a holistic view of our operations and customers, enabling new insights and business opportunities that are impossible when data is trapped in individual systems.

### Implications

- **Enforce Role-Based Access Control (RBAC) Everywhere:** Access to data must be explicitly granted based on a user's role and need-to-know, not by default. Architects must ensure all data-sharing solutions implement and enforce these governed entitlements.
- **Mandate Data Sharing via APIs:** The standard for all application-to-application data sharing is through secure, managed APIs. Direct database links and ad-hoc file transfers are forbidden, ensuring sharing is secure, controlled, and reusable.
- **Utilize a Central Hub for Analytics Data:** To enable self-service business intelligence, architects should direct the publishing and consumption of analytical data through a central, governed data hub, making it easy for users to discover and access the information they need.
- **Decouple Systems to Enable Data Flow:** Architectural patterns must prioritize the decoupling of systems. Using event-driven architectures and services ensures that data can flow easily and reliably across the enterprise without creating fragile, hard-coded dependencies.





# Application Principles

# Application Principle - Ensure Solution Fitness and Viability



## Ensure Solution Fitness and Viability

We will only invest in solutions that are a strategic fit for our business objectives and are viable within our technology and operational environment. Every solution must be demonstrably aligned, supportable, and adaptable to ensure it delivers sustainable value throughout its lifecycle.

Rationale	Implications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Maximizes Strategic Business Value:</b> Ensures that all technology investments are directly tied to business goals, deliver high performance and quality, and contribute to our competitive advantage through innovation.</li><li>• <b>Ensures Long-Term Viability and Sustainability:</b> Guarantees that solutions are built to last, fitting within our technology landscape, scaling with growth, and providing the best long-term return on investment, as defined by our TCO principle.</li><li>• <b>Manages Commercial and Operational Risk:</b> Formally evaluates and mitigates risks related to vendor stability, security vulnerabilities, and regulatory compliance before they can impact the business.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Mandate a Solution Fitness Assessment:</b> For every major initiative, architects <i>must</i> produce a formal Solution Fitness Assessment. This document must evaluate the proposed solution against the following dimensions before it can be approved for investment.</li><li>• <b>Justify Strategic Business Alignment:</b> The assessment <i>must</i> clearly articulate which business objectives and capabilities the solution supports. It must demonstrate how the solution will deliver measurable value, in line with our "Amplify Human Expertise" and other strategic goals.</li><li>• <b>Assess an Enterprise Technology Fit:</b> The solution <i>must</i> be evaluated for its alignment with our Technology Reference Model, roadmaps, and architectural patterns. This assessment must confirm adherence to our principles of "Simplify Through Standardization" and "Enable Secure Data Sharing."</li><li>• <b>Evaluate Lifecycle Viability and TCO:</b> The assessment <i>must</i> include a formal Total Cost of Ownership analysis (per the "Architect for Total Cost Optimization" principle) and an evaluation of the solution's long-term viability, including vendor stability, support model, and resilience (per the "Architect for Business Service Resiliency" principle).</li><li>• <b>Conduct a Formal Risk and Compliance Review:</b> The solution <i>must</i> be formally assessed against our information security and data management standards. This includes confirming adherence to our "Risk-Based Information Security," "Treat Data as a Strategic Asset," and "Common Business Vocabulary" principles.</li></ul>

# Application Principle - Think user experience



## Think user experience

The “Think User Experience” principle emphasizes the importance of designing systems, applications, and processes with the end-user in mind. This principle advocates for a user-centric approach, ensuring that every interaction is intuitive, efficient, and enjoyable.

Rationale	Implications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Meets Modern Customer Expectations:</b> Our customers are technologically savvy and demand high-quality, intuitive experiences that are appropriate for their chosen channel, whether it's a mobile app, website, or USSD.</li><li>• <b>Drives Customer Loyalty and Brand Preference:</b> A positive and seamless user experience across all touchpoints strengthens our brand, builds customer trust, and is a key differentiator that drives loyalty and repeat business.</li><li>• <b>Enables Business Agility and Speed to Market:</b> Architecting for a flexible user experience allows us to respond rapidly to new channel requests and changing customer behaviours with minimal additional investment, as core business logic can be reused.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Mandate Decoupling of Front-End and Back-End:</b> The standard architecture requires a strict separation between the user-facing presentation layer and the back-end business logic layer. Direct communication between them is forbidden.</li><li>• <b>Centralize Business Logic via an API Gateway:</b> All reusable business logic must be exposed through a central API gateway. All front-end channels (mobile, web, USSD, etc.) must consume these centrally managed services to ensure consistency and security.</li><li>• <b>Design a Consistent Experience, Not a Uniform Interface:</b> The goal is a consistent brand experience, not a single UI. Architects must ensure that while the back-end logic is shared, each front-end application is optimized for its specific device and channel.</li><li>• <b>Enforce a Formal User-Centric Design (UCD) Process:</b> The user experience will be defined by research and testing, not by technical convenience. All user-facing projects must engage UX specialists and follow a formal UCD methodology.</li></ul>

# Application Principle – Buy common platforms and customise outside of the core



## Buy common platforms and customise outside of the core

This principle emphasizes the strategic selection of common platforms to support business functions, advocating for customization outside of the core, and only in areas that will provide a competitive edge, while ensuring composability.

### Rationale

- **Improves Cost-Effectiveness and TCO:** Leverages economies of scale in licensing and support, simplifies maintenance, and reduces redundant development effort, leading to a significantly lower Total Cost of Ownership.
- **Accelerates Time-to-Market and Reduces Delivery Risk:** Utilizes proven, pre-built solutions and vendor expertise to enable faster implementation of new capabilities with a higher probability of success compared to building from scratch.
- **Enables Strategic Focus and Composable Innovation:** Allows the organization to concentrate its valuable development resources on creating unique, high-value customizations that provide a true competitive advantage, rather than re-building commodity functions.
- **Provides a Scalable and Resilient Foundation:** Builds on established, enterprise-grade platforms that are designed for scalability and reliability, providing a stable core upon which we can confidently build and grow our business.

### Implications

- **Mandate a 'Clean Core' by Customizing Only via APIs:** All customizations and extensions must be built outside the platform's core and interact exclusively through approved APIs. Direct modification of the core application is forbidden to protect upgradeability and stability.
- **Require a Documented Exit Strategy to Mitigate Lock-In:** No strategic platform will be adopted without a formal exit strategy. This plan must address data portability and the use of standardized integrations to ensure we retain long-term flexibility.
- **Hold the Solution Accountable for Security, Not Just the Platform:** Security is the responsibility of the entire solution. Architects must apply our corporate security standards and risk assessments to all custom extensions and integrations, not just the core vendor platform.
- **Align Internal Plans with Vendor Roadmaps:** To avoid wasted effort and future conflicts, architects must actively track the roadmaps of our strategic platform vendors and ensure our internal development and customization plans are aligned with their future direction.



# Technology Principles

# Technology Principle – Real-time Integration Over Scheduled Aggregation



## Real-time Integration Over Scheduled Aggregation

The principle of Real-time Integration Over Scheduled Aggregation is defined as the strategic approach in system design that prioritizes the immediate processing and integration of data as it is generated, over the traditional method of accumulating data over time for batch processing. This principle emphasizes the importance of real-time data availability and responsiveness to drive timely decision-making and operational efficiency.

### Rationale

- **Drives Enhanced Responsiveness:** Enables the business to react instantly to events as they happen—from customer interactions to supply chain changes—which is critical for enabling quicker, more accurate decision-making.
- **Improves Data Quality and Trust:** Minimizes the risk of using stale, outdated information by ensuring that decisions are always based on the most current data available in the enterprise.
- **Increases Operational Efficiency:** Eliminates the need for dedicated batch processing windows and idle time, allowing systems and resources to be utilized more dynamically and efficiently, 24/7.
- **Unlocks New Revenue Streams:** Provides the foundation for creating premium, real-time data services and APIs that can be monetized with partners or external customers, creating additional value.

### Implications

- **Default to Event-Driven Architecture (EDA):** The standard pattern for all real-time integration is EDA. Architects must use event streams and messaging to create decoupled, resilient, and scalable solutions.
- **Expose and Govern All Services via the API Gateway:** All real-time integration points must be managed through the central API gateway to ensure consistent security, access control, and observability across the enterprise.
- **Justify the Value of Real-Time:** Architects must justify the business need for real-time integration. If there is no value in immediacy, a simpler and more cost-effective batch process must be used instead.
- **Build on Standardized, Elastic Platforms:** Real-time solutions must be built on approved, standardized integration platforms and deployed on elastic infrastructure that can scale to meet demand without being permanently over-provisioned.



# Technology Principle - Composable Architecture



## Composable Architecture

Emphasises the use of components that can be combined and recombined in different ways to form different systems. It is based on the idea of loose coupling, modularisation and encourages the development of systems that are flexible and extensible. Components can be reused and modified easily, allowing for rapid adaptation to changing requirements. This approach can help reduce development time, costs, and complexity.

### Rationale

- **Accelerates Business Agility:** Allows us to rapidly assemble new business capabilities and user experiences by combining and recombining existing components, significantly reducing the time-to-market for new ideas.
- **Increases Development Efficiency:** Promotes the reuse of small, proven components, which eliminates redundant development effort, reduces overall costs, and simplifies the testing of individual parts rather than entire monolithic systems.
- **Enhances Resilience and Scalability:** Enables individual components to be updated, replaced, or scaled independently without disrupting the entire system, leading to greater operational stability and a more efficient use of resources.
- **Unlocks Composable Business Models:** Provides the foundational "building blocks" (Packaged Business Capabilities) that allow the business itself to think and operate in a more modular and adaptive way, quickly creating new products and services.

### Implications

- **Design All Solutions as Loosely-Coupled Components:** The architectural standard is to break down problems into a system of discrete components with single responsibilities. Monolithic designs are to be avoided in favor of modularity.
- **Mandate All Interactions via Standardized Interfaces:** Components must only communicate through governed, contract-based interfaces like APIs or events. All direct, internal-to-internal dependencies between components are forbidden.
- **Publish Every Reusable Component in a Central Catalogue:** To enable discovery and reuse, every component must be published in an enterprise-wide catalogue with clear documentation, ownership, and service level agreements.
- **Assign a Single, Accountable Owner to Every Component:** Every component must have a dedicated owner responsible for its full lifecycle, including its security, performance, and independent testability, ensuring clear lines of accountability.



# Cybersecurity Principles



# Cybersecurity Principle – Defence in Depth

## Defense in Depth

Failure of a single control of the cybersecurity architecture must not compromise the entire IT environment.

### Rationale

- **Acknowledges the Imperfection of Controls:** No single security control is infallible. This principle assumes that any given control can and will eventually fail. A layered defence ensures that the failure of one control does not lead to a catastrophic breach of the entire environment.
- **Defends Against Advanced and Persistent Threats:** Modern cyberattacks are sophisticated and multi-staged. A layered defence provides multiple opportunities to detect, disrupt, and contain an attacker at different points in the attack chain, from initial access to final data exfiltration.
- **Provides Critical Time to Respond:** By forcing an attacker to bypass multiple, independent controls, this strategy slows them down significantly. This friction increases the probability of detection and gives our security teams valuable time to respond to and contain an incident before major damage occurs.

### Implications

- **Mandate Layered Controls for All Solutions:** Architects must design solutions with multiple, independent security controls at the network, infrastructure, application, and data layers. Relying on a single control for protection is forbidden.
- **Enforce a Zero Trust Model with Network Segmentation:** The architecture must be segmented into secure zones. Trust is never assumed, and all traffic crossing boundaries must be inspected and authenticated, regardless of its origin.
- **Protect Core Assets Directly:** Assume the perimeter will fail. Every design must include specific controls to protect our core assets directly: strong identity verification with MFA, modern endpoint protection (EDR), and end-to-end data encryption.
- **Design for Visibility and Rapid Response:** Architects must ensure all systems and components generate meaningful security logs and forward them to a central monitoring system, providing the necessary visibility to detect and respond to attacks in real-time.

# Cybersecurity Principle - Zero-Trust



## Zero-Trust

Zero-trust is an architectural approach where inherent trust in the environment is removed, the environment is assumed hostile, and each request is verified based on an access policy.

### Rationale

- **Enables Secure 'Work from Anywhere':** By decoupling security from the physical network, Zero-Trust provides a secure and consistent access model for all users (employees, contractors, partners) regardless of their location or device, which is essential for modern, flexible work.
- **Dramatically Reduces the Impact of a Breach:** This approach assumes a breach is inevitable and is designed to contain it. By preventing lateral movement and enforcing least privilege, it significantly limits the "blast radius" of an incident, minimizing business disruption and data loss.
- **Accelerates Secure Cloud Adoption:** Traditional perimeter-based security models are ineffective in the cloud. Zero-Trust provides a modern security framework that is purpose-built for hybrid and multi-cloud environments, allowing the business to adopt new technologies safely and at speed.
- **Strengthens Compliance and Data Protection:** By enforcing explicit, policy-based access for every single request, Zero-Trust provides a robust and continuously auditable trail of who is accessing what data, which is critical for meeting privacy regulations like POPIA.

### Implications

- **Never Trust, Always Verify:** The default architectural stance is to deny access. Every single request to any resource must be authenticated and authorized against a dynamic policy engine, regardless of where the request originates.
- **Enforce Least Privilege and Micro-segmentation:** All access is granted on a "need-to-know" basis with the minimum possible permissions. Architects must use identity-based controls to create micro-segments around applications and data to prevent lateral movement.
- **Assume the Environment is Hostile:** Architects must design solutions with the assumption that an attacker is already present on the network. This means removing all inherent trust and building security directly into the application and data layers.
- **Design for Full Visibility and Automated Response:** Every access decision must be logged and monitored. The architecture must provide rich telemetry to enable the security team to detect threats and trigger automated responses in real-time.



# AI Principles



## Fairness

The AI principle of Fairness focuses on unbiased AI systems, ensuring equitable treatment for all customers. This includes diverse data collection, transparent algorithms, and fair access and treatment in AI applications. Continuous monitoring, ethical marketing, and responsible innovation are emphasized, along with employee training and regulatory compliance.



## Reliability and Safety

The AI principle of Reliability and Safety ensures that AI systems operate predictably, efficiently, and securely, protecting both customers and the business. This involves rigorous testing, robust cybersecurity measures, and continuous monitoring to safeguard customer data and prevent harmful outcomes, thereby building trust and safeguarding the brand's integrity.



## Inclusiveness

The AI principle of Inclusiveness emphasizes designing AI systems that benefit all customers, including those with disabilities or language barriers, by ensuring diverse representation in AI model development. It also involves seeking input from various communities to tailor products and services, fostering belonging, driving innovation, and building stronger customer relationships.



## Beneficence

Adhering to the principle of Beneficence in AI means developing and deploying systems to generate positive impacts for customers, communities, and the environment, focusing on enhancing experiences, optimizing supply chains sustainably, and innovating health-conscious products. This approach entails ensuring that AI benefits are widely distributed, enhancing service quality and accessibility while mitigating harm and inequality, thereby enabling the retailer to use AI to foster innovation, customer loyalty, and contribute to a more sustainable and equitable marketplace.



## Privacy and Security

The AI principle of Privacy and Security focuses on protecting customer data and ensuring business operation integrity by complying with regulations POPIA and implementing best data handling practices. This includes robust encryption, access controls, and regular security audits to prevent unauthorized access and hacking, thereby fostering customer trust and safeguarding the brand's reputation.



## Transparency

The AI principle of Transparency involves providing clear explanations of AI-driven decisions, such as product recommendations and pricing, to foster trust and accountability. This principle ensures that both customers and internal stakeholders understand how AI technologies are used, aligning with ethical and business objectives, and demonstrating the retailer's commitment to responsible AI deployment.



## Accountability

The AI principle of Accountability ensures responsible and ethical AI use by establishing clear oversight and assigning roles for AI systems' design, implementation, and outcomes. It involves adhering to laws, regulations, and ethical guidelines, with mechanisms for reporting and addressing AI-related concerns, thereby instilling confidence and demonstrating commitment to responsible business practices.





## Fairness

The AI principle of Fairness focuses on unbiased AI systems, ensuring equitable treatment for all customers. This includes diverse data collection, transparent algorithms, and fair access and treatment in AI applications. Continuous monitoring, ethical marketing, and responsible innovation are emphasized, along with employee training and regulatory compliance.

### Rationale

- **To Build Trust and Ensure Ethical Treatment:** Fair and transparent AI operations are fundamental to building customer trust, ensuring ethical conduct, and preventing the exploitation of vulnerable groups.
- **To Counteract Bias and Prevent Discrimination:** Actively managing fairness is necessary to mitigate inherent biases in data and prevent discriminatory outcomes in pricing, services, and marketing.
- **To Drive Long-Term Success and Loyalty:** An ethical reputation for fairness strengthens customer loyalty, enhances brand value, and drives sustainable, long-term business success.
- **To Mitigate Legal and Regulatory Risk:** Proactively adhering to fairness principles ensures compliance with anti-discrimination laws and industry standards, protecting the organization from legal challenges.
- **To Foster Responsible and Effective Innovation:** Involving diverse stakeholders and assessing societal impact leads to the creation of more robust, equitable, and beneficial AI solutions.
- **To Uphold Human Accountability:** Since AI is a human-led endeavour, it is essential to empower employees to recognize and correct biases, fostering a culture of accountability.

### Implications

- **Mandatory Inclusive Data and Transparent Algorithms:** Requires collecting representative data to reflect all demographics and making AI decision-making processes clear and understandable.
- **Continuous Monitoring and Auditing:** Establishes a formal process of regular audits and performance tracking to identify and rectify any biases that emerge in AI systems.
- **Development of Fair Operational Guardrails:** Involves creating and enforcing clear rules for AI applications in areas like marketing, pricing, and service access to ensure equitable treatment.
- **Embedding a Culture of Responsibility:** Requires comprehensive employee training, integrating diverse stakeholder feedback, and making responsible design a core part of the innovation process.
- **Fairness as a Key Performance Indicator (KPI):** Involves treating the equitable performance of AI as a critical metric of success, on par with financial and operational goals.
- **Proactive Adherence to Legal Standards:** Necessitates maintaining active policies to ensure all AI systems comply with current and future laws and industry regulations regarding fairness and discrimination.



## Reliability and Safety

The AI principle of Privacy and Security focuses on protecting customer data and ensuring business operation integrity by complying with regulations POPIA and implementing best data handling practices. This includes robust encryption, access controls, and regular security audits to prevent unauthorized access and hacking, thereby fostering customer trust and safeguarding the brand's reputation.

Rationale	Implications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>To Build and Maintain Customer Trust:</b> Demonstrating that AI systems are dependable and secure is fundamental to building customer confidence and safeguarding the company's brand reputation.</li><li>• <b>To Ensure Operational Stability:</b> Predictable and efficient AI performance is essential to minimize service disruptions, costly errors, and interruptions to business operations.</li><li>• <b>To Protect Customers from Harm:</b> Prioritizing safety is a core responsibility to prevent unintended or harmful consequences from AI applications and to protect customer data and privacy.</li><li>• <b>To Proactively Mitigate Risk:</b> It is more effective and safer to identify and address potential failure points, security vulnerabilities, and evolving threats before they can cause adverse outcomes.</li><li>• <b>To Safeguard Against Malicious Threats:</b> As AI systems can be targets, robust cybersecurity is necessary to prevent them from being compromised and used for unintended or harmful purposes.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Implementation of Rigorous Testing and Validation:</b> Mandates a comprehensive pre-deployment phase where AI systems are thoroughly tested in realistic environments to identify and fix potential failures.</li><li>• <b>Integration of Robust Cybersecurity and Fail-Safes:</b> Requires embedding strong security measures into the AI architecture and designing safe fallback mechanisms that activate if the system behaves unexpectedly.</li><li>• <b>Establishment of Continuous Monitoring and Updates:</b> Commits resources to constantly monitor live AI systems, enabling the organization to adapt to new threats and ensure ongoing reliability through regular updates.</li><li>• <b>Enforcement of Strict Data Privacy and Protection:</b> Implies that all AI applications must be built with privacy-by-design principles to ensure customer data is handled securely and ethically.</li><li>• <b>Prioritization as a Core Business Requirement:</b> Elevates reliability and safety from a technical concern to a strategic priority that is a key criterion for project approval and a measure of success.</li></ul>



## Inclusiveness

The AI principle of Inclusiveness emphasizes designing AI systems that benefit all customers, including those with disabilities or language barriers, by ensuring diverse representation in AI model development. It also involves seeking input from various communities to tailor products and services, fostering belonging, driving innovation, and building stronger customer relationships.

### Rationale

- **To Capture New Revenue and Market Share:** Inclusive AI identifies and caters to the specific dietary, cultural, and economic needs of underserved communities, unlocking new, loyal customer bases and driving sales growth.
- **To Build Authentic Brand Loyalty:** By using AI to create genuinely relevant marketing and product recommendations, a retailer can build deep trust and a strong brand reputation, which is a key differentiator in the competitive FMCG market.
- **To Optimize Core Business Operations:** An inclusive lens on data allows AI to improve demand forecasting, product assortment, and supply chain efficiency for a wider range of goods, reducing waste and preventing stockouts of community-critical items.
- **To Mitigate Bias and Prevent Brand Damage:** Proactively ensuring AI systems are inclusive is critical for avoiding culturally insensitive or discriminatory outcomes that can cause immediate and significant harm to the brand's public image.

### Implications

- **Invest in Diverse Data and Granular Segmentation:** Move beyond standard datasets by strategically sourcing data on cultural and lifestyle needs, and use it to create nuanced, AI-driven customer segments instead of broad stereotypes.
- **Embed Fairness into Commercial AI Tools:** Rigorously audit and engineer the AI that powers promotions, pricing, and product placement (planograms) to ensure fair and equitable treatment for all customer groups.
- **Establish Human-Centric Governance:** Create cross-functional oversight teams (with DE&I, marketing, data science) and establish formal feedback loops with diverse community groups to guide AI development and validation.
- **Mandate Accessibility in Digital Platforms:** Ensure the company's e-commerce sites and mobile apps are engineered with AI-powered accessibility features (e.g., for vision, motor, or cognitive impairments) as a non-negotiable standard.



## Inclusiveness

The AI principle of Privacy and Security focuses on protecting customer data and ensuring business operation integrity by complying with regulations POPIA and implementing best data handling practices. This includes robust encryption, access controls, and regular security audits to prevent unauthorized access and hacking, thereby fostering customer trust and safeguarding the brand's reputation.

### Rationale

- **To Maintain Loyalty Program Viability:** Customer trust is the currency of retail loyalty programs. Protecting personal data is essential to keep these core marketing and data-gathering engines effective.
- **To Comply with Data Protection Law:** In South Africa, failing to secure personal information used by AI is a direct violation of the Protection of Personal Information Act (POPIA), leading to severe fines and legal consequences.
- **To Protect Competitive Advantage:** Customer purchase data and the AI models trained on it are invaluable strategic assets. Securing this data is critical to protecting the company's competitive edge in the market.
- **To Ensure Operational & Supply Chain Integrity:** A security breach in AI-driven logistics or inventory systems could halt the movement of goods, leading to empty shelves, lost sales, and immediate operational chaos.
- **To Prevent Large-Scale Financial Fraud:** Retailers process millions of transactions daily, making them a prime target. Robust AI security is crucial to detect and prevent fraudulent activities and protect both customer and company funds.

### Implications

- **Implement "Privacy-by-Design" as a Mandate:** Every new AI system must be built with privacy and security as a foundational requirement, not an afterthought, including undergoing a formal Privacy Impact Assessment.
- **Enforce Strict Data Governance & Access Controls:** Establish and audit clear policies on who can access customer data and for what purpose, implementing the principle of least privilege for all teams interacting with AI systems.
- **Provide Transparent Customer Controls:** Give customers a simple, clear dashboard to understand how their data fuels AI personalization and to easily provide or withdraw consent for its use.
- **Conduct Continuous, Adversarial Security Testing:** Employ dedicated internal and external teams to constantly and actively test AI systems for vulnerabilities, simulating real-world attacks to stay ahead of threats.
- **Mandate Ongoing, Advanced Employee Training:** Implement continuous training programs for all staff to recognize and defend against modern, AI-powered security threats like sophisticated phishing and social engineering attacks.



## Transparency

The AI principle of Transparency involves providing clear explanations of AI-driven decisions, such as product recommendations and pricing, to foster trust and accountability. This principle ensures that both customers and internal stakeholders understand how AI technologies are used, aligning with ethical and business objectives, and demonstrating the retailer's commitment to responsible AI deployment.

### Rationale

- **To Build Customer Trust:** Explaining *why* an AI suggests a product or offer demystifies the technology, reduces customer unease, and builds a stronger, more honest relationship.
- **To Ensure POPIA Compliance:** South African law requires organisations to explain how they process customer data. AI transparency provides the necessary proof of responsible use to meet these legal obligations.
- **To Empower Internal Teams:** Staff are more likely to trust and effectively use AI-driven insights for tasks like stock forecasting when the system can explain its reasoning, leading to smarter business decisions.
- **To Improve AI Performance Faster:** When an AI makes a mistake, transparency allows technical teams to quickly diagnose and fix the root cause, leading to more rapid improvement of essential business tools.
- **To Enable Fair Customer Redress:** If a customer disputes an AI decision, transparency allows staff to provide a clear, factual explanation, ensuring that conflicts are resolved fairly and professionally.

### Implications

- **Investment in Explainable AI (XAI) Tools:** The retailer must financially invest in specific XAI technologies and platforms that can translate complex AI model decisions into human-understandable outputs for both internal and external use.
- **Creation of "Model Cards" for Internal Governance:** For every significant AI model deployed (e.g., for pricing, forecasting, or personalisation), a corresponding "fact sheet" or "model card" must be created, detailing its purpose, the data it uses, and its known limitations for internal teams.
- **Development of Customer-Facing Explanations:** The retailer must build simple, user-friendly interfaces into its app and website. For example, a small, clickable icon next to a personalised offer could reveal a straightforward explanation like, "This offer is based on your past purchases in the bakery category."
- **Training Staff to Be "AI Interpreters":** Customer service agents and in-store staff must be trained on how to access, interpret, and communicate the reasoning behind AI-driven decisions to customers in a simple, clear, and helpful manner.
- **Establishing a Formal AI Communications Policy:** The company must create and publish a clear policy that informs customers how and where AI is being used across their shopping journey, establishing a public commitment to transparency and responsible AI use.



## Accountability

The AI principle of Accountability ensures responsible and ethical AI use by establishing clear oversight and assigning roles for AI systems' design, implementation, and outcomes. It involves adhering to laws, regulations, and ethical guidelines, with mechanisms for reporting and addressing AI-related concerns, thereby instilling confidence and demonstrating commitment to responsible business practices.

Rationale	Implications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>To Maintain Investor Confidence:</b> For high-stakes AI systems managing supply chains or pricing, clear ownership demonstrates mature governance, assuring stakeholders that someone is answerable for failures.</li><li>• <b>To Meet Legal Demands:</b> South African laws like the CPA and POPIA hold the company liable for its AI's actions. Accountability assigns an internal owner to answer to regulators for issues like unfair pricing or data breaches.</li><li>• <b>To Ensure Rapid Problem Resolution:</b> Accountability prevents internal blame-shifting when an AI system fails. Assigning a system owner ensures one person is responsible for driving a swift and effective solution.</li><li>• <b>To Drive Responsible Innovation:</b> When teams are accountable for an AI's impact, they are strongly motivated to perform thorough risk assessments and ensure ethical development to avoid negative consequences.</li><li>• <b>To Protect Brand Reputation:</b> Taking ownership of AI actions, especially by offering remedies when things go wrong, reinforces a commitment to ethical business practices and protects the company's public image.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Establishment of an AI Governance Committee:</b> A formal, cross-functional committee, including leaders from legal, ethics, IT, and business units, is created to be the ultimate authority for setting AI policies and overseeing high-risk projects.</li><li>• <b>Assignment of a "System Owner" for Every AI Model:</b> For each deployed AI system, from a customer chatbot to a logistics optimiser, a specific individual (e.g., "Head of Personalisation") is formally named the owner, responsible for its performance and impact.</li><li>• <b>Implementation of Comprehensive Audit Trails:</b> All AI systems must be built to create and store detailed, immutable logs of their decisions, data inputs, and outcomes. These logs are essential for post-incident analysis and for providing evidence to regulators.</li><li>• <b>Creation of a Public-Facing Redress Process:</b> The retailer must design and publicise a clear, accessible process for customers who believe an AI decision has harmed them. This process must guarantee a human review and a clear path for escalation.</li><li>• <b>Integration of AI into Performance Management:</b> Job descriptions and performance metrics for AI "system owners" and their teams are updated to include formal responsibility for their system's ethical and operational performance, making accountability a tangible part of their roles.</li></ul>



# AI Principle | Beneficence



## Beneficence

Adhering to the principle of Beneficence in AI means developing and deploying systems to generate positive impacts for customers, communities, and the environment, focusing on enhancing experiences, optimizing supply chains sustainably, and innovating health-conscious products. This approach entails ensuring that AI benefits are widely distributed, enhancing service quality and accessibility while mitigating harm and inequality, thereby enabling the retailer to use AI to foster innovation, customer loyalty, and contribute to a more sustainable and equitable marketplace.

Rationale	Implications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>To Build Loyalty Beyond Price:</b> In a competitive market, using AI to deliver tangible help—such as budget management tools or healthier food suggestions—creates deep customer loyalty that transcends simple price comparisons.</li><li>• <b>To Enhance Brand Reputation and Social Licence:</b> By deploying AI to tackle critical South African issues like food insecurity and supporting local suppliers, a retailer proves its commitment to the community, strengthening its brand and public trust.</li><li>• <b>To Drive Meaningful Cost Savings:</b> Focusing AI on major challenges like food waste and logistics inefficiency not only produces a positive environmental impact but also generates significant, measurable cost savings that improve the bottom line.</li><li>• <b>To Attract and Retain Purpose-Driven Talent:</b> Top tech and data science professionals are increasingly motivated by purpose. A clear commitment to using AI for societal good makes a retailer a more attractive employer than one focused solely on profit.</li><li>• <b>To Create a More Resilient Business:</b> Using AI to build a more sustainable and equitable supply chain makes the business more resilient to future environmental regulations, consumer activism, and economic instability.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Prioritise Projects with a "Benefit Scorecard":</b> AI initiatives are approved not just on financial ROI, but are formally scored on their potential positive impact on customers (health/savings), society (food security), and the environment (waste/emissions).</li><li>• <b>Develop AI-Powered Tools That Directly Help Customers:</b> The retailer actively builds and deploys features within its app to help customers, such as a budget tracker with cheaper product swaps or a meal planner that helps reduce household food waste.</li><li>• <b>Deploy AI to Systematically Reduce Food Waste:</b> Invest in and deploy sophisticated AI for demand forecasting and inventory management, with the specific, measured goal of minimising food spoilage across the entire supply chain.</li><li>• <b>Leverage AI to Champion Local Suppliers:</b> Use AI-driven procurement platforms to identify, onboard, and support small-scale local farmers, providing them with reliable demand forecasts to foster inclusive economic growth.</li><li>• <b>Commit to Public Reporting on Beneficial Outcomes:</b> The company transparently measures and includes the tangible outcomes of its AI systems in its annual reports, detailing metrics like "tonnes of food waste prevented" or "increase in sourcing from local producers."</li></ul>