

Lifecycle Management For Docker UI

FINAL REPORT (SEMESTER 2)

Stephen Coady

20064122

Supervisor: Dr. Brenda MULLALLY

BSc (Hons) in Applied Computing

Plagiarism Declaration

Unless otherwise stated all the work contained in this report is my own. I also state that I have not submitted this work for any other course of study leading to an academic award.

Contents

Appendices	3
A Application Repository	3
B Dockerode	3
C Travis Repository	3
D SonarQube Repository	3
E Sprint Retrospectives	3
Bibliography	5

Appendices

A Application Repository

<https://github.com/StephenCoady/lifecycle-management-for-docker>

B Dockerode

<https://github.com/apocas/dockerode>

C Travis Repository

<https://travis-ci.org/StephenCoady/lifecycle-management-for-docker>

D SonarQube Repository

<https://sonarqube.com/dashboard/index?id=lifecycle-management-for-docker>

E Sprint Retrospectives

2017-02-01 Docker Project Sprint 1 Retrospective

Date 01 Feb 2017

Participants [Leigh Griffin](#) [Stephen Coady](#)

Retrospective

What did we do well?

- Already had prototype in place to accelerate development
- 3rd party module knowledge accelerated development
- Guidance from Red Hat really helped focus the sprint
- Scope on tickets well understood from Red Hats perspective

What should we have done better?

- Story points were inflated because domain knowledge was higher than anticipated
- Testing strategy needs to be revised, very time consuming

Actions

- [Stephen Coady](#) review backlog for story point accuracy based off of current domain knowledge
- [Stephen Coady](#) add a ticket to review / spike testing strategies, feel free to consult [David Martin](#) and [Leigh Griffin](#) on specifics
- [Stephen Coady](#) add a ticket for UI frameworks investigations and spikes, end result should be an Epic that we can triage and prioritise

Bibliography