2017-02-28 Docker Sprint 3Retrospective

Date 28 Feb 2017

Participants Leigh Griffin Stephen Coady

Retrospective

What did we do well?

- The UI is very usable, lots of nice feedback and functionality visible now
- Consistency in the velocity at 20 points
- Got the wireframe relationship, it really helped with my front end skills which I was not confident in
- Wireframe feedback was excellent, really helped scope the work
- · Got to demo to my supervisor which gave her a lot of insight
- Overall work pace was judged well for the most part, consistent delivery

What should we have done better?

- The story pointing on the skeleton was completely off, it could have derailed the entire sprint
- · Velocity last sprint was off
 - o you should have descoped when you realised how big the UI was
 - o you should have re story pointed the UI mid sprint to allow a controlled descope
- Tickets are not descriptive enough, need to add more metadata
- Didn't descope the testing in a container ticket, should have done that when the UI became so big

Actions

- <u>Stephen Coady</u> to review the backlog with a view to WHAT and WHY being evolved in the tickets as well as story points
- <u>Stephen Coady</u> to define the critical path through the project, ~80 story points left with a ~60 story point burn predicted
- <u>Stephen Coady</u> to add some investigative tasks around KeyCloak SSO for future work i.e. out of scope of this project