

# Extended Euclid's Algorithm via Backward Recurrence Relations

S. P. Glasby

Department of Mathematics and Computing Science  
The University of the South Pacific  
Suva, Fiji Islands

**Introduction** Given elements  $a$  and  $b$  of a Euclidean ring  $R$ , Euclid's algorithm is most useful for computing the greatest common divisor  $\gcd(a, b)$ , or when  $\gcd(a, b)$  is invertible, computing the inverse of  $a + bR$  in the quotient ring  $R/bR$ . The second problem is usually solved by computing  $x, y \in R$  satisfying  $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$  via the familiar backward substitution method. It seems less well known that solving  $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$  can be performed more efficiently using a “backward” recurrence relation. Many books, such as [1, 2, 3], use a “forward” recurrence relation method. I shall argue that the backward recurrence relation method is both pedagogically more natural for students, and more efficient for hand computations.

The ring  $F[X]$  of polynomials over a field  $F$  and the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers are examples of Euclidean rings. There is a “degree” function  $\delta : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$ . Given  $a, b \in R$  where  $b \neq 0$  there exist a “quotient”  $q$ , and a “remainder”  $r$  in  $R$  such that  $a = qb + r$  where  $\delta(r) < \delta(b)$ . The reader unfamiliar with Euclidean rings in general need not worry: in our examples,  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q$  and  $r$  are the usual quotients and remainders, i.e.,  $q = \lfloor a/b \rfloor$  (where  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  denotes the greatest integer function),  $r = a - qb$ , and  $\delta(r) = |r|$ .

Given  $a_0, a_1 \in R$  where  $a_1 \neq 0$ , there exist quotients  $q_1, \dots, q_r$  and remainders  $a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}$  in  $R$  such that  $a_2, \dots, a_r$  are nonzero and

$$a_0 = q_1 a_1 + a_2, \quad a_1 = q_2 a_2 + a_3, \quad \dots \quad a_{r-1} = q_r a_r + a_{r+1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta(a_{r+1}) < \dots < \delta(a_2) < \delta(a_1)$ . Since  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$  has no infinite descending sequences, this process can not continue indefinitely, so we shall assume that  $a_r \neq a_{r+1} = 0$ . It follows from  $\gcd(a_{i-1}, a_i) = \gcd(a_i, a_{i+1})$  that  $\gcd(a_0, a_1) = a_r$ . Furthermore, by writing equations (1) in the form  $a_{i+1} = a_{i-1} - q_i a_i$  and using backward substitution, one can show that there exist  $x, y \in R$  such that  $a_0 x + a_1 y = a_r$ .

That is, starting with  $a_r = a_{r-2} - q_{r-1}a_{r-1}$ , one substitutes  $a_{r-1} = a_{r-3} - q_{r-2}a_{r-2}$  and then, after collecting terms,  $a_{r-2} = a_{r-4} - q_{r-3}a_{r-3}$  is substituted, etc.

When writing the equations (1) one sees the same terms written repeatedly:  $a_2, \dots, a_{r-1}$  each appear three times, and  $a_1, a_r$  appear twice. This suggests abbreviating these equations by

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & q_1 & q_2 & \cdots & q_r & \\ \hline a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_r & 0 \end{array} \quad (2)$$

**A backward recurrence relation** One can start with  $a_0, a_1$  and generate higher  $a_i$  via the *forward recurrence*  $a_{i+1} = -q_i a_i + a_{i-1}$ , or less conventionally, start with  $a_{r+1} = 0$  and  $a_r$  and generate lower  $a_i$  via a *backward recurrence*:  $a_{i-1} = q_i a_i + a_{i+1}$ . The backward substitution method corresponds to solving the equations  $a_{i-1}x_i + a_i y_i = a_r$  for  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  until one finds  $x_1$  and  $y_1$ . It turns out to be more convenient to consider the following related equations:  $a_{i-1}x_{i-1} + a_i(-1)^{r+i}y_{i-1} = a_r$ . Our initial conditions are then  $x_r = 1, y_r = 0$  and  $x_{r-1} = 0, y_{r-1} = 1$ . A recurrence relation can be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_r &= a_i x_i + a_{i+1}(-1)^{r+i+1}y_i \\ &= a_i x_i + (a_{i-1} - q_i a_i)(-1)^{r+i+1}y_i \\ &= a_{i-1}(-1)^{r+i+1}y_i + a_i(-1)^{r+i}(q_i y_i + (-1)^{r+i}x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Take  $x_{i-1} = (-1)^{r+i+1}y_i$  and  $y_{i-1} = q_i y_i + (-1)^{r+i}x_i$ . Eliminating  $x_i$  gives the following recurrence relation:

$$y_r = 0, \quad y_{r-1} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{i-1} = q_i y_i + y_{i+1}.$$

Hence we may add an extra row to table (2) to compute the  $y$ 's:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & q_1 & \cdots & & q_i & \cdots & q_r & \\ \hline a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & q_i a_i + a_{i+1} & a_i & a_{i+1} & \cdots & a_r & 0 \\ y_0 & y_1 & \cdots & q_i y_i + y_{i+1} & y_i & y_{i+1} & \cdots & y_r & \end{array}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} a_r &= a_{i-1}x_{i-1} + a_i(-1)^{r+i}y_{i-1} \\ &= a_{i-1}(-1)^{r+i+1}y_i + a_i(-1)^{r+i}y_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $i = 1$  gives  $a_0y_1 - a_1y_0 = (-1)^r a_r$ . As an illustration, we compute  $\gcd(74, 54)$  and solve  $74x + 54y = \gcd(74, 54)$ :

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 74 & 54 & 20 & 14 & 6 & 2 & 0 \\ 11 & 8 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \end{array}$$

Therefore  $74 \times 8 - 54 \times 11 = (-1)^5 2$ , so  $x = -8$  and  $y = 11$  is a solution.

The above calculation has advantages over the familiar method:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= 1 \times 14 - 2 \times 6 \\ &= 1 \times 14 - 2 \times (20 - 1 \times 14) = -2 \times 20 + 3 \times 14 \\ &= -2 \times 20 + 3 \times (54 - 2 \times 20) = 3 \times 54 - 8 \times 20 \\ &= 3 \times 54 - 8 \times (74 - 1 \times 54) = -8 \times 74 + 11 \times 54. \end{aligned}$$

**Forward recurrence relations** An alternative approach is to set  $a_0X_i + a_1Y_i = a_i$  and seek the values of  $X_r$  and  $Y_r$ . Our initial conditions are  $X_0 = 1, Y_0 = 0$  and  $X_1 = 0, Y_1 = 1$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i+1} &= a_{i-1} - q_i a_i \\ &= (a_0X_{i-1} + a_1Y_{i-1}) - q_i(a_0X_i + a_1Y_i) \\ &= a_0(X_{i-1} - q_iX_i) + a_1(Y_{i-1} - q_iY_i) \end{aligned}$$

so we may take  $X_{i+1} = X_{i-1} - q_iX_i$  and  $Y_{i+1} = Y_{i-1} - q_iY_i$ . Unlike the backward method, these recurrence relations are not coupled: one may solve for the  $X$ 's independently of the  $Y$ 's, and vice versa. Hence we may add two extra rows to table (2) to compute the  $X$ 's and the  $Y$ 's:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & q_1 & \cdots & & q_i & & \cdots & q_r & \\ \hline a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{i-1} & a_i & a_{i-1} - q_i a_i & \cdots & a_r & 0 \\ X_0 & X_1 & \cdots & X_{i-1} & X_i & X_{i-1} - q_i X_i & \cdots & X_r & \\ Y_0 & Y_1 & \cdots & Y_{i-1} & Y_i & Y_{i-1} - q_i Y_i & \cdots & Y_r & \end{array}$$

For example, if  $a_0 = 74$  and  $a_1 = 54$ , this method gives

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 74 & 54 & 20 & 14 & 6 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 & -8 & \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 & -4 & 11 & \end{array}$$

Therefore  $74 \times (-8) + 54 \times 11 = 2$ , yielding the same answer as before.

**Comparing the methods** The backward recurrence method was motivated by backward substitution which is taught to most students, and so is pedagogically preferable to the forward recurrence method. From the point of view of hand calculations, the backward recurrence method requires half the effort, and students are less likely to make a sign error. The  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$ , and  $y_i$  can be expressed in terms of polynomials in  $q_1, \dots, q_{r-1}$ , called *continuants* (see [2]). Computing the  $y$ 's instead of the  $X$ 's and the  $Y$ 's has half the computational complexity, as the polynomials arising are very similar. It follows from a symmetry property of continuants that  $y_0 = (-1)^{r-1}Y_r$  and  $y_1 = (-1)^rX_r$ .

The forward method is good for computers when long computations are involved as the  $q$ 's need not be stored — once  $q_i$  has been used to compute  $a_{i+1}$ ,  $X_{i+1}$  and  $Y_{i+1}$ , it can be discarded. This makes writing a computer program for the forward method slightly easier than the backward method. This is no advantage for hand calculation, as the student will invariably have recorded each  $q_i$  on paper.

The point made in the first paragraph needs qualification. If one uses the forward recurrence method to compute  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  and  $X$ , then  $Y$  can be computed, using three divisions, from the equation  $Y = (1 - a'X)/b'$  where  $a' = a/d$ ,  $b' = b/d$ . When computing the inverse of  $a + bR$  in the quotient ring  $R/bR$ , the value of  $Y$  is irrelevant, and so one need only compute  $d$  and  $X$ .

When  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_0, a_1$  are positive, it is annoying that the signs of the  $X$ 's and the  $Y$ 's alternate. This can be avoided by redefining  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  as follows:  $a_0X_i - a_1Y_i = (-1)^i a_i$ . Then the forward recurrence relations become

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= 1, \quad X_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X_{i+1} = X_{i-1} + q_i X_i, \\ Y_0 &= 0, \quad Y_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{i+1} = Y_{i-1} + q_i Y_i. \end{aligned}$$

This, however, is undesirable from the view point of teaching as then different rows of our table are computed via different rules (namely  $a_{i+1} = a_{i-1} - q_i a_i$ ,  $X_{i+1} = X_{i-1} + q_i X_i$ , and  $Y_{i+1} = Y_{i-1} + q_i Y_i$ ).

## References

- [1] Henri Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 138, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1995
- [2] Donald E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming, vol. 2: Semi-Numerical Algorithms*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1995
- [3] M. Pohst and H. Zassenhaus, Algorithmic algebraic number theory, in *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1989