# StudentSurvey\_YoungStephen.R

## young

### 2022-05-01

```
# Name: Young, Stephen
# Date: 2022-05-1

## Set the working directory to the root of your DSC 520 directory
setwd("C:/Users/young/Desktop/Classes/DSC520/GIT")

## Load the `data/r4ds/heights.csv` to
student_df <- read.csv("data/student-survey.csv")

#i. Use R to calculate the covariance of the Survey variables and provide an explanation
#of why you would use this calculation and what the results indicate.

#the covariance is -10.35009, meaning the relationship between the two is negative
cov(student_df$TimeReading, student_df$Happiness)

## [1] -10.35009

#the covariance is 114.3773 so there is a positive relationship
cov(student_df$TimeTV, student_df$Happiness)</pre>
```

#### ## [1] 114.3773

# Assignment: Student Survey

#ii. Examine the Survey data variables. What measurement is being used for the variables?
#Explain what effect changing the measurement being used for the variables would have on the covariance
#Would this be a problem? Explain and provide a better alternative if needed.

#the measurement of TimeReading and TimeTV are both in terms of hours, Happiness is a percentage and Ge #Changing the measurement being used would possibly effect how they relate to each other. If the time v #minutes, then it would be different because the units are. The magnitude of the covariance is arbitrar #the number does not necessarily show the strength of the relationship

#iii. Choose the type of correlation test to perform, explain why you chose this test, and make a predi #a positive or negative correlation?

#I would chose the pearson correlation test to be between timeTV and timeReading and say that there is #variables and say it would be a possitive correlation between them both cor(student\_df\$TimeTV, student\_df\$TimeReading) #-0.8830677 is negatively correlated so as one increases

# ## [1] -0.8830677

```
#iv. Perform a correlation analysis of:
#a. All variables
cor(student df)
##
                             TimeTV Happiness
                                                   Gender
             TimeReading
## TimeReading 1.00000000 -0.883067681 -0.4348663 -0.089642146
## TimeTV
             -0.88306768 1.000000000 0.6365560 0.006596673
## Happiness
             ## Gender
             #b. A single correlation between two a pair of the variables
cor(student_df$TimeTV, student_df$Gender)
## [1] 0.006596673
#.006596673, very low to no relationship between the two
#c. Repeat your correlation test in step 2 but set the confidence interval at 99%
cor.test(student_df$TimeTV, student_df$Gender, conf.level = 0.99)
##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
## data: student_df$TimeTV and student_df$Gender
## t = 0.01979, df = 9, p-value = 0.9846
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 99 percent confidence interval:
## -0.7182866 0.7246128
## sample estimates:
         cor
## 0.006596673
#d. Describe what the calculations in the correlation matrix suggest about the relationship between the
#There seems to be correlation between TimeTV and Happiness. Everything else is a weak
#relationship (Time TV and Gender .006596673 and Happiness and Gender .157011838) or negative relations
#(TimeReading to TimeTV -0.8831, TimeReading to Happiness -0.4349, TimeReading to Gender -0.0896, Happin
#v. Calculate the correlation coefficient and the coefficient of determination, describe what you concl
#correlation coefficient
cor(student_df)
             TimeReading
                             TimeTV Happiness
## TimeReading 1.00000000 -0.883067681 -0.4348663 -0.089642146
## TimeTV
             -0.88306768 1.000000000 0.6365560 0.006596673
## Happiness
            ## Gender
             #coefficient of determination
student.lm = lm(formula = Happiness ~ TimeReading + TimeTV+Gender, data = student_df)
summary(student.lm)$r.squared
```

#### ## [1] 0.5205882

#The coefficient of determination is .5205882 which means that there is a 52.06% chance of explaining t #between the variables so only 52.06% of the relation between happiness, time reading, Time TV and Gend #rather low amount of explaination between the variables

#vi. Based on your analysis can you say that watching more TV caused students to read less? Explain.

# the relation between TV and Reading was a negative coefficient of -0.883067681 which means there is a

# of negative relation between the two, so as one increases the other one decreases and vice versa so if

# rise, this would cause reading to go down.

#vii. Pick three variables and perform a partial correlation, documenting which variable you are "contr
#Explain how this changes your interpretation and explanation of the results
library(ppcor)

## Loading required package: MASS

pcor.test(student\_df\$TimeReading, student\_df\$TimeTV, student\_df\$Happiness)

## estimate p.value statistic n gp Method ## 1 -0.872945 0.0009753126 -5.061434 11 1 pearson

#the estimate is -0.872945 which is a negative correlation between the two variables, the p.value is .0 #means that the it is not statistically significant and it is controlling for happiness.