Announcements

- Program 6 will be released tonight
 - It will be open ended
 - I am still working on the due date
- Still working on grading everything
 - Midterm 2 and Program 4 should be finished sometime tomorrow
- Last lecture on new material is on 12/9
- The last class will be on 12/11 and will serve strictly as a review session
 - I highly recommend you go...

Algorithm Analysis

An **algorithm** is a sequence of steps for accomplishing a task.

An algorithms **runtime** is the <u>time</u> the algorithm takes to <u>execute</u>.

An algorithm typically uses a number of steps proportional to the size of the input, for example:

• For a list with 32 elements, linear search requires at most 32 comparisons: 1 comparison if the search key is found at index 0, 2 if found at index 1, and so on, up to 32 comparisons if the the search key is not found.

• For a list with <u>N elements</u>, linear search thus requires <u>at most N comparisons</u>. The algorithm is said to require "on the order" of N comparisons.

Upper and Lower bounds

An algorithm with runtime complexity T(N) has a lower bound and an upper bound

- T(N) is a function representative of an algorithms runtime as N grows
- Lower bound: A function f(N) that is \leq the best case T(N), for all values of $N \geq 1$.
- Upper bound: A function f(N) that is \geq the worst case T(N), for all values of $N \geq 1$.

Remember 'N' is the number of elements your algorithm might deal with...

"Best case T(N)" means: "The behavior in which your algorithm experiences the smallest amount of runtime growth as 'N' gets bigger"

A less accurate (but easier) way to say this is: "The case in which the <u>time it takes</u> your algorithm <u>to execute</u>, is at its **smallest**"

"Worst case T(N)" means: "The behavior in which your algorithm experiences the largest amount of runtime growth as 'N' gets bigger"

A less accurate (but easier) way to say this is: "The case in which the <u>time it takes</u> your algorithm <u>to execute</u>, is at its **largest**"

In strict mathematical terms, upper and lower bounds do not relate to best or worst case runtime complexities.

In the context of algorithm complexity analysis, the terms are often used to collectively bound all runtime complexities for an algorithm.

Therefore, the terms are used in this section such that the upper bound is \geq the worst case T(N) and the lower bound is \leq the best case T(N).

An additional simplification can factor out the constant from a bounding function, leaving a function that categorizes the algorithm's growth rate.

Ex: Instead of saying that an algorithm's runtime function has an upper bound of $30N^2$, the algorithm could be described as having a worst case growth rate of N^2

Asymptotic notation is the classification of runtime complexity that uses functions that indicate only the growth rate of a bounding function.

Three **asymptotic notations** are commonly used in complexity analysis:

- *O notation* (Big Oh) provides a growth rate for an algorithm's upper bound.
- Ω notation (Big Omega) provides a growth rate for an algorithm's lower bound.
- O notation (Big Theta) provides a growth rate that is both an upper and lower bound.

Let's take a look at the formal definition

Big O notation is a mathematical way of describing how a function (<u>running time</u> of an algorithm) generally behaves <u>in relation to the input size</u>.

In **Big O notation**, all functions that have the <u>same growth rate</u> (as determined by the <u>highest order term</u> of the function) are characterized using the <u>same Big O notation</u>.

In essence, all functions that have the <u>same growth rate</u> are considered **equivalent** in Big O notation.

One consideration in evaluating algorithms is that the efficiency of the algorithm is most critical for **large input sizes**.

Small inputs are likely to result in fast running times because N is small, so efficiency is less of a concern.

Let's look at some common Big O complexities...

To analyze how runtime of an algorithm scales as the input size increases, we first determine how many operations the algorithm executes for a specific input size, N. Then, the big-O notation for that function is determined.

Algorithm runtime analysis often focuses on the worst-case runtime complexity.

The **worst-case runtime** of an algorithm is the runtime complexity for an input that results in the longest execution.

Other runtime analyses include best-case runtime and average-case runtime. Determining the average-case runtime requires knowledge of the statistical properties of the expected data inputs.

Let's look at an example of determining worst case runtime...

Constant Time Operations

For algorithm analysis, the definition of a single operation does not need to be precise.

An operation can be any statement (or constant number of statements) that has a **constant runtime complexity**, O(1).

Since <u>constants are omitted in big-O notation</u>, any constant number of constant time operations is O(1).

So, precisely counting the number of constant time operations in a finite sequence is not needed.

Let's take a look at constant runtime analysis

Runtime Analysis of nested loops

Runtime analysis for nested loops requires summing the runtime of the inner loop over each outer loop iteration.

The resulting summation can be simplified to determine the big-O notation.

We can now look runtime complexity for the searching and sorting algorithms we previously covered...