Math 320 April 9, 2020
Lasti roots, reducibility
factor Theorem: f(x) & F[x], a & F.
Then, a is a root of $f(x)$ .  If $f(x) = f(x)$ .
Cor 4.17: f/x) = f(x7 be nonzero poly of Jegree n. Thun f(x) has at most n roots in f.
Pf: By the factor theorem, it fix) has a root a, EF, then
$f(x) = (x-a_1) g_1(x)$
$f.s. g_1(x) \in F(x).$
If fix) has another root az Ef,
then $f(x) = (x-a_1)(x-a_2)g_2(x)$

If f(x) has more roots  $a_3, ---, a_k$ , ne have  $f(x) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2) - (x - a_k) g_k(x)$ where  $g_k(x) \in f[x]$ . Well consider the cases: (1) k=n (2)  $k \neq n$ , and  $g_k(x)$  has no roots Our goal: show f(x) has \le n roots, which means we want \k\le n. Case 1: k=n, we have f(x) = (x-a1) (x-a2) - -- (x-an) gn(x) Just need to make sure gn(x) doesn't have any roots Let's look at degrees:

 $n = deg f(x) = deg [(x-a_1)(x-a_2)---(x-a_n)g_n(x)]$  $n = deg(x-a_1) + deg(x-a_2) + - - - + deg(x-a_n)$  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log(k-a_i) + \log g(x)$ 2 degree 1  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1 + \deg g_n(x) = n + \deg g_i(x)$  $\Rightarrow$  n = n + deg gn(x)  $\Rightarrow$  deg  $g_n(x) = 0$ So, grux) is constant, so it has no routs. This shows f(n) has exactly n
roots in this case, (2) k \$h, and gk(x) has no roots Similar to above,

n=degf(x) = deg[(x-a,)---(x-ak)gk(x)]  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} deg(k-a_i) + deg g_k(x)$  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} 1 + \log g_{i}(x)$ n = 12 + deg.gk(x) degrees are nonnegative, so k < k + deg gk(x) = n ⇒ | k < n |</p> This shows that from has k<n roots in this case. In either case, f(x) has <n roots. Cor 4.18: f(x) E F(x) with deg f > 2. If f(x) is irreducible in f(x), then f(x) has no roots in f

Pf: Short contrapositive proof. Proposition: "If f is irreducible, then f has no roots." Contrapositive: "It f has a root, then f is reducible." If f has a root aff, then by the factor Theorem, f(x) = (x-a)g(x).Jeg >2 Jeg | Since deg f(x) = 2 and deg (x-c)=1,  $1 \le \deg g(x) \le \deg f(x)$ So, fins is reducible. This proves
the contrapositive, which proves
the original statement.

Question: Is it true that if for has no roots, then t is irreducible? That is, is the converse of Cor 4.18 true? Answer: Sometimes Cor 4,19: Let 6(x) E F[x] of degree 2 or 3. Then f(x) is irreducible in flx1 if and only if f(x) has no roots in f. So, if a poly has degree 2 or 3, we can see it it's irreducible by checking for roots. Ex: x3 + x + 1 is irreducible in Z2(x1. Why? it no roots:  $0^{2} + 0 + 1 = 1$   $1^{2} + 1 + 1 = 1$ no roots

We'll prove this next time.
Note / Warning: this only works for polynomials of degree 2 or 3.
for polynomials of degree 2 or 3.
You can find plenty of reducible polynomials of higher degree up
polynomials of higher degree u/
Ex? x - 4 has no roots in Q. Honever, st's reducible, since
$x^{4}-4=(\chi^{2}-2)(\chi^{2}+2).$

how to show (x-2) x?-x? Factor Thm: a is a not of fix) if and only if (x-a)|f(x) $\sqrt{\chi^2-\chi}$  $\chi^2 - \chi = \chi (\chi^6 - 1)$ aso X= x-0 remember, 6=-1, 5=-2