
MATH 537, Fall 2020

Ordinary Differential Equations

Lecture #20

Chapter 5
Section 5.6 Genericity

Instructor: Dr. Bo-Wen Shen*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
San Diego State University

Sect. 5.6: Genericity

We have mentioned several times that “most” matrices have distinct eigenvalues. Our goal in this section is to make this precise.

- In measure theory, **a generic property** is one that **holds almost everywhere** ([Wikipedia](#))
- A system is ‘generic’ if it does the ‘typical’ things and avoids the exceptional things that occur with zero probability ([Sprott, p81](#))
- The word “**generic**” refers to behavior that is typical—behavior that we normally expect to see ([Alliwood et al. 1996](#))

Structural Stability

- Roughly speaking, a dynamical system (vector field or map) is said to be structurally stable if nearby systems have qualitatively the same dynamics (Wiggins, 0000)
- In applications we require our mathematical models to be robust. By this we mean that their qualitative properties should not change significantly when the model is subjected to small, allowable perturbations (Arrowsmith & Place, 1990).
- A dynamical system whose topological properties are shared by all sufficiently close neighboring systems is said to be structurally stable (Arrowsmith & Place, 1990).
- In § 3.1, we show that a linear flow is structurally stable if and only if it is hyperbolic and that hyperbolicity of flow is a generic property of linear transformations on R^n (Arrowsmith & Place, 1990).
- Thus the structurally stable linear flows are characterized by a single, hyperbolic fixed point at the origin and structural stability of flow is a generic property of linear transformations (Arrowsmith & Place, 1990).

An Example: Non-hyperbolic Critical Point

Example 12.0.1. Consider the simple harmonic oscillator

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= y, \\ \dot{y} &= -\omega_0^2 x,\end{aligned}\quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{12.0.1}$$


We know everything about this system. It has a nonhyperbolic fixed point of $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ surrounded by a one-parameter family of periodic orbits, each having frequency ω_0 . The phase portrait of (12.0.1) is shown in Figure 12.0.1 (note: strictly speaking, the phase curves are circles for $\omega_0 = 1$ and ellipses otherwise). Is (12.0.1) stable with respect to perturbations (note: this is a new concept of stability, as opposed to the idea of stability of specific solutions discussed in Chapter 1)? Let us try a few perturbations and see what happens.

$$x'' = -\omega_0^2 x$$

Center

Wiggins (2003)

FIGURE 12.0.1.



(I) Disappearance of Periodic Orbits



Consider the perturbed system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= y, \\ \dot{y} &= -\omega_0^2 x - \varepsilon y.\end{aligned}\tag{12.0.2}$$

It is easy to see that the origin is a hyperbolic fixed point, a sink for $\varepsilon > 0$ and a source for $\varepsilon < 0$. However, all the periodic orbits are destroyed (use Bendixson's criteria). Thus, this perturbation radically alters the structure of the phase space of (12.0.1); see Figure 12.0.2.

$$x'' = -\omega_0^2 x - \varepsilon x'$$

Linear, Dissipative
Perturbation



Wiggins (2003)


Sink

Source

Structurally Unstable

$$x'' = -\omega_0^2 x$$


Center




$$x'' = -\omega_0^2 x - \epsilon x'$$

Linear,
Dissipative
Perturbation

Wiggins (2003)



Sink



Source

(II) Nonlinear Perturbation

Supp

Nonlinear Perturbation



Consider the perturbed system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= y, \\ \dot{y} &= -\omega_0^2 x + \varepsilon x^2.\end{aligned}\tag{12.0.3}$$

The perturbed system now has two fixed points given by

$$\begin{aligned}(x, y) &= (0, 0), \\ (x, y) &= (\omega_0^2/\varepsilon, 0).\end{aligned}\tag{12.0.4}$$

The origin is still a center (i.e., unchanged by the perturbation), and the new fixed point is a saddle and far away for ε small.




Wiggins (2003)


(II) Nonlinear Perturbation

Supp

Center



Center



Wiggins (2003)

(III) Time-Dependent Perturbation

Supp

Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= y, \\ \dot{y} &= -\omega_0^2 x + \varepsilon x \cos t.\end{aligned}\tag{12.0.6}$$

This perturbation is of a very different character than the previous two. Writing (12.0.6) as an autonomous system (see Chapter 7)

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= y, \\ \dot{y} &= -\omega_0^2 x + \varepsilon x \cos \theta, \\ \dot{\theta} &= 1,\end{aligned}\tag{12.0.7}$$

we see that the time-dependent perturbation has the effect of enlarging the dimension of the system. However, in any case, $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ is still a fixed point of (12.0.6), although it is interpreted as a periodic orbit of (12.0.7). We now ask what the nature of the flow is near $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, which is a difficult question to answer due to the time dependence. Equation (12.0.6) is known as the Mathieu equation, and for $\omega_0 = n/2$, n an integer, it is possible for the system to exhibit parametric resonance resulting in a solution starting near the origin that grows without bound. Thus, the flow of (12.0.7) near the origin differs very much from the flow of (12.0.1) near the origin. For more information on the Mathieu equation see Nayfeh and Mook [1979].

Proposition 3.1.1 *A linear flow or diffeomorphism on \mathbb{R}^n is structurally stable in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if it is hyperbolic.*

transformations. Let $SF(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of linear transformations which give rise to structurally stable flows on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 3.1.2 *The set $SF(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is open and dense in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Sect. 5.6: Open

Recall that a set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is **open** if whenever $X \in \mathcal{U}$ there is an open ball about X contained in \mathcal{U} ; that is, for some $a > 0$ (depending on X) the open ball about X of radius a ,

$$\{Y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |Y - X| < a\},$$

is contained in \mathcal{U} . Using geometrical language we say that if X belongs to an open set \mathcal{U} , any point sufficiently near to X also belongs to \mathcal{U} .

- **Open set:** A set U in a metric space is open if for each $x \in U$ there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $d(x, y) < \epsilon$ implies $y \in U$.

No Boundary

Sect. 5.6: Dense

- A dense set: $U \in R^n$ is **dense** if there are points in U arbitrarily close to each point in R^n .
- More precisely, if $X \in R^n$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $Y \in U$ and $|X - Y| < \epsilon$.
- Equivalently, U is dense in R^n if $V \cap U$ is nonempty for every nonempty open set $V \subset R^n$.
- For example, **the rational numbers** form a dense subset of R , as do **the irrational numbers**.

numbers. Similarly

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \text{both } x \text{ and } y \text{ are rational}\}$$

is a dense subset of the plane.

- Boundary: The boundary ∂A of a closed set A is the set of points of A which are not in the interior of A .
- **Closed set**: A set A is closed if it contains all its limit points.
- Interior: The interior of a set A , $\text{int } A$, is the largest open set contained in A .
- Limit point: The point x is a limit point of a set A if every neighborhood of x contains a point of $A - \{x\}$.
- Metric space: A metric space A is a set together with a distance function $d: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies: (1) $d(x, y) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $x = y$; (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$; and (3) $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \geq d(x, z)$ (the triangle inequality).
- Neighborhood: A neighborhood of a point x is a set U which contains x in its interior.
- **Open set**: A set U in a metric space is open if for each $x \in U$ there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $d(x, y) < \epsilon$ implies $y \in U$.

(Guckenheimer and Holmes, 1983)

Sect. 5.6: Dense

Proposition. Let $\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_m$ be open and dense subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . Then

intersection

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{V}_m$$

is also open and dense.

Sect. 5.6: Generic

Theorem. *The set \mathcal{M} of matrices in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that have n distinct eigenvalues is open and dense in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

A property \mathcal{P} of matrices is a *generic property* if the set of matrices having property \mathcal{P} contains an open and dense set in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus a property is generic if it is shared by some open and dense set of matrices (and perhaps other matrices as well). Intuitively speaking, a generic property is one that “almost all” matrices have. Thus, having all distinct eigenvalues is a generic property of $n \times n$ matrices.

Classification: Saddle, Source and Sink

$$\lambda^2 - T\lambda + D = 0$$

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{T \pm \sqrt{T^2 - 4D}}{2}$$


$$\lambda_+ + \lambda_- = T = \text{tr}$$

$$(\lambda - \lambda_+)(\lambda - \lambda_-) = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 - (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)\lambda + \lambda_+\lambda_- = 0$$

$$\lambda_+\lambda_- = D = \text{determinant}$$

$$T^2 = 4D$$



- $D < 0$, λ_+ and λ_- have different signs \rightarrow saddle
- $D > 0$, λ_+ and λ_- have the same sign \rightarrow source with $T > 0$
 \rightarrow sink with $T < 0$

Classification: Saddle, Source and Sink

$$\lambda^2 - T\lambda + D = 0$$

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{T \pm \sqrt{T^2 - 4D}}{2}$$

$$\lambda_+ + \lambda_- = T = \text{tr}$$

$$\lambda_+ \times \lambda_- = D$$


- $D < 0$, \rightarrow saddle
- $D > 0$, \rightarrow source with $T > 0$
 \rightarrow sink with $T < 0$

which set is generic?

$$\text{Re}(\lambda) \neq 0$$

$$T^2 = 4D$$

Center, $\text{Re} = 0$



Generic Property: A property of a map (resp. vector field) is said to be C^k generic if the set of maps (resp. vector fields) possessing that property contains a residual subset in the C^k topology.

Residual Set: Let X be a topological space, and let U be a subset of X . U is called a *residual set* if it is the intersection of a countable number of sets each of which are open and dense in X . If every residual set in X is itself dense in X , then X is called a *Baire space*.

Wiggins (2003)

Definition 12.1.1 (Structural Stability) Consider a map $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M, M)$ (resp. a \mathbf{C}^r vector field in $\mathbf{C}^r(M, M)$); then f is said to be structurally stable if there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{N} of f in the \mathbf{C}^k topology such that f is \mathbf{C}^0 conjugate (resp. \mathbf{C}^0 equivalent) to every map (resp. vector field) in \mathcal{N} .

Definition 12.1.2 (Residual Set) Let X be a topological space, and let U be a subset of X . U is called a residual set if it contains the intersection of a countable number of sets, each of which are open and dense in X . If every residual set in X is itself dense in X , then X is called a Baire space.

Definition 12.1.3 (Generic Property) A property of a map (resp. vector field) is said to be \mathbf{C}^k generic if the set of maps (resp. vector fields) possessing that property contains a residual subset in the \mathbf{C}^k topology.

Example 12.1.1 (Examples of Structurally Stable and Generic Properties).

- Hyperbolic fixed points and periodic orbits are structurally stable and generic.
- The transversal intersection (see Section 12.2) of the stable and unstable manifolds of hyperbolic fixed points and periodic orbits is structurally stable and generic.

The above periodic orbits are stable limit cycles.
The 2nd bullet does not include homoclinic orbits.

Theorem 12.1.4 (Peixoto's Theorem) *A \mathbf{C}^r vector field on a compact boundaryless two-dimensional manifold M is structurally stable if and only if*

- i) *the number of fixed points and periodic orbits is finite and each is hyperbolic;*
- ii) *there are no orbits connecting saddle points;*
- iii) *the nonwandering set consists of fixed points and periodic orbits.*

Moreover, if M is orientable, then the set of such vector fields is open and dense in $\mathbf{C}^r(M, M)$ (note: this is stronger than generic).

The above findings led to two conjectures about flows on manifolds of dimension $n \geq 2$:

- (i) structural stability is a generic property of such flows;
- (ii) the structurally stable flows are characterised in the same way as flows on two-manifolds.

Neither of these conjectures is correct. A counterexample to the first was given by Smale (1966). We do not discuss this example here; the interested reader can

Proposition 3.1.1 *A linear flow or diffeomorphism on \mathbb{R}^n is structurally stable in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if it is hyperbolic.*

transformations. Let $SF(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of linear transformations which give rise to structurally stable flows on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 3.1.2 *The set $SF(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is open and dense in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Definition 3.3.1 A vector field X in $\text{Vec}^1(D^2)$ is said to be structurally stable if there exists a neighbourhood, N , of X in $\text{Vec}^1(D^2)$ such that the flow of every Y in N is topologically equivalent to that of X on D^2 .

Theorem 3.3.1 (Peixoto) Let X belong to $\text{Vec}_{in}^1(D^2)$. Then X is structurally stable if and only if its flow satisfies:

- (i) all fixed points are hyperbolic;
- (ii) all closed orbits are hyperbolic;
- (iii) there are no orbits connecting saddle points.

Notice that items (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.3.1 simply ensure local structural stability of the fixed points and closed orbits in the flow of X . It is really only item (iii) that involves a global property of the flow.

Theorem 3.3.2 The subset of vector fields in $\text{Vec}_{in}^1(D^2)$ that are structurally stable is open and dense in $\text{Vec}_{in}^1(D^2)$.

Theorem 3.3.3 (Peixoto) *A vector field in $\text{Vec}^1(M)$ is structurally stable if and only if its flow satisfies:*

- (i) *all fixed points are hyperbolic;*
- (ii) *all closed orbits are hyperbolic;*
- (iii) *there are no orbits connecting saddle points;*
- (iv) *the non-wandering set consists only of fixed points and periodic orbits.*

Moreover, if M is orientable the set of structurally stable C^1 -vector fields forms an open dense subset of $\text{Vec}^1(M)$.

Example 3.3.1 Show that the vector field, \mathbf{X} , of the differential equation

$$\dot{x} = 2x - x^2, \quad \dot{y} = -y + xy \quad (3.3.2)$$

is **not structurally stable** on any compact subset of the plane with the line segment joining the singular points of \mathbf{X} in its interior.