MATH 525

Sections 2.10–2.12: Cosets and MLD for Linear Codes

October 5, 2020

October 5, 2020 1 / 10

Definition

Let C be a linear code of length n and let $u \in K^n$. The coset determined by *u* is the set

$$C + u = \{c + u \mid c \in C\}.$$

Example

Let C be the linear code

 $\{000000, 100101, 110011, 010110, 011001, 111100, 101010, 001111\}.$

If u = 100000 then C + u equals

 $\{100000, 000101, 010011, 110110, 111001, 011100, 001010, 1011111\}.$

If u = 110010 then C + u equals

 $\{110010, 010111, 000001, 100100, 101011, 001110, 011000, 111101\}.$

October 5, 2020

Remarks:

- C is always one of its cosets; in particular, C is the coset determined by **0** or by any of its codewords because C = C + c for any $c \in C$.
- It is possible that C + u = C + v even if $u \neq v$: Let C be the same code as in the previous example,

```
\{000000, 100101, 110011, 010110, 011001, 111100, 101010, 001111\}.
```

Then C + 001110 equals

 $\{001110, 101011, 111101, 011000, 010111, 110010, 100100, 000001\}.$

Compare the last coset with the one determined by 110010 on the previous slide.

October 5, 2020 3 / 10

Properties of Cosets – Theorem 2.10.3:

Theorem

If C is a linear code of length n, then any two cosets of C have the same cardinality. Since C is one of its cosets, it follows that |C + u| = |C| for any $u \in K^n$.

Theorem

If C is a linear code of length n, then any two cosets of C are either disjoint or coincide. More specifically, given $u, v \in K^n$, either C + u = C + v or $(C + u) \cap (C + v) = \emptyset$.

Let C be a linear code of length n and dimension k. A few consequences of the above theorems are in order:

- If two cosets share one word, then the two cosets coincide completely.
- 2 Every word in K^n belongs to exactly one coset of C.
- 3 C + u = C + v if and only if $u + v \in C$.
- The number of cosets of C equals 2^{n-k} .

Example

Write down all the cosets of the linear code $C = \{0000, 1011, 0101, 1110\}$. To be worked out during the lecture.

Example (Cosets of a (6,3) linear code)

```
 C + 000000 = \{000000, 100101, 110011, 010110, 011001, 111100, 101010, 001111\} \\ C + 100000 = \{100000, 000101, 010011, 110110, 111001, 011110, 001010, 101111\} \\ C + 010000 = \{010000, 110101, 100011, 000110, 001001, 101100, 111010, 011111\} \\ C + 110000 = \{110000, 010101, 000011, 100110, 101001, 001100, 011010, 111111\} \\ C + 001000 = \{001000, 101101, 111011, 011110, 010001, 110100, 100010, 000111\} \\ C + 000010 = \{000010, 100111, 110010, 010111, 011000, 111101, 101011, 001110\} \\ C + 000010 = \{000010, 100001, 110111, 010010, 0111101, 111000, 101110, 001011\} \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 011101, 111000, 101110, 0010111\} \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 0111101, 111000, 101110, 0010111\} \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 0111101, 111000, 101110, 0010111\} \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 0111101, 111000, 101110, 0010111] \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 0111101, 111000, 101110, 0010111] \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 0111101, 111000, 101110, 0010111] \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 0111101, 111000, 101110, 0010111] \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 0111101, 111000, 101110, 0010111] \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 0111101, 111000, 101110, 001011] \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 011110, 011100, 101110, 001011] \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 011110, 011100, 101110, 001011] \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110111, 010010, 011110, 011100, 101110, 0010111] \\ C + 000100 = \{000100, 100001, 110010, 010111, 010010, 011110, 011100, 101110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011110, 011111, 011110, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011111, 011
```

Sections 2.10-2.12

October 5, 2020

/10

Maximum Likelihood Decoding for Linear Codes

• Let C be an (n, k) linear code with parity-check matrix H. The syndrome of the word $w \in K^n$ is defined as

$$s = w \cdot H$$
.

Note that the syndrome of w is a word in K^{n-k} .

• Important property:

$$wH = vH \Leftrightarrow wH + vH = 0 \Leftrightarrow (w + v)H = 0 \Leftrightarrow w + v \in C.$$

In conclusion, syn(w) = syn(v) if and only if w, v belong to the same coset of C. This is also saying that all the elements in a given coset share the same syndrome.

• There is a one-to-one correspondence between syndromes and cosets:

$$\mathsf{syndromes} \; \longleftrightarrow \; \mathsf{cosets} \quad \big(\mathsf{bijection}\big)$$

• There exist 2^{n-k} different syndromes, one for each coset.

Maximum Likelihood Decoding for Linear Codes

- Goal: Given a received word, we want to decode it into the most likely sent codeword. Equivalently, we want to find the most likely error pattern. Note that if C is a code of length n, the error pattern associated with a received word r is a word in K^n .
- Suppose $v \in C$ is transmitted and the error pattern e occurs. Thus, the received word is r = v + e.
- syn(r) = s = rH = (v + e)H = eH. This shows that the syndrome of r equals the syndrome of e.
- So, e belongs to the coset whose syndrome is s. Let that coset (whose syndrome equals s) be C + u.
- For MLD, e must be chosen as a word of minimum weight in C + u. For the smaller the weight of an error pattern, the most likely it is to have occurred.
- A word of minimum weight in a coset is called a coset leader.

October 5, 2020 7 / 10

Maximum Likelihood Decoding for Linear Codes

The above observations lead to a general decoding algorithm for linear codes. It is based on the one-to-one correspondence between coset leaders and syndromes. Usually, a table known as the standard decoding array (SDA) is formed: It consists of two columns, the first containing the 2^{n-k} coset leaders (error patterns) and the second containing their respective syndromes. We will illustrate it via a few examples.

Example

Let $C = \{0000, 1011, 0101, 1110\}$ be a linear code. Find a parity-check matrix for C, construct an SDA for C, and then decode the received word r = 1010.

Example

Let $C = \langle \{10101, 01110\} \rangle$. Find a parity-check matrix for C, construct an SDA for C, and then decode the received word r = 11100.

Reliability of IMLD for Linear Codes

Recall: Let C be a linear code and $v \in C$. Then $\theta_p(C, v) =$ probability that if v is sent over a BSC of reliability p, then IMLD correctly concludes that v was sent.

A coset leader is said to be *unique* if it is the only word of minimum weight in its coset. If $v \in C$ is transmitted, then IMLD will correctly conclude that v was sent if and only if an error pattern e equal to a unique coset leader occurs.

Thus,

$$\theta_p(C, v) = \theta_p(C, \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{w \in L} p^{n - \operatorname{wt}(w)} (1 - p)^{\operatorname{wt}(w)}$$

where $L = L(\mathbf{0}) = \text{set of coset leaders that are } unique.$

From now on for linear codes, we will just write $\theta_p(C)$ in place of $\theta_p(C, v)$.

Example

Find $\theta_p(C)$ where $C = \langle \{101010, 011011, 000111\} \rangle$.

Sections 2.10–2.12 October 5, 2020 9 / 10

- Let C be a linear code of length n and distance d. Given $u \in K^n$, is u a unique coset leader?
- We do not have an "easy" criterion to help us answer the above question, but in many situations the following result is useful:

if
$$\operatorname{wt}(u) \leq \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$$
, then u is a unique coset leader.

• u (of weight $\leq \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$) must be a coset leader for otherwise u would be in the coset C+v for some $v\neq u$ with $\operatorname{wt}(v)\leq \operatorname{wt}(u)$. Thus, $u+v\in C$. The weight of u+v would be less than d, a contradiction. Finally, u is unique for otherwise there would exist $v\in C+u$ of weight equal to the weight of u. As a result, u+v would again be a codeword of weight less than d, a contradiction.

Sections 2.10–2.12 O