The first table gives the upper bounds on the optimal total expected revenues obtained by different benchmark strategies. In this table, LR corresponds to the Lagrangian relaxation method of Topaloglu (2006), DLP corresponds to the deterministic linear program, RLP corresponds to the randomized linear program of Talluri & van Ryzin (1999).

The second table gives the total expected revenues obtained by the bid prices computed through different benchmark strategies. All of the benchmark strategies recompute the bid prices at five equally-spaced time points. We simulate the performance of each benchmark strategy under 100 demand arrival trajectories. In this table, DFD corresponds to the finite differences on the deterministic linear program as described in Bertsimas & Popescu (2003) and RFD corresponds to the randomized version of DFD.

The test problems in the tables are labeled by $(\tau, N, \alpha, \kappa)$, where τ , N, α and κ are as in the description of the data files.

References

- Bertsimas, D. & Popescu, I. (2003), 'Revenue management in a dynamic network environment', Transportation Science 37, 257–277.
- Talluri, K. & van Ryzin, G. (1999), 'A randomized linear programming method for computing network bid prices', *Transportation Science* **33**(2), 207–216.
- Topaloglu, H. (2006), Using Lagrangian relaxation to compute capacity-dependent bid-prices in network revenue management, Technical report, Cornell University, School of Operations Research and Industrial Engineering.

Available at http://legacy.orie.cornell.edu/~huseyin/publications/publications.html.

Problem			DID	LR vs.	LR vs.
$(\tau, N, \alpha, \kappa)$	LR	DLP	RLP	DLP	RLP
(200, 4, 1.0, 4)	20,439	21,531	$20,904 \mp 19$	5.3	2.3
(200, 4, 1.0, 8)	33,305	34,571	$33,947 \mp 41$	3.8	1.9
(200, 4, 1.2, 4)	18,938	19,882	$19,672 \mp 18$	5.0	3.9
(200, 4, 1.2, 8)	31,737	32,922	$32,715 \mp 40$	3.7	3.1
(200, 4, 1.6, 4)	16,600	17,530	$17,452 \mp 17$	5.6	5.1
(200, 4, 1.6, 8)	29,413	30,570	$30,494 \mp 40$	3.9	3.7
(200, 5, 1.0, 4)	21,298	22,144	$21,677 \mp 22$	4.0	1.8
(200, 5, 1.0, 8)	34,393	$35,\!387$	$34,903 \mp 45$	2.9	1.5
(200, 5, 1.2, 4)	20,184	$21,\!263$	$20,778 \mp 21$	5.3	2.9
(200, 5, 1.2, 8)	33,165	$34,\!495$	$33,989 \mp 45$	4.0	2.5
(200, 5, 1.6, 4)	17,704	18,870	$18,674 \mp 19$	6.6	5.5
(200, 5, 1.6, 8)	30,594	32,081	$31,875 \mp 43$	4.9	4.2
(200, 6, 1.0, 4)	21,128	$22,\!300$	$21,648 \mp 20$	5.5	2.5
(200, 6, 1.0, 8)	$34,\!178$	$35,\!544$	$34,890 \mp 43$	4.0	2.1
(200, 6, 1.2, 4)	19,649	20,932	$20,555 \mp 19$	6.5	4.6
(200, 6, 1.2, 8)	$32,\!566$	$34,\!172$	$33,792 \mp 42$	4.9	3.8
(200, 6, 1.6, 4)	17,304	$18,\!592$	$18,446 \mp 18$	7.4	6.6
(200, 6, 1.6, 8)	30,170	$31,\!824$	$31,679 \mp 41$	5.5	5.0
(200, 8, 1.0, 4)	18,975	20,052	$19,321 \mp 19$	5.7	1.8
(200, 8, 1.0, 8)	30,490	$31,\!835$	$31,086 \mp 40$	4.4	2.0
(200, 8, 1.2, 4)	17,472	18,952	$18,378 \mp 18$	8.5	5.2
(200, 8, 1.2, 8)	28,908	30,727	$30,142 \mp 40$	6.3	4.3
(200, 8, 1.6, 4)	15,295	16,833	$16,495 \mp 17$	10.1	7.8
(200, 8, 1.6, 8)	26,661	28,608	$28,255 \mp 39$	7.3	6.0
(600, 4, 1.0, 4)	30,995	32,409	$31,579 \mp 34$	4.6	1.9
(600, 4, 1.0, 8)	50,444	52,086	$51,255 \mp 71$	3.3	1.6
(600, 4, 1.2, 4)	28,668	29,852	$29,642 \mp 30$	4.1	3.4
(600, 4, 1.2, 8)	48,054	49,529	$49,317 \mp 68$	3.1	2.6
(600, 4, 1.6, 4)	25,148	26,324	$26,253 \mp 29$	4.7	4.4
(600, 4, 1.6, 8)	44,555	46,001	$45,928 \mp 66$	3.2	3.1
(600, 5, 1.0, 4)	32,254	33,299	$32,723 \mp 38$	3.2	1.5
(600, 5, 1.0, 8)	52,071	53,285	$52,685 \mp 76$	2.3	1.2
(600, 5, 1.2, 4)	30,604	31,943	$31,404 \mp 34$	4.4	2.6
(600, 5, 1.2, 8)	50,282	51,904	$51,340 \mp 73$	3.2	2.1
(600, 5, 1.6, 4)	26,936	28,343	$28,183 \mp 30$	5.2	4.6
(600, 5, 1.6, 8)	46,497	48,283	$48,105 \mp 70$	3.8	3.5
(600, 6, 1.0, 4)	25,541	26,873	$26,130 \mp 34$	5.2	2.3
(600, 6, 1.0, 8)	41,412	42,865	$42,113 \mp 69$	3.5	1.7
(600, 6, 1.2, 4)	23,687	25,184	$24,756 \mp 30$	6.3	4.5
(600, 6, 1.2, 8)	39,307	41,166	$40,732 \mp 66$	4.7	3.6
(600, 6, 1.6, 4)	20,817	22,274	$22, 132 \mp 28$	7.0	6.3
(600, 6, 1.6, 8)	36,391	38,252	$38,103 \mp 64$	5.1	4.7
(600, 8, 1.0, 4)	22,960	24,167	$23,375 \mp 31$	5.3	1.8
(600, 8, 1.0, 8)	36,933	38,395	$37,595 \mp 64$	4.0	1.8
(600, 8, 1.2, 4)	21,102	22,755	$22,150 \mp 28$	7.8	5.0
(600, 8, 1.2, 8)	34,931	36,976	$36,368 \mp 62$	5.9	4.1
(600, 8, 1.6, 4)	18,500	20,228	$19,890 \mp 26$	9.3	7.5
(600, 8, 1.6, 8)	32,247	34,449	$34,105 \mp 59$	6.8	5.8

Table 1: Upper bounds on the optimal total expected revenues.

Problem						LR vs.	LR vs.	LR vs.	LR vs.
$(\tau, N, \alpha, \kappa)$	LR	DLP	RLP	DFD	RFD	DLP	RLP	DFD	RFD
(200, 4, 1.0, 4)	20,018	19,367	19,634	19,573	$19,\!576$	3.3	1.9	2.2	2.2
(200, 4, 1.0, 8)	$32,\!626$	30,713	$31,\!671$	$31,\!316$	31,764	5.9	2.9	4.0	2.6
(200, 4, 1.2, 4)	$18,\!374$	17,082	$17,\!643$	17,631	17,742	7.0	4.0	4.0	3.4
(200, 4, 1.2, 8)	$30,\!852$	$27,\!238$	29,413	29,028	29,796	11.7	4.7	5.9	3.4
(200, 4, 1.6, 4)	15,981	$14,\!251$	$15,\!444$	15,101	$15,\!413$	10.8	3.4	5.5	3.6
(200, 4, 1.6, 8)	$28,\!381$	$23,\!573$	$27,\!204$	25,912	27,414	16.9	4.1	8.7	3.4
(200, 5, 1.0, 4)	21,181	20,143	20,708	$20,\!457$	20,679	4.9	2.2	3.4	2.4
(200, 5, 1.0, 8)	$34,\!271$	31,881	$33,\!368$	32,575	33,463	7.0	2.6	4.9	2.4
(200, 5, 1.2, 4)	$19,\!818$	18,619	$19,\!253$	19,127	19,292	6.1	2.9	3.5	2.7
(200, 5, 1.2, 8)	32,766	$29,\!567$	$31,\!551$	30,849	31,766	9.8	3.7	5.8	3.1
(200, 5, 1.6, 4)	17,318	$15,\!432$	$16,\!592$	16,420	16,708	10.9	4.2	5.2	3.5
(200, 5, 1.6, 8)	30,107	24,998	$28,\!628$	26,890	29,150	17.0	4.9	10.7	3.2
(200, 6, 1.0, 4)	20,709	19,789	20,195	20,015	20,195	4.4	2.5	3.3	2.5
(200, 6, 1.0, 8)	$33,\!466$	31,084	$32,\!421$	31,821	$32,\!565$	7.1	3.1	4.9	2.7
(200, 6, 1.2, 4)	19,133	18,063	18,451	18,414	18,501	5.6	3.6	3.8	3.3
(200, 6, 1.2, 8)	31,808	$28,\!662$	$30,\!386$	29,862	30,616	9.9	4.5	6.1	3.7
(200, 6, 1.6, 4)	16,769	$15,\!250$	16,045	15,896	16,115	9.1	4.3	5.2	3.9
(200, 6, 1.6, 8)	$29,\!320$	24,920	27,792	27,067	28,275	15.0	5.2	7.7	3.6
(200, 8, 1.0, 4)	18,217	17,245	17,650	17,536	17,703	5.3	3.1	3.7	2.8
(200, 8, 1.0, 8)	$29,\!453$	26,973	$28,\!288$	27,919	$28,\!573$	8.4	4.0	5.2	3.0
(200, 8, 1.2, 4)	16,941	$15,\!615$	16,036	16,132	16,291	7.8	5.3	4.8	3.8
(200, 8, 1.2, 8)	28,130	$24,\!564$	26,399	26,092	26,972	12.7	6.2	7.2	4.1
(200, 8, 1.6, 4)	14,720	$13,\!335$	13,919	13,970	14,131	9.4	5.4	5.1	4.0
(200, 8, 1.6, 8)	25,701	$21,\!584$	$24,\!173$	23,709	24,756	16.0	5.9	7.8	3.7
(600, 4, 1.0, 4)	30,640	29,661	29,926	29,816	30,056	3.2	2.3	2.7	1.9
(600, 4, 1.0, 8)	$49,\!862$	$47,\!106$	$48,\!426$	47,608	$48,\!818$	5.5	2.9	4.5	2.1
(600, 4, 1.2, 4)	28,145	$26,\!366$	$27,\!261$	26,883	27,024	6.3	3.1	4.5	4.0
(600, 4, 1.2, 8)	47,162	$42,\!258$	$45,\!602$	43,955	$45,\!351$	10.4	3.3	6.8	3.8
(600, 4, 1.6, 4)	$24,\!540$	22,177	23,987	22,860	23,776	9.6	2.3	6.8	3.1
(600, 4, 1.6, 8)	$43,\!547$	37,019	42,589	38,779	42,141	15.0	2.2	11.0	3.2
(600, 5, 1.0, 4)	$32,\!112$	30,701	$31,\!523$	31,081	31,723	4.4	1.8	3.2	1.2
(600, 5, 1.0, 8)	$51,\!875$	$48,\!576$	50,661	$49,\!434$	49,995	6.4	2.3	4.7	3.6
(600, 5, 1.2, 4)	$30,\!308$	$28,\!567$	29,463	29,242	29,153	5.7	2.8	3.5	3.8
(600, 5, 1.2, 8)	$49,\!899$	$45,\!518$	$48,\!206$	46,721	$47,\!857$	8.8	3.4	6.4	4.1
(600, 5, 1.6, 4)	$26,\!605$	24,195	$25,\!641$	24,801	25,333	9.1	3.6	6.8	4.8
(600, 5, 1.6, 8)	46,070	39,623	$44,\!456$	41,665	43,887	14.0	3.5	9.6	4.7
(600, 6, 1.0, 4)	$25,\!310$	$24,\!185$	24,702	24,461	$24,\!424$	4.4	2.4	3.4	3.5
(600, 6, 1.0, 8)	40,849	38,068	$39,\!596$	38,648	39,225	6.8	3.1	5.4	4.0
(600, 6, 1.2, 4)	$23,\!306$	21,766	$22,\!437$	22,343	$22,\!377$	6.6	3.7	4.1	4.0
(600, 6, 1.2, 8)	38,704	$34,\!533$	37,008	$35,\!898$	37,051	10.8	4.4	7.2	4.3
(600, 6, 1.6, 4)	$20,\!273$	18,441	$19,\!373$	19,287	19,445	9.0	4.4	4.9	4.1
(600, 6, 1.6, 8)	35,631	30,370	33,599	32,005	34,119	14.8	5.7	10.2	4.2
(600, 8, 1.0, 4)	22,269	$21,\!243$	$21,\!554$	21,657	21,515	4.6	3.2	2.7	3.4
(600, 8, 1.0, 8)	36,046	$33,\!274$	$34,\!604$	34,274	34,665	7.7	4.0	4.9	3.8
(600, 8, 1.2, 4)	20,643	$19,\!150$	19,706	19,626	19,697	7.2	4.5	4.9	4.6
(600, 8, 1.2, 8)	34,277	30,237	$32,\!369$	31,992	32,654	11.8	5.6	6.7	4.7
(600, 8, 1.6, 4)	17,930	16,407	17,061	17,088	17,105	8.5	4.9	4.7	4.6
(600, 8, 1.6, 8)	31,317	26,815	29,609	28,932	29,825	14.4	5.5	7.6	4.8

Table 2: Total expected revenues.