

WCAG 🧐

- Perhaps you've noticed it's been several hours and this is the first time I'm mentioning WCAG.
- That (hopefully) tells you something about where it sits in terms of importance and priority.

WCAG

- **Web**
- **Content**
- **Accessibility**
- **Guidelines**
- bit.ly/nztc-wcag

WCAG: structure

- Organised into four Principles: **P**erceivable, **O**perable, **U**nderstandable, **R**obust
- Each Principle is split into Guidelines.
- Each Guideline is split into Success Criteria.
 - Sort of like Acceptance Criteria.

WCAG: Success Criteria

- SCs help docs:
 - Sufficient (here's one way)
 - Advisory (here's an idea)
 - Failure (how about no)
- Levels A, AA, AAA

WCAG: versions

- Now: WCAG 2.2
- 2.1 AA Global standard.
 - NZ/AU/UK: no legislation (yet).
 - US/CA: (heavy) legislation.
 - EU: incoming!
- The future: WCAG 3.0 (W3C Accessibility

WCAG ✓

- Careful of checklist-thinking! (not-checklist)
- Standards set the minimum.
 - As in: less than this is actively bad.
 - As in: more than this is probably good.
- The standards have some gaps, loopholes.
- We choose the maximum.

Not-WCAG: Severity

- Something WCAG sort-of doesn't have now, but will sort-of later: severity.
- Issue Severity: Critical, High, Medium, Low.

WCAG: help! bit.ly/nztc-wcagh

- MDN: [HTML: A good basis for accessibility](#); [HTML elements reference](#).
- Deque: [axe](#); [Deque university](#).
- WebAIM: [WebAIM Million](#); [Articles](#).
- TPGi: [ARC toolkit](#); [Blog](#).
- Microsoft: [Inclusive Design](#); [Accessibility](#)

Questions? Questions! Questions. 🙄