The Thesis Committee for Steve Han certifies that this is the approved version of the following thesis:

${\bf Towards~Large\text{-}scale~Humanoid~Demonstration~Data}$ ${\bf Collection~Using~VR~Headsets}$

APPROVED BY
SUPERVISING COMMITTEE:
Yuke Zhu, Supervisor
Etienne Vouga

Towards Large-scale Humanoid Demonstration Data Collection Using VR Headsets

by

Steve Han

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of
The University of Texas at Austin
in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements
for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN ${\rm May} \ 2023$

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Mingyo Seo and Yuke Zhu for the project idea. Thanks to Mingyo Seo for creating the simulation, training the baselines, and adapting the whole body control code. Thanks to Kyutae Sim for helping with the data collection. Thanks to Carlos Isaac Gonzalez and Seung Hyeon Bang for help with the real robot experiments.

Towards Large-scale Humanoid Demonstration Data

Collection Using VR Headsets

Steve Han, MSCompSci

The University of Texas at Austin, 2023

Supervisor: Yuke Zhu

Our world is designed by humans, for humans. This makes humanoid

robots the perfect general-purpose platform to automate repetitive or dan-

gerous tasks done by humans. However, due to the complexity of humanoid

robots and the shortage of demonstration data, research in robot learning for

humanoids is scarce. To address these challenges, I present a VR interface

for collecting human demonstrations for humanoid robots in both simulation

and reality. The demonstrations are then used to train an imitation learning

algorithm that uses an underlying controller to abstract away the complexity

of whole-body control. I further propose that by embedding this data collec-

tion mechanism in VR video games, we can amass a large-scale dataset of high

quality human demonstrations that can drive the development of future au-

tonomous humanoids. To illustrate the feasibility of this idea, I collect a small

dataset on toy tasks in simulation and a real robot using the interface I devel-

oped. I then show that the trained policy can be deployed with a reasonable

success rate.

iv

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	iii
Abstract	iv
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	2
1.1.1 Large-scale Robot Learning Dataset	2
1.1.2 Humanoid Robots	2
1.2 Background	3
Appendices	5
Appendix A. Lerma's Appendix	6
Appendix B. My Appendix #2	7
B.1 The First Section	7
B.2 The Second Section	7
B.2.1 The First Subsection of the Second Section	7
B.2.2 The Second Subsection of the Second Section	7
B.2.2.1 The First Subsubsection of the Second Subsection of the Second Section	7
B.2.2.2 The Second Subsubsection of the Second Subsection of the Second Section	8
Appendix C. My Appendix $\#3$	9
C.1 The First Section	9
C.2 The Second Section	9

Bibliography 10

List of Tables

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Introduction

Robotics, Machine Learning (ML), and Virtual Reality (VR) are some of the most exciting and rapidly developing fields in technology today. It is perhaps no surprise that the marriage of these three technologies can have far-reaching impacts. Using VR, humans can control robots in an immersive simulation, or they can remotely teleoperate robots to perform dangerous tasks. The trajectories from the human demonstrations can then be used to train ML policies, which can enable robots to perform the tasks autonomously. However, due to the complexity of humanoid robots, the lack of large-scale data for training, and the difficulty of creating an interface for humans to control robots, there has been no research on teaching humanoid robots to perform locomotion and bi-manipulation tasks, as far as I'm aware. Also, although there is a large body of work on teleoperating robots using VR and motion capture devices, I'm not aware of any attempt to use the teleoperation data to train a neural network policy to control the robot autonomously. Furthermore, these "telepresence" systems are usually very complicated and require expensive hardware, which makes them unsuitable for scaling up data collection in a distributed manner.

In this thesis, I present a simple VR interface that uses the commonplace Oculus Quest 2 headset. I believe that compared to other humanoid teleoperation systems, the software architecture used in this project is uniquely positioned to be both adaptable to video games and accessible to the public. Although the interface is simple, it is nonetheless powerful enough to teach a humanoid robot to perform some simple tasks. In simulation, I hypothesize that this setup can be incorporated in VR video games to massively scale up data collection. VR games contain rich interactions with the virtual world, have built-in rewards to label successes and failures, and integrate with powerful physical simulation engines. As a result, they are perfect for collecting human demonstrations to potentially teach humanoids to perform the same tasks in the real world. For example, there are currently VR games that require players to cook in a kitchen, which is a valuable skill for humanoids to learn. In addition, on the real robot side, we could potentially distribute the data collection by letting users with VR headsets control the robot remotely.

1.1 Motivation

1.1.1 Large-scale Robot Learning Dataset

1.1.2 Humanoid Robots

Humanoid robots have gained a lot of attention in recent years. After Boston Dynamic's Atlas made headlines by jumping and dancing with humanlike dexterity, Tesla also entered the market by developing the cheap and mass-producible humanoid named Optimus. If the cost of the robot could be kept below \$20,000 like Elon Musk promised [cite], we would be entering a world where general purpose humanoids could replace humans for unsafe and repetitive tasks. Many startups are also getting a lot of funding recently to pursue humanoid robots. Apptronik is an Austin-based startup that aims to create humanoids that can work alongside people. One of their prototypes, DRACO 3, is the platform used for this thesis.

This interest in humanoids is justified by their versatility and social capabilities. They can be used as personal assistants, as companions for the elderly, as workers in factories, and as first responders in disaster zones. The morphology of humanoids enables them to easily adapt to the human-centered world that we live in. Every tool, every environment, and every task in our society is designed for the human form. It wouldn't make sense to redesign power tools or get rid of stairs for the convenience of robots, so creating robots that can take advantage of the existing infrastructure made for humans is extremely valuable.

1.2 Background

Given the rapid development of humanoid hardware, cracking the code of human-like locomotion is the next big challenge. The most common approach is to use a combination of inverse kinematics and inverse dynamics to generate the joint torques that will move the robot to the desired pose. However, this approach is limited by the complexity of the inverse kinematics and inverse dynamics algorithms. Inverse kinematics is a non-convex optimization problem, and the inverse dynamics problem is also non-convex due to the non-linear constraints. This makes it difficult to find the optimal solution, and the solution is often suboptimal.

Appendices

Appendix A

Lerma's Appendix

The source LATEX file for this document is no longer quoted in its entirety in the output document. A LATEX file can include its own source by using the command \verbatiminput{\jobname}.

Appendix B

My Appendix #2

B.1 The First Section

This is the first section. This is the second appendix.

B.2 The Second Section

This is the second section of the second appendix.

B.2.1 The First Subsection of the Second Section

This is the first subsection of the second section of the second appendix.

B.2.2 The Second Subsection of the Second Section

This is the second subsection of the second section of the second appendix.

B.2.2.1 The First Subsubsection of the Second Subsection of the Second Section

This is the first subsubsection of the second subsection of the second section of the second appendix.

B.2.2.2 The Second Subsubsection of the Second Subsection of the Second Section

This is the second subsubsection of the second subsection of the second section of the second appendix.

Appendix C

My Appendix #3

C.1 The First Section

This is the first section. This is the third appendix.

C.2 The Second Section

This is the second section of the third appendix.

Bibliography

- [1] M. J. Bertin et al. *Pisot and Salem Numbers*. user Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [2] Donald K. Knuth. The T_EXbook. Addison-Wesley, 1984.
- [3] Leslie Lamport. Lambert. Addison-Wesley, 2nd edition, 1994.
- [4] F Mittelbach M Goosens and A Samarin. *The LaTeXCompanion*. Addison-Wesley, 1994.
- [5] Michael Spivak. *The joy of T_EX*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2nd edition, 1990.
- [6] Alf J. van der Poorten. Some problems of recurrent interest. Technical Report 81-0037, School of Mathematics and Physics, Macquarie University, North Ryde, Australia 2113, August 1981.