Welcome Guest | Log In | Register | Benefits Subscribe Newsle Digital Lib Search: O Site O Source Code RSS Home **Articles** News Blogs Source Code Webinars & Events Cloud Mobile Parallel .NET JVM Languages C/C++ Tools Design **Testing** Web Dev Jolt Awards



# **Assembly Language Macros**

By Ken Skier, March 01, 1991

#### Post a Comment

Assembly language macros make code more readable without sacrificing the traditional assembly language benefits of small code size and top performance.

Ken learned the program by hand-assembling object code for a KIM-1 microcomputer with 1K of RAM in 1978. Now he writes word processing software, desktop publishing, and utility software for PCs. His word processor, Eye Relief, won two Excellence in Software Awards from the Software Publishers Association in 1990. Ken can be reached at SkiSoft Publishing Corporation, 1644 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 79, Lexington, MA 02173. Phone: 617-863-1876, Fax 617-861-0086.

There are a lot of myths about assembly language. Perhaps the most persistent of these is that assembly language programs are hard to write, hard to debug, and nigh onto impossible to maintain because they are hard to read.

(Of course, in many cases it's not a myth. Sometimes when I look through assembly language code in a book or magazine, I find myself subvocalizing, writing in the margins, trying to interpret the programmer's intent from a series of MOV and COMPARE instructions. What is he trying to do? And what is actually going on here?)

No wonder people avoid assembly language.

But I write only in assembly language, and have done so for over a decade. During that time I have created whole applications from assembly language, including, graphical word processors and a desktop publishing program. They're smaller than competitive applications, they run faster, and I've found that it takes me less time to develop applications in assembly language than for others to do so in C.

Yet you can look through all of my source code and never find a COMPARE instruction. In fact, you might look through my code and scratch your head, trying to figure out what language it's written in. Certainly not C or Pascal, but it doesn't look much like assembly language, either.

That's because I write in macros. I've developed, in effect, a language of macros so I can write readable source, with all the traditional benefits of assembly language -- small code size and excellent performance -- without the penalty of impenetrable code.

#### **Comparisons**

Let me give you an example. Suppose we need a routine to let us know whether some character we've got is an ASCII digit. We'll pass it the character in AL, and we want it to return TRUE if AL is in the range '0'...'9'; FALSE otherwise.

Throughout my code, I use Carry as a TRUE flag, because it's easy to set, easy to test, and doesn't interfere with any

#### **Parallel Recent Articles**

Dr. Dobb's Archive

Finding the Median of Two Sorted Arrays Efficiently Matching Wildcards: An Empirical Way to Tame an Algorithm

Unified Memory in CUDA 6: A Brief Overview Parallel In-Place Merge Sort

#### **Most Popular**

Lambda Expressions in Java 8
An Algorithm for Compressing Space and Time
A Simple and Efficient FFT Implementation in C++:
Part I
Lambdas and Streams in Java 8 Libraries
Finding the Median of Two Sorted Arrays Efficiently

#### **Upcoming Events**

[FREE VIRTUAL EVENT] 9/29 - Enterprise
Network Evolution & Modernization -

#### **Featured Reports**

What's this?

The Rise of the No-Code Economy 2021 Data Breach Investigations Report (DBIR) Incident Readiness and Building Response Playbook The Infoblox Q1 2021 Cyberthreat Intelligence Report State of the Intelligent Information Management

State of the Intelligent Information Managemen Industry in 2021

More >>

#### **Featured Whitepapers**

What's this?

The Burnout Breach: How employee burnout is emerging as the next frontier in cybersecurity Modernize your Security Operations with Human-Machine Intelligence Quantifying the Gap Between Perceived Security and Comprehensive MITRE ATT&CK Coverage

Comprehensive MITRE ATT&CK Coverage
The Evolving Ransomware Threat: What Business
Leaders Should Know About Data Leakage
The Impact of XDR in the Modern SOC

More >>

of the 80x6 general registers. So we can write that routine like this:

A DIGIT		PROC	
	CMP	AL,	'0'
	JB	f	<u>alse</u>
	CMP	AL,	'9'
	JA	f	alse
	STC		
	RET		
false:		CLC	
	RET		
<u>endp</u>			

This little routine is about as simple as standard assembly language gets, but it does require you to interpret the 8086 instructions, and from them figure out the programmer's intent. I wrote these few lines just a moment ago, and yet when I look at them, I don't find their function clear. I have to subvocalize: "Compare AL to an ASCII zero. Jump if Below. Below? Ah, if AL is Below the ASCII zero... then jump to false. So if AL is less than an ASCII zero, go to false."

I have to go through that kind of interpretation with every couple of lines of standard assembly language. I don't like that. I want to take a single thought and write it down as a single line of code -- not as two cryptic lines that have to be put together to make sense. Even the act of returning a status code takes two lines in standard assembly language: One to set (or clear) the carry flag, and another line to return. So a single thought ("Return TRUE") is encrypted as two lines of code: STC, RET.

I really want to write that subroutine like this:

# A\_DIGIT: IF AL < '0', return FALSE. IF AL > '9', return FALSE. Else ... return TRUE.

In practice, my code doesn't look quite that clear, but it's getting there. I have a subroutine in my code library that looks like this:

<u>endp</u>

Does this look like assembly language? Probably not. But it assembles as exactly the same code shown in the first example. It's just a lot easier to write and to read.

This routine incorporates three of my most heavily-used macros: IF AL, RET TRUE, and RET FALSE.

RET\_TRUE and RET\_FALSE are pretty simple. RET\_TRUE returns with carry set; RET\_FALSE returns with carry clear. Why bother with a macro to do something so trivial? Because the most important effect of these macros is not on the object code, but on the READER. Look at the line "RET\_TRUE" in a subroutine and you can tell immediately what the programmer wants to happen; look at some carry manipulation and you've got to think about it.

I use macros often to make my intent clear.

The other macro, IF\_AL, is a real workhorse. It lets me express a single thought in a single line of code -- and it lets me do so visually, using a natural algebraic notation (as opposed to such confusing mnemonics as JLE, JGE, and JBE).

When I want to write:

#### **Most Recent Premium Content** Digital Issues 2014 Dr. Dobb's Journal November - Mobile Development August - Web Development May - Testing February - Languages Dr. Dobb's Tech Digest **DevOps** Open Source Windows and .NET programming The Design of Messaging Middleware and 10 Tips from Tech Writers Parallel Array Operations in Java 8 and Android on x86: Java Native Interface and the Android Native Development Kit 2013 January - Mobile Development February - Parallel Programming March - Windows Programming April - Programming Languages May - Web Development June - Database Development July - Testing August - Debugging and Defect Management September - Version Control October - DevOps November- Really Big Data December - Design 2012 January - C & C++ February - Parallel Programming March - Microsoft Technologies April - Mobile Development May - Database Programming June - Web Development July - Security August - ALM & Development Tools September - Cloud & Web Development October - JVM Languages November - Testing December - DevOps

IF\_AL < 12, goto label</pre>

I can write it like this:

```
IF_AL { , 12 , label
```

The macro name "IF AL" start's the line. It is followed by a visual notation implying a relationship, IF AL "understands" the following notation:

{ less than
{= less than or equal
= equal
{} not equal
} greater than
}= greater than or equal

(If I had my druthers, I would use "<" and ">" instead of " {" and "}", but TASM treats angle brackets as delimiters, so I can't use them as arguments to a macro. Still, braces look enough like "<" and ">" for me to feel pretty comfortable with this implementation.)

The macro expands in a pretty straight forward way:

```
IF AL arg1, arg2, arg3
```

expands to a CMP AL, arg2, followed by a conditional jump to arg3. (Arg1 defines which conditional jump to use.) Some extra bookkeeping within the macro allows it to accept four arguments, if one of them is OFFSET or PTR.

As you might expect, I have similar macros named IF AH, IF AX, IF BL, IF BH, IF BX, IF CL, and so on for every register in the 80x6. So I never have to write a CMP instruction, and I never have to figure out which conditional JMP instruction to use. I leave all of those details to my macros.

#### **Procedure Calls**

I mentioned earlier that throughout my code, I use the Carry flag to indicate whether a routine has returned TRUE or FALSE. For example, I have a procedure that asks the user to confirm whether the program should go ahead and do something. That procedure (called "CONFIRM") displays a message and waits for a key. The user can press Enter or 'Y' or "y" for yes; ESC or "N" or "n" for no. (Any other key makes CONFIRM beep; it then waits until the user presses a legal key.) When it receives a legal key, CONFIRM returns TRUE or FALSE, to report the user's intent.

I could use the CALL instruction to invoke CONFIRM, and then use JC or JNC to branch based on carry. But doing so would take two lines of code, and involve testing carry in a way whose meaning might not be clear. After all, I don't really care about carry as a bit; I care about whether a procedure is returning "true" or "false."

<u>So I have two macros that handle this situation: IF and IF NOT.</u>

```
IF_ arg1, arg2
```

expands to:

CALL arg1
JC arg2

and

IF NOT arg1, arg2

expands to:

CALL arg1
JNC arg2

These extremely simple macros contribute greatly to the readability of my code. Now, instead of writing two lines of conventional assembly language such as this:

```
CALL CONFIRM

JC do it
```

I write one line using my macro:

```
IF_CONFIRM, __do_it
```

In this line of code, the intent of the programmer is clear. "If the user confirms, then go ahead and do it!"

#### **Tables**

I use a lot of tables in my code. Tables are small, efficient, and lend themselves to easy modification. For example, I will often use a table to translate an 8-bit character to a 16-bit procedure address. "The user just pressed this function key. What procedure should I invoke?" The table consists of a series of entries, where each entry is a BYTE followed by a WORD.

I would really like to be able to set up the table like this:

```
db a_key
dw a_procedure
db other_key
dw other_procedure
...
db 0
```

This would let me have each key and its associated procedure on the same line of the table. But TASM won't let me put two instructions on the same line. So I have to do this:

I don't like that at all. It fails to show the direct relationship between a key and its corresponding procedure. (And I will be in terrible trouble if I delete a DB line without deleting the DW line below it!) But I can't put a DB and a DW on the same line ... or I thought I couldn't, until I decided to create a macro.

My macro, DBW, lets me put a DB and then a DW on the same line.

<u>Using the DBW macro, I can make my tables look the way they should:</u>

dl	bw	a key,	<u>a procedure</u>
dl	bw	other_key,	other procedure
		•	<u>.</u>
			<u>.</u>
dbw	0	0	

#### **Equates**

Macros aren't the only way to make your code more readable. In many cases you can use equates, instead.

I use equates to help me write code in a style that I find more natural. For example, I learned to program in 6502 assembly, language, so I am accustomed to writing JSR instead of CALL, RTS instead of RET, and SEC instead of STC. Rather than reprogram my brain to type the correct mnemonics for Intel 80x6 assembly language, I just put a few lines into the MACROS file (see the macro definition file in Listing One) that I include at the start of every module:

```
JSR equ <CALL>
RTS equ <RET>
SEC equ <STC>
```

Now when I type JSR, TASM knows I mean "CALL" ... when I type SEC, TASM knows I mean "STC" ... and when I type RTS, TASM knows I mean RET. That doesn't enhance readability, exactly (except to another 80x6 programmer who cut his teeth on the 6502!) but I like the idea of teaching TASM to accommodate me, rather than vice versa.

Before I ever wrote a line of code, I was a writer and a teacher of writing. I believed very strongly that writing should be read aloud, and that a good piece of writing is clear to the person who reads it. Now I write word processors instead of novels, screen drivers instead of dialogues, but I find the basic process of writing is the same, whether I am writing English narrative or assembly language source code. It has to be readable. It has to make sense to someone else.

Fortunately, as an assembly language programmer I can use macros to make my code more readable. I think it makes me more productive. And it makes it possible for me to understand my code long after I've written it.

After all, the most efficiently-programmed code in the world isn't going to do you much good if you can't understand it when you look at it in your editor.

```
_ASSEMBLY LANGUAGE MACROS_
by Ken Skier
```

#### [LISTING ONE]

```
@ EQU OFFSET

JSR equ CALL
RTS equ RET
SEC equ STC

IF_ MACRO sub, dest
CALL sub
```

```
27/02/2023, 14:23
          JC dest
    ENDM
  IF_NOT MACRO sub, dest
           CALL sub
           JNC dest
  ENDM
  RET_FALSE MACRO
           CLC
           RET
  ENDM
  RET_TRUE MACRO
            STC
           RET
  ENDM
       16-bit Register Compare macros
 IF_AX
         MACRO exp, val, dest, last
            %PUSHLCTL
            %NOLIST
            IF_REG16 AX, exp, val, dest, last
  ENDM
  IF_BP
          MACRO exp, val, dest, last
            %PUSHLCTL
            %NOLIST
            IF_REG16 BP, exp, val, dest, last
  ENDM
  IF_BX
          MACRO exp, val, dest, last
            %PUSHLCTL
            %NOLIST
            IF_REG16 BX, exp, val, dest, last
  ENDM
  IF_CX
          MACRO exp, val, dest, last
            %PUSHLCTL
            %NOLIST
            IF_REG16 CX, exp, val, dest, last
  ENDM
          MACRO exp, val, dest, last
  IF_DX
            %PUSHLCTL
            %NOLIST
            IF_REG16 DX, exp, val, dest, last
```

**ENDM** 

IF\_SI

**ENDM** 

IF\_SP

ENDM

IF\_DI

IF\_REG16 SI, exp, val, dest, last

IF\_REG16 SP, exp, val, dest, last

MACRO exp, val, dest, last

MACRO exp, val, dest, last

MACRO exp, val, dest, last

%PUSHLCTL %NOLIST

%PUSHLCTL %NOLIST

%PUSHLCTL %NOLIST

```
IF_REG16 DI, exp, val, dest, last
ENDM
IF_REG16 MACRO reg, exp, val, dest, last
                     ;; Restore source-level listing parameters.
          %POPLCTL
        IFIDNI <val>, <@>
          CMP reg, @ dest
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
          IFITS_ exp, last
        ELSE
          CMP reg, word ptr val
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
          IFITS_ exp, dest
       ENDIF
ENDM
     8-bit Register Compare macros
       MACRO exp, val, dest, last
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
       IF_REG8 AL, exp, val, dest, last
ENDM
IF_AH
       MACRO exp, val, dest, last
          %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
        IF_REG8 AH, exp, val, dest, last
ENDM
IF_BL
       MACRO exp, val, dest, last
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
       IF_REG8 BL, exp, val, dest, last
ENDM
       MACRO exp, val, dest, last
IF_BH
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
       IF_REG8 BH, exp, val, dest, last
ENDM
IF_CL
       MACRO exp, val, dest, last
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
       IF_REG8 CL, exp, val, dest, last
ENDM
IF_CH
       MACRO exp, val, dest, last
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
       IF_REG8 CH, exp, val, dest, last
ENDM
       MACRO exp, val, dest, last
IF_DL
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
        IF_REG8 DL, exp, val, dest, last
ENDM
IF_DH
       MACRO exp, val, dest, last
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
        IF_REG8 DH, exp, val, dest, last
ENDM
```

```
IF_REG8 MACRO reg, exp, val, dest, last
         %POPLCTL ;; Restore source-level listing parameters.
       IFIDNI <val>, <@>
         CMP reg, @ dest
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
         IFITS_ exp, last
       ELSE
          CMP reg, byte ptr val
         %PUSHLCTL
         %NOLIST
         IFITS_ exp, dest
       ENDIF
ENDM
IFITS_ MACRO exp, dest
       %POPLCTL
                                    Restore source-level listing parameters.
                            ;;
       IFIDNI <exp>, <{>
                           ;; <
          JB dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <=>
                            ;; =
         JE dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <}>
                            ;; >
          JA dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <{=> ;; <
         JBE dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <{}> ;;
         JNE dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <}=> ;; >=
         JAE dest
       ENDIF
 ENDM
IFITS MACRO exp, dest
       %PUSHLCTL
       %LIST
       IFIDNI <exp>, <{>
         JB dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <=>
                            ;; =
          JE dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <}>
          JA dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <{=>
                           ;; <
         JBE dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <{}> ;;
         JNE dest
   elseIFIDNI <exp>, <}=> ;; >=
          JAE dest
       ENDIF
       %POPLCTL
 ENDM
IF_ITS EQU IFITS
    End of SkiSoft macros.
```

Copyright © 1991, Dr. Dobb's Journal

# **Related Reading**

- News
- Commentary
- Java Plumbr Unlocks Threads
- Parallels Supports Docker Apps
- Google's Data Processing Model Hardens Up
- Devart dbForge Studio For MySQL With Phrase Completion

#### **More News»**

- Slideshow
- Video
- Jolt Awards 2015: Coding Tools
- 2014 Developer Salary Survey
- C++ Reading List
- 2012 Jolt Awards: Mobile Tools **More Slideshows»**
- Most Popular
- RESTful Web Services: A Tutorial
- Lambda Expressions in Java 8
- Developer Reading List: The Must-Have
- **Books for JavaScript**
- An Algorithm for Compressing Space and **Time**

**More Popular»** 

# **More Insights White Papers**

- · Al in Cybersecurity: Using artificial intelligence to mitigate emerging security risks
- · Gone Phishing: How to Defend Against Persistent Phishing Attempts Targeting Your Organization

More >>

#### Reports

- · Increased Cooperation Between Access Brokers, Ransomware Operators Reviewed
- State of the Intelligent Information Management Industry in 2021

More >>

### Webcasts

- · Incorporating a Prevention Mindset into Threat **Detection and Response**
- · Cybersecurity Tech: Where It's Going and How To **Get There**

More	>>
------	----

INFO-LINK

Login or Register to Comment

**Discover More From Informa Tech** 

InformationWeek

Dark Reading

**Network Computing** 

Interop

Data Center Knowledge

IT Pro Today

Working With Us

Contact Us

About Us

Advertise

Follow Dr. Dobb's On Social

Reprints

f 2 in

Home Cookie Policy CCPA: Do not sell my personal info

Privacy

Terms Copyright © 2023 Informa PLC. Informa PLC is registered in England and Wales with company number 8860726 whose registered and head office is 5 Howick Place, London, SW1P 1WG.