

Solutions to *Algebra* by Thomas W. Hungerford

Steven Sabeau

December 16, 2025

Contents

| | |
|--|----------|
| Prerequisites and Preliminaries | 5 |
| 0.1 Logic | 5 |
| 0.2 Sets and Classes | 5 |
| 0.3 Functions | 5 |
| 0.4 Relations and Partitions | 5 |
| 0.5 Products | 5 |
| 0.6 The Integers | 5 |
| 0.7 The Axiom of Choice, Order, and Zorn's Lemma | 5 |
| 0.8 Cardinal Numbers | 9 |

Prerequisites and Preliminaries

0.1 Logic

0.2 Sets and Classes

0.3 Functions

0.4 Relations and Partitions

0.5 Products

0.6 The Integers

0.7 The Axiom of Choice, Order, and Zorn's Lemma

Exercise 1. Let (A, \leq) be a partially ordered set and B a nonempty subset. A **lower bound** of B is an element $d \in A$ such that $d \leq b$ for every $b \in B$. A **greatest lower bound (g.l.b.)** of B is a lower bound d_0 of B such that $d \leq d_0$ for every other lower bound d of B . A **least upper bound (l.u.b.)** of B is an upper bound t_0 of B such that $t_0 \leq t$ for every other upper bound t of B . (A, \leq) is a **lattice** if for all $a, b \in A$ the set $\{a, b\}$ has both a greatest lower bound and a least upper bound.

- (a) If $S \neq \emptyset$, then the power set $P(S)$ ordered by set-theoretic inclusion is a lattice, which has a unique maximal element.
- (b) Give an example of a partially ordered set which is not a lattice.
- (c) Give an example of a lattice with no maximal element and an example of a partially ordered set with two maximal elements.

Solution. (a) For $X, Y \subset S$ the greatest lower bound is

$$X \cap Y.$$

The least upper bound is

$$X \cup Y.$$

Thus every pair X, Y has a g.l.b. and l.u.b., so $(P(S), \subset)$ is a lattice.

A maximal element in $P(S)$ is an element that is not properly contained in any other element. The whole set S is an upper bound for every subset of S and is not contained in any strictly larger subset of S , so S is a maximal element. It is unique because if T is any subset with $U \subset T$ for all $U \subset S$, then in particular $S \subset T$, so $T = S$.

- (b) Take the set $A = \{a, b\}$ with the only order relations being reflexivity:

$$a \leq a, \quad b \leq b,$$

For the pair a, b there is no lower bound other than possibly elements $\leq a$ and $\leq b$; but the only candidates are a and b themselves, and neither is \leq the other. Hence there is no greatest lower bound of a, b . (Similarly there is no least upper bound.) Therefore this poset is not a lattice.

- (c) Take the integers \mathbb{Z} with the usual order. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the least upper bound is $\max m, n$ and the greatest lower bound is $\min m, n$; thus (\mathbb{Z}, \leq) is a lattice. But \mathbb{Z} has no maximal element because for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $n + 1 > n$. So \mathbb{Z} is a lattice with no maximal element.

Let $A = \{0, a, b\}$ and define the order by

$$0 \leq a, \quad 0 \leq b.$$

Exercise 2. A lattice (A, \leq) (see Exercise 1) is said to be **complete** if every nonempty subset of A has both a least upper bound and a greatest lower bound. A map of partially ordered sets $f : A \rightarrow B$ is said to preserve order if $a \leq a'$ in A implies $f(a) \leq f(a')$ in B . Prove that an order-preserving map f of a complete lattice A into itself has at least one fixed element (that is, an $a \in A$ such that $f(a) = a$).

Solution. Let $S = \{a \in A : f(a) \leq a\}$ be the set of all pre-fixed points of f . Since A is complete, it has a greatest element, say 1. Because f preserves order, $f(1) \leq 1$, so $1 \in S$. Thus $S \neq \emptyset$ and, since A is complete, S has a g.l.b; call it

$$m = \inf S.$$

First, we show that $f(m) \leq m$. For every $s \in S$ we have $m \leq s$, hence $f(m) \leq f(s)$ by order preservation. Since $s \in S$, $f(s) \leq s$, and thus $f(m) \leq s$ for all $s \in S$. Hence $f(m)$ is a lower bound of S , and by maximality of m as greatest lower bound, $f(m) \leq m$.

Second, we show that $m \leq f(m)$. Since m is a lower bound of S and f is order-preserving, the argument above shows that $f(m)$ is also a lower bound of S . Therefore $f(m) \leq s$ for all $s \in S$, so $f(m)$ is a lower bound of S . Because m is the greatest lower bound, we must have $m \leq f(m)$.

Combining the inequalities $f(m) \leq m$ and $m \leq f(m)$, we conclude that $f(m) = m$. Thus f has a fixed element.

Exercise 3. Exhibit a well ordering of the set \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers.

Solution. Write each rational number in \mathbb{Q} in its unique reduced form a/b with $b > 0$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. (Under this convention the rational 0 is represented uniquely as $0/1$.)

Define a binary relation \trianglelefteq on \mathbb{Q} by declaring

$$\frac{a}{b} \trianglelefteq \frac{c}{d}$$

iff either

1. $|a| + b < |c| + d$, or
2. $|a| + b = |c| + d$ and $a < c$, or
3. $|a| + b = |c| + d$, $a = c$, and $b \leq d$.

Since every rational is written in the unique reduced form specified above, the quantities $|a| + b$, a , and b are well defined for each rational, so \trianglelefteq is well defined.

It is immediate that \trianglelefteq is a total order. To see that it is a well ordering, let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ be nonempty and for each $x = a/b \in S$ set $N(x) = |a| + b \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $\{N(x) : x \in S\}$ is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{N} , hence has a least element n_0 . The subset $T = \{x \in S : N(x) = n_0\}$ is therefore nonempty. Among elements of T , the numerators form a finite (hence well-ordered) subset of \mathbb{Z} , so there is a least numerator a_0 . Finally, among rationals in T with numerator a_0 the denominator is minimal for the \trianglelefteq -least element. Thus T (and hence S) has a least element with respect to \trianglelefteq . Therefore \trianglelefteq is a well ordering of \mathbb{Q} .

Exercise 4. Let S be a set. A **choice function** for S is a function f from the set of all nonempty subsets of S to S such that $f(A) \in A$ for all $A \neq \emptyset$, $A \subset S$. Show that the Axiom of Choice is equivalent to the statement that every set S has a choice function.

Solution. We show the two statements are equivalent.

(AC \Rightarrow choice functions exist). Let S be any set and let \mathcal{I} denote the collection of all nonempty subsets of S . If $\mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ then $S = \emptyset$, and the unique function $\emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset$ is a choice function for S . Thus assume $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$. Consider the family $\{X_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{I}}$ where $X_A = A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{I}$. Every X_A is nonempty by definition, and the family is indexed by the nonempty set \mathcal{I} . By the Axiom of Choice (the product of a family of nonempty sets indexed by a nonempty set is nonempty), the product $\prod_{A \in \mathcal{I}} X_A$ is nonempty. An element of this product is precisely a function $f : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow S$ with $f(A) \in X_A = A$ for each A ; that is exactly a choice function for S . Hence every set S admits a choice function.

(Choice functions exist \Rightarrow AC). Assume every set T admits a choice function c_T defined on the collection of nonempty subsets of T . Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be any family of nonempty sets indexed by a nonempty set I . Put $S = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$. Then each X_i is a nonempty subset of S , so the hypothesis supplies a choice function c_S for S . Define $g : I \rightarrow S$ by $g(i) := c_S(X_i)$. By construction $g(i) \in X_i$ for every $i \in I$, so $g \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$. Hence the product is nonempty. This establishes the Axiom of Choice.

Therefore the two statements are equivalent.

Exercise 5. Let S be the set of all points (x, y) in the plane with $y \leq 0$. Define an ordering by $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$. Show that this is a partial ordering of S , and that S has infinitely many maximal elements.

Solution. Let $S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \leq 0\}$ and define

$$(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2 \text{ and } y_1 \leq y_2.$$

(i) This relation is a partial order.

- *Reflexive:* For any $(x, y) \in S$ we have $x = x$ and $y \leq y$, so $(x, y) \leq (x, y)$.
- *Antisymmetric:* If $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$ and $(x_2, y_2) \leq (x_1, y_1)$, then $x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$, and also $x_2 = x_1$ and $y_2 \leq y_1$. Hence $y_1 = y_2$ and therefore $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$.
- *Transitive:* If $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$ and $(x_2, y_2) \leq (x_3, y_3)$, then $x_1 = x_2$ and $x_2 = x_3$, so $x_1 = x_3$, and $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq y_3$, hence $y_1 \leq y_3$. Thus $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_3, y_3)$.

Therefore the relation is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive, i.e. a partial order.

(ii) S has infinitely many maximal elements.

Fix any real number x_0 . For that x_0 the point $(x_0, 0) \in S$ satisfies the following: if $(x_0, 0) \leq (x, y)$ then $x = x_0$ and $0 \leq y$. Since every element of S has $y \leq 0$, the only possibility is $y = 0$, so $(x, y) = (x_0, 0)$. Thus there is no element of S strictly greater than $(x_0, 0)$; i.e. $(x_0, 0)$ is maximal.

As x_0 ranges over \mathbb{R} we obtain the family $\{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of maximal elements, which is infinite (indeed uncountable). Hence S has infinitely many maximal elements.

(Observe also that any point (x, y) with $y < 0$ is not maximal because $(x, y) < (x, 0)$.)

Exercise 6. Prove that if all the sets in the family $\{A_i \mid i \in I \neq \emptyset\}$ are nonempty, then each of the projections $\pi_k : \prod_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow A_k$ is surjective.

Solution. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of sets with $A_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in I$. Fix $k \in I$ and let $\pi_k : \prod_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow A_k$ be the projection onto the k -th coordinate. We must show that π_k is surjective, i.e. that for every $a \in A_k$ there exists $f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ with $\pi_k(f) = f(k) = a$.

For a given $a \in A_k$ we need to define a function $f : I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ such that $f(i) \in A_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $f(k) = a$. To do this we must choose, for each $i \in I - \{k\}$, an element $f(i) \in A_i$. The existence of a choice function selecting one element from each A_i (for $i \neq k$) is exactly an instance of the Axiom of Choice. Assuming Choice (or equivalently the hypothesis that the product $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ is nonempty), pick such elements $f(i)$ for all $i \neq k$, and put $f(k) = a$. Then $f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ and $\pi_k(f) = a$. Since a was arbitrary, π_k is surjective.

Remark. If the index set I is finite, no form of the Axiom of Choice is needed: one can choose elements from the finitely many A_i inductively (or by a finite product of nonempty sets being nonempty). The use of Choice becomes essential only when I is infinite.

Exercise 7. Let (A, \leq) be a linearly ordered set. The **immediate successor** of $a \in A$ (if it exists) is the least element in the set $\{x \in A \mid a < x\}$. Prove that if A is well ordered by \leq , then at most one element of A has no immediate successor. Give an example of a linearly ordered set in which precisely two elements have no immediate successor.

Solution. First remark: if $a \in A$ has no immediate successor, that means the set $\{x \in A : x > a\}$ either is empty (so a is maximal) or is nonempty but has no least element.

At most one element has no immediate successor. Suppose for contradiction that a and b are two distinct elements of A with no immediate successor. Since A is linearly ordered, either $a < b$ or $b < a$. Without loss of generality assume $a < b$. Then $b \in \{x \in A : x > a\}$, so this set is nonempty. But A is well ordered, hence every nonempty subset has a least element; therefore $\{x \in A : x > a\}$ has a least element c . By definition c is the immediate successor of a , contradicting the assumption that a has no immediate successor. Thus it is impossible for two distinct elements to both lack immediate successors; at most one element of A can have no immediate successor. \square

Example with exactly two elements having no immediate successor. Let

$$B = \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \mathbf{N}^*\} \subset \mathbb{R}$$

equipped with the usual order inherited from \mathbb{R} . Every element of B except 0 is of the form $1/n$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$. For $n \geq 2$, the least element strictly greater than $1/n$ is $1/(n-1)$, so $1/n$ has an immediate successor. The element $1 = 1/1$ is maximal in B (no larger element of B exists), hence it has no immediate successor. The element 0 also has no immediate successor: the set $\{x \in B : x > 0\} = \{1/n : n \in \mathbf{N}^*\}$ has no least element because for each $1/n$ there is $1/(n+1) \in B$ with $0 < 1/(n+1) < 1/n$. Therefore 0 has no immediate successor. No other elements of B lack immediate successors, so exactly two elements of B (namely 0 and 1) have no immediate successor.

0.8 Cardinal Numbers

Exercise 1. Let $I_0 = \emptyset$ and for each $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$ let $I_n = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$.

- (a) I_n is not equipollent to any of its proper subsets [Hint: induction].
- (b) I_m and I_n are equipollent if and only if $m = n$.
- (c) I_m is equipollent to a subset of I_n but I_n is not equipollent to any subset of I_m if and only if $m < n$.

Solution. Recall that $I_0 = \emptyset$ and $I_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Lemma. For every $n \geq 0$, every injective map $g : I_n \rightarrow I_n$ is surjective (hence bijective).

Proof. We proceed by strong induction on n .

Base cases. For $n = 0$, the statement is trivial: the only map $\emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset$ is bijective. For $n = 1$, any injective map $g : \{1\} \rightarrow \{1\}$ must send 1 to 1, so it is surjective.

Inductive step. Fix $n \geq 2$ and assume the claim holds for all $k < n$. Let $g : I_n \rightarrow I_n$ be injective. Suppose, for a contradiction, that g is not surjective. Then $g(I_n)$ is a proper subset of I_n , so there exists an element of I_n not in the image of g ; choose m to be the largest such element. (A largest element exists since I_n is finite and totally ordered.)

Because $m \notin g(I_n)$, the image of g is contained in $I_n - \{m\}$. Define

$$\phi : I_n - \{m\} \longrightarrow I_{n-1}, \quad \phi(k) = \begin{cases} k, & k < m, \\ k-1, & k > m. \end{cases}$$

Define also

$$\phi^{-1} : I_{n-1} \longrightarrow I_n - \{m\}, \quad \phi^{-1}(j) = \begin{cases} j, & j < m, \\ j+1, & j \geq m. \end{cases}$$

A direct check shows that ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are inverse bijections.

Now consider the composition

$$\psi = \phi \circ g \circ \phi^{-1} : I_{n-1} \rightarrow I_{n-1}.$$

The map ψ is injective, since it is a composition of injective maps. By the induction hypothesis, ψ is surjective, hence bijective. Since ϕ^{-1} is also bijective, the composition

$$\phi^{-1} \circ \psi = g \circ \phi^{-1}$$

is bijective. In particular, $g \circ \phi^{-1}$ is surjective onto $I_n - \{m\}$. This means that the restriction

$$g|_{I_n - \{m\}} : I_n - \{m\} \longrightarrow I_n - \{m\}$$

is surjective.

Now consider $g(m)$. Since $m \notin g(I_n)$ by assumption, we must have $g(m) \in I_n - \{m\}$. But because $g|_{I_n - \{m\}}$ is surjective, there exists some $j \in I_n - \{m\}$ with $g(j) = g(m)$, contradicting the injectivity of g . This contradiction shows that g must be surjective.

This completes the induction and the proof of the lemma.

(a) I_n is not equipollent to any of its proper subsets.

Assume, for a contradiction, that there exists a bijection $f : I_n \rightarrow S$ with $S \subsetneq I_n$. Let $i : S \hookrightarrow I_n$ denote the inclusion map. Then $i \circ f : I_n \rightarrow I_n$ is injective. By the Lemma, $i \circ f$ is surjective. But $(i \circ f)(I_n) = i(S) = S$, a proper subset of I_n , which is impossible. Hence I_n is not equipollent to any of its proper subsets.

(b) I_m and I_n are equipollent if and only if $m = n$.

If $m = n$, the identity map is a bijection. Conversely, suppose I_m and I_n are equipollent and assume $m \neq n$. Without loss of generality, let $m < n$. Then a bijection $I_m \rightarrow I_n$ would make I_n equipollent to a proper subset of itself, contradicting part (a). Thus $m = n$.

(c) I_m is equipollent to a subset of I_n but I_n is not equipollent to any subset of I_m if and only if $m < n$.

If $m < n$, the inclusion $I_m \hookrightarrow I_n$ is injective, so I_m is equipollent to the subset $I_m \subset I_n$. If I_n were equipollent to a subset of I_m , then I_n would be equipollent to a proper subset of itself, contradicting part (a). Hence the stated asymmetry holds when $m < n$.

Conversely, suppose the asymmetry in the statement holds. The existence of an injection $I_m \rightarrow I_n$ implies $m \leq n$. If $m = n$, then the two sets are equipollent, contradicting the assumption. Therefore $m < n$. This completes the proof.

Exercise 2. (a) Every infinite set is equipollent to one of its proper subsets.

(b) A set is finite if and only if it is not equipollent to one of its proper subsets [see Exercise 1].

Solution. (a) **Every infinite set is equipollent to one of its proper subsets (assuming the Axiom of Choice).**

Assume the Axiom of Choice in the form that every set admits a choice function. Let S be an infinite set. Using a choice function, we construct an infinite sequence of distinct elements of S .

Let $\mathcal{P}^*(S)$ denote the collection of all nonempty subsets of S , and let $c : \mathcal{P}^*(S) \rightarrow S$ be a choice function. Define inductively

$$S_1 = S, \quad s_1 = c(S_1),$$

and, having chosen distinct elements s_1, \dots, s_n , set

$$S_{n+1} = S - \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}, \quad s_{n+1} = c(S_{n+1}).$$

Since S is infinite, each S_{n+1} is nonempty, so the construction continues indefinitely. Thus we obtain an infinite sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of distinct elements of S .

Define a map $f : S \rightarrow S$ by

$$f(s_n) = s_{n+1} \quad (n \geq 1), \quad f(x) = x \text{ for } x \notin \{s_n : n \geq 1\}.$$

Then f is injective: it is the identity off $\{s_n\}$, and on $\{s_n\}$ it is a shift. Moreover, f is not surjective, since s_1 is not in the image. Hence $f(S) \subsetneq S$, and since $f : S \rightarrow f(S)$ is a bijection, S is equipollent to a proper subset of itself.

Remark. The statement proved here is not provable in ZF alone. Without the Axiom of Choice, there may exist infinite sets that are not equipollent to any proper subset (so-called *Dedekind-finite* infinite sets). Thus part (a) genuinely requires some form of Choice.

(b) **A set is finite if and only if it is not equipollent to one of its proper subsets (assuming the Axiom of Choice).**

If S is finite, then S is equipollent to I_n for some n , and by Exercise 1(a) no finite set is equipollent to any proper subset of itself. Hence a finite set is not equipollent to a proper subset.

Conversely, suppose S is not finite, i.e. S is infinite. By part (a), assuming the Axiom of Choice, S is equipollent to a proper subset of itself. Therefore, a set is finite if and only if it is not equipollent to one of its proper subsets.

Exercise 3. (a) \mathbb{Z} is a denumerable set.

(b) The set \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers is denumerable. [Hint: show that $|\mathbb{Z}| \leq |\mathbb{Q}| \leq |\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{Z}|.$]

Solution. (a) **\mathbb{Z} is denumerable.**

Define $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f(2n-1) = n, \quad f(2n) = -n \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then f is bijective: every integer occurs exactly once (positive integers at odd inputs, negative integers at even inputs, and 0 at 0). Hence \mathbb{Z} is denumerable.

(b) \mathbb{Q} is denumerable.

We show that $|\mathbb{Z}| \leq |\mathbb{Q}| \leq |\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}|$, and that $|\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{Z}|$.

First, $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ via $n \mapsto n/1$, so the inclusion gives an injection $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$; hence $|\mathbb{Z}| \leq |\mathbb{Q}|$.

Next define $g : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ by sending each rational r to its reduced numerator–denominator pair: write $r = a/b$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, and $b > 0$, and set $g(r) = (a, b)$. The representation a/b with these conditions is unique, so g is injective. Hence $|\mathbb{Q}| \leq |\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}|$.

Finally, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is denumerable. Since \mathbb{Z} is denumerable by part (a), it suffices to exhibit a bijection $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and then transport it to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ using a bijection $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. For example, the Cantor pairing function

$$\pi(m, n) = \frac{(m+n)(m+n+1)}{2} + n$$

is a bijection $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is denumerable, i.e. $|\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{Z}|$.

Combining the inequalities,

$$|\mathbb{Z}| \leq |\mathbb{Q}| \leq |\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{Z}|,$$

so $|\mathbb{Q}| = |\mathbb{Z}|$. Hence \mathbb{Q} is denumerable.

Exercise 4. If A, A', B, B' are sets such that $|A| = |A'|$ and $|B| = |B'|$, then $|A \times B| = |A' \times B'|$. If in addition $A \cap B = \emptyset = A' \cap B'$ then $|A \cup B| = |A' \cup B'|$. Therefore multiplication and addition of cardinals is well defined.

Solution. Assume $|A| = |A'|$ and $|B| = |B'|$. Then there exist bijections $\alpha : A \rightarrow A'$ and $\beta : B \rightarrow B'$.

Products. Define

$$\Phi : A \times B \longrightarrow A' \times B', \quad \Phi(a, b) = (\alpha(a), \beta(b)).$$

Then Φ is bijective. Indeed, its inverse is

$$\Psi : A' \times B' \longrightarrow A \times B, \quad \Psi(a', b') = (\alpha^{-1}(a'), \beta^{-1}(b')).$$

Thus $|A \times B| = |A' \times B'|$.

Unions (disjoint case). Assume in addition that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A' \cap B' = \emptyset$. Define $F : A \cup B \rightarrow A' \cup B'$ by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x), & x \in A, \\ \beta(x), & x \in B. \end{cases}$$

This is well defined because $A \cap B = \emptyset$, so each $x \in A \cup B$ lies in exactly one of the two sets. Similarly, the map

$$G : A' \cup B' \rightarrow A \cup B, \quad G(y) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{-1}(y), & y \in A', \\ \beta^{-1}(y), & y \in B', \end{cases}$$

is well defined because $A' \cap B' = \emptyset$. One checks immediately that $G \circ F = \text{id}_{A \cup B}$ and $F \circ G = \text{id}_{A' \cup B'}$, so F is a bijection. Hence $|A \cup B| = |A' \cup B'|$.

Therefore, if we define cardinal multiplication by $|A| \cdot |B| := |A \times B|$ and cardinal addition (for disjoint sets) by $|A| + |B| := |A \cup B|$, these operations depend only on the cardinalities of A and B , and not on the particular representatives chosen. In other words, addition and multiplication of cardinals are well defined.

Exercise 5. For all cardinal numbers α, β, γ :

- (a) $\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$ and $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$ (commutative laws).
- (b) $(\alpha + \beta) + \gamma = \alpha + (\beta + \gamma)$ and $(\alpha\beta)\gamma = \alpha(\beta\gamma)$ (associative laws).
- (c) $\alpha(\beta + \gamma) = \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma$ and $(\alpha + \beta)\gamma = \alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma$ (distributive laws).
- (d) $\alpha + 0 = \alpha$ and $\alpha 1 = \alpha$.
- (e) If $\alpha \neq 0$, then there is no β such that $\alpha + \beta = 0$ and if $\alpha \neq 1$, then there is no β such that $\alpha\beta = 1$. Therefore subtraction and division of cardinal numbers cannot be defined.

Solution. Let α, β, γ be cardinals. Choose sets A, B, C such that $|A| = \alpha$, $|B| = \beta$, $|C| = \gamma$, and assume (replacing by equipollent copies if necessary) that A, B, C are pairwise disjoint. Recall that $\alpha + \beta := |A \cup B|$ (for disjoint representatives) and $\alpha\beta := |A \times B|$.

- (a) **Commutativity.** Since $A \cup B = B \cup A$, we have $\alpha + \beta = |A \cup B| = |B \cup A| = \beta + \alpha$. Define $\tau : A \times B \rightarrow B \times A$ by $\tau(a, b) = (b, a)$. Then τ is a bijection, so $|A \times B| = |B \times A|$, i.e. $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$.
- (b) **Associativity.** Because A, B, C are disjoint,

$$(\alpha + \beta) + \gamma = |(A \cup B) \cup C| = |A \cup (B \cup C)| = \alpha + (\beta + \gamma).$$

For products, define $\Phi : (A \times B) \times C \rightarrow A \times (B \times C)$ by $\Phi((a, b), c) = (a, (b, c))$. This is a bijection with inverse $(a, (b, c)) \mapsto ((a, b), c)$. Hence $(\alpha\beta)\gamma = \alpha(\beta\gamma)$.

- (c) **Distributivity.** Since B and C are disjoint, so are $A \times B$ and $A \times C$ if we identify them as subsets of $A \times (B \cup C)$ via the inclusions $B \hookrightarrow B \cup C$ and $C \hookrightarrow B \cup C$. Define

$$\Phi : A \times (B \cup C) \longrightarrow (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$$

by

$$\Phi(a, x) = \begin{cases} (a, x), & x \in B, \\ (a, x), & x \in C. \end{cases}$$

This is well defined (each $x \in B \cup C$ lies in exactly one of B, C) and is clearly bijective, with inverse given by the inclusion of the union into $A \times (B \cup C)$. Therefore

$$|A \times (B \cup C)| = |(A \times B) \cup (A \times C)|,$$

i.e. $\alpha(\beta + \gamma) = \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma$. The identity $(\alpha + \beta)\gamma = \alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma$ follows similarly by swapping the roles of left and right factors.

(d) **Identities.** Let $0 = |\emptyset|$ and $1 = |\{*\}|$. If $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$, then $A \cup \emptyset = A$, so $\alpha + 0 = |A| = \alpha$. Also $A \times \{*\} \cong A$ via $a \mapsto (a, *)$, so $\alpha 1 = \alpha$.

(e) **No additive inverses and no multiplicative inverses in general.** If $\alpha \neq 0$, choose a nonempty set A with $|A| = \alpha$. For any set B disjoint from A , the union $A \cup B$ is nonempty, hence $|A \cup B| \neq 0$. Therefore there is no β such that $\alpha + \beta = 0$.

If $\alpha \neq 1$, then either $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha \geq 2$. In either case, there is no β with $\alpha\beta = 1$. Indeed, if $\alpha = 0$ then $\alpha\beta = 0$ for all β . If $\alpha \geq 2$, let A be a set of cardinality α , so A has distinct elements $a_1 \neq a_2$. For any nonempty B , the two subsets $\{a_1\} \times B$ and $\{a_2\} \times B$ are disjoint and nonempty, so $A \times B$ has at least two elements and hence cannot have cardinality 1. If $B = \emptyset$, then $A \times B = \emptyset$ has cardinality 0. Thus $|A \times B| \neq 1$ for all B , i.e. there is no β with $\alpha\beta = 1$.

Therefore subtraction and division of cardinal numbers cannot be defined so as to make $(\text{Cardinals}, +, \cdot)$ into a ring or field in the usual way.

Exercise 6. Let I_n be as in Exercise 1. If $A \sim I_m$ and $B \sim I_n$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $(A \cup B) \sim I_{m+n}$ and $A \times B \sim I_{mn}$. Thus if we identify $|A|$ with m and $|B|$ with n , then $|A| + |B| = m + n$ and $|A||B| = mn$.

Solution. Let $A \sim I_m$ and $B \sim I_n$, and assume $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Choose bijections

$$f : A \longrightarrow I_m, \quad g : B \longrightarrow I_n.$$

Unions. Define $h : A \cup B \rightarrow I_{m+n}$ by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in A, \\ m + g(x), & x \in B. \end{cases}$$

This is well defined because $A \cap B = \emptyset$. It is injective: on A it agrees with the injection f ; on B it agrees with the injection $x \mapsto m + g(x)$; and no value coming from A (which lies in $\{1, \dots, m\}$) can equal a value coming from B (which lies in $\{m+1, \dots, m+n\}$). It is surjective because every $t \in I_{m+n}$ satisfies either $1 \leq t \leq m$, in which case $t = f(a)$ for $a = f^{-1}(t) \in A$, or $m+1 \leq t \leq m+n$, in which case $t = m + g(b)$ for $b = g^{-1}(t-m) \in B$. Hence h is a bijection and $(A \cup B) \sim I_{m+n}$.

Products. Define $\Phi : A \times B \rightarrow I_{mn}$ by

$$\Phi(a, b) = (f(a) - 1)n + g(b).$$

Since $1 \leq f(a) \leq m$ and $1 \leq g(b) \leq n$, we have $0 \leq (f(a) - 1)n \leq (m-1)n$, so $\Phi(a, b) \in \{1, 2, \dots, mn\} = I_{mn}$.

To see that Φ is injective, suppose $\Phi(a, b) = \Phi(a', b')$. Then

$$(f(a) - 1)n + g(b) = (f(a') - 1)n + g(b'),$$

so

$$(f(a) - f(a'))n = g(b') - g(b).$$

The right-hand side lies in $\{-(n-1), \dots, n-1\}$, while the left-hand side is a multiple of n . Hence both sides must be 0, so $f(a) = f(a')$ and $g(b) = g(b')$, and therefore $a = a'$ and $b = b'$.

For surjectivity, let $t \in I_{mn}$. By the division algorithm there exist unique integers q, r with

$$t - 1 = qn + r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n - 1, \quad 0 \leq q \leq m - 1.$$

Set $i = q + 1 \in I_m$ and $j = r + 1 \in I_n$. Choose $a \in A$ with $f(a) = i$ and $b \in B$ with $g(b) = j$. Then

$$\Phi(a, b) = (i - 1)n + j = qn + (r + 1) = t.$$

Thus Φ is surjective, hence bijective, and $A \times B \sim I_{mn}$.

Therefore, identifying $|A|$ with m and $|B|$ with n , we obtain

$$|A| + |B| = m + n, \quad |A| |B| = mn,$$

i.e. cardinal addition and multiplication agree with the usual addition and multiplication on finite cardinalities.

Exercise 7. If $A \sim A'$, $B \sim B'$ and $f : A \rightarrow B$ is injective, then there is an injective map $A' \rightarrow B'$. Therefore the relation \leq on cardinal numbers is well defined.

Solution. Assume $A \sim A'$ and $B \sim B'$, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be injective. Choose bijections $\alpha : A' \rightarrow A$ and $\beta : B \rightarrow B'$. Define

$$f' = \beta \circ f \circ \alpha : A' \rightarrow B'.$$

Then f' is injective, since it is a composition of injective maps (α and β are bijections, hence injective, and f is injective). Thus there exists an injection $A' \rightarrow B'$, as required.

Consequently, if we define $|A| \leq |B|$ to mean that there exists an injective map $A \rightarrow B$, then this relation depends only on the cardinalities of A and B , and not on the particular representatives chosen. Hence \leq on cardinal numbers is well defined.

Exercise 8. An infinite subset of a denumerable set is denumerable.

Solution. Let S be denumerable and let $T \subset S$ be an infinite subset. Choose a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$. Consider the set of indices

$$J = f^{-1}(T) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) \in T\} \subset \mathbb{N}.$$

Since T is infinite and f is bijective, J is infinite.

We now enumerate J in increasing order. Define $j_0 = \min J$, and having defined $j_0 < \dots < j_k$, set

$$j_{k+1} = \min(J - \{j_0, \dots, j_k\}).$$

This is well defined because J is infinite, so after removing finitely many elements it is still nonempty, and \mathbb{N} is well ordered.

Define $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow T$ by $g(k) = f(j_k)$. Then $g(k) \in T$ for all k , and g is injective since the j_k are distinct and f is injective. Moreover g is surjective onto T : if $t \in T$, then $t = f(n)$ for a unique $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n \in J$. Since (j_k) lists all elements of J , we have $n = j_k$ for some k , hence $t = f(n) = f(j_k) = g(k)$.

Thus g is a bijection $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow T$, so T is denumerable.

Exercise 9. The infinite set of real numbers \mathbb{R} is not denumerable (that is, $\aleph_0 < |\mathbb{R}|$). [Hint: it suffices to show that the open interval $(0, 1)$ is not denumerable by Exercise 8. You may assume each real number can be written as an infinite decimal. If $(0, 1)$ is denumerable there is a bijection $f : \mathbf{N}^* \rightarrow (0, 1)$. Construct an infinite decimal (real number) $.a_1a_2\dots$ in $(0, 1)$ such that a_n is not the n th digit in the decimal expansion of $f(n)$. This number cannot be in $\text{Im } f$.]

Solution. We prove that $(0, 1)$ is not denumerable. Since $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$, this implies $|\mathbb{R}| > \aleph_0$. (Equivalently, if \mathbb{R} were denumerable then its infinite subset $(0, 1)$ would be denumerable, contrary to what we prove below.)

Assume for contradiction that $(0, 1)$ is denumerable. Then there exists a bijection $f : \mathbf{N}^* \rightarrow (0, 1)$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$, write the decimal expansion of $f(n)$ as

$$f(n) = 0.d_{n1}d_{n2}d_{n3}\cdots,$$

where each $d_{nk} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$. We may (and do) choose the expansion so that it does *not* end in an infinite string of 9's; this makes the decimal representation unique.

Now define a new decimal

$$x = 0.a_1a_2a_3\cdots$$

by the rule

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 1, & d_{nn} \neq 1, \\ 2, & d_{nn} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then each $a_n \in \{1, 2\}$, so $x \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, for every n we have $a_n \neq d_{nn}$ by construction. Hence $x \neq f(n)$ for every n , since x and $f(n)$ differ in the n -th decimal digit. Therefore $x \notin \text{Im}(f)$, contradicting surjectivity of f .

Thus no bijection $\mathbf{N}^* \rightarrow (0, 1)$ exists, so $(0, 1)$ is not denumerable. Consequently \mathbb{R} is not denumerable, i.e. $\aleph_0 < |\mathbb{R}|$.

Exercise 10. If α, β are cardinals, define α^β to be the cardinal number of the set of all functions $B \rightarrow A$, where A, B are sets such that $|A| = \alpha$, $|B| = \beta$.

- (a) α^β is independent of the choice of A, B .
- (b) $\alpha^{\beta+\gamma} = (\alpha^\beta)(\alpha^\gamma)$; $(\alpha\beta)^\gamma = (\alpha^\gamma)(\beta^\gamma)$; $\alpha^{\beta\gamma} = (\alpha^\beta)^\gamma$.
- (c) If $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $\alpha^\gamma \leq \beta^\gamma$.
- (d) If α, β are finite with $\alpha > 1$, $\beta > 1$ and γ is infinite, then $\alpha^\gamma = \beta^\gamma$.
- (e) For every finite cardinal n , $\alpha^n = \alpha\alpha\cdots\alpha$ (n factors). Hence $\alpha^n = \alpha$ if α is infinite.
- (f) If $P(A)$ is the power set of a set A , then $|P(A)| = 2^{|A|}$.

Solution. Let $|A| = \alpha$ and $|B| = \beta$. Write A^B for the set of all functions $B \rightarrow A$; by definition $\alpha^\beta = |A^B|$.

- (a) **α^β is well defined.** Suppose A, A', B, B' satisfy $|A| = |A'| = \alpha$ and $|B| = |B'| = \beta$. Choose bijections $\varphi : A \rightarrow A'$ and $\psi : B' \rightarrow B$. Define

$$T : A^B \longrightarrow (A')^{B'}, \quad T(f) = \varphi \circ f \circ \psi.$$

Then T is a bijection, with inverse $g \mapsto \varphi^{-1} \circ g \circ \psi^{-1}$. Hence $|A^B| = |(A')^{B'}|$, so α^β is independent of the choices of A, B .

(b) **Exponent laws.** Let $|A| = \alpha$, $|B| = \beta$, $|C| = \gamma$, and take $B \cap C = \emptyset$.

(i) $\alpha^{\beta+\gamma} = \alpha^\beta \alpha^\gamma$. A function $h : B \cup C \rightarrow A$ is uniquely determined by its restrictions $h|_B : B \rightarrow A$ and $h|_C : C \rightarrow A$. Conversely, any pair $(f, g) \in A^B \times A^C$ determines a unique $h \in A^{B \cup C}$ by $h|_B = f$, $h|_C = g$. Thus the map

$$A^{B \cup C} \longrightarrow A^B \times A^C, \quad h \mapsto (h|_B, h|_C)$$

is a bijection, so $|A^{B \cup C}| = |A^B \times A^C|$, i.e. $\alpha^{\beta+\gamma} = (\alpha^\beta)(\alpha^\gamma)$.

(ii) $(\alpha\beta)^\gamma = (\alpha^\gamma)(\beta^\gamma)$. A function $u : C \rightarrow A \times B$ is equivalent to an ordered pair of functions (f, g) with $f : C \rightarrow A$ and $g : C \rightarrow B$, via $u(c) = (f(c), g(c))$. Hence

$$(A \times B)^C \sim A^C \times B^C,$$

so $|(A \times B)^C| = |A^C \times B^C|$, i.e. $(\alpha\beta)^\gamma = (\alpha^\gamma)(\beta^\gamma)$.

(iii) $\alpha^{\beta\gamma} = (\alpha^\beta)^\gamma$. Identify $B \times C$ as the domain. A function $F : B \times C \rightarrow A$ is equivalent to a function $\tilde{F} : C \rightarrow A^B$ given by

$$\tilde{F}(c)(b) = F(b, c).$$

This correspondence is bijective (currying/uncurrying), so

$$A^{B \times C} \sim (A^B)^C,$$

hence $\alpha^{\beta\gamma} = (\alpha^\beta)^\gamma$.

(c) **Monotonicity in the base.** Assume $\alpha \leq \beta$. Choose sets A, B with $|A| = \alpha$, $|B| = \beta$, and an injection $i : A \hookrightarrow B$. For any set C with $|C| = \gamma$, define

$$I : A^C \longrightarrow B^C, \quad I(f) = i \circ f.$$

If $I(f) = I(g)$, then $i \circ f = i \circ g$, and since i is injective we have $f = g$. Thus I is injective, so $|A^C| \leq |B^C|$, i.e. $\alpha^\gamma \leq \beta^\gamma$.

(d) **If α, β are finite > 1 and γ is infinite, then $\alpha^\gamma = \beta^\gamma$.**

Let $\gamma = |C|$ with C infinite. Since $\alpha > 1$, there exists an injection $\{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow A$, hence $2^\gamma \leq \alpha^\gamma$ by (c). Also A is finite, so there is an injection $A \hookrightarrow \{0, 1\}^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (e.g. take k with $2^k \geq \alpha$). Then by (c)

$$\alpha^\gamma \leq (2^k)^\gamma.$$

Using (b)(iii) and (b)(v) below, $(2^k)^\gamma = 2^{k\gamma}$. Since C is infinite and $k \geq 1$ is finite, $k\gamma = \gamma$ (there is a bijection $C \times I_k \cong C$), hence $(2^k)^\gamma = 2^\gamma$. Therefore $2^\gamma \leq \alpha^\gamma \leq 2^\gamma$, so $\alpha^\gamma = 2^\gamma$. The same argument gives $\beta^\gamma = 2^\gamma$, hence $\alpha^\gamma = \beta^\gamma$.

(e) **Finite exponents.** Let n be a finite cardinal and choose $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$. A function $I_n \rightarrow A$ is the same as an n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. Thus

$$A^{I_n} \cong \underbrace{A \times \cdots \times A}_{n \text{ factors}}$$

so $\alpha^n = \alpha \cdot \alpha \cdots \alpha$ (n factors).

In particular, if α is infinite and $n \geq 1$ is finite, then $\alpha^n = \alpha$. (This uses the earlier result that $\alpha n = \alpha$ for infinite α and finite $n \geq 1$, proved by exhibiting a bijection $A \times I_n \sim A$ when A is infinite.)

- (f) **Power sets.** Let $P(A)$ denote the power set of A . Identify a subset $S \subset A$ with its characteristic function $\chi_S : A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, where $\chi_S(a) = 1$ if $a \in S$ and $\chi_S(a) = 0$ otherwise. The map

$$P(A) \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}^A, \quad S \mapsto \chi_S$$

is a bijection, with inverse $f \mapsto f^{-1}(\{1\})$. Hence $|P(A)| = |\{0, 1\}^A| = 2^{|A|}$.

Exercise 11. If I is an infinite set, and for each $i \in I$ A_i is a finite set, then $|\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i| \leq |I|$.

Solution. Let I be infinite and suppose each A_i is finite. For each $i \in I$, choose a bijection $f_i : A_i \rightarrow I_{n_i}$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since A_i is finite, there exists an injection $A_i \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (for instance, compose f_i with the inclusion $I_{n_i} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$). Fix such an injection and denote it by $\phi_i : A_i \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Define a map

$$F : \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \longrightarrow I \times \mathbb{N}$$

by

$$F(x) = (i, \phi_i(x)) \quad \text{where } i \text{ is any index with } x \in A_i.$$

To make F well defined, replace $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ by the disjoint union

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i = \{(i, x) : i \in I, x \in A_i\},$$

which is equipollent to $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ via $(i, x) \mapsto x$. On the disjoint union define

$$\tilde{F} : \bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i \longrightarrow I \times \mathbb{N}, \quad \tilde{F}(i, x) = (i, \phi_i(x)).$$

This map is injective: if $\tilde{F}(i, x) = \tilde{F}(j, y)$, then $(i, \phi_i(x)) = (j, \phi_j(y))$, hence $i = j$ and $\phi_i(x) = \phi_i(y)$. Since ϕ_i is injective, $x = y$. Thus $(i, x) = (j, y)$.

Therefore

$$\left| \bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| \leq |I \times \mathbb{N}|.$$

Because I is infinite, we have $|I \times \mathbb{N}| = |I|$ (since $|\mathbb{N}| = \aleph_0 \leq |I|$ and for infinite cardinals κ , $\kappa \cdot \aleph_0 = \kappa$). Hence

$$\left| \bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| \leq |I|.$$

Finally, the canonical surjection $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$, $(i, x) \mapsto x$, shows $|\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i| \leq |\bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i|$. Combining, we obtain

$$\left| \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| \leq |I|.$$

Exercise 12. Let α be a fixed cardinal number and suppose that for every $i \in I$, A_i is a set with $|A_i| = \alpha$. Then $|\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i| \leq |I|\alpha$.

Solution. Let I be an index set and suppose $|A_i| = \alpha$ for all $i \in I$. Choose a set A with $|A| = \alpha$. For each $i \in I$, choose a bijection $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow A$.

Consider the disjoint union

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i = \{(i, x) : i \in I, x \in A_i\}.$$

Define

$$F : \bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i \longrightarrow I \times A, \quad F(i, x) = (i, \varphi_i(x)).$$

Then F is injective: if $F(i, x) = F(j, y)$, then $(i, \varphi_i(x)) = (j, \varphi_j(y))$, hence $i = j$ and $\varphi_i(x) = \varphi_i(y)$, and since φ_i is injective, $x = y$. Thus $(i, x) = (j, y)$.

Therefore

$$\left| \bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| \leq |I \times A| = |I| |A| = |I| \alpha.$$

Finally, the canonical map $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$, $(i, x) \mapsto x$, is surjective, so

$$\left| \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| \leq \left| \bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i \right|.$$

Combining these inequalities gives

$$\left| \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| \leq |I| \alpha,$$

as required.