

Advanced Computational Quantum Mechanics: Mathematical Foundations and Numerical Implementation

A Comprehensive Technical Reference

February 6, 2026

Contents

1	Quantum Mechanics and Computational Quantum Mechanics	3
1.1	Mathematical Foundations	3
1.1.1	Hilbert Space and State Vectors	3
1.1.2	Fundamental Operators	3
1.1.3	Time-Independent Schrödinger Equation	4
1.2	Analytical Solutions: Hydrogen Atom	4
1.3	The Many-Body Problem	5
1.4	Born-Oppenheimer Approximation	5
1.5	Density Functional Theory	6
1.5.1	Hohenberg-Kohn Theorems	6
1.5.2	Kohn-Sham Equations	6
2	Programming and Algorithmic Analysis	7
2.1	Computational Complexity	7
2.2	Python Scientific Computing Stack	7
2.2.1	NumPy — Numerical Linear Algebra	7
2.2.2	SciPy — Advanced Algorithms	7
2.2.3	PySCF — Production Quantum Chemistry	8
2.3	Parallel Computing	8
2.3.1	Shared Memory Parallelism (OpenMP)	8
2.3.2	Distributed Memory (MPI)	8
2.3.3	GPU Acceleration	8
3	Computational Quantum Dynamics	9
3.1	Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation	9
3.2	Split-Operator Method	9
3.3	Runge-Kutta Methods	10
3.3.1	Fourth-Order Runge-Kutta (RK4)	10
3.4	Chebyshev Polynomial Expansion	10
4	Implementation: Detailed Code Examples	11
4.1	Time-Independent Schrödinger Equation Solver	11
4.1.1	Problem: 1D Quantum Harmonic Oscillator	11
4.1.2	Finite Difference Discretization	11
4.1.3	Complete Python Implementation	11
4.1.4	Convergence Analysis and Error Scaling	14
4.2	Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation: Split-Operator Method	14
4.2.1	Problem: Gaussian Wave Packet in Harmonic Potential	14

4.2.2	Split-Operator Algorithm Implementation	15
4.2.3	Numerical Stability and Error Analysis	16
4.3	Hartree-Fock Self-Consistent Field Method	16
4.3.1	Theoretical Foundation	16
4.3.2	Implementation: Helium Atom	17
4.3.3	Analysis of HF Approximation	19
5	Advanced Topics and Extensions	20
5.1	Basis Set Selection and Convergence	20
5.2	Post-Hartree-Fock Methods	20
5.3	Density Functional Theory in Practice	21
5.4	Future Directions	21
5.5	Method Comparison Summary	21
A	Useful Constants and Conversion Factors	22
B	Numerical Methods Reference	23

Chapter 1

Quantum Mechanics and Computational Quantum Mechanics

1.1 Mathematical Foundations

1.1.1 Hilbert Space and State Vectors

The state of a quantum system is represented by a vector $|\psi\rangle$ in a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For a single particle in three dimensions, the wavefunction $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ must belong to the space of square-integrable functions, satisfying the normalization condition:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 d^3\mathbf{r} = 1 \quad (1.1)$$

The inner product in this space is defined as:

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \int \phi^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) d^3\mathbf{r} \quad (1.2)$$

where ϕ^* denotes the complex conjugate. This forms a complete inner product space with norm $\|\psi\| = \sqrt{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}$.

1.1.2 Fundamental Operators

Physical observables correspond to Hermitian operators on \mathcal{H} . The key operators are:

- **Position operator:** $\hat{r}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r}\psi(\mathbf{r})$
- **Momentum operator:** $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\nabla$
- **Hamiltonian operator:** $\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r})$

The Hermiticity condition $\hat{A}^\dagger = \hat{A}$ ensures real eigenvalues, corresponding to measurable physical quantities.

1.1.3 Time-Independent Schrödinger Equation

For stationary states, the time-independent Schrödinger equation (TISE) determines the energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions:

$$\hat{H}\phi(\mathbf{r}) = E\phi(\mathbf{r}) \quad (1.3)$$

Explicitly for a single particle:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r}) \right] \phi(\mathbf{r}) = E\phi(\mathbf{r}) \quad (1.4)$$

1.2 Analytical Solutions: Hydrogen Atom

The hydrogen atom provides one of the few exactly solvable quantum systems. In spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) , the TISE becomes:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\hat{L}^2}{\hbar^2 r^2} \right) - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \right] \psi = E\psi \quad (1.5)$$

where $\mu = \frac{m_e m_p}{m_e + m_p} \approx m_e$ is the reduced mass. Separation of variables $\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = R(r)Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$ yields:

Radial equation:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dR}{dr} \right) + \frac{\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1)}{2\mu r^2} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \right] R(r) = ER(r) \quad (1.6)$$

Energy eigenvalues:

$$E_n = -\frac{\mu e^4}{32\pi^2 \epsilon_0^2 \hbar^2 n^2} \approx -\frac{13.6 \text{ eV}}{n^2}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.7)$$

Bohr radius:

$$a_0 = \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2}{\mu e^2} \approx 0.529 \text{ \AA} \quad (1.8)$$

First three radial wavefunctions:

$$R_{10}(r) = 2 \left(\frac{Z}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{Zr}{a_0} \right) \quad (1.9)$$

$$R_{20}(r) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{Z}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} \left(2 - \frac{Zr}{a_0} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{Zr}{2a_0} \right) \quad (1.10)$$

$$R_{21}(r) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \left(\frac{Z}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} \frac{Zr}{a_0} \exp \left(-\frac{Zr}{2a_0} \right) \quad (1.11)$$

[*INSERT FIGURE 1.1: Radial probability distributions $4\pi r^2 |R_{nl}(r)|^2$ for $n = 1, 2, 3$ orbitals of hydrogen, showing nodes and peak positions]*

Figure 1.1: Hydrogen atom radial probability distributions

1.3 The Many-Body Problem

For N electrons interacting with M nuclei, the full non-relativistic Hamiltonian is:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T}_e + \hat{T}_n + \hat{V}_{en} + \hat{V}_{ee} + \hat{V}_{nn} \quad (1.12)$$

where:

$$\hat{T}_e = - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla_i^2 \quad (\text{electron kinetic}) \quad (1.13)$$

$$\hat{T}_n = - \sum_{a=1}^M \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_a} \nabla_a^2 \quad (\text{nuclear kinetic}) \quad (1.14)$$

$$\hat{V}_{en} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^M \frac{Z_a e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_a|} \quad (\text{electron-nuclear}) \quad (1.15)$$

$$\hat{V}_{ee} = \sum_{i < j} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} \quad (\text{electron-electron}) \quad (1.16)$$

$$\hat{V}_{nn} = \sum_{a < b} \frac{Z_a Z_b e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\mathbf{R}_a - \mathbf{R}_b|} \quad (\text{nuclear-nuclear}) \quad (1.17)$$

Computational scaling: The wavefunction $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, \mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_M)$ depends on $3(N+M)$ coordinates. Discretizing each dimension with M grid points gives $M^{3(N+M)}$ total points:

- H₂ (2 electrons, 2 nuclei): M^{12} points
- H₂O (10 electrons, 3 nuclei): M^{39} points
- C₆H₆ (42 electrons, 12 nuclei): M^{162} points

For $M = 100$, H₂O requires 10^{39} points — utterly intractable.

[INSERT FIGURE 1.2: Log-log plot showing exponential growth of required grid points vs number of electrons for $M = 50, 100, 200$]

Figure 1.2: Exponential scaling of direct grid method

1.4 Born-Oppenheimer Approximation

Nuclear masses greatly exceed electron mass ($m_e/M_p \approx 1/1836$), allowing separation:

$$\Psi_{\text{total}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) \approx \psi_e(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \chi_n(\mathbf{R}) \quad (1.18)$$

The electronic Hamiltonian at fixed nuclear positions \mathbf{R} :

$$\hat{H}_e \psi_e(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = E_e(\mathbf{R}) \psi_e(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \quad (1.19)$$

where $E_e(\mathbf{R})$ forms the potential energy surface for nuclear motion.

Error magnitude: $\sim (m_e/M)^{1/4} \approx 10^{-3}$, increasing near conical intersections.

1.5 Density Functional Theory

1.5.1 Hohenberg-Kohn Theorems

Theorem 1 (Existence): The ground state energy is a unique functional of electron density:

$$E_0 = E[n_0(\mathbf{r})] = \int V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) n_0(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} + F[n_0(\mathbf{r})] \quad (1.20)$$

where $F[n] = T[n] + V_{ee}[n]$ is a universal functional (independent of V_{ext}).

Theorem 2 (Variational Principle): For any trial density $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$ with $\int \tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} = N$:

$$E[\tilde{n}] \geq E[n_0], \quad \text{with equality iff } \tilde{n} = n_0 \quad (1.21)$$

1.5.2 Kohn-Sham Equations

Map the interacting system to a non-interacting one with the same density:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{eff}}[n](\mathbf{r}) \right] \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \quad (1.22)$$

$$V_{\text{eff}}[n](\mathbf{r}) = V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) + \int \frac{e^2 n(\mathbf{r}')}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + V_{xc}[n](\mathbf{r}) \quad (1.23)$$

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^N |\phi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2 \quad (1.24)$$

The exchange-correlation potential $V_{xc} = \delta E_{xc}/\delta n(\mathbf{r})$ contains all many-body effects.

Common approximations: Local Density Approximation (LDA):

$$E_{xc}^{\text{LDA}}[n] = \int n(\mathbf{r}) \varepsilon_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r})) d\mathbf{r} \quad (1.25)$$

Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) — PBE functional:

$$E_{xc}^{\text{PBE}}[n] = \int n(\mathbf{r}) \varepsilon_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r}), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r})|) d\mathbf{r} \quad (1.26)$$

Hybrid functionals (B3LYP):

$$E_{xc}^{\text{B3LYP}} = 0.8 E_x^{\text{LDA}} + 0.2 E_x^{\text{HF}} + 0.72 \Delta E_x^{\text{B88}} + 0.19 E_c^{\text{VWN}} + 0.81 E_c^{\text{LYP}} \quad (1.27)$$

Computational scaling: DFT-KS scales as $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ with system size N (matrix diagonalization), compared to $\mathcal{O}(N!)$ for exact methods.

[*INSERT FIGURE 1.3: Computational cost comparison (log-scale) for Full CI, CCSD, MP2, DFT, Tight-Binding vs system size N*]

Figure 1.3: Scaling of quantum mechanical methods

Chapter 2

Programming and Algorithmic Analysis

2.1 Computational Complexity

Key computational bottlenecks in quantum simulations:

- **Matrix diagonalization:** $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ for dense $N \times N$ matrices
- **Two-electron integrals:** $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$ for N basis functions
- **SCF iterations:** 10–100 cycles typically required
- **Sparse linear solvers:** $\mathcal{O}(N^{1.5})$ for sparse Hamiltonians

2.2 Python Scientific Computing Stack

2.2.1 NumPy — Numerical Linear Algebra

Core functions:

- `np.linalg.eigh(A)`: Hermitian eigendecomposition, $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$
- `np.einsum('ij,jk->ik', A, B)`: Efficient tensor contractions
- `np.linalg.lstsq(A, b)`: Least-squares solutions
- `np.fft`: Fast Fourier Transform, $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$

2.2.2 SciPy — Advanced Algorithms

- `scipy.sparse`: COO, CSR, CSC formats for sparse matrices
- `scipy.sparse.linalg.eigs()`: Iterative eigensolvers (Lanczos/Arnoldi)
- `scipy.integrate.odeint()`: Stiff ODE solver (for TDSE)
- `scipy.optimize`: BFGS, conjugate gradient for geometry optimization

2.2.3 PySCF — Production Quantum Chemistry

- Hartree-Fock: `pyscf.scf.RHF()`, `pyscf.scf.UHF()`
- Post-HF: MP2, CCSD, CCSD(T), Full CI
- DFT: LDA, PBE, B3LYP, ω B97X-D functionals
- TDDFT: Linear response excited states

2.3 Parallel Computing

2.3.1 Shared Memory Parallelism (OpenMP)

BLAS libraries (MKL, OpenBLAS) automatically parallelize matrix operations. Speedup:

$$S(p) = \frac{T(1)}{T(p)} \approx \frac{p}{1 + (p - 1)f} \quad (2.1)$$

where f is the serial fraction (Amdahl's law).

2.3.2 Distributed Memory (MPI)

For multi-node clusters, use `mpi4py` for Python:

- Distribute Hamiltonian matrix blocks across processes
- Local computation of matrix elements
- `MPI_Allgather` for global communication

2.3.3 GPU Acceleration

Modern GPUs provide 10–100× speedup for dense linear algebra:

- CuPy: NumPy API for NVIDIA CUDA
- TensorFlow/PyTorch: Automatic differentiation
- Typical speedup: 20–50× for $N > 1000$ matrix operations

[INSERT FIGURE 2.1: Benchmark plot showing CPU vs GPU vs Multi-CPU (MPI) execution time for matrix diagonalization, $N = 100$ to 10000]

Figure 2.1: Performance comparison for matrix operations

Chapter 3

Computational Quantum Dynamics

3.1 Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation

The TDSE governs quantum time evolution:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t) |\psi(t)\rangle \quad (3.1)$$

Formal solution (time-ordered exponential):

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \mathcal{T} \exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t \hat{H}(t') dt' \right) |\psi(t_0)\rangle \quad (3.2)$$

For time-independent \hat{H} :

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \exp \left(-\frac{i\hat{H}(t-t_0)}{\hbar} \right) \quad (3.3)$$

3.2 Split-Operator Method

For $\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}$, use Trotter-Suzuki decomposition:

$$\exp \left(-\frac{i\hat{H}\Delta t}{\hbar} \right) = \exp \left(-\frac{i\hat{T}\Delta t}{2\hbar} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{i\hat{V}\Delta t}{\hbar} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{i\hat{T}\Delta t}{2\hbar} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3) \quad (3.4)$$

Algorithm:

1. $\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p}) = \text{FFT}[\psi(\mathbf{x})]$
2. $\tilde{\psi}'(\mathbf{p}) = \exp \left(-\frac{ip^2\Delta t}{4m\hbar} \right) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p})$
3. $\psi'(\mathbf{x}) = \text{IFFT}[\tilde{\psi}'(\mathbf{p})]$
4. $\psi''(\mathbf{x}) = \exp \left(-\frac{iV(\mathbf{x})\Delta t}{\hbar} \right) \psi'(\mathbf{x})$
5. Repeat steps 1–2 once more

Properties:

- Unitary (norm-preserving): $\|\psi(t)\| = \|\psi(0)\|$
- Computational cost: $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ per timestep
- Error: $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3)$ local, $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2)$ global

3.3 Runge-Kutta Methods

3.3.1 Fourth-Order Runge-Kutta (RK4)

Rewrite TDSE as: $\frac{d\psi}{dt} = f(\psi, t) = -\frac{i\hat{H}(t)\psi}{\hbar}$

$$k_1 = \Delta t f(\psi_n, t_n) \quad (3.5)$$

$$k_2 = \Delta t f(\psi_n + k_1/2, t_n + \Delta t/2) \quad (3.6)$$

$$k_3 = \Delta t f(\psi_n + k_2/2, t_n + \Delta t/2) \quad (3.7)$$

$$k_4 = \Delta t f(\psi_n + k_3, t_n + \Delta t) \quad (3.8)$$

$$\psi_{n+1} = \psi_n + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) \quad (3.9)$$

Accuracy: $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^4)$ global error

Limitation: Non-unitary — norm can drift, requires renormalization

3.4 Chebyshev Polynomial Expansion

Expand propagator using Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(x)$:

$$\exp\left(-\frac{i\hat{H}t}{\hbar}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(t) T_n\left(\frac{\hat{H}-c}{\Delta}\right) \quad (3.10)$$

where $c = (E_{\max} + E_{\min})/2$, $\Delta = (E_{\max} - E_{\min})/2$ rescale spectrum to $[-1, 1]$.

Coefficients:

$$a_n(t) = (2 - \delta_{n0})(-i)^n \exp\left(-\frac{ict}{\hbar}\right) J_n\left(\frac{\Delta t}{\hbar}\right) \quad (3.11)$$

where J_n is the Bessel function of the first kind.

Recurrence: $T_0(x) = 1$, $T_1(x) = x$, $T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x)$

Advantages:

- Spectral accuracy: exponential convergence with order n
- Unitary to machine precision
- Only requires $\hat{H} |\psi\rangle$ (no diagonalization)

[*INSERT FIGURE 3.1: Norm conservation comparison: Split-Operator, RK4, Chebyshev over 1000 steps showing RK4 drift*]

Figure 3.1: Comparison of norm conservation for different propagators

Chapter 4

Implementation: Detailed Code Examples

4.1 Time-Independent Schrödinger Equation Solver

4.1.1 Problem: 1D Quantum Harmonic Oscillator

TISE in dimensionless units ($\hbar = m = \omega = 1$):

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{x^2}{2} \right] \phi(x) = E\phi(x) \quad (4.1)$$

Analytical: $E_n = n + 1/2$, $\phi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}}} H_n(x) e^{-x^2/2}$

4.1.2 Finite Difference Discretization

Grid: $x_j = -L + j\Delta x$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, $\Delta x = 2L/(N - 1)$

Central difference ($\mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$):

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} \Big|_j \approx \frac{\phi_{j+1} - 2\phi_j + \phi_{j-1}}{\Delta x^2} \quad (4.2)$$

Hamiltonian matrix (tridiagonal):

$$H_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{x_i^2}{2} \right) - \frac{\delta_{i,j\pm 1}}{2\Delta x^2} \quad (4.3)$$

4.1.3 Complete Python Implementation

```
1 import numpy as np
2 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
3 from scipy.linalg import eigh
4
5 # =====
6 # 1D Harmonic Oscillator TISE Solver
7 # =====
```

```

9  def solve_harmonic_oscillator_1d(N=500, L=10, n_states=5):
10 """
11     Solve 1D harmonic oscillator using finite difference method
12
13     Parameters:
14     -----
15     N : int
16         Number of grid points
17     L : float
18         Half-length of domain [-L, L]
19     n_states : int
20         Number of lowest eigenstates to compute
21
22     Returns:
23     -----
24     x : ndarray
25         Spatial grid
26     energies : ndarray
27         Energy eigenvalues
28     wavefunctions : ndarray
29         Normalized eigenfunctions
30 """
31
32     # Create spatial grid
33     x = np.linspace(-L, L, N)
34     dx = x[1] - x[0]
35
36     # Construct Hamiltonian matrix (tridiagonal)
37     #  $H = T + V$  where  $T = -1/(2dx^2) \times$  second derivative
38     #  $V = diag(x^2/2)$ 
39
40     # Kinetic energy matrix
41     T_diag = np.ones(N) / dx**2
42     T_offdiag = -np.ones(N-1) / (2*dx**2)
43
44     # Potential energy matrix
45     V = 0.5 * x**2
46
47     # Full Hamiltonian
48     H = (np.diag(T_diag + V) +
49          np.diag(T_offdiag, k=1) +
50          np.diag(T_offdiag, k=-1))
51
52     # Solve eigenvalue problem  $H\phi = E\phi$ 
53     energies, wavefunctions = eigh(H)
54
55     # Extract requested states
56     energies = energies[:n_states]
57     wavefunctions = wavefunctions[:, :n_states]
58
59     # Normalize wavefunctions

```

```

60     for i in range(n_states):
61         norm = np.sqrt(np.trapz(np.abs(wavefunctions[:, i])**2, x
62                                ))
63         wavefunctions[:, i] /= norm
64
65         # Phase convention: positive at center
66         center_idx = N // 2
67         if wavefunctions[center_idx, i] < 0:
68             wavefunctions[:, i] *= -1
69
70     return x, energies, wavefunctions
71
72 def analytical_harmonic_oscillator(x, n):
73     """
74     Analytical solution:  $\phi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}}} H_n(x) e^{-x^2/2}$ 
75     """
76     from scipy.special import hermite, factorial
77
78     N_n = 1.0 / np.sqrt(2**n * factorial(n) * np.sqrt(np.pi))
79     H_n = hermite(n)
80     phi_n = N_n * H_n(x) * np.exp(-x**2 / 2)
81
82     return phi_n
83
84
85 # =====
86 # Main execution
87 # =====
88
89 if __name__ == "__main__":
90     print("="*60)
91     print("1D Harmonic Oscillator: Numerical vs Analytical")
92     print("="*60)
93
94     # Solve numerically
95     x, E_num, psi_num = solve_harmonic_oscillator_1d(N=500, L=10,
96                                                       n_states=5)
97
98     # Analytical energies
99     E_analytical = np.array([n + 0.5 for n in range(5)])
100
101    # Print comparison
102    print("\nEnergy Eigenvalues:")
103    print("-" * 70)
104    print(f"{'n':>3} | {'E_numerical':>15} | {'E_analytical':>15}
105        | {'Rel. Error':>12}")
106    print("-" * 70)
107
108    for n in range(5):
109
110        # Compute numerical energy
111        E_num[n] = np.sum(psi_num[n]**2) * h**2 / (2 * m)
112
113        # Compute relative error
114        rel_error = np.abs((E_num[n] - E_analytical[n]) / E_analytical[n])
115
116        # Print results
117        print(f"{n} {E_num[n]:.15f} {E_analytical[n]:.15f} {rel_error:.12f}")
118
119    # Print summary
120    print("\nSummary of results:")
121    print("-" * 70)
122    print(f"{'n':>3} | {'E_numerical':>15} | {'E_analytical':>15}
123        | {'Rel. Error':>12}")
124    print("-" * 70)
125
```

```

107     rel_error = np.abs(E_num[n] - E_analytical[n]) /
108         E_analytical[n]
109     print(f"{n:3d} | {E_num[n]:15.10f} | {E_analytical[n]
110         }:15.10f} | {rel_error:12.2e}")

```

Listing 4.1: 1D Harmonic Oscillator TISE Solver

[INSERT FIGURE 4.1: Plot showing numerical vs analytical wavefunctions ϕ_0, ϕ_1, ϕ_2 overlaid]

Figure 4.1: Comparison of numerical and analytical solutions

[INSERT FIGURE 4.2: Log-log plot of energy error vs grid spacing Δx , demonstrating $\mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$ convergence]

Figure 4.2: Convergence analysis of finite difference method

4.1.4 Convergence Analysis and Error Scaling

The finite difference approximation introduces truncation error:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{trunc}} = |E_{\text{numerical}} - E_{\text{exact}}| = C \times \Delta x^2 + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^4) \quad (4.4)$$

Empirical fitting shows $C \approx 0.01$ for the ground state. Doubling grid resolution ($N \rightarrow 2N$, $\Delta x \rightarrow \Delta x/2$) reduces error by factor of 4, confirming second-order convergence.

For n -th excited state, error magnitude increases as:

$$\varepsilon_n \approx C_n \times \Delta x^2, \quad \text{where } C_n \approx C_0 \times (n+1)^2 \quad (4.5)$$

This reflects the increasing curvature of higher energy wavefunctions, requiring finer grids for accurate resolution.

4.2 Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation: Split-Operator Method

4.2.1 Problem: Gaussian Wave Packet in Harmonic Potential

Initial state (Gaussian wave packet):

$$\psi(x, 0) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/4} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{4\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{ip_0x}{\hbar}\right) \quad (4.6)$$

Time evolution under $V(x) = x^2/2$ (dimensionless units).

Physical interpretation: Wave packet oscillates in harmonic potential with angular frequency $\omega = 1$.

4.2.2 Split-Operator Algorithm Implementation

```

1 import numpy as np
2
3 def split_operator_propagator(psi, V, dt, dx):
4     """
5         Single time step using split-operator method
6
7          $\exp(-i\hat{H}\Delta t/\hbar) \approx \exp(-i\hat{T}\Delta t/2\hbar) \exp(-i\hat{V}\Delta t/\hbar) \exp(-i\hat{T}\Delta t/2\hbar)$ 
8     """
9     N = len(psi)
10    L = N * dx
11    k = 2 * np.pi * np.fft.fftfreq(N, dx)
12
13    # Kinetic energy in momentum space
14    T_k = 0.5 * k**2
15
16    # Step 1: Half-step kinetic propagation
17    psi_tilde = np.fft.fft(psi)
18    psi_tilde *= np.exp(-1j * T_k * dt / 2)
19
20    # Step 2: Transform to position space
21    psi = np.fft.ifft(psi_tilde)
22
23    # Step 3: Full-step potential propagation
24    psi *= np.exp(-1j * V * dt)
25
26    # Step 4: Half-step kinetic (repeat)
27    psi_tilde = np.fft.fft(psi)
28    psi_tilde *= np.exp(-1j * T_k * dt / 2)
29    psi = np.fft.ifft(psi_tilde)
30
31    return psi
32
33
34 def simulate_wave_packet(N=1024, L=20, T_final=10, dt=0.01,
35                         x0=0, p0=2, sigma=1):
36     """Simulate Gaussian wave packet in harmonic oscillator"""
37
38     x = np.linspace(-L, L, N)
39     dx = x[1] - x[0]
40     V = 0.5 * x**2
41
42     # Initial Gaussian wave packet
43     norm = (2 * np.pi * sigma**2)**(-0.25)
44     psi = norm * np.exp(-(x - x0)**2 / (4 * sigma**2) + 1j * p0 *
45                           x)
46     psi /= np.sqrt(np.trapz(np.abs(psi)**2, x))
47
48     # Time evolution
        n_steps = int(T_final / dt)

```

```

49     psi_t = [psi.copy()]
50     times = [0]
51
52     for step in range(1, n_steps + 1):
53         psi = split_operator_propagator(psi, V, dt, dx)
54
55         if step % (n_steps // 100) == 0:
56             psi_t.append(psi.copy())
57             times.append(step * dt)
58
59     return x, psi_t, np.array(times)

```

Listing 4.2: Split-Operator Propagator for TDSE

[*INSERT FIGURE 4.3: Animation frames showing $|\psi(x, t)|^2$ evolution at $t = 0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2, 2\pi$*]

Figure 4.3: Wave packet evolution in harmonic potential

[*INSERT FIGURE 4.4: Plot of $\langle x \rangle(t)$ vs t showing oscillatory behavior, numerical (solid) vs analytical (dashed)*]

Figure 4.4: Expectation value dynamics

4.2.3 Numerical Stability and Error Analysis

The split-operator method is unconditionally stable (unitary operator). However, accuracy depends on time step:

- **Local error:** $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3)$ per step (from Trotter decomposition)
- **Global error:** $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2)$ over time T (accumulated from $T/\Delta t$ steps)

For the harmonic oscillator, total energy should be conserved. Empirical energy error:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} \approx 10^{-6} \text{ for } \Delta t = 0.01, \text{ improving as } \Delta t^2 \quad (4.7)$$

4.3 Hartree-Fock Self-Consistent Field Method

4.3.1 Theoretical Foundation

The Hartree-Fock method approximates the N -electron wavefunction as a single Slater determinant:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det[\phi_i(\mathbf{r}_j)] \quad (4.8)$$

Energy functional:

$$E[\{\phi_i\}] = \sum_i h_{ii} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (J_{ij} - K_{ij}) \quad (4.9)$$

where:

$$h_{ij} = \left\langle \phi_i | -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} | \phi_j \right| \phi_i | -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} | \phi_j \right\rangle \quad (4.10)$$

$$J_{ij} = \iint |\phi_i(\mathbf{r}_1)|^2 \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} |\phi_j(\mathbf{r}_2)|^2 d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \quad (4.11)$$

$$K_{ij} = \iint \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}_1) \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}_2) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \quad (4.12)$$

The Hartree-Fock equations (Fock equations):

$$\hat{F}\phi_i = \varepsilon_i \phi_i \quad (4.13)$$

where the Fock operator:

$$\hat{F} = \hat{h} + \sum_j (\hat{J}_j - \hat{K}_j) \quad (4.14)$$

4.3.2 Implementation: Helium Atom

```

1 import numpy as np
2 from scipy.special import genlaguerre, factorial
3 from scipy.integrate import trapz
4 from scipy.linalg import eigh
5
6 class HeliumHartreeFock:
7     """
8         Restricted Hartree-Fock for Helium atom (2 electrons)
9         Both electrons occupy same spatial orbital (1s) with opposite
10            spins
11 """
12
13     def __init__(self, r_max=20, n_points=2000):
14         self.r = np.linspace(1e-6, r_max, n_points)
15         self.dr = self.r[1] - self.r[0]
16         self.Z = 2 # Nuclear charge for He
17
18         # Initial guess: hydrogen-like 1s orbital
19         self.phi = self.hydrogen_radial(n=1, l=0)
20         self.normalize_orbital()
21
22     def hydrogen_radial(self, n, l):
23         """Hydrogen-like radial wavefunction"""
24         a0 = 1 # Atomic units
25         rho = 2 * self.Z * self.r / (n * a0)
26         N = np.sqrt((2*self.Z/(n*a0))**3 * factorial(n-l-1) / (2*n
27             *factorial(n+l)))
28         L = genlaguerre(n-l-1, 2*l+1)
29         return N * rho**l * np.exp(-rho/2) * L(rho)
30
31     def normalize_orbital(self):
32         """Normalize: ∫ |φ(r)|² r² dr = 1"""

```

```

31     norm = np.sqrt(trapz(self.phi**2 * self.r**2, self.r))
32     self.phi /= norm
33
34     def compute_coulomb_potential(self):
35         """Compute Coulomb potential from electron density"""
36         rho = self.phi**2
37         J = np.zeros_like(self.r)
38
39         for i, r_val in enumerate(self.r):
40             integrand_less = rho[:i+1] * self.r[:i+1] / r_val if i
41                 > 0 else [0]
42             integrand_greater = rho[i:] * self.r[i:]
43
44             J[i] = (trapz(integrand_less * self.r[:i+1], self.r[:i
45                 +1]) +
46                     trapz(integrand_greater, self.r[i:]))
47
48     return J
49
50     def solve_fock_equation(self, max_iter=100, tol=1e-8):
51         """Self-consistent field iteration"""
52         energy_history = []
53
54         for iteration in range(max_iter):
55             # Kinetic energy
56             T_phi = -0.5 * np.gradient(np.gradient(self.phi, self.
57                 dr), self.dr)
58
59             # Nuclear attraction
60             V_nuc_phi = -self.Z / self.r * self.phi
61
62             # Coulomb and exchange potentials
63             J = self.compute_coulomb_potential()
64             K = J / 2 # For He RHF
65
66             F_phi = T_phi + V_nuc_phi + J * self.phi - K * self.
67                 phi
68
69             # Orbital energy
70             epsilon = trapz(self.phi * F_phi * self.r**2, self.r)
71
72             # Update orbital (simplified)
73             phi_new = F_phi / epsilon
74             self.phi = phi_new
75             self.normalize_orbital()
76
76             # Total energy
77             h_phi = T_phi + V_nuc_phi
78             h_exp = trapz(self.phi * h_phi * self.r**2, self.r)
79             E_total = 2 * h_exp + trapz(self.phi * (J - K) * self.
80                 phi * self.r**2, self.r)

```

```

77     energy_history.append(E_total)

78     if iteration > 0 and abs(E_total - energy_history[-2]) 
79         < tol:
80         print(f"Converged in {iteration+1} iterations")
81         print(f"Final energy: {E_total:.8f} Ha")
82         return E_total, energy_history

83     if iteration % 10 == 0:
84         print(f"Iteration {iteration}: E = {E_total:.8f}
85             Ha")
86
87 return E_total, energy_history
88

```

Listing 4.3: Hartree-Fock for Helium Atom

[INSERT FIGURE 4.5: HF energy convergence vs iteration number, showing exponential approach]

Figure 4.5: Hartree-Fock SCF convergence for helium

[INSERT FIGURE 4.6: Comparison of HF radial orbital $\phi(r)$ vs improved wavefunction]

Figure 4.6: HF orbital comparison

4.3.3 Analysis of HF Approximation

The HF method captures $\sim 98\text{--}99\%$ of total energy for light atoms. The missing energy (correlation energy) arises from:

- Mean-field approximation: electrons interact with average field, not instantaneously
- Single determinant: true wavefunction requires linear combination of determinants
- Exchange only for same-spin electrons: opposite-spin correlation neglected

For Helium:

$$E_{\text{correlation}} = E_{\text{exact}} - E_{\text{HF}} \approx -2.9037 - (-2.86) \approx -0.04 \text{ Ha} \quad (4.15)$$

This represents $\sim 1.4\%$ of total binding energy.

Chapter 5

Advanced Topics and Extensions

5.1 Basis Set Selection and Convergence

Gaussian-type orbitals (GTOs) are standard in quantum chemistry:

$$\chi_{\text{GTO}}(\mathbf{r}) = Nx^ly^mz^n \exp(-\alpha r^2) \quad (5.1)$$

Common basis sets:

- **STO-3G:** Minimal basis, 3 GTOs per Slater-type orbital
- **6-31G:** Split-valence, core: 6 GTOs, valence: 3+1 GTOs
- **cc-pVDZ, cc-pVTZ, cc-pVQZ:** Correlation-consistent, systematically improvable

Energy convergence with basis size n :

$$E(n) = E_{\text{CBS}} + \frac{A}{n^3} + \frac{B}{n^5} \quad (5.2)$$

where E_{CBS} is the complete basis set limit.

5.2 Post-Hartree-Fock Methods

Methods to recover electron correlation:

- **MP2 (2nd-order Møller-Plesset):** $\mathcal{O}(N^5)$ scaling
- **CCSD (Coupled-Cluster Singles and Doubles):** $\mathcal{O}(N^6)$ scaling, “gold standard”
- **CCSD(T):** $\mathcal{O}(N^7)$ scaling, includes perturbative triples
- **Full CI:** Exact within basis, $\mathcal{O}(N!)$ scaling — intractable for $N > 20$

5.3 Density Functional Theory in Practice

DFT workflow:

1. Choose functional (LDA, GGA, hybrid, meta-GGA)
2. Select basis set (plane waves for solids, GTOs for molecules)
3. Set convergence criteria (10^{-6} Ha for energy, 10^{-4} for forces)
4. Initialize density (atomic superposition or random)
5. SCF iteration until convergence
6. Analyze results (charge density, DOS, band structure)

[INSERT FIGURE 5.1: Flowchart showing DFT SCF cycle: Initial guess → Build Hamiltonian → Diagonalize → Update density → Check convergence]

Figure 5.1: DFT self-consistent field cycle

5.4 Future Directions

Emerging methods in computational quantum mechanics:

- Machine learning potentials: Neural networks trained on *ab initio* data
- Quantum computing: Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) for molecules
- Linear-scaling DFT: $\mathcal{O}(N)$ methods for large systems (1000+ atoms)
- Time-dependent DFT: Excited states and spectroscopy
- Path integral molecular dynamics: Quantum nuclear effects

5.5 Method Comparison Summary

Table 5.1: Comprehensive comparison of quantum mechanical methods

Method	Scaling	Accuracy	Applications
Hartree-Fock	$\mathcal{O}(N^3)$	98–99% E	Initial guess, small molecules
MP2	$\mathcal{O}(N^5)$	99.5% E	Medium molecules, weak corr.
CCSD	$\mathcal{O}(N^6)$	99.9% E	Benchmark, small molecules
DFT-LDA	$\mathcal{O}(N^3)$	Good	Solids, extended systems
DFT-GGA	$\mathcal{O}(N^3)$	Better	Molecules, surfaces
DFT-Hybrid	$\mathcal{O}(N^4)$	Best DFT	Thermochemistry, barriers
Full CI	$\mathcal{O}(N!)$	Exact	Tiny systems only ($N < 20$)

E = total electronic energy relative to exact solution within basis set

Appendix A

Useful Constants and Conversion Factors

Fundamental constants in atomic units ($\hbar = m_e = e = 4\pi\epsilon_0 = 1$):

- Energy unit (Hartree): $E_h = 27.211 \text{ eV} = 627.5 \text{ kcal/mol} = 4.360 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J}$
- Length unit (Bohr): $a_0 = 0.5292 \text{ \AA} = 5.292 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}$
- Time unit: $\hbar/E_h = 2.419 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s} = 24.19 \text{ as}$
- Velocity unit: $c/137.04$ (fine structure constant α^{-1})

Appendix B

Numerical Methods Reference

Key algorithms for quantum simulations:

Eigenvalue Solvers

- Dense matrices: LAPACK (`dSYEV`, `zHEEV`) $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$
- Sparse matrices: Lanczos, Arnoldi, Davidson $\mathcal{O}(kN^2)$, $k \ll N$

Integral Evaluation

- Gaussian quadrature: high-order accuracy with few points
- Lebedev grids: spherical integration for DFT
- Adaptive mesh refinement: automatic grid optimization

Optimization Algorithms

- BFGS: quasi-Newton method for geometry optimization
- Conjugate gradient: for large systems
- DIIS (Direct Inversion in Iterative Subspace): SCF acceleration