

# EQUIVALENCY OF PROXIMAL COMPACT AND CORSON COMPACT IN UNIFORM SPACES

GARY GRUENHAGE AND STEVEN CLONTZ

**ABSTRACT.** A common generalization of metric spaces is the idea of a uniform space, determined by a uniformity of entourages on the diagonal of the square. Proximal uniform spaces are those for which the first player has a winning strategy in this  $\omega$ -length game due to Bell: the first player chooses an entourage of the space, and her opponent chooses a point close to the point chosen in the previous round, with respect to the entourage chosen in the previous round. As proximal spaces are preserved under  $\Sigma$ -products, Nyikos has observed that every Corson compact space, a compact space embeddable in the  $\Sigma$ -product of real lines, must then be proximal. He asked if that implication may also be reversed. We answer his question positively, by way of defining a stronger version of the proximal game which is perfect-information equivalent to Bell's original game for uniformly locally compact spaces.

Jocelyn Bell introduced the concept of proximal spaces in her doctoral dissertation while working on the so-called uniform box product problems, due to her advisor Scott Williams: is the uniform box product of compact spaces normal, collectionwise normal, or paracompact? Proximal spaces, such as the one-point compactification  $\omega_1^* = \omega_1 \cup \{\infty\}$  of the discrete space of cardinality  $\omega_1$ , are defined to be the spaces for which the first player in the proximal game played on that space has a winning strategy. Bell has shown that proximal spaces have strong preservation properties, particularly, the  $\Sigma$ -product of proximal spaces is itself proximal [1]. This mirrors the analogous theorem for metric spaces; in fact, every metric space is easily seen to be proximal.

In [4], Peter Nyikos observed that compact subspaces of the  $\Sigma$ -product of real lines, known as Corson compacts, must be proximal, since the proximal property is preserved under  $\Sigma$ -products. He left open the question as to whether any proximal compact must then be Corson compact. Using a characterization of Corson compact due to Gruenhage in [3], we can answer that question in the affirmative.

## 1. DEFINITIONS AND PROPERTIES OF UNIFORM SPACES

In this paper, all spaces are assumed to be topological spaces induced by a uniformity. We relate some definitions and properties of uniform spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** A **uniform space** is a pair  $\langle X, \mathcal{D} \rangle$  where  $X$  is a set, and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a **uniformity**. A uniformity is a filter on subsets of  $X^2$ , called **entourages**, such that for each entourage  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ :

- $D$  is reflexive, i.e., the diagonal  $\Delta = \{\langle x, x \rangle : x \in X\} \subseteq D$ .
- Its inverse  $D^{-1} = \{\langle y, x \rangle : \langle x, y \rangle \in D\} \in \mathcal{D}$ .

- There exists  $\frac{1}{2}D \in \mathcal{D}$  such that

$$2\left(\frac{1}{2}D\right) = \frac{1}{2}D \circ \frac{1}{2}D = \left\{ \langle x, z \rangle : \exists y \left( \langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, z \rangle \in \frac{1}{2}D \right) \right\} \subseteq D$$

(Let  $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}D$  be shorthand for  $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2^n}D)$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $\frac{1}{2}D$  is always symmetric, that is,  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \frac{1}{2}D \Leftrightarrow \langle y, x \rangle \in \frac{1}{2}D$ .)

**Definition 1.2.** The **uniform topology** induced by a uniformity declares a set  $U$  to be open if for every  $x \in U$ , there is some  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $x \in D[x] = \{y : \langle x, y \rangle \in D\} \subseteq U$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Every completely regular topology may be induced by a uniformity, and every uniform topology is completely regular.*

**Theorem 1.4.** *For every entourage  $D$ , there is an open symmetric entourage  $E \subseteq D$ . That is,  $\langle x, y \rangle \in E \Leftrightarrow \langle y, x \rangle \in E$ , and  $E$  is open in  $X^2$  with the usual product topology induced by the uniform topology on  $X$ .*

**Proposition 1.5.** *If  $D$  is an open entourage, then for all  $x \in X$ ,  $D[x]$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$ .*

*Proof.* For the proofs of these, see [2]. □

**Proposition 1.6.** *If  $X$  is a uniform space, then for all  $x \in X$  and open symmetric entourages  $D$ :*

$$\frac{1}{2}D[x] \subseteq \overline{\frac{1}{2}D[x]} \subseteq D[x]$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bell, Jocelyn. *An Infinite Game with Topological Consequences*. etc.
- [2] Engelking, Ryszard. *General Topology*. etc.
- [3] Gruenhage, Gary. *Covering properties on  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ ,  $W$ -sets, and compact subsets of  $\Sigma$ -products*.  
Topology Appl. 17 (1984), no. 3, 287304.
- [4] Nyikos, Peter. *Proximal and Semi-Proximal Spaces*. etc.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL 36830  
*E-mail address:* `gruengf@auburn.edu`  
*URL:* `www.auburn.edu/~gruengf`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL 36830  
*E-mail address:* `steven.clontz@auburn.edu`  
*URL:* `www.stevenclontz.com`