SELECTION GAMES AND ARHANGELSKII'S CONVERGENCE PRINCIPLES

STEVEN CLONTZ

Abstract. We prove the things.

Definition 1. Say a collection \mathcal{A} is sequence-like if it satisfies the following for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

1. Clontz results

• $|A| \geq \aleph_0$.

2

3

- If $A' \subseteq A$ and $|A'| \ge \aleph_0$, then $A' \in \mathcal{A}$.
- Definition 2. Let Γ_X be the collection of open γ -covers \mathcal{U} of X, that is, infinite open covers of X such that for each $x \in X$, $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \in U\}$ is cofinite in \mathcal{U} .
- Definition 3. Let $\Gamma_{X,x}$ be the collection of non-trivial sequences $S \subseteq X$ converging to x, that is, infinite subsets of X such that for each neighborhood U of x, $S \cap U$ is cofinite in S.
- It follows that $\Gamma_X, \Gamma_{X,x}$ are both sequence-like.
- Theorem 4. Let \mathcal{B} be sequence-like. Then $\alpha_1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ holds if and only if I γ or $G_{cf}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$.
- 17 Proof. We first assume $\alpha_1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ and let $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for $n < \omega$ define a predetermined strategy for I. By $\alpha_1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$, we immediately obtain $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $|A_n \setminus B| < \aleph_0$. 19 Thus $B_n = A_n \cap B$ is a cofinite choice from A_n , and $B' = \bigcup \{B_n : n < \omega\}$ is an 20 infinite subset of B, so $B' \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus II may defeat I by choosing $B_n \subseteq A_n$ each 21 round, witnessing I $\not \cap G_{cf}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$.
- On the other hand, let I $\uparrow G_{cf}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. Given $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for $n < \omega$, we note that
- II may choose a cofinite subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$ such that $B = \bigcup \{B_n : n < \omega\} \in \mathcal{B}$. Then B witnesses $\alpha_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ since $|A_n \setminus B| \leq |A_n \setminus B_n| \leq \aleph_0$.
- Theorem 5. Let A, B be sequence-like. Then $\alpha_2(A, B)$ holds if and only if I γ pre $G_1(A, B)$.
- 27 Proof. We first assume $\alpha_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and let $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for $n < \omega$ define a predetermined
- strategy for \mathscr{I} . We may apply $\alpha_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ to choose $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $|A_n \cap B| \geq \aleph_0$.
- We may then choose $a_n \in (A_n \cap B) \setminus \{a_i : i < n\}$ for each $n < \omega$. It follows that
- 30 $B' = \{a_n : n < \omega\} \in \mathcal{B} \text{ since } B' \text{ is an infinite subset of } B \in \mathcal{B}; \text{ therefore } A_n \text{ does } B' \in \mathcal{B} \text{ since }$
- not define a winning predetermined strategy for I.

```
j < \omega \} \subseteq A_n such that j < k implies a_{n,j} \neq a_{n,k}, and then let A_{n,m} = \{a_{n,j} : m \leq a_{n,k} \}
j < \omega, noting A_{n,m} \in \mathcal{A} since A_{n,m} is an infinite subset of A_n \in \mathcal{A}. Finally choose
some \theta: \omega \to \omega such that |\theta^{\leftarrow}(n)| = \aleph_0 for each n < \omega.
    Since playing A_{\theta(m),m} during round m does not define a winning strategy for I in
G_1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}), II may choose x_m \in A_{\theta(m),m} such that B = \{x_m : m < \omega\} \in \mathcal{B}. Choose
i_m < \omega for each m < \omega such that x_m = a_{\theta(m),i_m}, noting i_m \ge m. It follows that
A_n \cap B \supseteq \{a_{\theta(m),i_m} : m \in \theta^{\leftarrow}(n)\}. Since for each m \in \theta^{\leftarrow}(n) there exists M \in \mathcal{A}
\theta^{\leftarrow}(n) such that m \leq i_m < M \leq i_M, and therefore a_{\theta(m),i_m} \neq a_{\theta(m),i_M} = a_{\theta(M),i_M},
we have shown that A_n \cap B is infinite. Thus B witnesses \alpha_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}).
Theorem 6. Let A, B be sequence-like. Then \alpha_4(A, B) holds if and only if I \gamma
G_{<2}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) if and only if I \underset{pre}{\uparrow} G_{fin}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}).
Proof. We first assume \alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) and let A_n \in \mathcal{A} for n < \omega define a predetermined
strategy for I in G_{\leq 2}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}). We then choose A'_n = \{a_{n,j} : j < \omega\} \subseteq A_n such that j < k implies a_{n,j} \neq a_{n,k}, and then let A''_n = A'_n \setminus \{a_{i,j} : i,j < n\}, noting A''_n \in \mathcal{A}
since it is an infinite subset of A_n.
    By applying \alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) to A''_n, we obtain B \in \mathcal{B} such that A''_n \cap B \neq \emptyset for infintely-
many n < \omega. We then let F_n = \emptyset when A''_n \cap B = \emptyset, and F_n = \{x_n\} for some
x_n \in A_n'' \cap B otherwise. Then we will have that B' = \bigcup \{F_n : n < \omega\} \subseteq B belongs
to \mathcal{B} once we show that B' is infinite. To see this, for m \leq n < \omega note that either
F_m is empty (and we let j_m=0) or F_m=\{a_{m,j_m}\} for some j_m\geq m; choose N<\omega
such that j_m < N for all m \le n and F_N = \{x_N\}. Thus F_m \ne F_N for all m \le n
since x_N \notin \{a_{i,j}: i,j < N\}. Thus II may defeat the predetermined strategy A_n by
playing F_n each round.
    Since I \uparrow G_{<2}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) immediately implies I \uparrow G_{fin}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}), we assume the latter.
Given A_n \in \mathcal{A} for n < \omega, we note this defines a (non-winning) predetermined
strategy for I, so II may choose F_n \in [A_n]^{<\aleph_0} such that B = \bigcup \{F_n : n < \omega\} \in \mathcal{B}.
Since B is infinite, we note F_n \neq \emptyset for infinitely-many n < \omega. Thus B witnesses
\alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) since A_n \cap B \supseteq F_n \neq \emptyset for infinitely-many n < \omega.
```

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36688

Email address: sclontz@southalabama.edu