

Chapter 1

Type theory

Exercises

Exercise 1.1. Given functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$, define their **composite** $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$. Show that we have $h \circ (g \circ f) \equiv (h \circ g) \circ f$.

Exercise 1.2. Derive the recursion principle for products $\text{rec}_{A \times B}$ using only the projections, and verify that the definitional equalities are valid. Do the same for Σ -types.

Exercise 1.3. Derive the induction principle for products $\text{ind}_{A \times B}$, using only the projections and the propositional uniqueness principle $\text{uniq}_{A \times B}$. Verify that the definitional equalities are valid. Generalize $\text{uniq}_{A \times B}$ to Σ -types, and do the same for Σ -types. (*This requires concepts from ??.*)

Exercise 1.4. Assuming as given only the *iterator* for natural numbers

$$\text{iter} : \prod_{C:\mathcal{U}} C \rightarrow (C \rightarrow C) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C$$

with the defining equations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, 0) &::= c_0, \\ \text{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, \text{succ}(n)) &::= c_s(\text{iter}(C, c_0, c_s, n)), \end{aligned}$$

derive a function having the type of the recursor $\text{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Show that the defining equations of the recursor hold propositionally for this function, using the induction principle for \mathbb{N} .

Exercise 1.5. Show that if we define $A + B ::= \sum_{(x:\mathbb{2})} \text{rec}_{\mathbb{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$, then we can give a definition of ind_{A+B} for which the definitional equalities stated in ?? hold.

Exercise 1.6. Show that if we define $A \times B ::= \prod_{(x:\mathbb{2})} \text{rec}_{\mathbb{2}}(\mathcal{U}, A, B, x)$, then we can give a definition of $\text{ind}_{A \times B}$ for which the definitional equalities stated in ?? hold propositionally (i.e. using equality types). (*This requires the function extensionality axiom, which is introduced in ??.*)

Exercise 1.7. Give an alternative derivation of $\text{ind}'_{=A}$ from $\text{ind}_{=A}$ which avoids the use of universes. (*This is easiest using concepts from later chapters.*)

Exercise 1.8. Define multiplication and exponentiation using $\text{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Verify that $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0, \times, 1)$ is a semiring using only $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}$. You will probably also need to use symmetry and transitivity of equality, ????

Exercise 1.9. Define the type family $\text{Fin} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ mentioned at the end of ??, and the dependent function $\text{fmax} : \prod_{(n:\mathbb{N})} \text{Fin}(n+1)$ mentioned in ??.

Exercise 1.10. Show that the Ackermann function $\text{ack} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is definable using only $\text{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ack}(0, n) &\equiv \text{succ}(n), \\ \text{ack}(\text{succ}(m), 0) &\equiv \text{ack}(m, 1), \\ \text{ack}(\text{succ}(m), \text{succ}(n)) &\equiv \text{ack}(m, \text{ack}(\text{succ}(m), n)). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.11. Show that for any type A , we have $\neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$.

Exercise 1.12. Using the propositions as types interpretation, derive the following tautologies.

- (i) If A , then (if B then A).
- (ii) If A , then not (not A).
- (iii) If (not A or not B), then not (A and B).

Exercise 1.13. Using propositions-as-types, derive the double negation of the principle of excluded middle, i.e. prove *not (not (P or not P))*.

Exercise 1.14. Why do the induction principles for identity types not allow us to construct a function $f : \prod_{(x:A)} \prod_{(p:x=x)} (p = \text{refl}_x)$ with the defining equation

$$f(x, \text{refl}_x) :\equiv \text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x} \quad ?$$

Exercise 1.15. Show that indiscernability of identicals follows from path induction.

Exercise 1.16. Show that addition of natural numbers is commutative: $\prod_{(i,j:\mathbb{N})} (i + j = j + i)$.