OPTIMIZING DTW-BASED AUDIO-TO-MIDI ALIGNMENT AND MATCHING

Colin Raffel and Daniel P. W. Ellis

LabROSA Columbia University New York, NY

ABSTRACT

Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) has proven to be an extremely effective method for both aligning and matching recordings of songs to corresponding MIDI transcriptions. The performance of DTW-based approaches in this domain is heavily effected by system design choices, such as the representation used for the audio and MIDI data and DTW's adjustable hyperparameters. We propose a method for optimizing the design of DTW-based alignment and matching systems. Our technique uses Bayesian optimization to tune system design and hyperparameters over a synthetically created dataset of audio and MIDI pairs. We then perform an exhaustive search over DTW score normalization techniques in order to determine an optimal method for reporting a reliable alignment confidence score, which is necessary for matching tasks. Using our approach, we are able to create a DTW-based system which is conceptually simple and highly accurate at both alignment and matching. We also verified that our system achieves high performance in a large-scale qualitative evaluation of results on real-world data.

Index Terms— Dynamic Time Warping, Audio to MIDI Alignment, Sequence Retrieval, Bayesian Optimization, Hyperparameter Optimization

1. INTRODUCTION

MIDI files can provide a bounty of ground-truth data for content-based music information retrieval (MIR) tasks, including beat/bar tracking, onset detection, key estimation, automatic transcription, and score-informed source separation [1, 2, 3, 4]. However, in order to utilize this data, a given MIDI file must first be aligned in time to a recording of the piece it is a transcription of. A related problem is MIDI-to-audio matching, where we are given separate collections of MIDI and audio files and must determine which audio file (if any) each MIDI file corresponds to. This problem is motivated by the dearth of metadata information typically available in MIDI files, making any kind of text-based matching infeasible [5]. With or without useful metadata, it is beneficial to be able to produce a confidence score for alignment which communicates how well the content in a MIDI

file matches a given audio file, which can help determine the transcription quality.

Despite these tasks' complementary nature, most previous research has focused on systems meant for either alignment or matching. In the context of MIDI-to-audio alignment, a wide variety of techniques have been used, which typically involve determining a correspondence between discrete times in the audio and MIDI file. While approaches inspired by edit distance metrics such as Smith-Waterman [1] and Needleman-Wunsch [6] have been used, arguably the most common approach is Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) [7]. First proposed for comparing speech utterances [8], DTW uses dynamic programming to find a monotonic alignment such that the total distance between aligned feature vectors is minimized. This property makes it well-suited for audio-to-MIDI alignment when we expect that the MIDI is an accurate continuous transcription (i.e. without out-of-sequence or incorrect sections).

A nice property of DTW is its appropriateness as a measure of the "similarity" between two sequences. This is thanks to the fact that the total distance between pairs of feature vectors in the DTW-based alignment can be used reliability as a distance metric. In fact, DTW has seen extensive use solely as a way of measuring sequence similarity in the data mining literature [9]. In the context of MIDI and audio files, [10] evaluated the effectiveness DTW distance to match a small collection of Beatles MIDIs to recordings of Beatles songs.

Despite its widespread use, DTW's success can be highly dependent on its exact formulation as well as system design choices such as the feature representation and local distance metric used. To our knowledge, there has been no large-scale quantitative comparison of different DTW-based alignment systems. This is likely due to the fact that evaluating its performance would require either a collection of MIDI and audio pairs for which the correct alignment is already known (which does not exist) or manual audition and rating of the output of the system (which is time-consuming). The present work aims to remedy this and produce a DTW-based alignment system which is optimal in terms of both alignment and matching accuracy.

After giving an overview of typical DTW-based alignment systems (Section 2), we propose a method for creating a synthetic dataset of MIDI-audio pairs by applying realistic corruptions to MIDI files, which allows us to know a priori the correct alignment (Section 3). We then tune hyperparameters for alignment using Bayesian optimization (Section 4) and for confidence reporting using an exhaustive search (Section 5). Finally, we perform a large-scale qualitative evaluation of our proposed alignment system on real-world data (Section 6) and discuss possible avenues for improvement (Section 7).

2. DTW-BASED ALIGNMENT

Suppose we are given two sequences of feature vectors $X \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times D}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$, where D is the feature dimensionality and M and N are the number of feature vectors in X and Y respectively. Dynamic Time Warping produces two sequences $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^L$ which define the optimal alignment between X and Y, such that p[i] = n, q[i] = m implies that the mth feature vector in X should be aligned to the nth in Y. In DTW, finding p and q involves solving the following minimization problem:

$$p, q = \arg\min_{p, q} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \|X[p[i]] - Y[q[i]]\|_{2}^{2} + \Phi(i)$$

where $\Phi(i)$ is ϕ when p[i]=p[i-1] or q[i]=q[i-1] and 0 otherwise. $\phi\geq 0$ is a constant which is used to discourage "non-diagonal moves", i.e. indices in the path where one feature vector in one sequence is mapped to multiple vectors in the other. This minimization problem can be solved in $\mathcal{O}(MN)$ time using dynamic programming [8]. Once p and q are found, the original MIDI file can be adjusted so that events which occur at $t_X[p[i]]$ are moved to $t_Y[q[i]]$, where $t_X\in\mathbb{R}^M, t_Y\in\mathbb{R}^N$ are the times corresponding to the feature vectors in X and Y respectively.

Traditionally, DTW is constrained such that p and q span the entirety of X and Y, i.e. p[1]=q[1]=1 and p[L]=N; q[L]=M. However, audio-to-MIDI alignment typically allows subsequence matching (a desireable case, for example, when a MIDI file is a transcription of a portion of a song), where instead we only require that either $gN \leq p[L] \leq N$ or $gM \leq q[L] \leq M$. $g \in [0,1]$ (the "gully") is a parameter which determines the proportion of the subsequence which must be successfully matched. In addition, the path is occasionally further constrained so that

$$q[i] - p[i] + R \le N, p[i] - q[i] + R \le M$$
 (1)

for $i \in \{1, ..., L\}$ where $R = g \min(M, N)$ is the "radius", sometimes called the Sakoe-Chiba band [8]. A further constraint on p and q is monotonicity, i.e. that

$$p[i+1] \ge p[i], q[i+1] \ge q[i] \ \forall i$$

This can be enforced by allowing a specific "step pattern"; while many exist [7, 8], to our knowledge the only pattern

used in audio to MIDI alignment simply requires that

$$(p[i+1], q[i+1]) \in \begin{cases} (p[i]+1, q[i]+1) \\ (p[i], q[i]+1) \\ (p[i]+1, q[i]) \end{cases}$$

From the above definition, it is clear that there are many design choices to be made when performing DTW-based audio-to-MIDI alignment:

Feature representation (X and Y): Prior to alignment, audio and MIDI sequences must be converted to a common mid-level representation. This is typically done by synthesizing the MIDI file to obtain an audio signal and computing a common spectral transform of the audio recording and synthesized MIDI audio. Chroma vectors, which represent the amount of energy in each semitone summed across octaves [11], are a common choice [10, 1]. A constant-Q transform (CQT), which represents the amount of energy in logarithmically spaced bins [12] has also been used [5, 13, 14]. Occasionally, log-magnitude features are used in order to more closely mimic human perception [5, 14, 2]. In [2] and [10] it is noted that Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients result in poor performance for music signals because they discard pitch information.

Time scale (t_X and t_Y): Feature vectors are frequently computed over short, overlapping frames of audio [13, 2, 10]. Note that the spacing between feature vectors must be sufficiently small compared to the auditory temporal resolution, e.g. tens of milliseconds [15]. Occasionally, beat-synchronous feature vectors are used [5, 14], which can dramatically reduce computation time and can produce perceptually accurate alignments provided that the beat tracking is correct.

Normalization: In [16], it is argued that z-scoring (standardizing) the feature sequences is critical for data mining applications of DTW, which was used in audio-to-MIDI alignment in [10]. In addition, various normalizations have been applied to the feature vectors in X and Y before computing their local distances. A common choice is normalizing by each vector by its L^2 norm, which effectively results in the local distance being the cosine distance [2, 1, 5, 14].

Penalty (ϕ) : In many applications of DTW to audio-to-MIDI alignment, no additive penalty is used, which corresponds to setting $\phi=0$. However, as long as the MIDI and audio files have consistent tempi, non-diagonal moves should be discouraged. In addition, it has been argued [5] that when the constraint p[1]=1,q[1]=1 is not enforced (which allows subsequence alignment), an additive penalty can be crucial to ensure that the entire subsequence is used, and so ϕ is set to the 90th percentile of the distances $\|X[n]-Y[m]\|_2^2$ for $m\in\{1,\ldots,M\}; n\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$. In [14], a fixed value of $\phi=.5$ is used.

Gully (g) and band path constraint: As with ϕ , the "gully" and band path constraint are often omitted, which corre-

sponds to setting g=1. A value of g which is close to 1 will afford some tolerance to the possibility that the beginning or end of the MIDI transcription is incorrect (e.g. a fade-out or lead-in), so [14] sets g=.7 and [5] sets g=.95. In data mining applications [17] it is argued that the band radius path constraint both reduces computational complexity and results in more reliable alignments by avoiding paths with many of non-diagonal moves.

3. CREATING A SYNTHETIC ALIGNMENT DATASET

Collection of MIDI files

"Easy" corruption, for alignment accuracy

"Hard" corruption, for matching accuracy

4. OPTIMIZING DTW-BASED ALIGNMENT

Short overview of Bayesian optimization

Parameter space (including multiplicative penalty)

Random trials

Discussion of best-performing aligners; also best aligner with beats

5. OPTIMIZING CONFIDENCE REPORTING

Grid search

Statistical tests used

Choosing the best alignment scheme (with algorithm box?)

6. QUALITATIVE EVALUATION

Data preparation Evaluation criteria Results

7. AVENUES FOR IMPROVEMENT

Augmentation with MUDA, partial alignments, robustness to missing instruments, re-training specifically on subsequences

8. REFERENCES

- [1] Sebastian Ewert, Meinard Müller, Verena Konz, Daniel Müllensiefen, and Geraint A. Wiggins, "Towards crossversion harmonic analysis of music," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 770–782, 2012.
- [2] Robert J. Turetsky and Daniel P. W. Ellis, "Ground-truth transcriptions of real music from force-aligned MIDI syntheses," pp. 135–141, 2003.

- [3] Sebastian Ewert, Bryan Pardo, Mathias Muller, and Mark D. Plumbley, "Score-informed source separation for musical audio recordings: An overview," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 116–124, 2014.
- [4] Colin Raffel and Daniel P. W. Ellis, "Intuitive analysis, creation and manipulation of MIDI data with pretty_midi," in *Proceedings of the 15th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference Late Breaking and Demo Papers*, 2014.
- [5] Colin Raffel and Daniel P. W. Ellis, "Large-scale content-based matching of MIDI and audio files," in *Proceedings of the 16th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference*, 2015.
- [6] Maarten Grachten, Martin Gasser, Andreas Arzt, and Gerhard Widmer, "Automatic alignment of music performances with structural differences," in *Proceedings of the 14th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference*, 2013, pp. 607–612.
- [7] Meinard Müller, "Dynamic time warping," *Information retrieval for music and motion*, pp. 69–84, 2007.
- [8] Hiroaki Sakoe and Seibi Chiba, "Dynamic programming algorithm optimization for spoken word recognition," *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 43–49, 1978.
- [9] Donald J Berndt and James Clifford, "Using dynamic time warping to find patterns in time series.," in *AAAI Workshop on Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, 1994, vol. 10, pp. 359–370.
- [10] Ning Hu, Roger B. Dannenberg, and George Tzanetakis, "Polyphonic audio matching and alignment for music retrieval," in *IEEE Workshop on Applications of Signal Processing to Audio and Acoustics*, 2003, pp. 185–188.
- [11] Takuya Fujishima, "Realtime chord recognition of musical sound: A system using common lisp music," in *Proceedings of the 1999 International Computer Music Conference*, 1999, pp. 464–467.
- [12] Judith C Brown, "Calculation of a constant q spectral transform," *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 425–434, 1991.
- [13] Simon Dixon and Gerhard Widmer, "Match: A music alignment tool chest," in *Proceedings of the 6th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference*, 2005, pp. 492–497.
- [14] Daniel P. W. Ellis, "Aligning MIDI files to music audio," http://www.ee.columbia.edu/~dpwe/resources/matlab/alignmidi/, 2013.

- [15] Jens Blauert, Spatial hearing: the psychophysics of human sound localization, MIT press, 1997.
- [16] Thanawin Rakthanmanon, Bilson Campana, Abdullah Mueen, Gustavo Batista, Brandon Westover, Qiang Zhu, Jesin Zakaria, and Eamonn Keogh, "Searching and mining trillions of time series subsequences under dynamic time warping," in *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. ACM, 2012, pp. 262–270.
- [17] Chotirat Ann Ratanamahatana and Eamonn Keogh, "Everything you know about dynamic time warping is wrong," 2004.